Analyzing the Impact of Integrations Levels in Multi-Cloud Solutions on Management Effort and Costs

Chris Oesterreich

Martrikelnummer: 392844

Exposé for the Bachelor Thesis

Wirtschaftsinformatik(Business Informatics)

Inhaltsverzeichnis

1 Introduction

As a student in the field of Wirtschaftsinformatik (Business Informatics) and a member of HISolution's cloud formations team, I have witnessed firsthand the growing significance of cloud computing in modern business operations. The increasing adoption of cloud services has led to the emergence of multi-cloud solutions, where organizations leverage services from various cloud providers to meet diverse requirements. This exposé aims to draw from my academic background and professional experience to explore the impact of different integration levels (virtualization levels) of services offered by cloud providers on the management effort and associated costs within multi-cloud environments.

2 Research Questions

- How does the management effort change in multi-cloud solutions when using services with varying integration levels provided by different cloud providers?
- What are the cost implications of adopting multi-cloud solutions based on different integration levels of cloud services?
- Are there discernible patterns or trends in the management effort and cost variations as organizations navigate various integration levels within their multi-cloud environments?

3 Theoretical Background

This chapter provides an exploration of the theoretical underpinnings of both cloud computing and the emerging domain of multi-cloud environments.

3.1 What is Cloud Computing

NIST [23] describes cloud computing as "a model for enabling convenient, on-demand network access to a shared pool of configurable computing resources (e.g., networks, servers, storage, applications, and services) that can be rapidly provisioned and released with minimal management effort or service provider interaction". Cloud computing is a technology and service delivery model that allows individuals and organizations to access and use a wide range of computing resources over the internet. Instead of owning and managing physical servers, storage devices, and networking equipment, cloud computing users can leverage the resources of cloud service providers, paying only for what they consume.

3.1.1 Characteristics of Cloud Computing

Cloud computing is characterized by it key attributes [21] [24] [1]:

On-Demand Self-Service Users can provision and manage computing resources as needed, without requiring human intervention from the service provider.

Broad Network Access Cloud services are accessible over the internet from a variety of devices, including smartphones, laptops, and tablets.

Resource Pooling Cloud providers pool computing resources and serve multiple customers. Resources are dynamically allocated and reassigned as needed.

Rapid Elasticity Cloud resources can be quickly scaled up or down to accommodate changing workloads, ensuring optimal performance and cost efficiency.

Measured Service Cloud usage is metered, and users are billed for the resources they consume. This pay-as-you-go model is often cost-effective compared to traditional IT infrastructure.

3.1.2 Deployment Models

Cloud computing can also be categorized based on deployment models [21] [16]:

Public Cloud In a public cloud, cloud resources are owned and operated by a third-party cloud service provider and are made available to the general public. Customers share resources and infrastructure.

Private Cloud Private clouds are used exclusively by a single organization. They may be hosted on-premises or by a third-party provider, offering more control, security, and privacy for the organization's data and applications.

Hybrid Cloud A hybrid cloud combines both public and private cloud services. It allows data and applications to be shared between them, offering flexibility and data portability while addressing specific security and compliance needs.

Community Cloud The cloud infrastructure is allocated for the exclusive utilization of a particular community comprising consumers from organizations with common interests, such as mission objectives, security prerequisites, policies, and compliance considerations. Ownership, management, and operation of this infrastructure may rest with one or more organizations within the community, a third-party entity, or a collaborative arrangement among them. Additionally, the infrastructure may be situated either on-premises or off-premises.

Cloud computing has revolutionized the way businesses and individuals use technology by providing scalable, cost-effective, and accessible computing resources. It has become a fundamental part of modern IT infrastructure, enabling agility, innovation, and cost-efficiency for a wide range of applications and services.

3.2 Integration levels of cloud services

Cloud providers typically offer various integration levels, or virtualization levels, for their cloud services. These levels are categorized based on the degree of control and management that customers have over the underlying infrastructure.

The main integration levels offered by cloud providers are [21] [14] [16]

3.2.1 Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS)

In IaaS, the cloud provider offers virtualized computing resources, including virtual machines (VMs), storage, and networking. Customers have more control over the operating system, applications, and data, managing and maintaining the software stack on top of the provided infrastructure. IaaS is well-suited for organizations that need flexibility in configuring and managing their infrastructure while offloading the hardware management to the cloud provider.

3.2.2 Platform as a Service (PaaS)

PaaS provides a higher level of abstraction, focusing on application development and deployment. Customers can build, run, and manage applications without concerning themselves with the underlying infrastructure or operating system. PaaS offerings often include development tools, databases, and runtime environments. This level of service is beneficial for developers looking to accelerate the application development process and focus on code rather than infrastructure management.

3.2.3 Container as a Service (CaaS)

CaaS is a subset of PaaS that centers on containerization technologies like Docker and Kubernetes. Customers can package applications into containers, which are portable and can be deployed consistently across various environments. CaaS platforms simplify container orchestration, scaling, and management, providing the necessary infrastructure for containerized applications.

3.2.4 Function as a Service (FaaS)

FaaS, also known as serverless computing, abstracts infrastructure to the point where customers only need to provide code in the form of functions. Customers write and upload code, and the cloud provider takes care of executing and scaling these functions automatically in response to events. FaaS is highly event-driven and is ideal for applications with sporadic or unpredictable workloads.

3.2.5 Software as a Service (SaaS)

SaaS is the highest level of abstraction, offering complete software applications over the internet. Customers do not manage infrastructure, software, or updates. They only use the software provided by the cloud vendor. Common examples of SaaS include email services like Gmail, office suites like Microsoft 365, and customer relationship management (CRM) tools like Salesforce.

These integration levels represent a spectrum of control and responsibility, with IaaS providing the most control and responsibility and SaaS offering the least. Organizations choose the integration level that aligns with their specific needs, from full control over infrastructure to minimal management, depending on their use cases and objectives.

3.3 What is MultiCloud

Multi-cloud is a cloud computing strategy that involves using services and resources from multiple cloud providers. In a multi-cloud approach, organizations utilize more than one cloud platform, such as Amazon Web Services (AWS), Microsoft Azure, Google Cloud Platform (GCP), or other cloud providers, to meet their specific business needs and goals. This can involve using a combination of public and private clouds or even multiple public clouds [27].

The key reasons for adopting a multi-cloud strategy include [14] [26]:

3.3.1 Avoiding vendor lock-in

By using multiple cloud providers, organizations can reduce their dependency on a single vendor, which can help them avoid potential issues related to vendor lock-in, such as cost increases or limited flexibility [10].

3.3.2 Diverse service offerings

Different cloud providers offer a wide range of services and features. Using multiple providers allows organizations to select the best tools and services for their specific requirements.

3.3.3 Geographic redundancy

Multi-cloud can provide geographic redundancy by spreading workloads across different cloud regions or data centers, enhancing availability and disaster recovery capabilities [3].

3.3.4 Compliance and data sovereignty

Some industries and organizations have specific compliance requirements that mandate the storage and processing of data in particular geographic regions. Multi-cloud can help meet these requirements by using data centers in different locations.

3.3.5 Cost optimization

Organizations can take advantage of competitive pricing and discounts from different providers to optimize their cloud spending.

However, managing a multi-cloud environment can be complex, as it involves dealing with different cloud management interfaces, security policies, and monitoring tools from each provider. Proper planning, governance, and management tools are essential to make the most of a multi-cloud strategy while minimizing operational challenges.

Overall, multi-cloud is a flexible approach that allows organizations to tailor their cloud infrastructure to meet their specific needs and leverage the strengths of various cloud providers.

3.4 Multi Cloud Architectures

Multi-cloud architectures encompass various approaches to leveraging multiple cloud providers to achieve specific business goals. The General Services Administration[22] divide multi-cloud architectures in "redundantänd "compositeärchitectures :

3.4.1 Redundant Multi-Cloud Architecture

In a redundant multi-cloud architecture, the primary objective is to enhance redundancy and high availability by using multiple cloud providers. This approach helps ensure that services and applications remain operational even if one cloud provider experiences downtime or issues. Key characteristics include:

Failover and Disaster Recovery Redundant multi-cloud architectures are designed to automatically failover to an alternate cloud provider in the event of an outage. This ensures minimal service disruption.

Data Replication Data is replicated across multiple cloud providers' data centers to prevent data loss and ensure data availability.

Load Balancing Load balancers distribute traffic across multiple cloud environments, optimizing performance and reducing the risk of overloads on a single provider.

Geographic Distribution Cloud resources are provisioned in different geographic regions or data centers to further enhance redundancy.

Example: A company may host its critical web application on AWS and replicate it on Azure. If AWS experiences an outage, traffic is automatically routed to the Azure instance to maintain service availability.

3.4.2 Composite Multi-Cloud Architecture

A composite multi-cloud architecture involves integrating and orchestrating services from multiple cloud providers to create a unified and optimized solution. This approach allows organizations to take advantage of the strengths and features offered by different providers. Key characteristics include:

Service Composition Different cloud services from various providers are combined to form a cohesive, composite solution. For example, storage may come from one provider, while analytics and machine learning services come from another.

Service Aggregation A layer of abstraction or middleware may be used to aggregate services from multiple providers into a single interface for users and applications.

Performance and Cost Optimization Composite architectures aim to optimize performance and reduce costs by selecting the best-suited services from each provider for specific tasks.

Flexibility and Vendor Neutrality Organizations have the flexibility to choose the most appropriate cloud services for their needs, reducing vendor lock-in.

Example: An e-commerce company may use Google Cloud's BigQuery for data analytics, AWS's S3 for storage, and Microsoft Azure's AI services for personalized recommendations, all integrated into a single platform to create a comprehensive shopping experience for users.

Both redundant and composite multi-cloud architectures offer unique advantages and are chosen based on specific business requirements, such as high availability, cost optimization, flexibility, and the desire to leverage the strengths of different cloud providers. The choice between these architectures depends on an organization's goals and the level of complexity it is willing to manage.

4 Research Methodology

This exposé will build upon existing theories and models in cloud computing, management, and cost analysis, aligning them with my academic foundation in Wirtschaftsinformatik. The research methodology will combine analytical approaches with empirical verification, integrating insights from my role in HISolution's cloud formations team. Empirical verification will involve a focus on practical insights and a real-world application.

In the context of multi-cloud, when it is required to manage services and resources across multiple cloud providers, the focus on managemnet effort and cost implications should align with the unique challenges and opportunities presented by a multi-cloud environment. Key points in focus are:

4.1 Measurement of Management Effort

Orchestration and Automation Multi-cloud environments often involve coordinating activities across different cloud providers, and automation can streamline complex workflows [12].
The metric Time to provision/de-provision of resources is useful to measure how quickly resources can be deployed or removed across different cloud providers, reflecting the efficiency of orchestration and automation processes.

Interoperability Measuring the ease with which services and data can move between different cloud providers.
Focusing on interoperability standards and the ability to avoid vendor lock-in, ensuring that workloads can be seamlessly transferred[17]. To measure these it is important to focus on the metrics data transfer speed and latency between cloud providers[7].

Unified Management Tools Investing in or leverage management tools that provide a unified view and control over multi-cloud resources.
Having a centralized dashboard can significantly reduce the management effort by simplifying monitoring, provisioning, and troubleshooting [20] [15]. The metric here is percentage of tasks managed through a unified dashboard to track the proportion of management tasks that can be performed through a centralized management tool, to reduce the need for interacting with individual provider interfaces.

Security and Compliance Focusing on security measures and compliance requirements across all integrated clouds. Ensuring consistent application of security policies and monitor compliance to industry regulations.
This is particularly crucial in multi-cloud environments where data may move across different regions and providers. A way to measure this is the count the number of security incidents and compliance violations.

Data Management and Portability Paying attention to how data is managed and ensure portability. Evaluating data storage solutions that facilitate easy movement of data between different clouds while maintaining integrity and security[17] [].
To measure the Data Management and Portability, it is useful to focus on the data transfer success rate, and measure the success rate of transferring data between different cloud providers, ensuring data portability without loss or corruption.

Disaster Recovery and Redundancy Assessing the redundancy and disaster recovery capabilities of each cloud provider. Aiming for a resilient architecture that can tolerate failures in one provider by seamlessly shifting workloads to another [19]. The metric for this is Recovery Time Objective (RTO) and Recovery Point Objective (RPO) to measure the time it takes to recover from a disaster and the data loss incurred, ensuring that redundancy and disaster recovery mechanisms meet business continuity requirements.

Vendor Relationships Developing strong relationships with your cloud providers. Understanding their support mechanisms, escalation processes, and communication channels.
A good relationship can be crucial during critical situations and for obtaining assistance in managing multi-cloud environments [5]. To measure the vendor relationship it is best practice to focus on the metrics vendor responsiveness and resolution time and assess how quickly and effectively cloud providers respond to inquiries and incidents, ensuring strong and reliable relationships.

4.2 Measurement of Cost implications

Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) Across Providers In the context of multicloud, organizations should focus on assessing the Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) across providers[6] [4]. This involves a comprehensive evaluation of the financial impact, including direct costs and factors such as data transfer and potential egress charges between clouds. To measure this, comparing the total cost of utilizing multiple cloud providers in a fixed interval is necessary, accounting for direct costs, data transfer, and potential egress charges.

Cost Per Resource Unit Across Providers Comparing the cost efficiency of similar resources (e.g., virtual machines, storage) across different cloud providers.
This helps in making informed decisions about where to deploy specific workloads based on cost considerations. The metrics here are Cost per CPU-hour, cost per GB of storage, etc. to calculate the cost efficiency of similar resources across different providers, to help organizations make informed deployment decisions.

Cost Allocation and Chargeback Across Providers Implementing cost allocation and chargeback mechanisms that work seamlessly across multiple cloud providers.
This ensures transparency in cost distribution and helps different business units or

projects understand their respective contributions. To measure this it is necessary to focus on the metrics accuracy of cost allocation, chargeback reconciliation and measure how accurately costs are allocated and charged back across different business units or projects in a multi-cloud environment.

Cost Reduction Initiatives Across Providers Conducting comparative cost analyses to benchmark the costs of using different cloud providers for similar services[2].
This helps in making strategic decisions about which provider offers the most cost-effective solutions for specific use cases. Here the common metric is percentage reduction in costs to track the success of cost reduction initiatives by benchmarking costs across providers for similar services.

Cost Optimization Strategies Across Providers Evaluating the effectiveness of cost optimization strategies across different cloud providers.
This includes utilizing reserved instances, spot instances, auto-scaling, and other provider-specific optimization features [8] [18]. The metric for this is utilization of reserved instances, spot instances, auto-scaling efficiency to evaluate the effectiveness of cost optimization strategies, including the use of provider-specific features for optimization.

Inter-Cloud Data Transfer Costs Considering the expenses associated with transferring data between different cloud service providers. Assessing the impact of moving data between clouds and consider strategies to minimize these costs, such as leveraging content delivery networks (CDNs) or optimizing data transfer patterns[13].
Here its common to measure the data transfer costs as a percentage of overall expenses to assess the impact of inter-cloud data transfer costs on the total expenses and explore strategies to minimize these costs.

Risk Mitigation and Redundancy Costs Considering costs associated with implementing redundancy and risk mitigation strategies across multiple cloud providers.
This may involve distributing workloads for high availability or disaster recovery purposes [11]. It is necessary to have a look at cost of redundancy measures compared to potential downtime costs and evaluate the cost-effectiveness of redundancy strategies in the context of potential downtime costs.

Strategic Workload Placement Focusing on strategically placing workloads based on cost considerations and performance requirements [25]. Determining which cloud provider is the best fit for each workload, taking into account both technical and financial aspects.
Here it is necessary to develop an index that considers both technical and financial aspects to determine the optimal cloud provider for each workload in a workload cost efficiency index

Integration and Management Tool Costs Assessing the costs of integrating and managing services across multiple cloud providers. Considering the expenses related to tooling, automation, and orchestration that facilitate a cohesive multi-cloud environment [9].
A common metric for this is tooling expenses per managed service to valuate the costs of integrating and managing services across providers, considering tooling, automation, and orchestration expenses.

4.3 Real-world application (CI/CD pipeline)

Measuring cost implications and management effort in a real-world application, particularly in the context of a Continuous Integration/Continuous Deployment (CI/CD) pipeline that leverages various cloud integration levels and multi-cloud strategies, requires a combination of tools, practices, and metrics. By observing the above metrics and considering the unique challenges of managing a CI/CD pipeline with different cloud integration levels and multi-cloud environments, it is possible to effectively measure cost implications and management effort to optimize a pipeline's efficiency and cost-effectiveness.

5 Significance and Relevance

This research not only addresses the practical challenges faced by organizations in managing multi-cloud environments but also benefits from the perspective of someone actively engaged in cloud formations at HISolution. The findings of this study will offer valuable insights to decision-makers within the organization and other businesses navigating the complexities of multi-cloud management.

Analyzing the impact of integration levels in multi-cloud solutions on management effort and costs is highly significant and relevant for several reasons:

Optimizing Resource Allocation

Understanding the impact of integration levels allows organizations to allocate resources efficiently. By measuring how different integration levels affect management effort and costs, businesses can make informed decisions about where to invest resources and where to automate or streamline processes.

Cost Optimization

It is crucial to manage costs effectively in multi-cloud environments. Analyzing the cost implications of different integration levels helps organizations identify cost-saving opportunities. For example, it may reveal that certain workloads are more cost-effective in a specific cloud provider, integration level, or deployment model.

Risk Mitigation

Different integration levels introduce varying degrees of complexity and risk. Understanding how management effort and costs are impacted can help organizations assess and mitigate these risks. This is particularly important in mission-critical applications where reliability and stability are paramount.

Performance and Scalability

The chosen integration level can influence the performance and scalability of a multi-cloud solution. Analyzing the impact on management effort and costs can help organizations strike the right balance between performance and expenditure, ensuring that resources are allocated where they matter most.

Security and Compliance

Integration levels can affect security and compliance. Analyzing these effects helps organizations identify security gaps and compliance requirements across different integration levels, allowing them to implement appropriate security measures and ensure regulatory compliance.

Strategic Decision-Making

Multi-cloud strategies often involve significant investments. Analyzing the impact of integration levels on management effort and costs assists in making informed strategic decisions. Organizations can align their cloud strategy with their specific business needs and goals, taking into account the financial and operational aspects.

Resource Efficiency

Efficiency in resource utilization is a primary goal for many organizations. By analyzing the impact of integration levels, companies can avoid over-provisioning or underutilizing resources, leading to more cost-effective resource usage and reduced waste.

Competitive Advantage

Competitive Advantage: In a multi-cloud world, organizations need to remain competitive and agile. Understanding how integration levels affect management and costs allows businesses to adapt quickly to changing requirements and market conditions, giving them a competitive edge.

Research and Innovation

Analyzing the impact of integration levels in multi-cloud environments provides valuable data for both academic research and practical innovation. It contributes to the growing body of knowledge in cloud computing and informs the development of new technologies and best practices.

Collaboration and Knowledge Sharing

Research and analysis in this field can be shared across organizations and industries. Sharing knowledge and insights on the impact of integration levels in multi-cloud solutions can help foster collaboration, benchmarking, and the development of common standards and practices.

In summary, analyzing the impact of integration levels in multi-cloud solutions on management effort and costs is crucial for optimizing resource allocation, mitigating risks, making informed strategic decisions, and maintaining competitiveness in today's dynamic cloud landscape. It also serves as an important source of information for both practical applications and academic research, contributing to the evolution of cloud computing practices.

6 Conclusion

In conclusion, this exposé outlines the research focus on understanding the impact of integration levels in multi-cloud solutions on management effort and costs, leveraging my academic background in Wirtschaftsinformatik and my experience within HISolution's cloud formations team. By combining analytical and empirical approaches, the study aims to contribute to the understanding of multi-cloud management dynamics and provide practical recommendations for cloud service integration.

Literatur

[1] Chunye Gong u. a. „The Characteristics of Cloud Computing“. In: *2010 39th International Conference on Parallel Processing Workshops*. Sep. 2010, S. 275–279. DOI: 10.1109/ICPPW.2010.45. (Besucht am 08. 11. 2023).

[2] Jose Luis Lucas Simarro u. a. „Dynamic Placement of Virtual Machines for Cost Optimization in Multi-Cloud Environments“. In: *2011 International Conference on High Performance Computing & Simulation*. Juli 2011, S. 1–7. DOI: 10.1109/HPCSim.2011.5999800. (Besucht am 12. 11. 2023).

[3] Mohammed A. AlZain u. a. „Cloud Computing Security: From Single to Multi-clouds“. In: *2012 45th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. Jan. 2012, S. 5490–5499. DOI: 10.1109/HICSS.2012.153. (Besucht am 03. 11. 2023).

[4] Benedikt Martens, Marc Walterbusch und Frank Teuteberg. „Costing of Cloud Computing Services: A Total Cost of Ownership Approach“. In: *2012 45th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. Jan. 2012, S. 1563–1572. DOI: 10.1109/HICSS.2012.186. (Besucht am 08. 11. 2023).

[5] Mohammad Manzurul Islam, Sarwar Morshed und Parijat Goswami. „Cloud Computing: A Survey on Its Limitations and Potential Solutions“. In: 10.4 (2013).

[6] Marc Walterbusch, Benedikt Martens und Frank Teuteberg. „Evaluating Cloud Computing Services from a Total Cost of Ownership Perspective“. In: *Management Research Review* 36.6 (Jan. 2013), S. 613–638. ISSN: 2040-8269. DOI: 10.1108/01409171311325769. (Besucht am 12. 11. 2023).

[7] Sean Yaw u. a. „Cooperative Group Provisioning with Latency Guarantees in Multi-Cloud Deployments“. In: *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review* 45.3 (Juli 2015), S. 4–11. ISSN: 0146-4833. DOI: 10.1145/2805789.2805791. (Besucht am 12. 11. 2023).

[8] Chenhao Qu, Rodrigo N. Calheiros und Rajkumar Buyya. „A Reliable and Cost-Efficient Auto-Scaling System for Web Applications Using Heterogeneous Spot Instances“. In: *Journal of Network and Computer Applications* 65 (Apr. 2016), S. 167–180. ISSN: 1084-8045. DOI: 10.1016/j.jnca.2016.03.001. (Besucht am 12. 11. 2023).

[9] Paul Alpar und Ariana Polyviou. „Management of Multi-cloud Computing“. In: *Global Sourcing of Digital Services: Micro and Macro Perspectives*. Hrsg. von Ilan Oshri, Julia Kotlarsky und Leslie P. Willcocks. Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2017, S. 124–137. ISBN: 978-3-319-70305-3. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-70305-3_8.

[10] Roland Pellegrini, Patrick Rottmann und Georg Strieder. „Preventing Vendor Lock-Ins via an Interoperable Multi-Cloud Deployment Approach“. In: *2017 12th International Conference for Internet Technology and Secured Transactions (ICITST)*. Dez. 2017, S. 382–387. DOI: 10.23919/ICITST.2017.8356428. (Besucht am 03. 11. 2023).

[11] Guto Leoni Santos u. a. „Analyzing the IT Subsystem Failure Impact on Availability of Cloud Services“. In: *2017 IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications (ISCC)*. Juli 2017, S. 717–723. DOI: 10.1109/ISCC.2017.8024612. (Besucht am 12. 11. 2023).

[12] Pethuru Raj und Anupama Raman. „Automated Multi-cloud Operations and Container Orchestration“. In: *Software-Defined Cloud Centers: Operational and Management Technologies and Tools*. Hrsg. von Pethuru Raj und Anupama Raman. Computer Communications and Networks. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018, S. 185–218. ISBN: 978-3-319-78637-7. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-78637-7_9. (Besucht am 12. 11. 2023).

[13] Antonio Celesti u. a. „Towards Hybrid Multi-Cloud Storage Systems: Understanding How to Perform Data Transfer“. In: *Big Data Research* 16 (Juli 2019), S. 1–17. ISSN: 2214-5796. DOI: 10.1016/j.bdr.2019.02.002. (Besucht am 12. 11. 2023).

[14] Jiangshui Hong u. a. „An Overview of Multi-cloud Computing“. In: *Web, Artificial Intelligence and Network Applications*. Hrsg. von Leonard Barolli u. a. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2019, S. 1055–1068. ISBN: 978-3-030-15035-8. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-15035-8_103.

[15] Yash Bindlish. *Hybrid Multi-Cloud Monitoring through AWS Managed Services for Grafana*. Juni 2021. (Besucht am 12. 11. 2023).

[16] Hiral B. Patel und Nirali Kansara. *Cloud Computing Deployment Models: A Comparative Study*. SSRN Scholarly Paper. Rochester, NY, März 2021. (Besucht am 08. 11. 2023).

[17] Chithambaramani Ramalingam und Prakash Mohan. „Addressing Semantics Standards for Cloud Portability and Interoperability in Multi Cloud Environment“. In: *Symmetry* 13.2 (Feb. 2021), S. 317. ISSN: 2073-8994. DOI: 10.3390/sym13020317. (Besucht am 12. 11. 2023).

[18] *Amazon EC2 – Secure and Resizable Compute Capacity – AWS*. https://aws.amazon.com/ec2/pri (Besucht am 12. 11. 2023).

[19] *Disaster Recovery in Single-Cloud and Multi-Cloud Environments: Issues and Challenges | IEEE Conference Publication | IEEE Xplore*. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/do (Besucht am 12. 11. 2023).

[20] *Hybrid and Multi-Cloud Monitoring and Logging Patterns | Cloud Architecture Center*. https://cloud.google.com/architecture/hybrid-and-multi-cloud-monitoring-and-logging-patterns. (Besucht am 12. 11. 2023).

[21] Peter Mell und Timothy Grance. „The NIST Definition of Cloud Computing“. In: ().

[22] „Multi-Cloud and Hybrid Cloud Guide“. In: ().

[23] „NIST Cloud Computing Program - NCCP“. In: *NIST* (). (Besucht am 08. 11. 2023).

[24] Christopher Olive. „Cloud Computing Characteristics Are Key“. In: ().

[25] *Optimizing Cloud-Service Performance: Efficient Resource Provisioning via Optimal Workload Allocation | IEEE Journals & Magazine | IEEE Xplore*. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7742971. (Besucht am 12. 11. 2023).

[26] *The Benefits and Limitations of a Multi-cloud Strategy*. https://www.pingidentity.com/en/resourc cloud-strategy-benefits-and-limitations.html. (Besucht am 03. 11. 2023).

[27] *Was ist Multicloud? Definition und Vorteile*. https://cloud.google.com/learn/what-is-multicloud?hl=de. (Besucht am 03. 11. 2023).