



# Analyzing the Impact of Integrations Levels in Multi-Cloud Solutions on Management Effort and Costs

**Chris Oesterreich**

Matrikelnummer: 392844

Exposé for the Bachelor Thesis

Wirtschaftsinformatik(Business Informatics)

# Inhaltsverzeichnis

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Research Questions</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Theoretical Background</b>	<b>1</b>
3.1	What is MultiCloud . . . . .	1
3.1.1	Avoiding vendor lock-in . . . . .	2
3.1.2	Diverse service offerings . . . . .	2
3.1.3	Geographic redundancy . . . . .	2
3.1.4	Compliance and data sovereignty . . . . .	2
3.1.5	Cost optimization . . . . .	2
3.2	What are Multi Cloud Architectures . . . . .	3
3.2.1	Arbitrary Multi-Cloud . . . . .	3
3.2.2	Segmented Multi-Cloud . . . . .	3
3.2.3	Choice Multi-Cloud . . . . .	3
3.2.4	Parallel Multi-Cloud . . . . .	3
3.2.5	Portable Multi-Cloud . . . . .	4
3.3	Integration levels of cloud services . . . . .	4
3.3.1	Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) . . . . .	4
3.3.2	Platform as a Service (PaaS) . . . . .	4
3.3.3	Container as a Service (CaaS) . . . . .	5
3.3.4	Function as a Service (FaaS) . . . . .	5
3.3.5	Software as a Service (SaaS) . . . . .	5
<b>4</b>	<b>Research Methodology</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	Measure of Management Effort . . . . .	6
4.1.1	Operational Metrics . . . . .	6
4.1.2	Academic or Research-Oriented Approaches . . . . .	6
4.2	Measure of Cost Implications . . . . .	7
4.2.1	Operational Metrics . . . . .	7
4.2.2	Academic or Research-Oriented Approaches . . . . .	8
4.3	Differences in Multi-Cloud and Single Cloud Measurement . . . . .	9
4.3.1	Management Effort . . . . .	9
4.3.2	Cost Implications . . . . .	10
4.4	Real-world application (CI/CD pipeline) . . . . .	10

5	Significance and Relevance	11
6	Conclusion	13

# 1 Introduction

As a student in the field of Wirtschaftsinformatik (Business Informatics) and a member of HISolution's cloud formations team, I have witnessed firsthand the growing significance of cloud computing in modern business operations. The increasing adoption of cloud services has led to the emergence of multi-cloud solutions, where organizations leverage services from various cloud providers to meet diverse requirements. This exposé aims to draw from my academic background and professional experience to explore the impact of different integration levels (virtualization levels) of services offered by cloud providers on the management effort and associated costs within multi-cloud environments.

## 2 Research Questions

- How does the management effort change in multi-cloud solutions when using services with varying integration levels provided by different cloud providers?
- What are the cost implications of adopting multi-cloud solutions based on different integration levels of cloud services?
- Are there discernible patterns or trends in the management effort and cost variations as organizations navigate various integration levels within their multi-cloud environments?

## 3 Theoretical Background

This exposé will build upon existing theories and models in cloud computing, management, and cost analysis, aligning them with my academic foundation in Wirtschaftsinformatik. Theoretical insights will inform the analytical approaches and frameworks developed to assess the impact of integration levels on multi-cloud management.

### 3.1 What is MultiCloud

Multi-cloud is a cloud computing strategy that involves using services and resources from multiple cloud providers. In a multi-cloud approach, organizations utilize more than one cloud platform, such as Amazon Web Services (AWS), Microsoft Azure,

Google Cloud Platform (GCP), or other cloud providers, to meet their specific business needs and goals. This can involve using a combination of public and private clouds or even multiple public clouds.

The key reasons for adopting a multi-cloud strategy include:

#### **3.1.1 Avoiding vendor lock-in**

By using multiple cloud providers, organizations can reduce their dependency on a single vendor, which can help them avoid potential issues related to vendor lock-in, such as cost increases or limited flexibility.

#### **3.1.2 Diverse service offerings**

Different cloud providers offer a wide range of services and features. Using multiple providers allows organizations to select the best tools and services for their specific requirements.

#### **3.1.3 Geographic redundancy**

Multi-cloud can provide geographic redundancy by spreading workloads across different cloud regions or data centers, enhancing availability and disaster recovery capabilities.

#### **3.1.4 Compliance and data sovereignty**

Some industries and organizations have specific compliance requirements that mandate the storage and processing of data in particular geographic regions. Multi-cloud can help meet these requirements by using data centers in different locations.

#### **3.1.5 Cost optimization**

Organizations can take advantage of competitive pricing and discounts from different providers to optimize their cloud spending.

However, managing a multi-cloud environment can be complex, as it involves dealing with different cloud management interfaces, security policies, and monitoring tools from each provider. Proper planning, governance, and management tools are essential to make the most of a multi-cloud strategy while minimizing operational challenges.

Overall, multi-cloud is a flexible approach that allows organizations to tailor their cloud infrastructure to meet their specific needs and leverage the strengths of various cloud providers.

## **3.2 What are Multi Cloud Architectures**

Multi-cloud solutions come in various forms, each with its own characteristics and advantages. Here's a brief description of the differences between arbitrary, segmented, choice, parallel, and portable multi-cloud solutions:

### **3.2.1 Arbitrary Multi-Cloud**

In arbitrary multi-cloud, an organization uses multiple cloud providers without a specific strategy or plan. The choice of cloud providers may be ad hoc, based on individual project requirements or team preferences. This approach can lead to inefficiencies and increased management complexity, as there is no standardized process for managing different cloud services.

### **3.2.2 Segmented Multi-Cloud**

Segmented multi-cloud involves separating workloads or applications across different cloud providers based on specific criteria, such as security, compliance, or performance requirements. It's a more strategic approach compared to arbitrary multi-cloud, as workloads are intentionally placed on specific cloud platforms to achieve specific goals.

### **3.2.3 Choice Multi-Cloud**

In a choice multi-cloud approach, organizations select cloud providers based on the unique strengths and capabilities of each provider for particular workloads or services. The selection is made with careful consideration of which provider is the best fit for a given task, taking advantage of the strengths and cost-effectiveness of each cloud.

### **3.2.4 Parallel Multi-Cloud**

Parallel multi-cloud involves running identical workloads or applications simultaneously on multiple cloud providers. This approach is often used for redundancy and high availability, where if one cloud provider experiences an outage, the workload can seamlessly switch to another provider.

### **3.2.5 Portable Multi-Cloud**

Portable multi-cloud focuses on creating applications or workloads that can be easily moved between different cloud providers. This typically involves using containerization technologies like Docker and container orchestration platforms like Kubernetes to ensure that applications are agnostic to the underlying cloud infrastructure. In summary, these different multi-cloud approaches vary in terms of strategy and implementation. Arbitrary multi-cloud lacks a clear strategy, segmented multi-cloud focuses on specific criteria, choice multi-cloud optimizes for each workload's requirements, parallel multi-cloud emphasizes redundancy, and portable multi-cloud prioritizes application portability across cloud providers. The choice of which approach to adopt depends on an organization's goals, workload requirements, and the level of complexity they are willing to manage.

## **3.3 Integration levels of cloud services**

Cloud providers typically offer various integration levels, or virtualization levels, for their cloud services. These levels are categorized based on the degree of control and management that customers have over the underlying infrastructure.

The main integration levels offered by cloud providers are:

### **3.3.1 Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS)**

In IaaS, the cloud provider offers virtualized computing resources, including virtual machines (VMs), storage, and networking. Customers have more control over the operating system, applications, and data, managing and maintaining the software stack on top of the provided infrastructure. IaaS is well-suited for organizations that need flexibility in configuring and managing their infrastructure while offloading the hardware management to the cloud provider.

### **3.3.2 Platform as a Service (PaaS)**

PaaS provides a higher level of abstraction, focusing on application development and deployment. Customers can build, run, and manage applications without concerning themselves with the underlying infrastructure or operating system. PaaS offerings often include development tools, databases, and runtime environments. This level of service is beneficial for developers looking to accelerate the application development process and focus on code rather than infrastructure management.

### **3.3.3 Container as a Service (CaaS)**

CaaS is a subset of PaaS that centers on containerization technologies like Docker and Kubernetes. Customers can package applications into containers, which are portable and can be deployed consistently across various environments. CaaS platforms simplify container orchestration, scaling, and management, providing the necessary infrastructure for containerized applications.

### **3.3.4 Function as a Service (FaaS)**

FaaS, also known as serverless computing, abstracts infrastructure to the point where customers only need to provide code in the form of functions. Customers write and upload code, and the cloud provider takes care of executing and scaling these functions automatically in response to events. FaaS is highly event-driven and is ideal for applications with sporadic or unpredictable workloads.

### **3.3.5 Software as a Service (SaaS)**

SaaS is the highest level of abstraction, offering complete software applications over the internet. Customers do not manage infrastructure, software, or updates. They only use the software provided by the cloud vendor. Common examples of SaaS include email services like Gmail, office suites like Microsoft 365, and customer relationship management (CRM) tools like Salesforce.

These integration levels represent a spectrum of control and responsibility, with IaaS providing the most control and responsibility and SaaS offering the least. Organizations choose the integration level that aligns with their specific needs, from full control over infrastructure to minimal management, depending on their use cases and objectives.

## **4 Research Methodology**

The research methodology will combine analytical approaches with empirical verification, integrating insights from my role in HISolution's cloud formations team. Empirical verification will involve case studies or surveys with a focus on practical insights and real-world applications.



## 4.1 Measure of Management Effort

### 4.1.1 Operational Metrics

**Time to Provision** Measure the time it takes to provision and set up resources in various integration levels. A longer provisioning time may indicate higher management effort, especially in IaaS.

**Incident Response Time** Evaluate how quickly and efficiently incidents or issues are addressed and resolved. Longer response times may indicate increased management complexity.

**System Uptime and Availability** Monitor the uptime and availability of services and applications. Frequent outages may suggest a need for more management effort.

**Scaling and Auto-scaling Efficiency** Assess how efficiently resources scale up or down in response to workload changes. Efficient auto-scaling can reduce management efforts.

**Patching and Updates** Measure the time and effort required to apply patches and updates to operating systems and software. Frequent updates may increase management complexity.

**Cost Control** Track and optimize cloud spending to ensure that resources are used efficiently. Poor cost control can indicate inadequate management effort.

### 4.1.2 Academic or Research-Oriented Approaches

**Management Complexity Models** Academics and researchers often develop models to quantify management complexity in various cloud integration levels. These models may consider factors such as the number of parameters to configure, the depth of control provided, and the cognitive load on administrators.

**Surveys and Questionnaires** Researchers may conduct surveys and gather feedback from cloud users, administrators, and developers to understand the perceived management effort across different integration levels.

**Case Studies and Observations** Academic research may involve conducting case studies or observations of organizations to analyze their management efforts in different cloud integration levels, looking at factors like resource provisioning, monitoring, and incident response.

**Workload Analysis** Researchers may analyze the specific workloads and application characteristics that drive management complexity in different integration levels. This can involve studying resource utilization patterns, security requirements, and performance constraints.

**Complexity Metrics** Academics may develop complexity metrics specific to cloud management, such as the number of management tasks required per unit of compute or the cognitive load associated with managing different integration levels.

**Comparative Analysis** Researchers often perform comparative analyses, benchmarking the management effort across various cloud providers and integration levels to identify trends and best practices. Both common operational metrics and academic research approaches can provide valuable insights into the management effort associated with different cloud integration levels. The choice of measurement methods depends on the specific research or assessment objectives and the resources available for analysis.

## 4.2 Measure of Cost Implications

Measuring the cost implications of different cloud integration levels can be accomplished through various common operational metrics as well as academic or research-oriented approaches. Here are some common and academic ways to measure cost implications in different cloud integration levels:

### 4.2.1 Operational Metrics

**Total Cost of Ownership (TCO)** Calculate the TCO, which includes all costs associated with adopting and operating services in various integration levels, such as infrastructure, software, personnel, maintenance, and licensing fees.

**Cost Per Resource Unit** Evaluate the cost per resource unit (e.g., cost per virtual machine, cost per GB of storage) in different integration levels to understand the cost efficiency.

**Monthly Billing Analysis** Analyze monthly billing statements from cloud providers to identify cost trends, anomalies, and areas where cost optimization may be required.

**Cost Allocation and Chargeback** Implement cost allocation and chargeback mechanisms to allocate cloud costs to specific departments or projects, which helps in understanding how different integration levels impact budgets.

**Cost Reduction Initiatives** Track the effectiveness of cost reduction initiatives, such as reserved instances, spot instances, or auto-scaling, in different integration levels.

**Cost Optimization Tools** Use cost optimization tools and services provided by cloud providers to gain insights into cost implications and identify cost-saving opportunities.

#### 4.2.2 Academic or Research-Oriented Approaches

**Cost Model Development** Academics may develop cost models to simulate and analyze cost implications for various integration levels. These models can consider factors like resource usage patterns, pricing models, and demand fluctuations.

**Comparative Cost Analysis** Researchers often conduct comparative cost analyses, benchmarking the costs of different integration levels and cloud providers. This can help identify cost disparities and their underlying causes.

**Case Studies** Academic research may involve case studies of organizations that have adopted different cloud integration levels, focusing on their cost experiences and factors influencing their choices.

**TCO Analysis:** Researchers may conduct Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) analyses that consider both direct and indirect costs over the long term for different integration levels.

**Cost Efficiency Metrics** Academics may develop metrics to assess cost efficiency and performance trade-offs in various integration levels, helping to identify the most cost-effective approach for specific workloads.

**Cost Optimization Algorithms** Research may involve the development of optimization algorithms and approaches to automatically identify and implement cost-saving measures in cloud environments.

**Cost Prediction Models** Researchers may work on predictive models to estimate future costs based on historical data and usage patterns in different integration levels.

### 4.3 Differences in Multi-Cloud and Single Cloud Measurement

Measuring cost implications and management in different integration levels in a single cloud compared to a multi-cloud environment involves some key distinctions. Here's a comparison of the common and academic approaches to measure these aspects in both scenarios:

#### 4.3.1 Management Effort

##### Single Cloud

- Common: In a single cloud, common operational metrics, such as time to provision, incident response time, and scaling efficiency, are used to measure management effort. Tools and services provided by the cloud provider may simplify management.
- Academic: Academic research in a single cloud context may involve studying management complexity models, conducting surveys or case studies on management practices, and developing metrics for assessing the cognitive load of administrators.

##### Multi-Cloud

- Common: Measuring management effort in a multi-cloud environment can be more challenging due to the diversity of cloud providers and services used. Organizations need to consider factors like resource orchestration, security, and data governance across multiple clouds.
- Academic: In multi-cloud scenarios, academic research may focus on understanding the complexities of cross-cloud management, developing models for measuring management overhead in a multi-cloud environment, and evaluating best practices for achieving efficient management.

### 4.3.2 Cost Implications

#### Single Cloud

- Common: In a single cloud environment, common cost metrics such as Total Cost of Ownership (TCO), monthly billing analysis, and cost per resource unit are applied to assess the cost implications. Cost allocation is often simpler as resources are centralized.
- Academic: Academic research in a single cloud environment may involve developing cost models specific to that cloud provider, conducting TCO analyses for different services, and studying cost optimization techniques tailored to the provider's ecosystem.

#### Multi-Cloud

- Common: Measuring cost implications in a multi-cloud setup involves aggregating costs from different providers, understanding billing intricacies of each cloud, and monitoring resource usage across multiple environments. Cost allocation and chargeback can be more complex.
- Academic: In a multi-cloud setting, academic research may focus on developing cost models that consider multi-cloud scenarios, assessing the financial impact of data transfer costs between clouds, and optimizing resource placement across providers.

In summary, the key difference between measuring cost implications and management in single cloud and multi-cloud environments is the added complexity and diversity introduced by the use of multiple cloud providers in the latter case. Both common operational metrics and academic research approaches can provide valuable insights in both scenarios, but multi-cloud settings require additional considerations and methodologies to account for the unique challenges and opportunities presented by multiple cloud providers.

## 4.4 Real-world application (CI/CD pipeline)

Measuring cost implications and management effort in a real-world application, particularly in the context of a Continuous Integration/Continuous Deployment (CI/CD) pipeline that leverages various cloud integration levels and multi-cloud strategies, requires a combination of tools, practices, and metrics. By implementing different

strategies and considering the unique challenges of managing a CI/CD pipeline with different cloud integration levels and multi-cloud environments, you can effectively measure cost implications and management effort to optimize your pipeline's efficiency and cost-effectiveness.

## **5 Significance and Relevance**

This research not only addresses the practical challenges faced by organizations in managing multi-cloud environments but also benefits from the perspective of someone actively engaged in cloud formations at HISolution. The findings of this study will offer valuable insights to decision-makers within the organization and other businesses navigating the complexities of multi-cloud management. Additionally, it contributes to the academic community's understanding of cloud computing in the context of Wirtschaftsinformatik.

Analyzing the impact of integration levels in multi-cloud solutions on management effort and costs is highly significant and relevant for several reasons:

### **Optimizing Resource Allocation**

Understanding the impact of integration levels allows organizations to allocate resources efficiently. By measuring how different integration levels affect management effort and costs, businesses can make informed decisions about where to invest resources and where to automate or streamline processes.

### **Cost Optimization**

It is crucial to manage costs effectively in multi-cloud environments. Analyzing the cost implications of different integration levels helps organizations identify cost-saving opportunities. For example, it may reveal that certain workloads are more cost-effective in a specific cloud provider, integration level, or deployment model.

### **Risk Mitigation**

Different integration levels introduce varying degrees of complexity and risk. Understanding how management effort and costs are impacted can help organizations assess and mitigate these risks. This is particularly important in mission-critical applications where reliability and stability are paramount.

## **Performance and Scalability**

The chosen integration level can influence the performance and scalability of a multi-cloud solution. Analyzing the impact on management effort and costs can help organizations strike the right balance between performance and expenditure, ensuring that resources are allocated where they matter most.

## **Security and Compliance**

Integration levels can affect security and compliance. Analyzing these effects helps organizations identify security gaps and compliance requirements across different integration levels, allowing them to implement appropriate security measures and ensure regulatory compliance.

## **Strategic Decision-Making**

Multi-cloud strategies often involve significant investments. Analyzing the impact of integration levels on management effort and costs assists in making informed strategic decisions. Organizations can align their cloud strategy with their specific business needs and goals, taking into account the financial and operational aspects.

## **Resource Efficiency**

Efficiency in resource utilization is a primary goal for many organizations. By analyzing the impact of integration levels, companies can avoid over-provisioning or underutilizing resources, leading to more cost-effective resource usage and reduced waste.

## **Competitive Advantage**

Competitive Advantage: In a multi-cloud world, organizations need to remain competitive and agile. Understanding how integration levels affect management and costs allows businesses to adapt quickly to changing requirements and market conditions, giving them a competitive edge.

## **Research and Innovation**

Analyzing the impact of integration levels in multi-cloud environments provides valuable data for both academic research and practical innovation. It contributes to

the growing body of knowledge in cloud computing and informs the development of new technologies and best practices.

## **Collaboration and Knowledge Sharing**

Research and analysis in this field can be shared across organizations and industries. Sharing knowledge and insights on the impact of integration levels in multi-cloud solutions can help foster collaboration, benchmarking, and the development of common standards and practices.

In summary, analyzing the impact of integration levels in multi-cloud solutions on management effort and costs is crucial for optimizing resource allocation, mitigating risks, making informed strategic decisions, and maintaining competitiveness in today's dynamic cloud landscape. It also serves as an important source of information for both practical applications and academic research, contributing to the evolution of cloud computing practices.

## **6 Conclusion**

In conclusion, this exposé outlines the research focus on understanding the impact of integration levels in multi-cloud solutions on management effort and costs, leveraging my academic background in Wirtschaftsinformatik and my experience within HISolution's cloud formations team. By combining analytical and empirical approaches, the study aims to contribute to the understanding of multi-cloud management dynamics and provide practical recommendations for cloud service integration.