Questions Documentation

5-year Questionnaire when the child was 5 years old

The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa)

Mother Questionnaire

This document describing the instruments used to construct the questionnaire has not been finally quality controlled. The document may contain some minor inaccuracy and will be subjected to revision. If you have any comments that may improve this document contact mobaadm@fhi.no

Version	Date	Performed by	Description
1.0	Dec. 2014	Fufen Jin	
1.1	15.04.2020	Turid S. Solberg	Corrections to chapter 36 Child Development Inventory (CDI)
1.2	04.01.2021	Turid S. Solberg	Corrected response options in question 39 (Q39).

LIST OF CONTENT

Model structure of what is presented per instrument/section	3
Instrument	3
Date When the Questionnaire was Completed	4
About the child	
1. Height and Weight	5
2-4. Family Household	
Childcare	
5. Day Care Solution	
6-11. Attending the Child Care Centre	
12-13. Children's Well-being in the Day Care Centre and Parental Satisfaction with it	
Media and games	
14 -16. Time in front of TV and PC Screen	
Child development and illness	
17 -18. Illnesses or Health Problems	
19. Developmental Milestones	
20-22. History of Developmental Problems	
23. Family History of Language, Reading and Writing Problems	
24. The Child's Pronunciation	
24. The Child's Pronunciation	
**	
26. Breakfast	
27. Questionnaire of Eating and Weight Patterns-Parent Report (QEWP-P)	
Language and preschool activities	
28 Ages and Stages Questionnaires (ASQ)	
29-30. Mother Tongue, Language Backgrounds, and Home Language Use	22
31. Checklist of 20 Statements about Language-Related Difficulties (Språk20)	23
32. Children's Communication Checklist-2 Coherence Sub-scale (CCC-2 Coherence)	
33. Preschool Activities: Narrative and Communicative Skills	
33. Preschool Activities: Experiences with Letter and Sound Knowledge	
33. Preschool Activities: Literacy Skills	
33. Preschool Activities: Home Reading	
Child's skills and behaviour	
34. Preschool Play Behaviour Scale (PPBS)	
35a. Childhood Asperger Syndrome Test (CAST)	
35. Conners Parent Rating Scale-Revised, Short Form (CPRS-R (S))	
36. Child Development Inventory (CDI)	
37. Temperament	
38. Speech and Language Assessment Scale (SLAS)	
39. Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL)	39
40-41. Bedtime Habits	41
42. Maternal Concerns	42
About yourself	43
43-44. Weight and Pregnancy Status	43
45-47. Intake of Nicotine and Alcohol	44
48-50. Health and Health Problems	45
51. Depression/Anxiety	
52. Relationship Satisfaction Scale (RSS)	
53. Parenting Style	
54. Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS)	
55-56. Adverse Life Events	
57. The International Personality Item Pool (IPIP) Big-Five Factor Markers	
58. History of Childcare Attendance	

Model structure of what is presented per instrument/section

Instrument

1. Name of original instrument/question:

Original name of scale (*no name* if only single question)

List wording of questions included in the section (with number from questionnaire in front) and write response categories (with values used in the dataset)

2. Description of original scale or selection of items used

Description of analytical approaches for selecting just a sample of items from a scale If single question. NOT RELEVANT

If selection of established short version, make referral to literature and/or use

Where does the Q/scale come from, what is it meant to measure. Description of number of items, subscales. Where the Q/scale has been used and any information that give insight into what instrument this is.

Primary references of the instrument as well as important secondary publications if relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the instrument:

What is it meant to measure and IF RELEVANT: Why this is a good measure.

4. Modifications:

Describe modifications during the study from one version to another.

Write if omitted or added from one version to another

NOTE 1:

Q-5 year has two versions (A and B). This instrument documentation is based on version B.

NOTE 2:

Questions to which the answers are written into open text fields are annotated with two variable names. The first indicates whether or not there is text; the second contains the actual text. In the case of medication, there is an additional variable name with a "k" at the end, to indicate how the name of medicine has been coded.

Date When the Questionnaire was Completed

1. Name of original questions: Question about the date when the questionnaire was completed

	Response options	Variable name	
Specify the day, month and year when the questionnaire was completed			
daymonth year		LL11	

2. Description of original scale: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the instrument:

This question can be an index for the child's age.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

About the child

1. Height and Weight

1. Name of original questions: Questions about the child's height and weight nowadays

Q		Response options	Variable name
1	What is your child's height and weight nowadays?		
	Height	cm	LL12
	Weight	, _ kg	LL13
	Date of measurement	month year	UNKOWN

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Mothers make a cross to indicate whether the measures were taken by themselves. Measures made by mothers might be less reliable than those taken by professionals.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

By using measures of weight and length, Body Mass Index (BMI) can be estimated. BMI is a reliable indicator of body fatness for most children and teens (cf. Center for Disease Control and Prevention: http://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/childrens-bmi/about childrens-bmi.html#How%20is%20BMI%20used%20with%20children%20and%20tGGns).

4. Revision during the data collection period:

2-4. Family Household

1. Name of original questions: Questions about family household

	Response options	Variable name
Who do you live with?		
Spouse		LL447
Cohabitant		LL448
Other adults		LL449
Children of others		LL450
None		LL451
Spouse/ cohabitant		LL16
Your parents		LL17
Your parents in law		LL18
Children		LL19
None		LL20
Other, specify		LL424 (txt.)
	at ages? (Also include the child you are filling	ng out this form
•		LL22
		LL23
Number of children 5 years or older		LL452
Number of children 3 or 4 years old		LL24
Number of children from 0 to 3 years old		LL25
Do you live with the child's father?		
	1-Yes	
	2-No	LL26
	3-Have never lived with the child's father	
•	, years	LL27
	Spouse Cohabitant Other adults Children of others None Spouse/ cohabitant Your parents Your parents in law Children None Other, specify If children live with you, how many and wh for.) Number of children over 9 years Number of children between 5 and 9 years Number of children 5 years or older Number of children 3 or 4 years old Number of children from 0 to 3 years old	Who do you live with? Spouse Cohabitant Other adults Children of others None Spouse/ cohabitant Your parents Your parents Your parents in law Children None Other, specify If children live with you, how many and what ages? (Also include the child you are filling for.) Number of children over 9 years Number of children between 5 and 9 years Number of children 5 years or older Number of children 3 or 4 years old Number of children from 0 to 3 years old Do you live with the child's father? 1-Yes 2-No 3-Have never lived with the child's father

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The questions were developed to get information about the family household.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

Slightly different response categories in the two versions for question 3 and 4 (see table above).

Childcare

5. Day Care Solution

1. Name of original questions: Questions about the child's day care solution

Q		Response options	Variable name
5	Where is the child looked after in the daytime these d	ays?	
	Child minder/an unqualified child minder/outdoor nursery		LL453
	No. hours per week		LL454
	At a family child care		LL455
	No. hours per week		LL456
Version B	Center care, Private	family ed after during hours	LL457
version B	No. hours per week		LL458
	Centre care, Public		LL459
	No. hours per week		LL460
	Other family than mother/father		LL461
	No. hours per week		LL462
	At home with his/her mother/ father/other family		LL30
	With a child minder/in outdoor nursery		LL31
	At a family child care	er/father other/ father/other family outdoor nursery ek is the child looked after during	LL32
	Center care, Private		LL33
Version A	Centre care, Public		LL34
	Other		LL35
	Other, specify:		LL425 (txt.)
	How many hours a week is the child looked after during the day by someone else than his/her mother or father?	hours	LL37

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

Questions were developed to determine the family's choice of childcare arrangements and number of hours per week in non-maternal/ non family care. Children who experience more organised and stimulating activities during the early years are more likely to have better developmental outcomes (NICHD Early Child Care Research Network, 2000).

4. Revision during the data collection period:

Different response categories in the two versions for this question (see table above).

Added reference:

NICHD Early Child Care Research Network. The relation of child care to cognitive and language development. Child Dev 2000;71:960-980.

6-11. Attending the Child Care Centre

1. Name of original questions: Questions about the child care centre, about number of times the child has changed child care arrangement, age when starting in the current arrangement, and whether the child has received any extra resources in the child care centre.

Q		Response options	Variable name
6	If your child is attending kindergarten, is it	t organized in traditional units or as bases/larg	e groups?
		1-Unit-kindergarten	
		2-Base-kindergarten	LL463
7	If the child is looked after another place th number of adults in the unit/base)?	an home, how many adults are looking after th	e child (e.g.
		Number 0-99	LL38
8	How many other children are cared for in t the same unit/base)	the same child care? (If kindergarten, state the no	umber of children in
		Number 0-99	LL39
9	How many times has the child changed ch	ild care?	
		Number 0-99	LL40
10	How old was the child when he/she started	d in current child care?	
		Number 0-99	LL41
11	Does your child receive, or has received a	ny extra resources in the kindergarten?	
		Number 0-99	LL42

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

Organisational aspects, size of the child care, and staff-child ratio are indicators of the *structural quality* of the child care centre (cf. Cassidy et al., 2010).

4. Revision during the data collection period:

Question 6 is only included in version B. No further revisions were made.

Added reference:

Cassidy, D. J., Hestenes, L. L., Hansen, J. K., Hegde, A., Shim, J. & Hestenes, S.(2010). Revisiting the two faces of child care quality: Structure and process. *Early Education & Development* 16(4): 505-520.

12-13. Children's Well-being in the Day Care Centre and Parental Satisfaction with it

1. Name of original questions: Questions about the child's well-being in day care centre and parental satisfaction with staff skills concerning child development.

Q		Response options	Variable name
12	How does your child like being in the current child care?		
		1-Not at all	
		2-Not much	
		3-Both likes and dislikes	LL44
		4-Mostly	
		5-Very much	
13	If your child is cared for elsewhere than home or with chi different aspects of the child care your child is attending?	ld minder, to what extent are yo	u satisfied with
	Type of activities in child care	1-Dissatisfied	LL45
	Adaptations for school prep activities	2-More dissatisfied than satisfied	LL46
	Staff competence for doing a good/ qualified job with your child	3-Both satisfied and dissatisfied	LL47
	Food program in child care (healthy food, proper meal)	4-More satisfied than dissatisfied	LL48
	Information from child care about how your child is doing	5-Very satisfied	LL49

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

Children's well-being in and parental satisfaction with the child care can be an index for the quality of the child care centre.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

Media and games

14-16. Time in front of TV and PC Screen

1. Name of original question: one question about how much time the child spends in front of a TV/PC/video

Q		Response options	Variable name
14	Does your child have a TV in his/her own room?		
		1-No 2-Yes	LL50
15	How many hours does the child watch TV/DVD or pl	ay PC/TV-games?	
	On a typical weekday	1-Never 2-Less than 1 hour 3-From 1 up to 3 hours	LL51
	On a typical day during the weekend	4-From 3 up to 5 hours 5-Five hours or more	LL52
16	How often does the child use?		
	1. PC/ computer at home		LL53
	2. TV-games/ handheld electronic games (e.g. Playstation, Game Boy, PSP etc.)	1)Daily 2)4-6 days a week	LL54
	3. PC-/TV-games where the purpose is pedagogical (learn things that are relevant for school)	3)2-3 days a week 4)1 day a week	LL55
	4. Reading books as activity and entertainment	5)Never/rarely	LL56
	5. Drawing/painting as activity and entertainment		LL57

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The questions were developed to get information about the amount of time the child is using in front of the TV – and PC screen, and using handheld electronic games, compared to other sedentary activities such as reading and drawing. Sedentary activities, such as TV/video viewing, has been shown to be significantly positively associated with the acceleration of BMI growth from preschool to school age (Danner, 2008), and language delay (Weerasak & Pruksananonda, 2008).

4. Revision during the data collection period:

No revisions have been made.

Added references:

Danner, F.W. (2008). A National Longitudinal Study of the Association Between Hours of TV Viewing and the Trajectory of BMI Growth Among US Children, *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* 33: 1100-1107.

Weerasak, C. & Pruksananonda, C. (2008). Television viewing associates with delayed language development. *Acta Pædiatrica* 97 (7): 9777-982.

Child development and illness

17 -18. Illnesses or Health Problems

1. Name of original question: Questions about the child's illnesses or health problems

Q		Response opt			
17	Have the child now or have it ever had any	of the following	diseases or		
			1-No 2-Yes	If yes, was the illness/ problem confirmed by a doctor/psychologist? 1-No 2-Yes	If yes, does the child still have the illness/problem? 1-No 2-Yes
	1. Asthma		LL58	LL59	LL60
	Pollen allergy/hay fever		LL61	LL62	LL63
	Obstructed/wheezing chest		LL64	LL65	LL66
	4. Epilepsy		LL67	LL68	LL69
	5. Cerebral palsy		LL70	LL71	LL72
	6. Impaired hearing,		LL73	LL74	LL75
	7. Delayed motor development or clumsy		LL76	LL77	LL78
	8. Delayed or deviant language development		LL79	LL80	LL81
	9. Unusual restless /hyperactive/ADHD		LL82	LL83	LL84
	10. Attention problems/ difficulties concentrating		LL85	LL86	LL87
	11. Autism/autism spectrum disorder		LL88	LL89	LL90
	12. Asperger syndrome		LL91	LL92	LL93
	13. Behavior problems (difficult and unruly)		LL94	LL95	LL96
	14. Emotional difficulty (sad and worried)		LL97	LL98	LL99
	15. Impaired vision (patch treatment /need for daily use of glasses)		LL100	LL101	LL102
	16, Other		LL103	LL104	LL105
	Other, specify:		LL426 (txt.)		
18	More questions about the child's health				
	Have the child had an accident injury with diagnosed long term consequence?				LL107
	If yes, describe:				LL427 (txt.)
	Does the child have a learning disability or mental develop delay?				LL109
	If yes, describe:				LL428 (txt.)
	Does the child has a syndrome or suspected of having a syndrome?				LL111
	If yes, describe:				LL429 (txt.)
	Does the child have other serious, but short term diseases?				LL113
	If yes, describe:				LL430 (txt.)
	Has the child ever been witness to close family being subject to violence?				LL115
	If yes, describe:				LL431(txt.)

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

Modifications:

The second sub-question of question 17 is formulated as 'If yes, was the illness/problem *diagnosed* by a doctor/psychologist?' in version A; in version B it is formulated as 'If yes, was the illness/problem *confirmed* by a doctor/psychologist?'

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

Questions were developed for MoBa to survey the occurrence of illness, health problems, and traumas among 5-year-old children. Whether the child's illness/health problems have been confirmed by a doctor/psychologist is meant as an indicator of reliability of the mothers' response.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

No revisions have been made in the questions.

19. Developmental Milestones

1. Name of original question: Questions about the child's developmental milestones

Q		Response options	Variable name
19	Developmental milestones		·
	Did your child say his/her first word before 2 years of age (do not count mom and dad)?		LL465
Version B	Did your child start combining words before 2, 5 years of age (putting together 2-3 words to sentences)?		LL466
	Did your child quit using diapers during the day before 4 years of age (enter a cross if less than 3 accidents per month)?		LL467
	When did the child start using the first words (do not count mom and dad)?	months	LL117
	Not yet start using the first words		LL118
	When did the child start to combine words and sentences?	months	LL119
Version A	Not yet start to combine words and sentences		LL120
	When did the child quit using diapers during the day?	months	LL121
	Not yet quit using diapers during the day		LL122
	When did the child quit using diapers during the night?	months	LL123
	Not yet quit using diapers during the night		LL124

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

Modifications:

The items in version A have been changed from open to closed questions in version B (see table above), because it can be difficult for mothers to remember exact age in month, but it is easier to remember if it happened before or after a certain age.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

Questions were developed to get information about when the child reaches important milestones in his/her development.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

No revisions have been made in the questions.

20-22. History of Developmental Problems

1. Name of original questions: Questions about the child's history of developmental problems

Q		Response options	Variable name
20	Has a professional ever assessed	your child as having reduced hearing?	
		1- No 2- Yes	LL125
		1) Before 18 months 2) 18-36 months	
	If yes at what age?	3) Later than 36 months	LL126
21	Has your child been referred to the	e following services	
	Habilitation services		LL127
	Child psychiatric clinic / department		LL128
	Educational – psychological services		LL129
	If yes, what was the reason for the referral?		LL432 (txt.)
22	Have your child been assessed fo communication?	r language delay or other difficulties with language/sp	peech or
		1- No	
		2- Yes	LL131
		1-Everything was fine, no difficulties	LL132
		2-Only delay in spoken language, good language comprehension	LL133
		3-Delay in both using spoken language and ability to understand spoken language	LL134
		4-Difficulties in pronunciation	LL135
		5-Stammer or stutters when talking	LL136
	If yes: What was the conclusion after the assessment? (You may	6-Other language issues	LL137
	enter several crosses)	Other language issues, describe:	LL433 (txt.)

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

These questions were developed to get information about the child's history of developmental problems, especially regarding reduced hearing and language delay. Information about referral to a specialist can be used as an indicator of severity of illness.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

No revisions have been made in the question.

23. Family History of Language, Reading and Writing Problems

1. Name of original questions: Questions about the child's family history of language, reading and writing problems

Q		Response options	Variable name	
23	Has anyone in the child's close family ever had any of the following problems? (Only include the child's biological relatives) We are especially interested in the child's siblings, parents, grandparents, uncles, aunts or cousins.			
	Been a late talker as a child	1-No 2-Yes	LL139	
	If yes, specify the relationship:		LL434 (txt.)	
	2. Had difficulties learning to read and write	1-No 2-Yes	LL141	
	If yes, specify the relationship:		LL435 (txt.)	
	3. Had difficulties in pronouncing sounds as a child (preschool)	1-No 2-Yes	LL143	
	If yes, specify the relationship:		LL436 (txt.)	

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

These questions were developed to get information about family history of language, reading and writing. Language problems are often inherited; children are at increased risk if they have a family history of language, reading and writing problems (e.g. Byrne, et al., 2002).

4. Revision during the data collection period:

No revisions have been made.

Added reference:

Byrne, B., Delaland, C., Fielding-Barnsley, R., Quain, P. Samuelsson, S. et al. 2002. Longitudinal twin study of early reading development in three countries: Preliminary results. *Annals of Dyslexia* 52: 49-73.

24. The Child's Pronunciation

1. Name of original questions: Questions about the child's pronunciation

Q		Response options	Variable name
24	About the child's pronunciation		
	1. How easy it is for you to understand what your child is saying?	1-Very difficult 2-2 3-Varies	LL145
	2. How easy it is for strangers to understand what your child is saying?	4-4 5-Very easy	LL146

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

These two questions can form an index for the child's pronunciation difficulties.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

25. Food Supplements

1. Name of original questions: Questions about the child's food supplements

Q	Response options / Variable name				
25	Is your child taking any of the following dietal amount and write brand name)	y supplements?	(Enter a c	ross for each line, for	both frequency,
	Liquid dietary supplements		No	No. of times per week 1) 6-7 2) 4-5 3)1-3 4) <1	Amount per time 1)1tsp 2)1csp 3)1ss
	1. Cod liver oil		LL471	LL147	LL148
	2. Omega 3,		LL472	LL149	LL150
	Omega 3, brand name:		LL437 (tx	t.)	
	3. Sanasol/Biovit		LL473	LL152	LL153
	4. Other liquid dietary supplement		LL474	LL154	LL155
	Other liquid dietary supplement, brand name:		LL438 (tx	t.)	
	Capsules/tablets				
	1. Omega 3		LL475	LL157	LL158
	Omega 3, brand name:		LL439 (tx	t.)	
	2. Cod liver oil		LL476	LL160	LL161
	3. Multivitamins		LL477	LL162	LL163
	Multivitamins, brand name:		LL440 (tx	t.)	
	4. Fluoride tablets		LL478	LL165	LL166
	5. Other dietary supplements		LL479	LL167	LL168
	Other dietary supplements, brand name:		LL441 (tx	t.)	

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

These questions were developed for the purpose of surveying what dietary supplements are used among 5-year-old children.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

The response category 'No' is not available in version A. No further revisions have been made.

26. Breakfast

1. Name of original questions: Questions about the child's breakfast habits

Q		Response options	Variable name
26	How often does the child eat breakfast (at home or in the kindergarten)?		
		1) Rarely/never	
		2) Once a week	
		3) 2-3 times per week	
		4) 4-6 times per week	
		5-Every day	LL170

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The question was developed to get information about the child's breakfast habits. Earlier studies have found correlations between breakfast-eating and better health outcomes (e.g. Cahill, et al., 2013).

4. Revision during the data collection period:

No revisions have been made.

Added reference:

Cahill, LE., Chiuve SE., Mekary, RA., Jensen, AF., Hu, FB., & Rimm, EB. 2013. Prospective Study of Breakfast Eating and Incident Coronary Heart Disease in a Cohort of Male US Health Professionals Circulation. Epidemiology and Prevention 128: 337-343.

27. Questionnaire of Eating and Weight Patterns-Parent Report (QEWP-P)

1. Name of original scale: Questionnaire of Eating and Weight Patterns-Parent report (QEWP-P)

Q		Response options	Variable name
27	Is the following correct for your child for the last 6 months?		
	1. Did your child ever eat what most people would think was a really	1- No	
	big amount of food?	2- Yes	LL171
	2. Did you have the impression that your child could not stop eating or		
	that he/she could not control what or how much he/she was eating?		LL172
		1-Twice a week or more	
		2- Once a week	
	3. How often did your child eat a really big amount of food when you	3- More rarely	
	had the impression that his/her eating was out of control?	4- Never	LL173

2. Description of original instrument: Questionnaire of Eating and Weight Patterns-Parent report (QEWP-P)

The QEWP-P (Johnson, et al., 1999) is a modified version of the Questionnaire of Eating and Weight Patterns (QEWP; Spitzer et al., 1992), which was developed to assess aspects of binge eating disorder which was introduced as a diagnostic category in the DSM-IV. The QEWP-P comprises 12 stem items of which several are followed up with detailed items. The first three items were selected into use in this section.

Psychometric Information:

Test-retest reliability assessed with a phi coefficient was .42 across a 3-week interval. The stability of diagnostic categories was higher for males than for females, who changed in 33% of the cases from the nonclinical binging to the no diagnosis category. Children in the binge eating disorder category had significantly higher scores on self-reported depression and self-reported behaviours associated with eating disorders than children in the no diagnosis and nonclinical binging categories.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Johnson, W. G., Grieve, F. G., Adams, C. D., & Sandy, J. (1999). Measuring binge eating in adolescents: Adolescent and parent 152 versions of the Questionnaire of Eating and Weight Patterns. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* 26(3): 301–314.

Johnson, W. G., Kirk, A. A., & Reed, AE. (2000). Adolescent version of the Questionnaire of Eating and Weight Patterns: Reliability and gender differences. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* 26(3): 301–314.

Spitzer, RL, Devlin M, Walsh BT, Hassin D, Wing R, Marcus M, Stunkard A, Wadden T, Yanovski S, Agras, S, Mitchell J, & Jonas C. (1992). Binge eating disorder: A multi-site field trial of the diagnostic criteria. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* 11: 191–203.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

These items from the QEWP-P are the most developmentally appropriate parent-report measures of both binge eating episodes and loss of control over eating (personal communication with Leila Torgersen, August 2012).

4. Revision during the data collection period:

Language and preschool activities

28 Ages and Stages Questionnaires (ASQ)

1. Name of original scale: Ages and Stages Questionnaires (ASQ)

Q		Response options	Variable name
28	The child's ability to understand and tell		
	1. Can your child tell you at least two things about common object? For example, if you say to your child, "Tell me about the ball", does he say something like, "It is round. I throw it. It is big"?		LL174
	2. Without giving your child help by pointing or repeating directions, does your child follow three directions that are <i>unrelated</i> to one another? Give all three directions before your child starts. For example, you may ask your child to "Clap your hands, walk to the door, and sit down" or "Give me the pen, open the book, and stand up."		LL175
	3. Does your child use four- and five- word sentences? For example, does your child say, "I want the car"?		LL176
	4. When talking about something that already happened, does your child use words that end in "ed" such as <i>walked</i> , <i>jumped</i> or <i>played</i> ? Ask your child questions, such as "How did you get to the store?" ("We walked.") "What did you do at your friend's house?" ("We played.")	1-Yes 2-Sometimes 3-Not yet	LL177
	5. Does your child use comparison words, such as <i>heavier, stronger</i> or <i>shorter</i> ? Ask your child questions, such as "A car is <i>big</i> , but a bus is" (bigger); "A cat is <i>heavy</i> , but a man is" (heavier); A TV is <i>small</i> , but a book is" (smaller).		LL178
	6. Does your child answer the following questions: 1) "What do you do when you are hungry?" (Acceptable answers include: "Get food", "Eat", "Ask for something to eat", and "Have a snack".) 2) "What do you do when you are tired?" (Acceptable answers include: "Take a nap", "Rest", "Go to sleep", "Go to bed", "Lie down", and "Sit down.")		LL179
	7. Does your child repeat the sentences shown below back to you, without any mistakes? You may repeat each sentence one time. Mark "yes" if your child repeats both sentences without mistakes or "sometimes" if your child repeats one sentence without mistakes. "Jane hides her shoes for Maria to find." "Al read the blue book under his bed."		LL180

2. Description of original instrument: Ages and Stages Questionnaires (ASQ)

The ASQ (Squires, et al., 1999) is a series of 19 parent-completed screening questionnaires for child development, specific to the ages of 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 27, 30, 33, 36, 42, 48, 54, and 60 months. Each questionnaire consists of five 6-item scales: Communication, Gross Motor, Fine Motor, Problem Solving, and Personal-Social. Parents answer "yes", "sometimes", or "not yet", according to whether the child can do the activity. The questionnaires were back translated into Norwegian (versions in both standard forms — Bokmål and Nynorsk — were produced). Janson and Smith (2003) presented descriptive results of the study along with details of the translation and adaptation.

Psychometric Information:

Cronbach's alphas on the communication area ranged from .63 to .74 at different ages. On the gross motor area, Cronbach's alphas ranged from .53 to .87 across ages, whereas on the fine motor area the alpha ranged from .49 to .86. Test-retest reliability, measured as percentage agreement between classifications based on the questionnaires completed twice by 175 parents at 2-weeks intervals, was 94%. Inter-observer reliability, measured as percentage agreement between classifications based on the questionnaires completed by 112 parents and those completed by two examiners, was 94%. As for the general validity of the ASQ, the questionnaires as reported in percent agreement between questionnaires and standardized assessments reached an 84% overall agreement. Specificity remained high (86%) across questionnaire intervals and standardized assessments. Sensitivity was lower, averaging 72% (Squires, et al., 1999). The construct validity of the ASQ was also supported in a Norwegian Study (Richter & Janson, 2007)

Base References/Primary Citations:

Janson, H. & Smith, L. (2003). *Norsk manualsupplement til Ages and Stages Questionnaires* [Norwegian manual supplement for the Ages and Stages Questionnaires]. Oslo, Norway: Regionsenter for barne- og ungdomspsykiatri, Helseregion Øst/Sør.

Squires, J., Potter, L., & Bricker, D. (1999). *The ASQ User's Guide* (2nd edition). Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing Co.

Richter & Janson (2007). A validation study of the Norwegian version of the Ages and Stages Questionnaire. *Acta Pædiatrica* 96:748-752.

Modifications:

All six original items were included in MoBa Q-5yr, together with the item (i.e. item 1 in the table above) from the 4-year scale. The item was also included in Q6.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The ASQ has been found to be an effective diagnostic tool of developmental delay and/or disturbances (Richter & Janson, 2007).

4. Revision during the data collection period:

29-30. Mother Tongue, Language Backgrounds, and Home Language Use

1. Name of original questions: Questions about the child's mother tongue, language backgrounds and home language use

Q		Response options	Variable name	
29	What is the mother tongue of the child's mother and father and what language(s) does the child speak?			
	Mother's mother tongue	1- Norwegian, Danish or Swedish 2- Other Nordic languages (Icelandic, Finish) or Sami	LL181	
	Father's mother tongue	3- Western European languages (German, English, Spanish) 4- Other languages (Eastern European, Asian, Turkish, African)	LL182	
		Norwegian, Danish or Swedish	LL183	
		Other Nordic languages (Icelandic, Finish) or Sami	LL184	
	What language (s) does the child	Western European languages (German, English, Spanish)	LL185	
	speak?	Other languages (Eastern European, Asian, Turkish, African)	LL186	
30	About the child's language experiences.			
	What language (s) do you speak with your child?	1-Only Norwegian	LL187	
	What languages do your spouse / partner speak with the child? 2-More Norwegian than other language 3-As much Norwegian as other language 4-More other language than Norwegian	LL188		
	What language does the child speak with his/her siblings?	language does the child 5-Only other language		

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The question was developed to get information about the child's mother tongue, language backgrounds and home language use.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

31. Checklist of 20 Statements about Language-Related Difficulties (Språk20)

1. Name of original scale: The checklist of 20 Statements about Language-Related Difficulties (Språk 20)

Q		Response options	Variable names
31	How do these statements fit the child?		
	1. Forgets words s/he knows the meaning of		LL190
	2. Confuses words with similar meaning (e.g. shirt, sweater, jacket)		LL191
	3. Has difficulty understanding the meaning of common words		LL192
	4. Has difficulty answering questions as quickly as other children		LL193
	5. Is often searching for the right words		LL194
	6. Uses incomplete sentences		LL195
	7. Uses short sentences when s/he answers questions	1- Doesn't fit the child,	LL196
	8. Has difficulty retelling a story s/he has heard	absolutely wrong	LL197
	*9. Is quickly getting tired in tasks demanding attention to language		LL198
	*10a. Does not explain the meaning of a word accurately	2- 2	LL199
	10. It doesn't seem like what s/he is learning is remembered		LL200
	11. Has difficulty remembering things	3- Both yes and no	LL201
	12. Has difficulty understanding what others are saying		LL202
	13. Misconceive instructions and messages	4- 4	LL203
	14. Has problems remembering messages		LL204
	15. Misunderstands context and what is going on	5- Fits well with the	LL205
	16. Is difficult to understand	child, absolutely right	LL206
	17. Has difficulty expressing wishes and needs		LL207
	18. Is not understood by others		LL208
	19. Seldom initiates conversation with others		LL209
	20.Has difficulties in pronunciation		LL210
	21. Is not able to have a dialogue with peers		LL211
	*22. Avoids talking to other than family members		LL212

^{*} Items 9, 10a and 22 are MoBa specific questions, not from Språk 20.

2. Description of original scale: 20 Statements about Language-Related Difficulties (Språk 20)

Språk 20 is a checklist developed by Ottem (2009), a Norwegian psychologist at Bredvet Competence Centre, to identify children with risk for language impairment. The checklist consists of 20 statements describing language-related difficulties, which can be further divided into three subscales: Semantics (items 1-8), Receptive (items 10-15) and Expressive language (items 16-21). All answers are scored on a 5-point Likert scale from '1-Doesn't fit the child, absolutely wrong' to '5-fits fine with the child, absolutely right.'

Psychometric Information/Base References/Primary Citations:

Internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) for full scale and the Semantic subscale are .97 and .95. Specificity rates for full scale and the Semantic subscale are .87 and .88. Sensitivity rates are: .83 for the full scale and .81 for the Semantic subscale. The Språk20 has also demonstrated concurrent validity (Ottem, 2009).

Base References/Primary Citations:

Ottem, E. (2009). 20 spørsmål om språkferdigheter – en analyse av sammenhengen mellom observasjonsdata og testdata. *Skolepsykologi* 1: 11-27.

3. Rationale for choosing the instrument:

The checklist is a well-used Norwegian instrument to identify children with language impairment in terms of semantics, receptive and expressive language.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

Item '10a' has been deleted in version B. No further revisions have been made.

32. Children's Communication Checklist-2 Coherence Sub-scale (CCC-2 Coherence)

1. Name of original scale: The Children's Communication Checklist-2 Coherence sub-scale (CCC-2 Coherence), and 3/4 additional MoBa questions about grammar use

Q		Response options	Variable names
32	About the child's language competence. Enter cross for how often you the	hink this is typical for y	our child.
	1. It is hard to make sense of what s/he is saying, even though the words are clearly spoken.		LL213
	2. Gets sequence of events muddled up when telling a story or describing event. E.g. if describing a film, might talk about the end before the beginning.	1-Rarely or never	LL214
	3. Uses terms like "he" or "it" without making it clear what s/he is talking about. For instance, when talking about a film, might say "he was really	2-Sometimes	
	great" without explaining who "he" is.	3-Regularly	LL215
	4. Uses appropriate language to talk about what s/he plans to do in the future (e.g. what s/he will do tomorrow, or plans for going on holiday).	4-Often or always	LL216
	5. Can be hard to tell if s/he is talking about something real or make- believe.	4-Oiteri of always	LL217
	6. Explains a past event clearly (e.g. what s/he did at school or what happened at a football game).		LL218
	7a. Does the child use long sentences with the word "that" in, e.g. I saw that Peter was playing with Sara.		LL219
	8a. Does the child use sentences with the word "who" in.? e.g. "It was us who came first"; "Anita who works in the kindergarten is very nice".		LL220
	9a. Does the child use the same words (e.g. slang expression) as others at the same age?	1-No	LL221
	7b. Does the child talk about things that is going to happen in the near future, like the weekend, e.g. "Tomorrow, we'll go to the movies."	2-Yes	LL480
	8b. Does the child talk about things that has already happened, e.g. "Yesterday, we took the bus to kindergarten"	2-Yes	LL481
	9b. Does the child talk about things that could or can happen, e.g." If he touches the stove top, he could burn himself"		LL482
	10b. Does the child talk in a special way when they role play, e.g. "Now you were the king and I was the queen".		LL483

2. Description of original scale: The Children's Communication Checklist-2 (CCC-2)

CCC-2 (Bishop 2003, 2006) is a measure designed to assess the communication skills of children 4 to 16.11 years of age. Initially developed in the United Kingdom, the CCC-2 has been adapted for use in the United States (Bishop, 2006). The purposes of the CCC-2 are the identification of pragmatic language impairment, screening of receptive and expressive language skills, and assistance in screening for ASD. The CCC-2 consists of 70 items that are divided into 10 scales (Speech, Syntax, Semantics, Coherence, Initiation, Scripted Language, Context, and Nonverbal Communication, Social Relations and Interests), each with 7 items. Five items on each subscale tap into communicative deficits, and two items target communicative strengths. A 13-item short scale (CCC-S) was developed by Bishop and Norbury (2004) as a brief screening instrument to help identify children with potential speech, language and communication needs. Six items from the Coherence subscale were selected in this section.

Psychometric Information:

The U.S. Edition of the CCC-2 was standardized on 950 American children. Internal consistency reliability coefficients ranged from .94 to .96 across age groups. Validity was assessed by calculating classification rates for a variety of matched clinical groups based on GCC scores at 1, 1.5, and 2.0 SDs below the mean. For the group with ASD, 89% of the children were identified as such based on a GCC 1.0 SD below the mean. Based on these results, the CCC-2 demonstrates good reliability and validity (Bishop, 2006). Cronbach's alpha for CCC-S is .87; correlation with GCC: r= -.88 (Bishop and Norbury 2004).

Base References/Primary Citations:

Bishop, D.V.M. (2003). Children's Communication Checklist-2. London: Pearson.

Bishop, D. V.M. (2006). *Children's Communication Checklist-2* (U.S. Edition). New York, NY: The Psychological Corporation.

Norbury, C.F., Nash, M., Baird, G., & Bishop, D. V.M. (2004). Using a parental checklist to identify diagnostic groups in children with communication impairment: A validation of Children's Communication Checklist-2. *International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders* 39: 345-364.

3. Rationale for choosing the instrument:

The CCC-2 appears to be a well-constructed instrument that has both face validity and reliability to achieve its stated purpose of assisting in identifying children with language and communication problems, especially in the area of pragmatic communication skills. The MoBa specific questions are meant to tap into children's knowledge about grammar use.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

For the first 6 items, some revisions have been made in question order from version A to B; for the additional MoBa specific questions, items 7a, 8a, and 9a in version A have been replaced with 7b, 8b, 9b and 10b in version B.

33. Preschool Activities: Narrative and Communicative Skills

1. Name of original questions: 2 questions about the child's narrative and communicative skills

Q		Response options	Variable name
33	About the child's preschool activities		
	1. How would you rate your child's ability to tell a story?	1- Very poor/poor 2- Average	LL222
	2. How would you rate your child's ability to communicate his/her own needs in a way understandable to adults and friends?	3- Good/very good	LL223

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The questions are used as a brief measure about the child's oral narrative and communicative skills.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

33. Preschool Activities: Experiences with Letter and Sound Knowledge

1. Name of original questions: 2 questions about the child's experiences with letter and sound knowledge

Q		Response options	Variable name
33	About the child's preschool activities		
	3. During a typical week, how often do you teach your child how to print letters and words?	1- Never 2- Seldom	LL224
	4. During a typical week, how often do you help your child read letters and sounds?	3- Sometimes 4- Often 5- Very Often	LL225

2. Description of original questions: The two questions were selected from the four-year questionnaire of the Early Language in Victoria Study (ELVS; cf. Prior et al., 2011)

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Prior, M. Bavin E., & Ong, B. (2011). Predictors of school readiness in five to - six year- old children from an Australian longitudinal community sample. *Educational Psychology: An International Journal of Experimental Educational Psychology* 31(1): 3-16.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The questions are used as a brief measure about the child's letter knowledge.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

33. Preschool Activities: Literacy Skills

1. Name of original questions: Questions about the child's literacy skills

Q		Response options	Variable name
33	About the child's preschool activities		
	5. Would you say your child is interested in writing letters?	4 11	LL226
	6. Would you say that your child is generally interested in books?	1- No	LL227
	7. Would you say that your child is able to read simple words?	2- Yes	LL228
	8. Would you say that your child is able to read simple sentences?		LL229
	9. Would you say that your child is able to write his/ her name?		LL230

2. Description of original questions: Questions were selected and adapted from the Early Development Instrument (EDI; Janus and Offord, 2007).

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Janus, M. & Offord, D. (2007). Development and Psychometric Properties of the Early Development Instrument (EDI): A measure of children's school readiness. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science* 39 (1):1-22.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The questions are used as a brief measure about the child's reading and writing skills.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

33. Preschool Activities: Home Reading

1. Name of original questions: question about home reading to children

Q		Response options	Variable name
33	About the child's preschool activities		
	For about how many minutes does your child enjoy being read to at a sitting?	1) Child doesn't like to be read to at all 2) Less than 5 minutes 3) 6-15 Minutes 4) 16-45, 5) More than 45 minutes 6) Child is not read to	LL231

2. Description of original questions: The question was selected from the four-year questionnaire of the Early Language in Victoria Study (ELVS; cf. Prior et al., 2011)

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Prior, M. Bavin E., & Ong, B. (2011). Predictors of school readiness in five to - six year- old children from an Australian longitudinal community sample. *Educational Psychology: An International Journal of Experimental Educational Psychology* 31(1): 3-16.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The questions are used as a brief measure about home reading to children.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

Child's skills and behaviour

34. Preschool Play Behaviour Scale (PPBS)

1. Name of original scale: The Preschool Play Behaviour Scale (PPBS)

Q		Response options	Variable name
34b	Please indicate how common the following statements are for this child.	•	
	1. Talks to other children during play		LL484
	2. Plays by himself/herself, examining a toy or object		LL485
	3. Plays 'rough-and tumble' with other children		LL486
	4. Takes on the role of onlooker or spectator		LL487
	5. Plays 'make-believe' with other children		LL488
	6. Engages in group play		LL489
	7. Engages in pretend play by himself/herself	1- Never	LL490
	8. Plays alone, building things with blocks and /or other toys		LL491
	9. Wanders around aimlessly	2- Hardly ever	LL492
	10. Plays in groups with (and not just beside) other children		LL493
	11. Plays 'make-believe', but not with other children	3- Sometimes	LL494
	12. Watches or listens to other children without trying to join in	4- Often	LL495
	13. Engages in playful/mock fighting with other children	4- Oileii	LL496
	14. Plays by himself/herself, drawing, painting pictures, or doing puzzles	5- Very often	LL497
	15. Engages in active conversations with other children during play	o voly ollon	LL498
	16. Engages in pretend play with other children		LL499
	17. Plays alone, exploring toys or objects, trying to figure out how they work		LL500
	18. Remains alone and unoccupied, perhaps staring off into space		LL501
	19. Plays by him/herself, engaging in simple motor activities (e.g. running)		LL502
	20. Plays just for a short while with each toy, does not settle with any toy		LL503

2. Description of original scale: The Preschool Play Behaviour Scale (PPBS)

The PPBS (Coplan & Rubin, 1998) measures pre-schoolers' non-social and social play behaviour. The total scale with 20 items contains five subscales: 1) Reticent behaviour (4 items), 2) Solitary-passive behaviour (4 items), 3) Solitary-active behaviour (4 items), 4) Social play (6 items), and 5) Rough-play (2 items). The response categories are designed to reflect frequency of occurrence (1=Never, 5=Very often). The full scale with 20 items was used in Q-5yr. The 11 items in Q-Cc were selected from the subscales of Social play (6 items), Solitary-active behaviour (2 items), Reticent behaviour (2 items), and Solitary-active behaviour (1 item).

Psychometric Information (sample, reliability, validity):

The PPBS subscale Social play had relatively high reliability correlations, ranging from r = .54 (p<.05) to r = .89 (p<.001). Solitary-active behaviour was less consistently reliable, with a wide range of reliability correlations from r = .10 (n.s.) to r = .83 (p<.01). The stability over time has been found to be moderate to high: Social play, r = .65, r = .66; Solitary-active, r = .50 (all p's < .05), r = .17 (n.s.) (Coplan & Rubin, 1998). Alpha reliability for the selective items in Q-Cc was .78.

Primary citation/base reference:

Coplan, R. J. & Rubin, K. H. (1998). Exploring and assessing non-social play in the preschool: The development and validation of the preschool play behaviour scale. *Social Development* 7 (1): 72-91.

Modifications

One item in the original scale: Plays alone in an active fashion, enjoying an activity solely for the physical sensation it creates was modified in MoBa into: Plays just for a short while with each toy, does not settle with any toy.

3. Rationale for choosing the instrument: This scale has been chosen to measure children's play behaviour.

4. Revision during the data collection period: The full scale with 20 items was only used in B version of the questionnaire.

35a. Childhood Asperger Syndrome Test (CAST)

1. Name of original scale: Childhood Asperger Syndrome Test (CAST)

Q		Response options	Variable name
35a	How do these statements fit the child?		
1	Does s/he appear to have an unusual memory for details?		LL232
2	Can s/he keep a two-way conversation going?		LL233
3	Does s/he have at least one good friend?		LL234
4	Does s/he have an unusual eye gaze, facial expression, voice or gestures?]	LL235
	Does s/he prefer imaginative activities such as play-acting or story-telling,		
5	rather than numbers or lists of facts?		LL236
6	Is it important to him/ her to fit in with the peer group?		LL237
7	Does s/he tend to take things literally?		LL238
	Does s/he have an odd style of communication; old-fashioned, formal, or		
8	pedantic?		LL239
9	Does s/he have a strong interest in an unusual topic?	1-No	LL240
	Does s/he like to do things over and over again, in the same way all the		
10	time?	2- Yes	LL241
11	Does s/he find it easy to interact with other children?		LL242
12	Does s/he mostly have the same interests as his/ her peers?		LL243
13	Are people important to him/ her?		LL244
14	Does s/he often do or say things that are tactless or socially inappropriate?		LL245
15	Rather solitary and tends to play alone?		LL246
16	Does s/he have any unusual or repetitive movements?		LL247
17	Is his/ her social behavior very one-sided and always on his/ her own terms?		LL248
	Does s/he sometimes lose the listener because of not explaining what s/he is		
18	talking about?		LL249
19	Does s/he care how s/he is perceived by the rest of the group?		LL250
	Does s/he often turn conversations to his/ her favorite subject rather than		
20	following what the other person wants to talk about?		LL251

2. Description of original scale: Childhood Asperger Syndrome Test (CAST)

CAST (Scott, et al. 2002) is a 37-item, yes or no evaluation aimed at parents. The questionnaire was developed by the Autism Research Centre at the University of Cambridge, for identifying children at risk for Asperger Syndrome and related conditions in a clinical situation.

Psychometric Information:

The sensitivity of the CAST, at a designated cut-point of 15, was 100 percent, the specificity was 97 percent and the positive predictive value was 50 percent, using the group's consensus diagnosis as the gold standard (Williams, et al., 2004). Agreement above and below a screening cut-point of 15 was investigated. The kappa statistic for agreement (< 15 versus \ge 15) was .70, and 97 percent (95 percent CI: 93–99 percent) of children did not move across the cut point of 15. The correlation between the two test scores was .83 (Spearman's rho) (Williams, et al., 2006).

Base References/Primary Citations:

Williams J, Scott F, Stott C, Allison C, Bolton P, Baron-Cohen S, & Brayne C. 2004. The CAST (Childhood Asperger Syndrome Test): Test Accuracy. *Autism* 9(1): 45-68.

Williams J, Scott F, Stott C, Allison C, Bolton P, Baron-Cohen S, & Brayne C. 2006. The Childhood Asperger Syndrome Test (CAST): Test-retest Reliability. *Autism* 10(4): 415-27.

Scott FJ, Baron-Cohen S, Bolton P, & Brayne C. 2002. The CAST (Childhood Asperger Syndrome Test): preliminary development of a UK screen for mainstream primary-school-age children. *Autism* 6(1): 9-31.

3. Rationale for choosing the instrument:

This instrument aims at identifying Asperger syndrome from 4 years and onwards. It includes items similar to the SCQ, M-CHAT and ESAT already included in the MoBa study. If these instruments are not suitable for 5-year-old children, CAST would be a good substitute.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

The questions were only used in version A of the questionnaire. No further revisions have been made.

35. Conners Parent Rating Scale-Revised, Short Form (CPRS-R (S))

1. Name of original scale: Selective questions from the Conners Parent Rating Scale - Revised, Short Form (CPRS-R (S))

Q		Response options	Variable name
35	How much of a problem has this been in the last 6 month?	<u> </u>	
	1. Inattentive, easily distracted		LL252
	2. Short attention span		LL253
	3. Fidgets with hands or feet, squirms in seat		LL254
	4. Messy or disorganised at home or in the kindergarten		LL255
	5. Only attends if it is something he/she is very interested in	1- Not true/ never/	LL256
	6. Distractibility or attention span a problem	Seldom	LL257
	7. Avoids, expresses reluctance about, or has difficulties engaging in		
	tasks that require sustained mental effort (such as activities in	2- Somewhat true/	
	kindergarten or helping out at home)	sometimes	LL258
	Gets distracted when given instructions to do something		LL259
	9. Has trouble concentrating in kindergarten	3- Quite often	LL260
	10. Leaves seat in kindergarten or in other situations in which		
	remaining seated is expected	4- Very often	LL261
	11. Does not follow through on instructions and fails to finish tasks		
	such as putting away shoes/tidying toys (not due to oppositional		
	behaviour or failure to understand instructions)		LL262
	12. Easily frustrated in efforts		LL263

2. Description of original scale: The Conners Parent Rating Scale-Revised: Short Form (CPRS-R (S))

The CPRS-R (Conners, et al., 1998) assesses behaviours and other concerns in children from age 3-17. The short version with 27 items provides evaluation of the key areas of inattention, hyperactivity/impulsivity, learning problems, executive functioning, aggression, and peer relations. Responses are scored on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 'not true/never/seldom' to 'very often'. The items in this section were selected from the areas of inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity.

Psychometric Information:

Conners CK, Sitarenios G, Parker JD, & Epstein JN. 1998. The revised Conners' Parent Rating Scale (CPRS-R): factor structure, reliability, and criterion validity. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology* 26(4):257-68.

Kumar, G. & Steer, R. A. 2003. Factorial Validity of the Conners' Parent Rating Scale-Revised: Short Form with Psychiatric Outpatients. *Journal of Personality Assessment* 80(3): 252–259.

3. Rationale for choosing the instrument:

The Conners Parent Rating Scale is a popular research and clinical tool for obtaining parental reports of childhood behaviour problems.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

36. Child Development Inventory (CDI)

1. Name of original scale: Child Development Inventory (CDI) – Gross- and Fine Motor skills subscales

Q		Response options	Variable name
36	out motor skills. Mark each item whether your child master the activities mentioned.		
	1. Do you think your child walks, runs, and climbs like other children at		
	the same age		LL264
	2. Able to stand on one foot for at least 5 seconds without problems		
	keeping balance *		LL265
	3. Hops on one foot, many times, without support		LL266
	4. Plays "catch" with other children; throwing to him/her and catching		
	the ball at least half the time	1 No	LL267
	5. Swings on a swing, pumping by self	1-No 2-Yes	LL268
	6. Rides a two-wheeled bike, with or without training wheels	2-165	LL269
	7. Puts together a puzzle with nine or more pieces		LL270
	8. Draws or copies a square with four good corners		LL271
	Cuts with scissors, following a simple outline or pattern		LL272
	10. Draws pictures of complete people that have at least head: with		
	eyes, nose, mouth; body: arms and legs, hands and feet (need to do		
	all seven for a yes)		LL273
	11. Colours within the lines in a colouring book		LL274
	12. Does your child like to participate in activities or active games		
	requiring good motor skills?		LL275

^{*} The original item is phrased "stands on one foot for a few seconds without support"

2. **Description of original scale**: The CDI (1992) replaced the original Minnesota Child Development Inventory (1972), and was designed to obtain parent reported in-dept information about children's development from ages 15 months to 6 years. Originally, it contains 270 items divided into 9 subscales (Ireton, 1992). The fine and gross motor skills subscales consist of 30 items each. For this section, items from the fine motor skill subscale (items 7-11) and gross motor skills (items 2-6) were included. The parents' respond with 'yes' or 'no' to each statement, and scoring is done by counting 'yes'-responses. Item 1 and 12 are MoBa-specific questions, included to capture more variance.

Psychometric Information: The gross- and fine motor skills subscales were correlated with age (.81 and .84 respectively), and mean scores increased with age from 1 year to 6 years, ensuring validity as these items are age dependent by design. Cronbach's alpha was .54 and .67 for gross- and fine motor skills respectively among children aged 5-6 years old. Gross- and fine motor skills were moderately correlated with each other between ages 1-5 years (range .39- .64) (Ireton & Glascoe, 1995) with a correlation of .55 at 5 years of age (Ireton, 1992).

Base Reference/Primary Citation:

Ireton H. (1992). Child Development Inventory, Manual. Minneapolis: Behaviour Science Systems.

Ireton, H., & Glascoe, F. P. (1995). Assessing Children's Development Using Parents' Reports: The Child Development Inventory. *Clinical Pediatrics*, *34*(5), 248-255.

3. Rationale for choosing the instrument:

CDI is a much used scale to collect information about fine and gross motor skills, and is included as motor skills often associate with other developmental difficulties.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

37. Temperament

1. Name of original scale: Selective items from the Emotionality, Activity and Shyness Temperament Questionnaire (EAS)

Q		Response options	Variable name	
37	About temperament and personal style. To what extent do the following statements apply to your child's			
	behaviour during the last two month?			
	Your child cries easily		LL276	
	2. Your child is always on the go	1-Very typical	LL277	
	3. Your child prefers playing with others rather than alone		LL278	
	4. Your child is off and running as soon as he/she wakes up in the morning	2- Quite typical	LL279	
	5. Your child is very sociable	O. N ith /	LL280	
	6. Your child takes a long time to warm up to strangers	3- Neither/nor	LL281	
	7. Your child gets upset or sad easily	4-Not so typical	LL282	
	8. Your child prefers quiet, inactive games to more active ones		LL283	
	9. Your child likes to be with people	5-Not at all typical	LL284	
	10. Your child reacts intensely when upset	o i tot at all typical	LL285	
	11. Your child is very friendly with strangers		LL286	
	12. Your child find other people more fun than anything else		LL287	

2. Description of original instrument: The Emotionality, Activity and Shyness Temperament Questionnaire (EAS)

The EAS temperament questionnaire measures the four temperament dimensions; Shyness (fear), Emotionality (irritability/anger), Sociability (Positive affect/including approach), and Activity (activity level). These are measured by subscales with five questions each. Mothers are asked to rate whether the 20 different statements apply to their child. There are five response categories from "very typical" to "not at all typical". Three questions from each temperament dimension are selected for use in the MoBa. The 12 selective items constitute the short form of the EAS.

Psychometric Information:

The Cronbach's alpha reliability estimates for the original instrument were estimated to be .71-.79 (in the 18-month, 30-month and 50-month material) for shyness, .61-.67 for emotionality, .48-.60 for sociability, and .68-.75 for activity (Mathiesen & Tambs, 1999). Estimates for the short-form scales were .70-.72 for shyness, .58-.61 for emotionality, .43-.45 for sociability, and .59-.62 for activity. Test-retest correlations for 18-30 months varied from .44 to .60 for original scores and from .40 to .58 for short-form scores. Corresponding values were .46-.61 and .43-.56 for 30-50 months and .37-.50 and .36-.49 for 18-50 months. The correlations between the short-form and original scores were: for 18, 30 and 50 months, respectively, .94, .95 and .95 for shyness, .95, .95 and .94 for emotionality, .92, .92 and .92 for sociability, and .94, .96 and .95 for activity.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Buss, A. H., & Plomin, R. (1984). *Temperament: Early Developing Personality Traits*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

Mathiesen, K. S. & Tambs, K. (1999). The EAS Temperament Questionnaire—factor structure, age trends, reliability, and stability in a Norwegian sample. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 40: 431-439.

Modifications:

The wording on the following questions was changed from the original scale:

Original: Gets upset easily; MoBa: Gets upset or sad easily

Original: Finds people more stimulating than anything else; MoBa: Finds people more fun than anything else.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The EAS temperament questionnaire seems to be the scale most directly constructed to measure the four temperament dimensions; Shyness (fear), Emotionality (irritability/anger), Sociability (Positive affect/including approach), and Activity (activity level), exclusively and in a clear-cut way, and is found to have good psychometric properties.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

38. Speech and Language Assessment Scale (SLAS)

1. Name of original scale: Speech and Language Assessment Scale (SLAS)

Q		Response	Variable
		options	name
38	About the child's abilities and skills compared with peers. Enter a cross from 1-5 well the statement fits your child.	for each line accor	ding to how
	1. My child's ability to ask questions properly is	1-Very much	LL288
	2. My child's ability to answer questions properly is	lower	LL289
	3. My child's ability to say sentences clearly enough to be understood by strangers is	lower	LL290
	4. The number of words my child knows is	2- 2	LL291
	5. My child's ability to use his/her words correctly is		LL292
	6. My child's ability to get his/her message across to others when talking is	3-Typical for age	LL293
	7. My child's ability to use proper words when talking to others is		LL294
	8. My child's ability to get what he/she wants by talking is	4-4	LL295
	9. My child's ability to start a conversation going with other children is		LL296
	10. My child's ability to keep a conversation going with other children is	5-Very much	LL297
	11. The length of this child's sentences is	higher	LL298
	12. My child's ability to make 'grown up' sentences is		LL299
	13. My child's ability to correctly say the sounds in individual words is		LL300

2. Description of original scale: Speech and Language Assessment Scale (SLAS)

The SLAS (Rice, et al., 1989) consists of 14 reliable items which covers several dimensions of communication. The scale intends to address children's articulation, semantics, vocabulary, sentence construction, and conversational skills compared with peers. The questions were answered in a 5-point Likert scale from 'very much lower' to 'very much higher'.

Psychometric Information:

The inter-rater reliability between mothers and fathers was moderately high to high for all 5 composite scales. The three composite scales articulation, assertiveness and semantics emerged as the most effective for predicting group membership, correctly classifying 86% of the children in each sample (range = 75-95 %) (Hadley & Rice, 1993). The SLAS showed good construct validity (Weinberg, 1991).

Base References/Primary Citations:

Rice, M.L., Wilcox, K.A., Liebhaber, G.K., & Hadley, P.A. (1989). *The speech and Language Assessment Scale*. Unpublished, University of Kansas, USA.

Hadley P.A. & Rice, M.L. (1993). Parental judgments of preschoolers' speech and language development: a resource for assessment and IEP1 planning. *Topics in Speech and Language* 14: 278-288.

Weinberg, A.M. (1991). Construct validity of the Speech and Language Assessment Scale: A tool for recording parent judgments. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Kansas, USA.

3. Rationale for choosing the instrument:

The SLAS discriminates between children with typical development and children with speech/language impairments. Thus, it constitutes a tool for determining group membership.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

39. Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL)

1. Name of original scale: Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL)

Q		Response options	Variable name
39	The following list contains statements describing children's behavior and of these features are temporary while others continue for a longer period following statements true of your child's behavior during the last two more	d manner from the a	ge of 5. Some
	1. Afraid to try new things		LL301
	2. Can't concentrate, can't pay attention for long		LL302
	3. Can't sit still, restless or overactive		LL303
	4. Can't stand waiting, wants everything now		LL304
	5. Clings to adults or too dependent		LL305
	6. Cries a lot		LL306
	7. Defiant		LL307
	8. Demands must be met immediately		LL308
	9. Disturbed by any change in routine		LL309
	10. Doesn't eat well		LL310
	11. Doesn't seem to feel guilty after misbehaving	1- Rarely/never	LL311
	12. Fears certain animals, situation or places	J	LL312
	13. Gets in many fights	2- Sometimes	LL313
	14. Gets into everything		LL314
	15. Gets too upset when separated from parents	3- Often/typical	LL315
	16. Hits others		LL316
	17. Nervous, high strung, or tense		LL317
	18. Punishment doesn't change his/her behavior		LL318
	19. Quickly shifts from one activity to another		LL319
	20. Stomach aches or cramps (without medical cause)		LL320
	21. Too fearful or anxious		LL321
	22. Unhappy, sad or depressed		LL322
	23. Vomiting, throwing up (without medical cause)		LL323
	24. Poorly coordinated or clumsy		LL324
	25. The child is teased/bullied by others		LL325
	26. Feelings are easily hurt		LL504
	27. Self-conscious or easily embarrassed		LL505

2. Description of original instrument: Child Behaviour CheckList (CBCL)

The Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL), developed by Thomas Achenbach initially in 1982, is designed to identify problem behaviour in children. There are two versions of the checklist: the preschool checklist (CBCL/1½-5) with 100 questions and the school-age version (CBCL/6-18) with 120 questions. The CBCL contains seven subscales in addition to a category of "other problems". These are: Emotionally reactive, anxious/depressed, somatic complaints, withdrawn, sleep problems, attention problems and aggressive behaviour. The first four categories comprise a broader grouping of internalizing symptoms; the last two scales externalizing problems. A selection of 25 items (items 1-25) has been developed for use in this questionnaire. Two items (26 & 27) were added in version B to measure children's emotional well-being.

Psychometric Information:

All sub-scales of CBCL (2-3 years) showed good test-retest reliability (p < .001; r = .71 - .93). Interparental agreement was significant (p < .01) at both ages (r = .63 at age 2; r = .60 at age 3). All stability coefficients were significant at p < .001 over a 1-year period. The CBCL has adequate sensitivity (71%) and specificity (92%) (Achenbach, 1992). The predicative validity has been demonstrated both in Danish and Norwegian samples (Bilenberg, 1999; Novik, 1999).

Base References/Primary Citations:

Achenbach, T.M. (1992). *Manual for the Child Behaviour Checklist/2-3 and 1992 Profile. Burlington.* VT: University of Vermont Department of Psychiatry.

Bilenberg, N. (1999). The Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL) and related material: standardization and validation in Danish population based and clinically based samples. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 100: 2-52.

Novik, T. S. (1999). Validity of the Child Behaviour Checklist in a Norwegian sample. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 8: 247-254.

Modifications:

Items 11 (English: Doesn't seem to feel guilty after misbehaving; Norwegian: Det merkes ikke på barnet når hun/han har gjort noe galt.) and 18 (English: Punishment doesn't change his/her behavior; Norwegian: Grensesetting endrer ikke barnets atterd.) were given a slightly different wording due to common attitudes in Norway, where punishing small children is not accepted. In item 3, "overactive" substituted for "hyperactive", because the latter is so heavily associated with ADHD.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The CBCL is a widely used method of identifying problem behaviour in children.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

Items 26 & 27 were added in version B; they were not included in version A.

40-41. Bedtime Habits

1. Name of original questions: 2 questions about the child's bedtime habits

Q		Response options	Variable name		
40	How often does your child wake up during the night?				
	1) 3 or more times per night LL5				
	2) 1-2 times per night				
	3) A few times per week				
		4)Seldom, never			
41	Approximately how many hours does the	child usually sleep per night on week	days?		
		1) 8 hours or less	LL507		
		2) 9 hours			
	3) 10 hours				
	4) 11 hours				
		5) 12 hours or more			

2. Description of original instrument: MoBa specific questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

These questions were developed to get information about bedtime habits and sleep problems among the 5-year-olds.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

These questions were only included in version B. No further revisions have been made.

42. Maternal Concerns

1. Name of questions: About the mother's concerns about the child's development

Q		Response options	Variable name
42	About your concerns		
	1. Do you have any concerns about how your child speaks and pronounce sounds?		LL326
	2. Are you concerned because your child is demanding and difficult to cope with?		LL327
	3. Are you concerned because your child is hardly interested at all in playing with other children?	1-No	LL328
	4. Do you have any concerns because your child's activity level is so high?	2-Yes	LL329
	5. Have others (family, nursery, health visitor) expressed concerns about your child's development?		LL330
	6. Overall, during the last 6 months, has your child had difficulties in one or more of these areas: language, feelings, concentration, behaviour or in the way he/she gets		11.004
	along with others?		LL331
	If yes: 1. Is the child bothered or disturbed by the difficulties?	1-No	LL332
	2. Do the difficulties affect the child's daily life in any of the following areas:		
	At home/in the family	2-Yes a bit	LL333
	With friends/peers		LL334
	In the kindergarten/outdoor nursery/with child minder	3-Yes a lot	LL335
	3. Do the difficulties cause strain on you or the family as a whole?		LL336
	4. If the child has difficulties, how old was the child when the difficulties started?	Number 0-99	LL337

2. Description of original instrument: MoBa specific questions

Psychometric Information (sample, reliability, validity): Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

Mothers' concerns have a high degree of accuracy in detecting developmental and behavioral problems, an accuracy that represents a substantial improvement over disability detection rates usually found in medical settings using standardized instruments (Glascoe, 2003).

4. Revision during the data collection period:

No revisions have been made.

Added reference:

Glascoe, F.P. (2003). Parent's evaluation of developmental status: How Well do Parents' Concerns Identify Children With Behavioral and Emotional Problems? *Clinical Pediatrics* 42:133-138.

About yourself

43-44. Weight and Pregnancy Status

1. Name of original questions: About the mother's weight and pregnancy status

Q		Response options	Variable name
43a	How tall are you?		
	, _cm		LL338
43a&b	What is your current weight?		
	,_kg		LL339
44b	Are you pregnant now?		
		1-No 2-Yes	LL508

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The questions were developed to get information about the mother's current height, weight and pregnancy status.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

Question 43a was only included in version A; question 44b was only included in version B.

45-47. Intake of Nicotine and Alcohol

1. Name of original questions: 3 questions about the mother's intake of nicotine and alcohol

Q		Response options	Variable name		
45a	Do you smoke at the moment?				
		1-Don't smoke 2-Smoke sometimes 3-Smoke every day	LL340		
	Smoke sometimes- number of cigarettes per week:	Number 0-999	LL341		
	Smoke every day- number of cigarettes per day:	Number 0-999	LL342		
45b	What are the smoking habits in your household?		ļ.		
	You		LL509		
	If daily, number of cigarettes per day:	Number 0-99	LL510		
	Your partner/spouse		LL511		
	If daily, number of cigarettes per day:	Number 0-99	LL512		
46b	How often do you consume alcohol at present?				
		1) Roughly 6–7 times a week 2) Roughly 4–5 times a week 3) Roughly 2-3 times a week 4) Roughly once a week 5) Roughly 1-3 times a month 6) Less than once a month 7) Never	LL513		
47b	How many alcohol units do you usually drink when	you consume alcohol?			
	Weekends	1) 10 or more 2) 7–9 3) 5–6	LL514		
	Weekdays	4) 3-4 5) 1-2 6) Less than 1	LL515		

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

Questions were developed to measure the mothers' of present intake of nicotine and alcohol.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

The question about smoking habits were formulated differently in version A and B (see table above). The questions about intake of alcohol were only included in version B.

48-50. Health and Health Problems

1. Name of original questions: Questions about the mother's health and health problems

Q		Response o	ptions / Varia	ble name	
48	Do you have or have you had any illness or health problems the last 5 years (Heart disease, cancer, muscle				art disease, cancer, muscle
	disease, serious chronic disease like asthm				
		1-No			LL343
		2-Yes			
	If yes, write down what illness / disease and				hospitalizing. If you
Varaian A	became well or the problem stopped, write	down at what a			16
Version A		Illness	Doctor given a diagnosis	hospitalisation	If you are well, about how old were you?
	1.	text	LL345	LL346	LL347
	2.	text	LL349	LL350	LL351
	3.	text	LL353	LL354	LL355
	4.	text	LL357	LL358	LL359
	If yes, report which illness and cross off wh been hospitalized for this illness.	ether a diagno	sis has been g	iven by a medic	al doctor and if you have
Version B		Illness	Doctor given a diagnosis 1-No 2-Yes	Hospitalisation 1-No 2-Yes	If you are well, about how old were you?
	1.	text	LL516	LL517	LL347
	2.	text	LL519	LL520	LL351
	3.	text	LL521	LL522	LL355
	4.	text	LL523	LL524	LL359
49	Have this or these diseases / problems r	nade it difficu	It for you to c	ope with daily	activities?
		1-No 2-Yes a little 3-Yes a grea 4-Yes very m			LL360
50	Have you ever had any problems with y or social activities with family or friends		or mental he	alth that has pi	revented you in your work
	or social activities with family of mends	1-No			LL361
	<u> </u>	2-Yes			
	If yes, how much have the problems affected				11,202
	1. Physical health	1-Very much 2-A great deal			LL362
	2. Mental health	3-Some 4-A little 5-Not at all			LL363

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

Questions were developed to survey the mothers' physical health, mental health and health problems.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

In version B, a 'yes/no' option was added to columns 'Doctor given a diagnosis' and 'Hospitalisation' of questions 48 (see table above).

51. Depression/Anxiety

1. Name of original scale: Selective items from the (Hopkins) Symptoms Checklist-25 (SCL-25)

Q		Response options	Variable name					
51	Have you been bothered by any of the following during the last two weeks?							
	1. Feeling fearful		LL363					
	2. Nervousness or shakiness inside	1-Not bothered 2-A little bothered 3-Quite bothered 4-Very bothered	LL364					
	3. Feeling hopeless about the future		LL365					
	4. Felling blue		LL366					
	5. Worrying too much about things		LL367					
	6. Feeling everything is an effort		LL368					
	7. Feeling tense or keyed up		LL369					
	8. Suddenly scared for no reason]	LL370					

2. Description of original instrument: The Hopkins Symptoms Checklist-25 (SCL-25)

The Hopkins Symptoms Checklist with 90 items (SCL-90) measures several types of symptoms of mental disorders, two of which are anxiety and depression. The instrument was originally designed by Derogatis, Lipman & Covi (1973) at Johns Hopkins University. The SCL-25 was derived from the SCL-90 and measures symptoms of anxiety (10 items) and depression (15 items) (Hesbacher et al, 1980). Eight of the selected items in this section constitute the short version SCL-8 (Tambs & Røysamb, 2014). Four items (i.e. 1, 2, 7 & 8) capture symptoms of anxiety and four items (i.e. 3, 4, 5 & 6) tap symptoms of depression. The scale for each question includes four categories of response ("not bothered," "a little bothered," "quite bothered," "very bothered," rated 1 to 4, respectively).

Psychometric Information:

A concordance rate of 86.7% was demonstrated between the assessment by the physician and the patient's own rating of distress on the SCL-25 (Hesbacher, et al., 1980). Using and available data material (Tambs & Moum, 1993), the SCL-8 scores were estimated to correlate 0.94 with the total score from the original instrument. The correlations between the SCL-8 anxiety and depression scores and the original anxiety and depression scores were 0.90 and 0.92, respectively (Tambs & Røysamb, 2014). The alpha reliability was estimated at 0.88, 0.78 and 0.82 for the SCL-8 total, anxiety and depression scores, respectively (Tambs & Røysamb, 2014).

Base References/Primary Citations:

Derogatis, L.R., Lipman, R.S. & Covi L. 1973. The SCL-90: an outpatient psychiatric rating scale. *Psychopharmacology Bulletin*, 9: 13-28.

Hesbacher PT, Rickels R, Morris RJ, Newman H, & Rosenfeld MD. 1980. Psychiatric illness in family practice. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 41: 6-10.

Strand, B.H., Dalsgard, O.S., Tambs, K., & Rognerud, M. 2003. Measuring the mental health status of the Norwegian population: A comparison of the instrument SCL-25, SCL-10, SCL-5 and MHI-5 (SF-36). *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, 57: 113-118.

Tambs, K. & Moum, T. 1993. How well can a few questionnaire items indicate anxiety and depression? *Acta Psychiatrica Scandnavica*, 87: 364-367.

Tambs, K. & Røysamb E. 2014. Selection of questions to short-form versions of original psychometric instruments in MoBa. *Norsk Epidemiologi* 24:195-201.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

Symptom Check List and its short versions have proven to be a brief, valid and reliable measure of mental distress (Tambs & Moum, 1993).

${\bf 4.} \ \ {\bf Revision\ during\ the\ data\ collection\ period:}$

52. Relationship Satisfaction Scale (RSS)

1. Name of original scale: Relationship Satisfaction Scale (RSS)

Q		Response options	Variable name
52	If you have a husband/boyfriend/partner, to what descriptions?	extent do you agree with	h the following
	My partner and I have problems in our relationship	1-Agree completely	LL371
	2. I am very happy with our relationship	2-Agree 3-Agree somewhat 4-Disagree somewhat 5-Disagree 6-Disagree completely	LL372
	3. My partner is generally understanding		LL373
	4. I am satisfied with my relationship with my partner		LL374
	5. We agree on how our child should be raised		LL375

2. Description of original instrument: The Relationship Satisfaction Scale (RSS)

The RSS is a 10-item scale developed originally in Norwegian for the MoBa. The scale is based on core items used in previously developed measures of marital satisfaction and relationship quality (e.g. Blum & Mehrabian, 1999; Henrick, 1988; Snyder, 1997). All answers are scored on a 6-point scale from 'strongly agree' (1) to 'strongly disagree' (6).

Psychometric Information:

Internal reliability of the RS10 is high (alpha: .85-.90). Confirmatory factor analyses provide evidence for a unidimensional structure, high loadings and good fit. The RSS correlates .92 with the Quality of Marriage Index (QMI: Norton, 1983). Predictive validity is evidenced by ability to predict future break-up/divorce and life satisfaction (Dyrdal et al., 2011; Røsand, et al., 2013; Røysamb, Vittersø & Tambs, 2014). The 5-item short version (RS5) was empirically derived by identifying the best items in terms of accounting for variance in the full sum-score index. Multiple regression and factor analyses were used (Røysamb, Vittersø & Tambs, 2014). The short version correlates .97 with the full scale.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Blum, J. & Mehrabian, A. (1999). Personality and temperament correlates of marital satisfaction. *Journal of Personality* 67 (1): 93-125.

Dyrdal, G.M., Røysamb, E., Nes, R. B. & Vittersø, J. (2011). Can a happy relationship predict a happy life? A population-based study of maternal well-being during the life transition of pregnancy, infancy, and toddlerhood. *Journal of Happiness Studies* 12(6): 947-962.

Gustavson, K., Nilsen, W., Ørstavik, R. & Røysamb, E. (2014). Relationship quality, divorce, and well-being: Findings from a three-year longitudinal study. *The Journal of Positive Psychology* 9(2): 163-174.

Henrick, S. S. (1988). A generic measure of relationship satisfaction. *Journal of Marriage and the Family 50*: 93-98.

Norton, R. (1983). Measuring marital quality: A critical look at the dependent variable. *Journal of Marriage and the Family 45*: 141-151.

Røsand, G-M. B., Slinning, K., Røysamb, E. & Tambs, K. (2013). Relationship dissatisfaction and other risk factors for future relationship dissolution: a population-based study of 18,523 couples. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 49(1): 109-119.

Røysamb, E., Vittersø, J. & Tambs, K. (2014). The Relationship Satisfaction scale: Psychometric properties. *Norwegian Journal of Epidemiology [Norsk Epidemiologi]* 24(1-2): 187-194.

Snyder, D. K. (1997). *Marital Satisfaction Inventory—Revised (MSI-R) Manual*. Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

Partner relationship is considered a central aspect of family life. Relationship satisfaction is both an outcome *per se* and a potentially important predictor of mental health, well-being, divorce, and child-rearing.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

53. Parenting Style

1. Name of original scale: For version B, selective items from the Alabama Parenting Questionnaire (APQ); for version A, selective items from the Parental Authority Questionnaire-Revised (PAQ-R)

Q	In version B	Response options	Variable name		
53	How often does this happen in your home?				
	1. You let your child know when he/she is doing a good job with something		LL526		
	2. You threaten to punish your child and then do not actually punish him/her	1-Never	LL527		
	3. You have a friendly talk with your child	J	LL528		
	Your child talks him/herself out of being punished after he/she has done something wrong	2-Almost never	LL529		
	5. You ask your child about his/her day in childcare	3-Sometimes	LL530		
	6. You compliment your child when he/she has done something well		LL531		
	7. You praise your child if he/she behaves well	4-Often	LL532		
	8. You talk to your child about his/her friends]	LL533		
	9. You let your child out of a punishment early (E.g. Lift restrictions earlier than	5-Always	LL534		
	you originally said)				
	In version A, the following items are used:				
	Do you agree or disagree with the following questions?				
	1. I expect my children to do what they are told immediately without questions	1- Agree totally	LL376		
	2. Other parents should use more force to get their children to behave properly	2- Agree	LL377		
	3. Smart parents should early teach their children who is the boss in the family	3- Neither agree or	LL378		
	4. Most of the problems could be solved if parents would let their children	disagree			
	choose their own activities, make their own decisions and follow their own	4- Disagree			
	dreams when they grow up.	5- Disagree totally	LL379		
	5. I let my children decide most of the things by their own, without much help				
	from me		LL380		
	6. I don't control the behaviour, the activities or wishes of my children		LL381		

Items from version B:

2. Description of original instrument: Alabama Parenting Questionnaire (APQ)

The APQ is a 42-item scale developed by Frick (1991) to assess parenting practices in clinical and research settings. The APQ measures five dimensions of parenting that are relevant to the etiology and treatment of child externalizing problems: (1) Positive Involvement with children, (2) Supervision and Monitoring, (3) Use of Positive Discipline techniques, (4) Consistency in the Use of Such Discipline and (5) Corporal Punishment. There is both a parent form and a child from. The 9 items from the parent form are selected into use in this section. Six items (1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8) are from the subscale Positive Involvement with children; three items (2, 4, 9) are from the subscale Consistency in the Use of Such Discipline. All answers are scored on a 5-point scale from 'never' (1) to 'always' (5).

Psychometric Information:

The average reliability across the APQ scales is .68. The APQ has good psychometric properties including criterion validity in differentiating clinical and nonclinical groups (Dadds, Maujean, & Fraser, 2003; Frick et al., 1999; Shelton et al., 1996). Frick et al. (1999) reported a mean R^2 across its five scales of .24 for predicting child symptoms of oppositional defiant disorder and conduct disorder.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Frick, P. J. (1991). Alabama Parenting Questionnaire. University of Alabama.

Frick, P. J., Christian, R. E., Wooton, J. M. (1999). Age trends in the association between parenting practices and conduct problems. *Behavior Modification 23*: 106–128.

Shelton, K. K., Frick, P. J., &Wootton, J. (1996). Assessment of parenting practices in families of elementary school-age children. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology* 25: 317–329.

Items from version A:

Description of original instrument: The Parental Authority Questionnaire-Revised (PAQ-R)

The Parent Authority Questionnaire (PAQ) is developed by Buri (1991) to assess parenting style based on retrospective adolescent ratings. The 30-item instrument consisted of three 10-item scales representing permissive, authoritarian, and authoritative parenting styles. The PAQ was modified for parent report and altered to improve readability by Reitman et al. (PAQ-R; 2002). The first 3 items are selected from the PAQ-R's Authoritarian subscale; the remaining 3 items from its Permissive subscale. All answers are scored on a 5-point scale from 'agree totally' (1) to 'disagree totally' (5).

Psychometric Information:

The internal consistency of the PAQ-R subscales ranged from .56 to .77. The PAQ-R subscales correlated with relevant subscales of the Parenting Scale and the Parent-Child Relationship Inventory (Reitman et al., 2002). The full PAQ-R scale and the short version show good psychometric properties. Confirmatory factor analyses provide evidence for an Authoritarian subscale with internal reliability of .70, Permissive subscale with internal reliability of .85.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Buri, J.R. (1991). Parental authority questionnaire. Journal of Personality Assessment 57: 110-119.

Reitman, D, Rhode, PC. Hupp, S & Altobello, C. (2002). Development and Validation of the Parental Authority Questionnaire-Revised. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment* 24(2): 119-127.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The two questionnaires are both useful for studying how parenting practices influence children's social and psychological development.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

The two versions include items selected from different scales (see above). The change was made because the items in version B contain the dimension of positive parenting (warmth), which is considered as an important part of parenting practice.

54. Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS)

1. Name of original scale: The Satisfaction With Life Scale (SWLS)

Q		Response options	Variable name			
54	Do you agree or disagree with the following statements?					
	1. In most ways my life is close to my ideal	1- Disagree completely	LL382			
	2. The conditions of my life are excellent	2- Disagree completely 2- Disagree 3- Disagree somewhat 4- Don't agree or disagree	LL383			
	3. I am satisfied with my life		LL384			
	4. So far I have gotten the important things I want in life		LL385			
	5. If I could live my life over, I would change almost nothing	5- Agree somewhat				
		6- Agree	LL386			
	6. I really enjoy my work	7- Agree completely	LL387			

2. Description of original instrument: Satisfaction With Life Scale (SWLS)

The SWLS (Diener et al., 1985) is a 5-item instrument designed to measure global cognitive judgments of satisfaction with one's life. All answers are scored on a 7-point scale from 'strongly disagree' (1) to 'strongly agree' (7).

Psychometric Information:

Internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) for the SWLS is between .79 and .89. Test-retest coefficients are between .84 and .54, with the decline of stability of the scale over longer periods. The SWLS demonstrates adequate convergence with related measures (r=.28~.82), and it has been shown to have potential as a cross-cultural index of life satisfaction (Diener et al., 1985; Pavot & Diener, 1993; Pavot, et al., 1993; Shigehiro, 2006; Vittersø, Røysamb & Diener, 2002).

Base References/Primary Citations:

Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The Satisfaction With Life Scale. *Journal of Personality Assessment* 49: 71-75.

Pavot, W., & Diener, E. (1993). Review of the Satisfaction With Life Scale. *Psychological Assessment*, 5, 164-172.

Pavot, W., Diener, E., Colvin, R., & Sandvik, E. (1991). Further validation of the Satisfaction with Life Scale: Evidence for the cross-method convergence of self-report well-being measures. *Journal of Personality Assessment* 57: 149-161.

Shigehiro, O. (2006). The concept of life satisfaction across culture: An IRT analysis. *Journal of Research in Personality* 40(4): 411-423.

Vittersø, J., Røysamb, E., & Diener, E. (2002). The concept of life satisfaction across cultures: Exploring its diverse meaning and relation to economic wealth. In E. Gullone & R. Cummins (Eds.), *The universality of subjective wellbeing indicators. A multidisciplinary and multi-national perspective* (pp. 81–103). Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The Satisfaction With Life Scale is a well-established measure of life satisfaction.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

55-56. Adverse Life Events

1. Name of original questions: Questions about adverse life events

Q		Respons	Response options & variable name		
55	Have you experienced any of the following situations?				
		No	Yes, during	Yes, 2-5	
			the last year	years ago	
	1. Have you had problems at work or where you study?	LL388	LL389	LL390	
	2. Have you had financial problems?	LL391	LL392	LL393	
	3. Have you been divorced, separated or ended the relationship with your	LL394	LL395	LL396	
	partner?				
	4. Have you had any problems or conflicts with your family, friends or neighbors?	LL397	LL398	LL399	
	5. Have you been seriously worried that there is something wrong with your child?	LL400	LL401	LL402	
	6. Have you been seriously ill or injured?	LL403	LL4040	LL405	
	7. Has anyone close to you been seriously ill or injured?	LL406	LL407	LL408	
	8. Have you been involved in a serious traffic accident, house fire or robbery?	LL409	LL410	LL411	
	9. Have you lost someone close to you?	LL412	LL413	LL414	
	10. Have you been pressurized into having sexual intercourse?	LL415	LL416	LL417	
	11. Other dramatic events/experiences you have had	LL418	LL419	LL420	
	Other events/experiences, describe:				
56	Have any of these events contributed to you being on sick leave, or to not ha your daily life/ work?	ve been al	ble to function	properly in	
		1- No			
		2- Yes		LL422	

2. Description of original questions: Questions about adverse life events

These questions were selected primarily because of their relevance to the population in general, partly due to their relevance to women with small children. The questions are inspired by a list adopted from Coddington (1972), which was directed at children from preschool to senior high school. The questions in this section were adapted to adult respondents.

Psychometric Information:

No relevant psychometric information has been found.

Base Reference/Primary Citation:

Coddington, R.D. 1972. The significance of life events as etiologic factors in the diseases of children II: A study of a normal population. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* 16: 205-213.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The selected questions were chosen because they were believed to address life events that supposedly affect the mother and the family.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

No revisions have been made in the questions.

57. The International Personality Item Pool (IPIP) Big-Five Factor Markers

1. Name of original scale: The International Personality Item Pool (IPIP) Big-Five factor markers

Q		Response options	Variable name
57	Describe yourself the way you usually are		
	1. Am the life of the party		LL535
	2. Feel little concern for others		LL536
	3. Am always prepared		LL537
	4. Get stressed out easily		LL538
	5. Have a rich vocabulary		LL539
	6. Don't talk a lot		LL540
	7. Am interested in other people		LL541
	8. Leave my belongs around		LL542
	9. Am relaxed most of the time		LL543
	10. Have difficulty understanding abstract ideas		LL544
	11. Feel comfortable around people		LL545
	12. Insult people		LL546
	13. Pay attention to details		LL547
	14. Worry about things		LL548
	15. Have a vivid imagination		LL549
	16. Keep in the background		LL550
	17. Sympathize with others' feelings		LL551
	18. Make a mess of things	_	LL552
	19. Seldom feel blue	_	LL553
	20. Am not interested in abstract ideas	_	LL554
	21. Start conversations	1-Strongly disagree	LL555
	22. Am not interested in other people's problems	- 0, 0	LL556
	23. Get chores done right away	2-Disagree	LL557
	24. Am easily disturbed	somewhat	LL558
	25. Have excellent ideas	-	LL559
	26. Have little to say	3-Neither nor	LL560
	27. Have a soft heart	1	LL561
	28. Often forget to put things back in their proper place	4-Agree somewhat	LL562
	29. Get upset easily	5-Strongly agree	LL563
	30. Do not have good imagination	3-Strongly agree	LL564
	31. Talk to a lot of different people at parties	_	LL565
	32. Am not really interested in others		LL566
	33. Like order		LL567
	34. Change my mood a lot		LL568
	35. Am quick to understand things		LL569
	36. Do not like to draw attention to myself		LL570
	37. Take time out for others		LL571
	38. Shirk my duties		LL572
	39. Have frequent mood swings		LL573
	40. Use difficult words		LL574
	41. Don't mind being the centre of attention	_	LL575
	42. Feel others' emotions	1	LL576
	43. Follow a schedule	1	LL577
	44. Get irritated easily	-	LL578
	45. Spend time reflecting on things	-	LL579
	46. Am quiet around strangers	-	LL580
	47. Make people feel at ease	-	LL581
	48. Am exacting in my work	-	LL582
	49. Often feel blue	-	LL583
	50. Am full of ideas	-	LL584
	33.7.11.1411 01 14040	1	

2. Description of original instrument: The International Personality Item Pool (IPIP) Big-Five factor markers

The IPIP Big-Five factor markers (Goldberg, 2001) consist of a 50 or 100-item inventory. The MoBa makes use of the 50-item version consisting of 10 items for each of the Big-Five personality factors:

Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Emotional Stability (Neuroticism) and Intellect. Participants were requested to rate how well the 50 items described them on a 5-point scale (strongly disagree to strongly agree).

Psychometric Information:

Internal consistencies for the factors are: Extraversion .90, Agreeableness .85, Conscientiousness .79, Emotional Stability .89, Intellect .79. Conscientiousness, Extraversion and Emotional Stability scales of the IPIP Big-Five factor markers were highly correlated with those of the NEO-FFI (r=.69 to -.83, p<.01). Agreeableness and Intellect scales correlated less strongly (r=.49 and .59 respectively, p<.01) (Gow, et al., 2005). The IPIP Big-Five factor markers have also been validated in a Croatian sample (Mlacic & Goldberg, 2007).

Base References/Primary Citations:

Goldberg, L. R. (1999). A broad-bandwidth, public-domain, personality inventory measuring the lower-level facets of several five-factor models. In I. Mervielde, I. J. Deary, F. De Fruyt, and F. Ostendorf (Eds.), *Personality psychology in Europe* (Vol. 7, pp. 7–28). Tilburg, The Netherlands: Tilburg University Press.

Gow, AJ, Whiteman, MC, Pattie, A & Deary, IJ (2005). Goldberg's 'IPIP' Big-Five factor markers: Internal consistency and concurrent validation in Scotland. *Personality and individual differences* 39 (2): 317-329.

Mlacic, B., & Goldberg, L. R. (2007). An analysis of a cross-cultural personality inventory: The IPIP Big-Five factor markers in Croatia. *Journal of Personality Assessment* 88: 168-177.

Røysamb, E., Vittersø, J. & Tambs, K. (2014). The Relationship Satisfaction scale: Psychometric properties. *Norwegian Journal of Epidemiology* [Norsk Epidemiologi] 24(1-2): 187-194.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

The IPIP Big-Five factor markers are frequently used in personality research.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

The instrument was only used in version B of the questionnaire.

58. History of Childcare Attendance

1. Name of original questions: Questions about history of the child's childcare attendance

Q	Response options / Variable name						
58	We wish to prepare for child care research in MoBa, and want to look at the connections between child care quality and health. We therefore ask you to name the child's present or previous kindergarten, when the child attend there, and what commune the kindergarten is placed.						
	My child has never attended kindergarten				LL585		
	Start with the first kindergarten the child attended:						
		Name of the	Municipality	1-Autumn	Year		
		kindergarten	Mullicipality	2-Spring			
	1.	LL586	LL587	LL588	LL589		
	2.	LL590	LL591	LL592	LL593		
	3.	LL594	LL595	LL596	LL597		
	4.	LL598	LL599	LL600	LL601		

2. Description of original questions: MoBa specific single questions

Psychometric Information:

Not relevant.

Base References/Primary Citations:

Not relevant.

3. Rationale for choosing the questions:

Questions were developed to get information about the history of the child's childcare attendance. The name of the kindergarten and the municipality where it is placed help gather information from a public kindergarten register (BASIL) so that different kindergartens can be compared based on number of employees, number of employees with Early Childhood Education, kindergarten size, and other resources.

4. Revision during the data collection period:

The section was only included in version B of the questionnaire. No further revisions have been made.