

Math 344 Homework 2.8

Chris Rytting

September 30, 2015

2.44

Since we know that $\det(A) = \det(A^T)$ and by Theorem 2.8.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det(V_n) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \cdots 1 \\ x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \cdots x_n \\ x_0^2 & x_1^2 & x_2^2 \cdots x_n^2 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \cdots \cdots \\ x_0^n & x_1^n & x_2^n \cdots x_n^n \end{bmatrix} \sim \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & x_1 - x_0 & x_2 - x_0 & \cdots & x_n - x_0 \\ 0 & x_1^2 - x_1 x_0 & x_2^2 - x_2 x_0 & \cdots & x_n^2 - x_n x_0 \\ 0 & x_1^3 - x_1^2 x_0 & x_2^3 - x_2^2 x_0 & \cdots & x_n^3 - x_n^2 x_0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & x_1^n - x_1^{n-1} x_0 & x_2^n - x_2^{n-1} x_0 & \cdots & x_n^3 - x_n^2 x_0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\sim 1 \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - x_0 & x_2 - x_0 & \cdots & x_n - x_0 \\ x_1^2 - x_1 x_0 & x_2^2 - x_2 x_0 & \cdots & x_n^2 - x_n x_0 \\ x_1^3 - x_1^2 x_0 & x_2^3 - x_2^2 x_0 & \cdots & x_n^3 - x_n^2 x_0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_1^n - x_1^{n-1} x_0 & x_2^n - x_2^{n-1} x_0 & \cdots & x_n^3 - x_n^2 x_0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\sim 1 \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - x_0 & x_1^2 - x_1 x_0 & x_1^3 - x_1^2 x_0 & \cdots & x_1^n - x_1^{n-1} x_0 \\ x_2 - x_0 & x_2^2 - x_2 x_0 & x_2^3 - x_2^2 x_0 & \cdots & x_2^n - x_2^{n-1} x_0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_n - x_0 & x_n^2 - x_n x_0 & x_n^3 - x_n^2 x_0 & \cdots & x_n^3 - x_n^2 x_0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\sim 1(x_1 - x_0)(x_2 - x_0) \cdots (x_n - x_0) \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^n \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^n \\ 1 & x_3 & x_3^2 & \cdots & x_3^n \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & x_3 & x_3^2 & \cdots & x_3^n \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\sim 1(x_1 - x_0)(x_2 - x_0) \cdots (x_n - x_0) \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \cdots 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_2 \cdots x_n \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & x_2^2 \cdots x_n^2 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \cdots \cdots \\ x_1^n & x_2^n & x_2^n \cdots x_n^n \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sim (x_1 - x_0)(x_2 - x_0) \cdots (x_n - x_0) \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & x_2 - x_1 & x_3 - x_1 & \cdots & x_n - x_1 \\ 0 & x_2^2 - x_2 x_1 & x_3^2 - x_3 x_1 & \cdots & x_n^2 - x_n x_1 \\ 0 & x_2^3 - x_2^2 x_1 & x_3^3 - x_3^2 x_1 & \cdots & x_n^3 - x_n^2 x_1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & x_2^n - x_2^{n-1} x_1 & x_3^n - x_3^{n-1} x_1 & \cdots & x_n^3 - x_n^2 x_1 \end{bmatrix} \\
& \sim 1(x_1 - x_0)(x_2 - x_0) \cdots (x_n - x_0) \det \begin{bmatrix} x_2 - x_1 & x_3 - x_1 & \cdots & x_n - x_1 \\ x_2^2 - x_2 x_1 & x_3^2 - x_3 x_1 & \cdots & x_n^2 - x_n x_1 \\ x_2^3 - x_2^2 x_1 & x_3^3 - x_3^2 x_1 & \cdots & x_n^3 - x_n^2 x_1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_2^n - x_2^{n-1} x_1 & x_3^n - x_3^{n-1} x_1 & \cdots & x_n^3 - x_n^2 x_1 \end{bmatrix} \\
& \sim 1(x_1 - x_0)(x_2 - x_0) \cdots (x_n - x_0) \det \begin{bmatrix} x_2 - x_1 & x_2^2 - x_2 x_1 & x_2^3 - x_2^2 x_1 & \cdots & x_2^n - x_2^{n-1} x_1 \\ x_3 - x_1 & x_3^2 - x_3 x_1 & x_3^3 - x_3^2 x_1 & \cdots & x_3^n - x_3^{n-1} x_1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_n - x_1 & x_n^2 - x_n x_1 & x_n^3 - x_n^2 x_1 & \cdots & x_n^3 - x_n^2 x_1 \end{bmatrix} \\
& \sim 1(x_1 - x_0)(x_2 - x_0) \cdots (x_n - x_0)(x_2 - x_1)(x_3 - x_1) \cdots (x_n - x_1) \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^n \\ 1 & x_3 & x_3^2 & \cdots & x_3^n \\ 1 & x_4 & x_4^2 & \cdots & x_4^n \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \cdots & x_n^n \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Proceeding recursively, performing the same operations on this matrix, it should be clear that eventually we will have all ones on the diagonal of this matrix, yielding a determinant of 1 times all $(x_j - x_i)$ where $i < j$, and we have that

$$\det(V_n) = \prod_{i < j} (x_j - x_i)$$

2.45

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & \cdots & x_{2n} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} & \cdots & x_{3n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & x_{n3} & \cdots & x_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \\
\Rightarrow \det(A) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & \cdots & x_{2n} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} & \cdots & x_{3n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & x_{n3} & \cdots & x_{nn} \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we also have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha A &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha x_{11} & \alpha x_{12} & \alpha x_{13} & \cdots & \alpha x_{1n} \\ \alpha x_{21} & \alpha x_{22} & \alpha x_{23} & \cdots & \alpha x_{2n} \\ \alpha x_{31} & \alpha x_{32} & \alpha x_{33} & \cdots & \alpha x_{3n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \alpha x_{n1} & \alpha x_{n2} & \alpha x_{n3} & \cdots & \alpha x_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \\
\Rightarrow \det(\alpha A) &\sim \det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha x_{11} & \alpha x_{12} & \alpha x_{13} & \cdots & \alpha x_{1n} \\ \alpha x_{21} & \alpha x_{22} & \alpha x_{23} & \cdots & \alpha x_{2n} \\ \alpha x_{31} & \alpha x_{32} & \alpha x_{33} & \cdots & \alpha x_{3n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \alpha x_{n1} & \alpha x_{n2} & \alpha x_{n3} & \cdots & \alpha x_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \\
&\sim \alpha \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ \alpha x_{21} & \alpha x_{22} & \alpha x_{23} & \cdots & \alpha x_{2n} \\ \alpha x_{31} & \alpha x_{32} & \alpha x_{33} & \cdots & \alpha x_{3n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \alpha x_{n1} & \alpha x_{n2} & \alpha x_{n3} & \cdots & \alpha x_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \\
&\sim \alpha^2 \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & \cdots & x_{2n} \\ \alpha x_{31} & \alpha x_{32} & \alpha x_{33} & \cdots & \alpha x_{3n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \alpha x_{n1} & \alpha x_{n2} & \alpha x_{n3} & \cdots & \alpha x_{nn} \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Proceeding recursively, we have that

$$\Rightarrow \det(\alpha A) = \alpha^n \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & \cdots & x_{2n} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} & \cdots & x_{3n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & x_{n3} & \cdots & x_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \alpha^n \det(A)$$

Which is the desired result.

2.46

We know that for an upper triangular matrix B ,

$$\det(B) = b_{11}b_{22} \cdots b_{nn}$$

where b_{ii} $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ are the diagonal entries of B . Now, since A is in block-triangular form, we know that A_{11} and A_{22} are square matrices that can be row reduced so that they are upper triangular matrices A'_{11} and A'_{22} , so that $\det(A'_{11}) = a_{11,11}a_{11,22} \cdots a_{11,nn}$ $\det(A'_{22}) = a_{22,11}a_{22,22} \cdots a_{22,nn}$ where $a_{11,ii}$ are the diagonal entries of A'_{11} and $a_{22,ii}$ are the diagonal entries of A'_{22} . However, these are also the diagonal entries of A , and we have the following

$$\det(A) = a_{11,11}a_{11,22} \cdots a_{11,nn}a_{22,11}a_{22,22} \cdots a_{22,nn} = \det(A'_{11})\det(A'_{22}) = \det(A_{11})\det(A_{22})$$

2.47

Knowing that

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & \mathbf{0} \\ -\mathbf{y}^H & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I - \mathbf{xy}^H & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0}^H & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{y}^H & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0}^H & 1 - \mathbf{y}^H \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} I & \mathbf{0} \\ -\mathbf{y}^H & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I - \mathbf{xy}^H & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0}^H & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{y}^H & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} I & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0}^H & 1 - \mathbf{y}^H \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

By theorem 2.8.7, we have that

$$\det \left(\begin{bmatrix} I & \mathbf{0} \\ -\mathbf{y}^H & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} I - \mathbf{xy}^H & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0}^H & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} I & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{y}^H & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} I & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0}^H & 1 - \mathbf{y}^H \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Now, since the first and the third matrices are upper-triangular once transposed, we know that their determinant is equal to the product of their diagonal entries, which in this case are all ones. We also know that

$$\det \left(\begin{bmatrix} I & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0}^H & 1 - \mathbf{y}^H \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \right) = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot \dots \cdot (1 - \mathbf{y}^H \mathbf{x}) = (1 - \mathbf{y}^H \mathbf{x})$$

for the same reason, that it is upper triangular, and the only non-one entry on the diagonal is $(1 - \mathbf{y}^H \mathbf{x})$. Finally, we know by the previous exercise (2.46) that

$$\det \left(\begin{bmatrix} I - \mathbf{xy}^H & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0}^H & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \det(I - \mathbf{xy}^H) \det(1) = \det(I - \mathbf{xy}^H)$$

And so we have the following

$$\det(I - \mathbf{xy}^H) = (1 - \mathbf{y}^H \mathbf{x})$$

Which is the desired result.

2.48

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

We have that $A^{-1} = \frac{\text{adj}(A)}{\det(A)}$. Now, we know that the adjoint of A is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 14 - 24 & -(14 - 18) & 8 - 6 \\ -(7 - 20) & 7 - 15 & -(4 - 3) \\ 6 - 10 & -(6 - 10) & 2 - 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -10 & 4 & 2 \\ 13 & -8 & -1 \\ -4 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

And we have that $\det(A) = 4$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} -10 & 4 & 2 \\ 13 & -8 & -1 \\ -4 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2.5 & 1 & .5 \\ 3.25 & -2 & -.25 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.49

The matrix is singular, and so Cramer's Rule does not apply.

2.50 (i)

It suffices to show that if \mathcal{C} is not linearly independent, then there exists no $x \in [a, b]$ $W(x) \neq 0$. So if \mathcal{C} is linearly dependent, then we know that some $y_i \in \mathcal{C}$ will be a linear combination of other elements of \mathcal{C} such that $\mathbf{y}_i = a_1 \mathbf{y}_1 + \cdots + a_{i-1} \mathbf{y}_{i-1} + a_{i+1} \mathbf{y}_{i+1} + \cdots + a_{n-1} \mathbf{y}_{n-1}$ $a_j \in \mathbb{R} y_j \in \mathcal{C}$. Now, this implies that, using row operations, we can make this $y_i = 0$ in the transpose matrix. This will imply that all the derivatives $y'_i, y''_i, \dots, y_i^{n-1}$ are zero as well, resulting in a whole row of zeros in $W(x)$. We have by exercise 2.43 that this will result in $W(x) = 0$, regardless of what x is, implying that there exists no x such that $W(x) \neq 0$.

2.50 (ii)

We have that the Wronksian of S is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W(x) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} e^{\alpha x} & x e^{\alpha x} & x^2 e^{\alpha x} \\ \alpha e^{\alpha x} & e^{\alpha x} + \alpha x e^{\alpha x} & 2x e^{\alpha x} + \alpha x^2 e^{\alpha x} \\ \alpha^2 e^{\alpha x} & 2\alpha e^{\alpha x} + \alpha^2 x e^{\alpha x} & 2e^{\alpha x} + 4x e^{\alpha x} + \alpha^2 x^2 e^{\alpha x} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} e^{\alpha x} & x e^{\alpha x} & x^2 e^{\alpha x} \\ 0 & e^{\alpha x} & 2x e^{\alpha x} \\ 0 & 2\alpha e^{\alpha x} & 2e^{\alpha x} + 4x e^{\alpha x} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} e^{\alpha x} & x e^{\alpha x} & x^2 e^{\alpha x} \\ 0 & e^{\alpha x} & 2x e^{\alpha x} \\ 0 & 0 & 2e^{\alpha x} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 2e^{3(\alpha x)} \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any x , implying the desired result.