

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q1: \quad & 2x_1 + 4x_2 + 6x_3 = 8 \\
 & x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 = 8 \\
 & 3x_1 + 6x_2 + 9x_3 = 12
 \end{aligned}$$

Can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 8 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$AX = D$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 8 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & 12 \end{array} \right]$$

$$R_2 \rightarrow 2R_2 - R_1$$

$$= \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & 12 \end{array} \right]$$

$$R_3 = R_3/3 - \frac{R_1}{2}$$

$$= \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{i.e. } 2x_3 = 8 \longrightarrow \underline{\underline{x_3 = 4}}$$

8

$$2x_1 + 4x_2 + 6x_3 = 0$$

OR  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 4$

Put value of  $x_3 = 4$

$$\underline{\underline{x_1 + 2x_2 = -8}}$$

Q2 Proof: Suppose  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is linearly dependent.

Let 'p' be the least index such that  $v_{p+1}$  is a linear combination of the preceding (linearly independent) vectors. Then there exists scalars  $c_1, \dots, c_p$  such that

$$c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_p v_p = v_{p+1}$$

multiplying b/s by A and with  $Av_k = \lambda_k v_k$  for each 'k' we get.

$$c_1 Av_1 + \dots + c_p Av_p = Av_{p+1}$$

$$c_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + c_p \lambda_p v_p = \lambda_{p+1} v_{p+1} \quad \text{--- (A)}$$

multiplying b/s by  $\lambda_{p+1}$  and subtracting from (A) we get.

$$c_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_{p+1}) v_1 + \dots + c_p (\lambda_p - \lambda_{p+1}) v_p = 0 \quad \text{--- (B)}$$

As  $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$  are linearly independent, weights  $(\lambda_i - \lambda_{p+1})$  are

in  $\eta(B)$  are all zero. Also none  $C_i = 0 \forall i=1 \dots p$ .  
 zero, as eigen values are distinct. Thus  $C_i = 0 \forall i=1 \dots p$ .  
 But  $v_{p+1} = 0$ , which is impossible, hence  
 $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$  cannot be linearly dependent.

Q3 Solution:-

We know if  $A$  is not invertible  
 then  $AB$  is neither, then in that case

$$\det(AB) = \det(A) \cdot \det(B)$$

if  $A$  is invertible, then  $A$  and identity matrix  $I_n$   
 are now equivalent by invertible matrix theorem.  
 Then there exists elementary matrices  $E_1, \dots, E_p$   
 such that

$$A = E_p E_{p-1} \dots E_1 \cdot I_n = E_p E_{p-1} \dots E_1$$

Then by the theorem of row operations

$$\begin{aligned} \det(AB) &= \det(E_p \dots E_1 B) = \det(E_p) \det(E_{p-1} \dots E_1 B) \\ &= \det(E_p) \dots \det(E_1) \det(B) = \dots \\ &= \det(E_p \dots E_1) \det(B) \\ &= \det(A) \det(B). \end{aligned}$$

Q4 Solution:- Using Cramer's rule.

$$Ax = b$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ -5 & 4 \end{bmatrix}; A_1(b) = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 \\ 8 & 4 \end{bmatrix}; A_2(b) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 \\ -5 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

As  $\det(A) = 2$ , then system has a unique solution. By Cramer's rule

$$x_1 = \frac{\det(A_1(b))}{\det(A)} = \frac{24 + 16}{2} = 20$$

$$x_2 = \frac{\det(A_2(b))}{\det(A)} = \frac{24 + 30}{2} = 27$$

Thus  $\boxed{x_1 = 20 ; x_2 = 27}$

---