

## Bayesian Decision Theory

- Assume decision problem posed in probabilistic terms.
- Assume all relevant prob. values known
- Decision  
Hypothesis testing  
classification } decide among set of mutually exclusive alternatives
- Assume set of hypotheses, categories, "states of nature" which partition sample space.
- Each performance of experiment  $\Rightarrow$  one and only one hypothesis or category correct.
- $Y$  a r.v. corresponding to correct hypothesis  
 $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$  e.g.  $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, \dots, m\}$ .
- $P_Y(y) = \text{prob. of hypothesis } y : \underline{\text{a prior prob. of } y}$
- observe feature vector  $\underline{X} \in \mathcal{X}$ . Based on observed value  $\underline{x}$  of  $\underline{X}$ , make decision on hypothesis.

- Conditional PMF  $P_{\underline{x}|y}(\underline{x}|y)$  or density  $f_{\underline{x}|y}(\underline{x}|y)$   
likelihood
- Experiment performed  $\rightarrow \omega \in \Omega \rightarrow Y=y$  correct hypothesis or category or state of nature  

$\searrow$   
 $\underline{X}=\underline{x}$  feature  
 $\downarrow$   
 $\alpha(\underline{x})$  decision based on  $\underline{x}$  (not on  $y$ )
- $\alpha(\cdot)$  decision rule  
 Require  $\alpha(\underline{x}) \in \mathcal{Y} \quad \forall \underline{x}$
- Pay a cost if you make decision  $\alpha(\underline{x})$  when true state is  $y$
- Loss function  $L(\alpha(\underline{x}), y)$

e.g. all wrong answers penalized same:

$$L(\alpha(\underline{x}), y) = 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha(\underline{x}) = y \text{ (correct decision)}$$

$$L(\alpha(\underline{x}), y) = 1 \quad \text{if } \alpha(\underline{x}) \neq y$$

0-1  
loss fn

But could have if  $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}$

$$L(\alpha(\underline{x})=1, y=0) = 1$$

false positive

$$L(\alpha(\underline{x})=0, y=1) = 1$$

false negative

- Risk function combines loss function, decision rule, probabilities

$$(1) \quad R(\alpha) = \sum_y \int_{\mathcal{X}} L(\alpha(\underline{x}), y) P_{Y|\underline{X}}(y|\underline{x}) f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x}$$

- Choose decision rule to minimize risk

$$\hat{\alpha} = \underset{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{argmin}} R(\alpha) \quad \text{Bayes decision}$$

$$R(\hat{\alpha}) = \text{Bayes risk}$$

- (1) can be minimized by

$$(2) \quad \text{choosing } \hat{\alpha}(\underline{x}) = \underset{\alpha(\underline{x}) \in \mathcal{Y}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_y L(\alpha(\underline{x}), y) P_{Y|\underline{X}}(y|\underline{x})$$

- Suppose we have 0-1 loss function:

$$\text{then } \sum_y L(\alpha(\underline{x}), y) P_{Y|\underline{X}}(y|\underline{x})$$

$$= \sum_{y \neq \alpha(\underline{x})} P_{Y|\underline{X}}(y|\underline{x})$$

$$= 1 - P_{Y|\underline{X}}(y = \alpha(\underline{x}) | \underline{x})$$

$$(2) \Leftrightarrow \hat{\alpha}(\underline{x}) = \underset{y \in \mathcal{Y}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \underbrace{P_{Y|\underline{X}}(y|\underline{x})}_{\text{a posteriori prob. of } y \text{ given } \underline{x}}$$

Max. a posteriori prob. (MAP) rule

Note  $P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{P_Y(y) f_{X|Y}(x|y)}{f_X(x)}$  by Bayes

For given  $x$ ,  $\operatorname{argmax} P_{Y|X}(y|x)$

$$\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{argmax} P_Y(y) f_{X|Y}(x|y)$$

If  $P_Y(y)$  same for all  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ : equiprob. hypotheses

$$\text{MAP} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f_{X|Y}(x|y)$$

Maximum Likelihood (ML) Rule

Two category classification

Suppose  $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, 2\}$ .

$L(\alpha(x), y)$  can be expressed as  $2 \times 2$  matrix.

$$L_{a,i} = L(\alpha(x) = a, y = i) \quad \begin{matrix} a = 1, 2 \\ i = 1, 2 \end{matrix}$$

Expected loss for decision  $\alpha(x) = 1$  is

$$L_{1,1} P_{Y|X}(1|x) + L_{1,2} P_{Y|X}(2|x)$$

For  $\alpha(x) = 2$ :

$$L_{2,1} P_{Y|X}(1|x) + L_{2,2} P_{Y|X}(2|x)$$

$$\hat{a}(\underline{x}) = \underset{a=1,2}{\operatorname{argmin}} [L_{a,1} P_{Y|X}(1|\underline{x}) + L_{a,2} P_{Y|X}(2|\underline{x})] \quad (31)$$

i.e.

$$\hat{a}(\underline{x}) = 2$$

$$L_{1,1} P_{Y|X}(1|\underline{x}) + L_{1,2} P_{Y|X}(2|\underline{x}) \geq L_{2,1} P_{Y|X}(1|\underline{x})$$

$$\hat{a}(\underline{x}) = 1 + L_{2,2} P_{Y|X}(2|\underline{x})$$

$$\hat{a}(\underline{x}) = 2$$

$$\text{or } (L_{1,2} - L_{2,2}) P_{Y|X}(2|\underline{x}) \geq (L_{2,1} - L_{1,1}) P_{Y|X}(1|\underline{x})$$

$$\hat{a}(\underline{x}) = 1$$

Assuming  $L_{1,2} - L_{2,2} > 0$  (wrong decision has larger loss)

$$\frac{P_{Y|X}(2|\underline{x})}{P_{Y|X}(1|\underline{x})} \geq \frac{L_{2,1} - L_{1,1}}{L_{1,2} - L_{2,2}}$$

By Bayes,

$$\hat{a}(\underline{x}) = \frac{f_{\underline{x}|Y}(\underline{x}|2)}{f_{\underline{x}|Y}(\underline{x}|1)} \geq \frac{P_Y(1)(L_{2,1} - L_{1,1})}{P_Y(2)(L_{1,2} - L_{2,2})}$$

likelihood ratio

LR

$T_L$

threshold

indep of  $\underline{x}$

e.g. 0-1 loss function:

(3/a)

$$T_L = \frac{P_Y(1)}{P_Y(2)}. \quad \underline{\text{MAP rule}}$$

if  $P_Y(1) = P_Y(2) = 1/2$ : (can be used when  $P_Y(1), P_Y(2)$  unknown)

$$T_L = 1. \quad \underline{\text{ML Rule}}$$

Note that for MAP rule:

$$P_Y(1) \nearrow \Rightarrow T_L \nearrow \Leftrightarrow |\{x: \alpha(x) = 1\}| \nearrow$$

i.e. ~~more~~ more certain  $y = 1$  initially

$\Rightarrow$  need stronger evidence to change mind.

## Multicategory case

$$\mathcal{Y} = \{1, \dots, m\}$$

(32)

Discriminant functions  $g_i(\underline{x})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

$$\hat{\alpha}(\underline{x}) = i \text{ if } g_i(\underline{x}) \geq g_j(\underline{x}) \quad \forall j \neq i$$

For general case with risks,  ~~$g_i(\underline{x})$~~

$$g_i(\underline{x}) = - \sum_y L(\underline{x}, y) P_{Y|\underline{X}}(y|\underline{x})$$

For 0-1 loss function,

$$g_i(\underline{x}) = P_{Y|\underline{X}}(i|\underline{x})$$

Note if we replace  $g_i(\underline{x})$  by  $f(g_i(\underline{x}))$

where  $f$  is monotonically increasing, resulting decision is unchanged.

Thus, for 0-1 loss function, can use

$$g_i(\underline{x}) = P_{Y|\underline{X}}(i|\underline{x})$$

$$g_i(\underline{x}) = f_{\underline{X}|Y}(\underline{x}|i) P_Y(i)$$

$$g_i(\underline{x}) = \ln f_{\underline{X}|Y}(\underline{x}|i) + \ln P_Y(i)$$

- Divides  $\mathcal{X}$  into decision regions  $\mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_m$

If  $g_i(\underline{x}) \geq g_j(\underline{x}) \quad \forall j \neq i$ , then  $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{R}_i$