MAT362 - Project0 Report

Christopher D. Whitney

August 29, 2017

1 Introduction

In the following report we shall outline and describe the algorithms used, their relative theory and the techniques used to implement them in Matlab. The problems this project deals with is the numerical approximate of integrals, solving initial value problems, first and second derivatives and finding zeros values.

2 Midpoint Rule

3 Euler's Method

4 Newtons Method

Newtons method uses equation of the tangent line to find when a given equation equals zero. To implement this method we used two different approach, the first is a sequential approach where a while loop is used to recalculate X until the stop criteria is met, the second approach uses a recursive function to calculate and re-calculate x until a base-case (stopping criteria) is met. Though the recursive solution is more allegiant does have some serious draw backs such as reaching maximum recursive depth.

To execute this analysis run the file $run_n m.m$, this will call the two different approach $np_seq.m$ (sequential approach) and $nm_rec.m$ (recursive approach). The following are the results after running the script.

```
--- Project0 Netwon Methods ---
Where,
f(x) = x^2, g(x) = x^4
f - g = x^2 - x^4
fp = 2x - 4x^3
Tollerance thershold = 1e-10
--- Guess 1 Method 1 (Sequential) ---
x0 = 2
chi = 0.42857 \text{ xn} = 1.5714
chi = 0.29312 \text{ xn} = 1.2783
```

```
chi = 0.17868 \text{ xn} = 1.0996
chi = 0.081089 \text{ xn} = 1.0185
chi = 0.017733 \text{ xn} = 1.0008
chi = 0.00080692 \text{ xn} = 1
chi = 1.6299e - 06 \text{ xn} = 1
chi = 6.6414e - 12 \text{ xn} = 1
 Result = 1
- Guess 2 Method 1 (Sequential) -
 x0 = 1/4
chi = 0.13393 \text{ xn} = 0.11607
chi = 0.058839 \text{ xn} = 0.057232
chi = 0.02871 \text{ xn} = 0.028522
chi = 0.014272 \text{ xn} = 0.014249
chi = 0.0071261 \text{ xn} = 0.0071232
chi = 0.0035618 \text{ xn} = 0.0035614
chi = 0.0017807 \text{ xn} = 0.0017807
chi = 0.00089034 \text{ xn} = 0.00089034
chi = 0.00044517 \text{ xn} = 0.00044517
chi = 0.00022258 \text{ xn} = 0.00022258
chi = 0.00011129 \text{ xn} = 0.00011129
chi = 5.5646e - 05 \text{ xn} = 5.5646e - 05
chi = 2.7823e - 05 \text{ xn} = 2.7823e - 05
chi = 1.3912e - 05 \text{ xn} = 1.3912e - 05
chi = 6.9558e - 06 \text{ xn} = 6.9558e - 06
chi = 3.4779e - 06 \text{ xn} = 3.4779e - 06
chi = 1.7389e - 06 \text{ xn} = 1.7389e - 06
chi = 8.6947e - 07 \text{ xn} = 8.6947e - 07
chi = 4.3473e - 07 \text{ xn} = 4.3473e - 07
chi = 2.1737e - 07 \text{ xn} = 2.1737e - 07
chi = 1.0868e - 07 \text{ xn} = 1.0868e - 07
chi = 5.4342e - 08 \text{ xn} = 5.4342e - 08
chi = 2.7171e - 08 \text{ xn} = 2.7171e - 08
chi = 1.3585e - 08 \text{ xn} = 1.3585e - 08
chi = 6.7927e - 09 \text{ xn} = 6.7927e - 09
chi = 3.3964e - 09 \text{ xn} = 3.3964e - 09
chi = 1.6982e - 09 \text{ xn} = 1.6982e - 09
chi = 8.4909e - 10 \text{ xn} = 8.4909e - 10
chi = 4.2455e - 10 \text{ xn} = 4.2455e - 10
chi = 2.1227e - 10 \text{ xn} = 2.1227e - 10
chi = 1.0614e - 10 \text{ xn} = 1.0614e - 10
chi = 5.3068e - 11 \text{ xn} = 5.3068e - 11
 Result = 5.3068e - 11
-- Guess 1 Method 2 (Recurive) --
 x0 = 2
chi = 0.42857 \text{ xn} = 1.5714
chi = 0.29312 \text{ xn} = 1.2783
```

```
chi = 0.17868 \text{ xn} = 1.0996
chi = 0.081089 \text{ xn} = 1.0185
chi = 0.017733 \text{ xn} = 1.0008
chi = 0.00080692 \text{ xn} = 1
chi = 1.6299e - 06 \text{ xn} = 1
chi = 6.6414e - 12 \text{ xn} = 1
 Result = 1.5714
- Guess 2 Method 1 (Recurive) -
 x0 = 1/4
chi = 0.13393 \text{ xn} = 0.11607
chi = 0.058839 \text{ xn} = 0.057232
c\,h\,i\,{=}\,0.02871\ xn\ =\ 0.028522
chi = 0.014272 \text{ xn} = 0.014249
chi = 0.0071261 \text{ xn} = 0.0071232
chi = 0.0035618 \text{ xn} = 0.0035614
chi = 0.0017807 \text{ xn} = 0.0017807
chi = 0.00089034 \text{ xn} = 0.00089034
chi = 0.00044517 \text{ xn} = 0.00044517
chi = 0.00022258 \text{ xn} = 0.00022258
chi = 0.00011129 \text{ xn} = 0.00011129
chi = 5.5646e - 05 \text{ xn} = 5.5646e - 05
chi = 2.7823e - 05 \text{ xn} = 2.7823e - 05
chi = 1.3912e - 05 \text{ xn} = 1.3912e - 05
chi = 6.9558e - 06 \text{ xn} = 6.9558e - 06
chi = 3.4779e - 06 \text{ xn} = 3.4779e - 06
chi = 1.7389e - 06 \text{ xn} = 1.7389e - 06
chi = 8.6947e - 07 \text{ xn} = 8.6947e - 07
chi = 4.3473e - 07 \text{ xn} = 4.3473e - 07
chi = 2.1737e - 07 \text{ xn} = 2.1737e - 07
chi = 1.0868e - 07 \text{ xn} = 1.0868e - 07
chi = 5.4342e - 08 \text{ xn} = 5.4342e - 08
chi = 2.7171e - 08 \text{ xn} = 2.7171e - 08
chi = 1.3585e - 08 \text{ xn} = 1.3585e - 08
chi = 6.7927e - 09 \text{ xn} = 6.7927e - 09
chi = 3.3964e - 09 \text{ xn} = 3.3964e - 09
chi = 1.6982e - 09 \text{ xn} = 1.6982e - 09
chi = 8.4909e - 10 \text{ xn} = 8.4909e - 10
chi = 4.2455e - 10 \text{ xn} = 4.2455e - 10
chi = 2.1227e - 10 \text{ xn} = 2.1227e - 10
chi = 1.0614e - 10 \text{ xn} = 1.0614e - 10
chi = 5.3068e - 11 \text{ xn} = 5.3068e - 11
 Result = 0.11607
```

It is easy to see that in both approaches that $x_0 = 2$ coverages quicker.

5 First and Second Derivative