## 1. Methods

## 2 1.1. Model design

We modeled the vertical accretion of a tidal platform  $(d\eta/dt)$  using a zero-dimensional mass balance approach initially described by ? and validated by subsequent studies (????). The rate of vertical accretion

is described as

10

11

$$\frac{d\eta(t)}{dt} = \frac{dS_m(t)}{dt} + \frac{dS_o(t)}{dt} + \frac{dP(t)}{dt} + \frac{dM(t)}{dt},\tag{1}$$

where  $dS_m(t)/dt$  is the rate of mineral sedimentation,  $dS_o(t)/dt$  is the rate of organic matter sedimentation, dP(t)/dt is the rate of shallow compaction after dewatering of the deposited sediment, and dM(t)/dt is the rate of

tectonic subsidence. We considered  $dS_o(t)/dt$ , dP(t)/dt, dM(t)/dt to be constants and used characteristic yearly rates for each; while,  $dS_m(t)/dt$  varies within a tidal cycle (?) and requires additional treatment.

To solve for  $dS_m(t)/dt$ , we began by conceptualizing a tidal platform periodically inundated by sinusoidal tides. We first defined depth to be

$$h(t) = \zeta(t) - \eta(t),\tag{2}$$

where  $\zeta(t)$  is the water-surface elevation and  $\eta(t)$  is the sediment-surface elevation which also implies that

$$\frac{dh(t)}{dt} = \frac{d\zeta(t)}{dt} - \frac{d\eta(t)}{dt}.$$
 (3)

Independently, we assume while h(t) > 0, the rate of mineral sedimentation is

$$\frac{dS_m(t)}{dt} = \frac{w_s C(t)}{\rho_b},\tag{4}$$

where  $w_s$  is the nominal settling velocity of a sediment grain, C(t) is the depth-averaged suspended sediment concentration (SSC) in the water column, and  $\rho_b$  is the bulk density of the sediment. We assumed no resuspension of mineral sediment which is practical and consistent with previous studies (?????).

In order to solve for C(t) in ??, we first defined a mass balance of sediment within the water column as

$$\frac{d}{dt}[h(t)C(t)] = -w_s C(t) + C_b \frac{dh(t)}{dt},\tag{5}$$

which can be expanded and rerranged as

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = -\frac{w_s C(t)}{h(t)} - \frac{1}{h(t)} [C(t) - C_b] \frac{dh(t)}{dt}.$$
 (6)

We assumed advection of new sediment to only occur during flood tide by constraining mass flux from the boundary term when dh/dt > 0. We formalized this mathematically using a Heaviside function which serves as a binary switch and is given as

$$S = \frac{dh}{dt}, \quad H(S) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } S < 0\\ 1 & \text{if } S \ge 0. \end{cases}$$
 (7)

?? then becomes

23

26

27

28

29

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = -\frac{w_s C(t)}{h(t)} - \frac{H(S)}{h(t)} [C(t) - C_b] \frac{dh(t)}{dt}.$$
 (8)

?????? were then solved in that order to obtain the change in elevation during one time step.

We integrated this series of equations for each inundation cycle using an explicit Runge-Kutta method of order 5(4) (?) implemented in Python using SciPy (?). We used an adaptive step size which provided computational efficiency by decreasing step size as needed - i.e. beginning and end of an inundation cycle. To avoid numerical errors due to very small depths in ??, we only allowed the model to integrate while water depths were >1 mm. Outside of the integration (i.e. while the platform was dry), we continued to apply linear rates for  $dS_n(t)/dt$ , dP(t)/dt, dM(t)/dt.

We indentified indundation cycles by filtering the tidal curve for water-surface elevations that were above the corresponding sediment-surface elevation. The time of first element of the filtered data was used to initialize the integration. The adaptive step size method required a continuous function for water-surface elevations so we converted the tidal data to an interpolated univariate spline during the integration. The integration continued until the water-surface elevation fell below the sediment-surface elevation. We repeated this process for all subsequent inundation cycles through the prescribed length of each simulation to obtain a final elevation.

## 1.2. Field observations and model parameters

## Tidal data

31

32

33

34

35

The tidal curve was derived from observations at Sutarkhali station. Water-surface elevations were collected every 10 minutes from January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2019 using an Onset U20L-01 HOBO water level data logger. The data were processed and upsampled to 1 s temporal resolution using the oce package in R (3.6.3) (?). The tidal curve was then shifted to place mean water at

- 43 Software and/or data availability
- 44 Acknowledgements