

2. Methods

2.1. Model design

We modeled the vertical accretion of a tidal platform ($d\eta/dt$) using a zero-dimensional mass balance approach initially described by Krone (1987) and validated by subsequent studies (Allen, 1990; French, 1993; Temmerman et al., 2003, 2004). The rate of vertical accretion is described as

$$\frac{d\eta(t)}{dt} = \frac{dS_m(t)}{dt} + \frac{dS_o(t)}{dt} + \frac{dP(t)}{dt} + \frac{dM(t)}{dt}, \quad (1)$$

where $dS_m(t)/dt$ is the rate of mineral sedimentation, $dS_o(t)/dt$ is the rate of organic matter sedimentation, $dP(t)/dt$ is the rate of shallow compaction of the deposited sediment, and $dM(t)/dt$ is the rate of subsidence. We considered $dS_o(t)/dt$, $dP(t)/dt$, $dM(t)/dt$ to be constants and used characteristic yearly rates for each; while, $dS_m(t)/dt$ varies within a tidal cycle (Hale et al., 2019b) and requires additional treatment.

To solve for $dS_m(t)/dt$, we began by conceptualizing a tidal platform periodically inundated by sinusoidal tides. We first defined depth to be

$$h(t) = \zeta(t) - \eta(t), \quad (2)$$

where $\zeta(t)$ is the water-surface elevation and $\eta(t)$ is the sediment-surface elevation which also implies that

$$\frac{dh(t)}{dt} = \frac{d\zeta(t)}{dt} - \frac{d\eta(t)}{dt}. \quad (3)$$

Independently, we assume while $h(t) > 0$, the rate of mineral sedimentation is

$$\frac{dS_m(t)}{dt} = \frac{w_s C(t)}{\rho_b}, \quad (4)$$

where w_s is the nominal settling velocity of a sediment grain, $C(t)$ is the depth-averaged suspended sediment concentration (SSC) in the water column, and ρ_b is the bulk density of the sediment. We assumed no resuspension of mineral sediment which is practical and consistent with previous studies (Krone, 1987; Allen, 1990; French, 1993; Temmerman et al., 2003, 2004).

In order to solve for $C(t)$ in eq. (4), we first defined a mass balance of sediment within the water column as

$$\frac{d}{dt}[h(t)C(t)] = -w_s C(t) + C_b \frac{dh(t)}{dt}, \quad (5)$$

which can be expanded and rearranged as

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = -\frac{w_s C(t)}{h(t)} - \frac{1}{h(t)}[C(t) - C_b] \frac{dh(t)}{dt}. \quad (6)$$

The mass flux from the boundary term was constrained to only occur during flood tide ($dh/dt > 0$) which is consistent with previous studies (Krone, 1987; Allen, 1990; French, 1993; Temmerman et al., 2003, 2004). We formalized this mathematically using a Heaviside function which serves as a binary switch controlling the flux of sediment from the boundary. The Heaviside function is given as

$$S = \frac{dh}{dt}, \quad H(S) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } S < 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } S \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Eq. (6) then becomes

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = -\frac{w_s C(t)}{h(t)} - \frac{H(S)}{h(t)}[C(t) - C_b] \frac{dh(t)}{dt}. \quad (8)$$

Eqs. (8), (4) and (1) can then be solved in that order to obtain the change in elevation.

We integrated this series of equations for each inundation cycle using an explicit Runge-Kutta method of order 5(4) (Dormand and Prunee, 1980) implemented in Python using SciPy (Virtanen et al., 2020). We used an adaptive step size which provided computational efficiency by decreasing step size as needed - i.e.

beginning and end of an inundation cycle. To avoid numerical errors due to very small depths in eq. (8), we only allowed the model to integrate while water depths were >1 mm.

We identified inundation cycles by filtering the tidal curve for water-surface elevations that were above the current sediment-surface elevation. The time of first element of the filtered data was used to initialize the integration. The adaptive step size method required a continuous function for water-surface elevations so we converted the tidal data to an interpolated univariate spline during the integration. The integration continued until the water-surface elevation fell below the sediment-surface elevation. The new sediment-surface elevation was then used to identify the next inundation cycle. We repeated this process for all subsequent inundation cycles through the prescribed length of each simulation to obtain a final elevation.

2.2. Field observations and model parameters

Tidal data

The tidal curve was derived from observations at Shutarkhali station. Water-surface elevations were collected every 10 minutes from January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2019 using an optical backscatter sensor (OBS). The data was processed and upsampled to 1 s temporal resolution using the `oce` package in R (3.6.3) (Kelley and Richards, 2020). The tidal curve was then calibrated by placing mean higher high water at 0.3 m above the Sundarban platform and 1.8 m above the polder surface which is consistent with survey data (Auerbach et al., 2015; Hale et al., 2019b; Bomer et al., 2020b). We repeated this tidal curve for each subsequent year of the simulation with a superimposed sea level rise rate of 5 mm yr^{-1} .

Organic matter, shallow compaction, and subsidence

Bomer et al. (2020a) found organic matter only accounts for $\sim 0.9\% \pm 0.1\%$ of the $\sim 2.42 \text{ cm yr}^{-1} \pm 0.26 \text{ cm yr}^{-1}$ yearly bulk sedimentation. Using this, we set $dS_o(t)/dt$ to 0.2 mm yr^{-1} .

Shallow compaction is difficult to constrain for the region and likely varies significantly due to natural variability in stratigraphy and anthropogenic activity (e.g. subsurface fluid extraction and accelerated oxidation of below-ground biomass due to drying of the sediment). Auerbach et al. (2015) suggested shallow compaction rates of 0.4 cm yr^{-1} for natural compaction and 0.8 cm yr^{-1} for accelerated compaction (combined natural and anthropogenic compaction). We adopted their values of $dP(t)/dt$.

Estimates of subsidence vary for the region and are hard to disentangle from compaction. Many studies (Pethick and Orford, 2013; Goodbred and Kuehl, 2000; Stanley and Hait, 2000) combine compaction and subsidence. Drawing from this literature and Auerbach et al. (2015) considered both compaction and subsidence separately by using values in the literature (Pethick and Orford, 2013; Goodbred and Kuehl, 2000; Stanley and Hait, 2000) estimated subsidence to be 0.3 cm yr^{-1} . We set $dM(t)/dt$ to this value.

Settling velocity, suspend sediment concentration, and bulk density

We used Stoke's law to determine w_s . Stoke's law assumes unhindered settling which likely overestimates actual settling rates and, therefore, mineral sedimentation rates. However, we only considered settling for a singular, median grain size which likely underestimated mineral sedimentation rates from coarser grains. Model calibration further corrected for these errors. Thus, the w_s given by Stoke's law should be considered an imprecise, but reasonable approximation.

For C_b , we use observed values of SSC from Hale et al. (2019a) that are characteristic of the tidal channels in the region.

For ρ , we used values derived from conversations with Steven Goodbred and Carol Wilson.

Software and/or data availability

Acknowledgements

References

- Allen, J.R.L., 1990. Salt-marsh growth and stratification: A numerical model with special reference to the Severn Estuary, southwest Britain. *Marine Geology* 95, 77–96. doi:10/dpennnc.
- Auerbach, L.W., Goodbred Jr, S.L., Mondal, D.R., Wilson, C.A., Ahmed, K.R., Roy, K., Steckler, M.S., Small, C., Gilligan, J.M., Ackerly, B.A., 2015. Flood risk of natural and embanked landscapes on the Ganges–Brahmaputra tidal delta plain. *Nature Climate Change* 5, 153–157. doi:10/gc3fxh.
- Bomer, E.J., Wilson, C.A., Elsey-Quirk, T., 2020a. Process Controls of the Live Root Zone and Carbon Sequestration Capacity of the Sundarbans Mangrove Forest, Bangladesh. *Sci* 2, 54. doi:10/gjggj3.
- Bomer, E.J., Wilson, C.A., Hale, R.P., Hossain, A.N.M., Rahman, F.M.A., 2020b. Surface elevation and sedimentation dynamics in the Ganges–Brahmaputra tidal delta plain, Bangladesh: Evidence for mangrove adaptation to human-induced tidal amplification. *CATENA* 187, 104312. doi:10/ggszhr.
- Center For International Earth Science Information Network-CIESIN-Columbia University, 2018. Population Estimation Service, Version 3 (PES-v3). doi:10.7927/H4DR2SK5.
- Dormand, J., Prunce, P., 1980. A family of embedded Runge-Kutta formulae. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 6, 19–26. doi:10/cfw5fc.
- French, J.R., 1993. Numerical simulation of vertical marsh growth and adjustment to accelerated sea-level rise, North Norfolk, U.K. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 18, 63–81. doi:10/drw2f8.
- Goodbred, S., Kuehl, S.A., 2000. The significance of large sediment supply, active tectonism, and eustasy on margin sequence development: Late Quaternary stratigraphy and evolution of the Ganges–Brahmaputra delta. *Sedimentary Geology* 133, 227–248. doi:10/fgb24h.
- Hale, R., Bain, R., Goodbred Jr., S., Best, J., 2019a. Observations and scaling of tidal mass transport across the lower Ganges–Brahmaputra delta plain: Implications for delta management and sustainability. *Earth Surface Dynamics* 7, 231–245. doi:10/gf2cdq.
- Hale, R.P., Wilson, C.A., Bomer, E.J., 2019b. Seasonal Variability of Forces Controlling Sedimentation in the Sundarbans National Forest, Bangladesh. *Frontiers in Earth Science* 7, 211. doi:10/ggjhb2.
- Higgins, S.A., Overeem, I., Rogers, K.G., Kalina, E.A., 2018. River linking in India: Downstream impacts on water discharge and suspended sediment transport to deltas. *Elem Sci Anth* 6, 20. doi:10/gc5tf8.
- Kelley, D., Richards, C., 2020. Oce: Analysis of Oceanographic Data.
- Krone, R., 1987. A Method for Simulating Marsh Elevations, in: *Coastal Sediments*, American Society of Civil Engineers, New Orleans, Louisiana. pp. 316–323.
- Pethick, J., Orford, J.D., 2013. Rapid rise in effective sea-level in southwest Bangladesh: Its causes and contemporary rates. *Global and Planetary Change* 111, 237–245. doi:10/s8h.
- Stanley, D.J., Hait, A.K., 2000. Holocene Depositional Patterns, Neotectonics and Sundarban Mangroves in the Western Ganges–Brahmaputra Delta. *Journal of Coastal Research* 16, 26–39.
- Temmerman, S., Govers, G., Meire, P., Wartel, S., 2003. Modelling long-term tidal marsh growth under changing tidal conditions and suspended sediment concentrations, Scheldt estuary, Belgium. *Marine Geology* 193, 151–169. doi:10/dqg2zs.
- Temmerman, S., Govers, G., Wartel, S., Meire, P., 2004. Modelling estuarine variations in tidal marsh sedimentation: Response to changing sea level and suspended sediment concentrations. *Marine Geology* 212, 1–19. doi:10/dsjcj6.
- Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T.E., Haberland, M., Reddy, T., Cournapeau, D., Burovski, E., Peterson, P., Weckesser, W., Bright, J., van der Walt, S.J., Brett, M., Wilson, J., Millman, K.J., Mayorov, N., Nelson, A.R.J., Jones, E., Kern, R., Larson, E., Carey, C.J., Polat, İ., Feng, Y., Moore, E.W., VanderPlas, J., Laxalde, D., Perktold, J., Cimrman, R., Henriksen, I., Quintero, E.A., Harris, C.R., Archibald, A.M., Ribeiro, A.H., Pedregosa, F., van Mulbregt, P., 2020. SciPy 1.0: Fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nature Methods* 17, 261–272. doi:10/ggj45f.