

Assess the relative importance of the physical environment and socio-economic factors in determining the health characteristics of a local area you have studied

higher income bands are better able to rent properties in east village, with private parks, pumped artificial lakes, privately planted flower gardens, lower income bands, are more likely to occupy council housing, based on an outdated industrial design, not designed to let in maximum sunlight, where reports of damp and mold are, exacerbated by a lack of public parks, such that human geographers argue there is an overwhelming psychological benefit to living in a rental property, SADS, seasonal depressive disorder - include another statistic about suicide - less respiratory illness from mould. residents in higher properties are incentivised to explore their private parks.

yet, it can be said physical environment more important, London UNESCO 2018, 9th most polluted city in the world. Newham accumulation of car-borne carcinogens, observable affect highest cancer rates of 32 London boroughs,

A02 -> Thus regardless of income band - carcinogens affect all income bands.

however, negligible? Olympic park free for most residents, easily commutable by bus and train <- this bit is A02. and many BETTER sports facilities are heavily subsidised. however, to evaluate, Newham obesity has in fact increased by 23%

however those suffering from carcinogen effects, NHS waiting time exacerbated by junior strike. however, private healthcare are able to offer 7 different kinds of chemotherapy not by NHS, this can be said vastly different health segment the health characteristics through treatment plans, those on higher income bands receive higher quality treatments.

in conclusion, impossible to decouple from each other, such that both are of relative weight and importance, although it can be argued... in the long run physical env. holds more weight. yet to counter evaluate,

ANALYSIS LOSES FOCUS AND CONCLUSION IS UNCLEAR