

Abstract Algebra 2024–I

Homework 1

Pablo Rosero & Christian Chávez

September 11, 2023

- For each of the following pairs of integers a and b , determine their greatest common divisor, their least common multiple, and write their greatest common divisor in the form $ax + by$ for some integers x and y .

(a) $a = 792, b = 275$

(b) $a = 507885, b = 60808$

Solution. Using the (extended) Euclidean Algorithm, we get the following. Here $[a, b]$ denotes the least common multiple of a and b .

(a) $(a, b) = 11, [a, b] = 19800, (a, b) = 8a - 23b$

(b) $(a, b) = 691, [a, b] = 44693880, (a, b) = -17a + 142b$

- Prove that if n is composite then there are integers a and b such that n divides ab but n does not divide either a or b .

Proof. Let n be composite. Recall this means n is a positive integer greater than 1. By definition, n has positive divisors other than 1 and n . Thus, $n = ab$ for some positive integers a and b with $a, b \notin \{1, n\}$. Clearly $n \mid ab$. Since $a < n$, we have $n \nmid a$. Similarly $n \nmid b$. We are done. \square

- If p is a prime, prove that there do not exist nonzero integers a and b such that $a^2 = pb^2$. (Why this proves \sqrt{p} is not a rational number.)

Proof. Suppose p is a prime number and assume for the sake of contradiction that there do exist nonzero integers a and b such that $a^2 = pb^2$. Either a and b share common factors other than 1 or not. Suppose first they do not have common factors other than 1. Notice $a^2 = pb^2$ implies $p \mid a^2$, whence $p \mid a$ (by Euclid's lemma), and thus $pk = a$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $p^2k^2 = pb^2$ which implies $pk^2 = b^2$. Then, $p \mid b^2$ and, as before, $p \mid b$. We have shown p divides both a and b , so p is a common factor of both, a contradiction. If a and b share common factors other than 1, we can rule

them out of the equation $a^2 = pb^2$ by using the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic to write a^2 and b^2 as powers of products of primes. Hence, we are led to the case above, which we proved cannot hold. In any case we arrived at a contradiction and so we conclude our main assumption was false. The proof is complete. \square

Remark. Euclid's lemma states that if a prime number divides the product of two integers, then it must divide at least one of those integers. On the other hand, this proof uses basic facts about the integers. However, by writing $(a/b)^2 = p$, with a and b in lowest terms, we see that $b = 1$ because p is an integer and the rationals that are also integers are the ones that have denominator 1. Thus $a^2 = p$ implies p is composite, a contradiction.

4. Write down explicitly all the elements in the residue classes of $\mathbb{Z}/18\mathbb{Z}$.

Solution. The elements of $\mathbb{Z}/18\mathbb{Z}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} &\{18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \{1 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \{2 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &\{3 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \{4 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \{5 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &\{6 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \{7 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \{8 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &\{9 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \{10 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \{11 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &\{12 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \{13 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \{14 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &\{15 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \{16 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \text{ and } \{17 + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note however that a more compact way to write this information is as follows:

$$\mathbb{Z}/18\mathbb{Z} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{17} \{\{i + 18k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}\}.$$

5. Suppose $a = a_n 10^n + a_{n-1} 10^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 10 + a_0$ is any positive integer. Show that $a \equiv a_n + a_{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 + a_0 \pmod{9}$. (Note that this is the usual arithmetic rule that the remainder after division by 9 is the same as the sum of the decimal digits mod 9. In particular, an integer is divisible by 9 if and only if the sum of its digits is divisible by 9).

Proof. Let \bar{a} denote the residue class of $a \pmod{9}$. Using modular arithmetic we have

$$\bar{a} = \overline{\sum_{k=0}^n a_k 10^k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \overline{a_k} \cdot \overline{10^k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \overline{a_k} \cdot 1.$$

Equivalently, this can be written as

$$a \equiv a_n + a_{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 + a_0 \pmod{9},$$

and we are done. \square

6. Compute the remainder when 37^{100} is divided by 29.

Solution. Performing all arithmetic mod 29, we have $37^{100} = 8^{100}$. Moreover, note that

$$\begin{aligned} 8^{28} &= (8^2)^2 \cdot \left((8^2)^2\right)^2 \cdot \left(\left((8^2)^2\right)^2\right)^2 \\ &= 6^2 \cdot (6^2)^2 \cdot \left((6^2)^2\right)^2 \\ &= 7 \cdot 7^2 \cdot (7^2)^2 \\ &= 7 \cdot 20 \cdot 20^2 \\ &= 140 \cdot 23 \\ &= 24 \cdot 23 \\ &= 552 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

So we have $8^{100} = 8^{28} \cdot 8^{28} \cdot 8^{28} \cdot 8^{16} = 8^{16} = 23$, as computed above.

7. Prove that the squares of the elements in $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ are just $\bar{0}$ and $\bar{1}$.

Proof. We have $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}\}$. Modulo 4, we have $\bar{0}^2 = \bar{0}$, $\bar{1}^2 = \bar{1}$, $\bar{2}^2 = \bar{4} = \bar{0}$, and $\bar{3}^2 = \bar{9} = \bar{1}$. \square

8. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Prove that $a^2 + b^2$ never leaves a remainder of 3 when divided by 4. (Hint: use the previous exercise.)

Proof. Suppose $a^2 + b^2$ can be divided by 4 (so, it is not zero). By the division algorithm, there are unique integers q and r such that $a^2 + b^2 = 4q + r$ with $0 \leq r < 4$. Then $\overline{a^2 + b^2} \equiv \bar{r}$, taking congruence classes mod 4. By the previous exercise, $\overline{a^2 + b^2} = \bar{a}^2 + \bar{b}^2$ can only be $\bar{0}$, $\bar{1}$ or $\bar{2}$. Thus $\bar{r} \neq \bar{3}$, whence $r \neq 3$. \square

9. Prove that the equation $x^2 + y^2 = 3z^2$ has no solutions for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, there are nonzero integers x , y , and z such that $x^2 + y^2 = 3z^2$. Either these integers have factors in common or not. If they do, we can factor them out of the equation $x^2 + y^2 = 3z^2$ to get a new equation $\hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2 = 3\hat{z}^2$ where \hat{x} , \hat{y} and \hat{z} do not share common factors. This situation lead us to the second case, so we only need to prove such a case is imposible. Suppose x , y , and z do not share common factors. Taking residue classes modulo 3, we have $\bar{x}^2 + \bar{y}^2 = \bar{0}$. This equation is satisfied (if and) only if x and y are multiples of 3. Indeed, if k is any integer, then \bar{k} can only be $\bar{0}$, $\bar{1}$ or $\bar{2}$; thus \bar{k}^2 can only be $\bar{0}$ or $\bar{1}$. Since $\bar{1} + \bar{0} = \bar{0} + \bar{1} = \bar{1}$

and $\bar{1} + \bar{1} = \bar{2}$, the only possible case is $\bar{x}^2 = \bar{y}^2 = \bar{0}$. In other words, $3 \mid x^2$ and $3 \mid y^2$. Euclid's lemma then implies 3 divides both x and y . Finally, it follows the left side of $x^2 + y^2 = 3z^2$ is a multiple of 9 and by dividing both sides by 3 we get z^2 is a multiple of 3, whence z is also a multiple of 3. We have shown that x , y , and z have 3 as common factor, which is a contradiction. This contradiction proves the result. \square

10. Prove that if $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$, then $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

Proof. Suppose $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Then there are $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ such that $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{x} = \bar{1}$ and $\bar{b} \cdot \bar{y} = \bar{1}$. Thus

$$(\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b}) \cdot (\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y}) = (\bar{a} \cdot \bar{x}) \cdot (\bar{b} \cdot \bar{y}) = \bar{1},$$

whence the result follows. \square

11. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n > 1$, and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq a \leq n$. Prove if a and n are not relatively prime, there exists an integer b with $1 \leq b < n$ such that $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ and deduce that there cannot be an integer c such that $ac \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

Proof. Suppose $d = (a, n) > 1$. By definition, $a = dx$ and $dy = n$ for some positive integers x and y . Thus $ay = dxy = nx$, whence $ay \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Since $d > 1$, we have $y < n$. Take $b = y$. If there were an integer c such that $ac \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, then $abc \equiv b \pmod{n}$, whence $0 \equiv b \pmod{n}$. This is a contradiction since $1 \leq b < n$. \square

12. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n > 1$, and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq a \leq n$. Prove that if a and n are relatively prime then there is an integer c such that $ac \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. (Use the fact that the g.c.d. of two integers is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of the integers.)

Proof. Suppose a and n are relatively prime. We know there are integers x and y such that $ax + ny = (a, n) = 1$. Thus $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Take $c = x$ and conclude. \square

13. Conclude from the previous two exercises that $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is the set of elements \bar{a} of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with $(a, n) = 1$ and hence prove Proposition 4. Verify this directly in the case $n = 12$.

Solution. From 11, it follows $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times \subseteq \{\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} : (k, n) = 1\}$ (use the contrapositive). From 12, it follows the other inclusion and consequently

$$(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} : (k, n) = 1\}.$$

In particular,

$$(\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}.$$

The inverses of these elements are 1, 5, 7, and 11, in display order.

14. (a) Prove that if n is squarefree (i.e., $n > 1$ and n is not divisible by the square of any prime), then \sqrt{n} is irrational.
- (b) Prove that $\sqrt[3]{2}$ is irrational.

Proof. (a) Suppose n is square free and assume, for the sake of contradiction, that \sqrt{n} is rational. Thus, $\sqrt{n} = p/q$ for some integers p and q with $(p, q) = 1$. Then $n = p^2/q^2$, and since $(p^2, q^2) = 1$ (verify this by using the procedure to compute the g.c.d. using the FTA), it follows $q^2 = 1$ because n is an integer (a rational number with denominator 1). Thus, $n = p^2$. Note $n > 1$ implies p can be written as a product of powers of primes. Then p^2 contains the square of a prime in its prime factorization. Since the square of a prime divides $p^2 = n$, we reach a contradiction. The proof is finished.

(b) We know 2 is square free. Thus $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational. It follows $\sqrt[3]{2}$ is irrational. Otherwise we could write $\sqrt[3]{2}$ as a quotient of integers and from there it is implied $\sqrt{2}$ is a rational number, by the properties of exponentiation. Contradiction.

□

15. Let a and b be nonzero integers and let $d = (a, b)$. Prove that a/d and b/d are relatively prime.

Proof. There are integers x and y such that $ax + by = d$, so

$$\frac{a}{d}x + \frac{b}{d}y = 1.$$

Let $d' = (a/d, b/d)$. Because d' divides any \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of a/d and b/d , it follows $d' \mid 1$. Hence $d' = 1$ and the proof is complete. □

16. Let $m, r, r' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Prove that if $(r, m) = 1 = (r', m)$, then $(rr', m) = 1$.

Proof. Suppose $(r, m) = 1 = (r', m)$. Then $rx_1 + my_1 = 1$ and $r'x_2 + my_2 = 1$ for some integers x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & (rx_1 + my_1)r'x_2 + (rx_1 + my_1)my_2 = 1 \\ \iff & rr'x_1x_2 + m(y_1r'x_2 + rx_1y_2 + my_1y_2) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since (rr', m) divides any \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of rr' and m , it follows $(rr', m) \mid 1$ and thus $(rr', m) = 1$, as desired. □

17. Assume that $d = sa + tb$ is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of integers a and b . Find infinitely many pairs of integers (s_k, t_k) with $d = s_ka + t_kb$.

Solution. For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $s_k = s - kb$ and $t_k = t + ka$. Notice

$$s_k a + t_k b = sa - kab + tb + kab = sa + tb = d$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, there are countably many pair of integers (s_k, t_k) that satisfy the equation. We are done.

18. If a and b are relatively prime and if each divides an integer n , then their product ab also divides n .

Proof. Suppose a and b are relatively prime and that each one divides an integer n . Let $d = (a, b)$ and $l = [a, b]$. Since n is a common multiple of a and b , we must have $l \mid n$. Notice $l = ab$ because $dl = ab$ and $d = 1$. Thus $ab \mid n$, as desired. \square

19. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a > 0$. Prove that $a(b, c) = (ab, ac)$. (One must assume that $a > 0$ lest $a(b, c)$ be negative.)

Proof. Write $bx + cy = (b, c)$ for some integers x and y . Then $abx + acy = a(b, c)$. Since (ab, ac) divides any \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of ab and ac , it follows $(ab, ac) \mid a(b, c)$. On the other hand, note $a(b, c) \mid ab$ and $a(b, c) \mid ac$, so $a(b, c)$ divides any \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of ab and ac . In particular, $a(b, c) \mid (ab, ac)$. Therefore, because $a(b, c)$ and (ab, ac) divide each other and they are positive, it follows $a(b, c) = (ab, ac)$. \square

20. A Pythagorean triple is a 3-tuple (a, b, c) of positive integers for which

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2.$$

A pythagorean triple is called primitive if $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$. (*Definition.* A common divisor of nonzero integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n is an integer c such that $c \mid a_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The largest of the common divisors is called its greatest common divisor.)

- (a) Consider a complex number $z = q + ip$, where $q > p$ are positive integers. Prove that

$$(q^2 - p^2, 2qp, q^2 + p^2)$$

is a Pythagorean triple by showing that $|z^2| = |z|^2$. (One can prove that every primitive Pythagorean triple (a, b, c) is of this type.)

- (b) Show that the Pythagorean triple $(9, 12, 15)$ (which is not primitive) is not of the type given in part (a).

Proof. (a) Note $|z^2| = |z \cdot z| = |z||z| = |z|^2$. Now $z^2 = (q^2 - p^2) + i2qp$, so that $|z^2| = (q^2 - p^2)^2 + (2qp)^2$. On the other hand, $|z|^2 = (q^2 + p^2)^2$. Thus, if we define $a = q^2 - p^2$, $b = 2qp$, and $c = q^2 + p^2$, then $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$. We have shown (a, b, c) is a Pythagorean triple, completing the proof.

- (b) Suppose there are positive integers p and q , with $q > p$, such that $(9, 12, 15)$ is a pythagorean triple of the type above. Then $2qp = 12$ and $qp = 6$. Since $q > p$ are positive integers, the only possibilities are $q = 6$ and $p = 1$ or $q = 3$ and $p = 2$. The first possibility gives the Pythagorean triple $(12, 35, 37)$ while the second gives the Pythagorean triple $(5, 12, 13)$. Contradiction.

□

- 21.** Let X and Y be finite sets. Show that there is a bijection $f: X \rightarrow Y$ if and only if $|X| = |Y|$. (By definition, a set is finite if it is empty or if it can be put in a one-to-one correspondence with $[k] = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, for some integer $k \geq 1$.)

Proof. There is nothing to prove. This is the definition of cardinality.

□

- 22.** (Pigeonhole Principle) If X and Y are finite sets with the same number of elements, show that the following conditions are equivalent for a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

- (a) f is bijective
- (b) f is injective
- (c) f is surjective

Proof.

(a) \Rightarrow (b) This follows from the definition of bijection.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Suppose f is injective. Because X is finite, $f(X)$ must contain at least $|X|$ elements. Also, $f(X) \subseteq Y$ implies $f(X)$ must have at most $|Y|$ elements. Since $|X| = |Y|$, it follows $f(X) = Y$, i.e., f is surjective. (Alternatively, this can be proved by induction on the number of elements of X .)

(c) \Rightarrow (a) Suppose f is a surjection, which means it has a right inverse $g: B \rightarrow A$. Equivalently, f is a left inverse for g . Thus g is injective. By the preceding proof, g is surjective and hence it is a bijection. In other words, g is invertible. From $f \circ g = 1_B$, we get f is invertible and thus a bijection.

□

- 23.** (a) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function, and let $(S_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of subsets of X . Prove that

$$f\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i\right) = \bigcup_{i \in I} f(S_i)$$

- (b) If S_1 and S_2 are subsets of a set X , and if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is any function, prove that $f(S_1 \cap S_2) \subseteq f(S_1) \cap f(S_2)$. Give an example in which $f(S_1 \cap S_2) \neq f(S_1) \cap f(S_2)$.

- (c) If S_1 and S_2 are subsets of a set X , and if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an injection, prove that $f(S_1 \cap S_2) = f(S_1) \cap f(S_2)$.

Proof. (a) Let $x \in f(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i)$, arbitrary. Then there are some $i_0 \in I$ and $s \in S_{i_0}$ such that $x = f(s)$. Since $x \in f(S_{i_0}) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} f(S_i)$, the first inclusion holds. Conversely, let $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} f(S_i)$. Then $x \in f(S_{i_0})$ for some $i_0 \in I$. Since $S_{i_0} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$, we have $x \in f(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i)$, so the other inclusion also holds.

- (b) Let S_1 and S_2 be subsets of a set X , and suppose f is a function from S_1 to S_2 . Since $f(S_1 \cap S_2) \subseteq f(S_1)$ and $f(S_1 \cap S_2) \subseteq f(S_2)$, it follows $f(S_1 \cap S_2) \subseteq f(S_1) \cap f(S_2)$. The other inclusion not always holds. For instance, consider any n -to-one map, $n > 1$; for example, $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto |x|$. We have $f([-1, 0]) = [0, 1]$ and $f([0, 1]) = [0, 1]$. However

$$f([-1, 0] \cap [0, 1]) = \{0\} \neq [0, 1] = f([-1, 0]) \cap f([0, 1]).$$

- (c) Under the same hypotheses of the last proof, assume also that f is an injection. We only need to prove the second inclusion. Let $x \in f(S_1) \cap f(S_2)$. Then $x = f(a)$ for some $a \in S_1$ and $x = f(b)$ for some $b \in S_2$. Thus $f(a) = f(b)$. Because f is injective, $a = b$. It follows $a \in S_1 \cap S_2$, and therefore $x = f(a) \in f(S_1 \cap S_2)$. Conclude by the arbitrariness of x .

□

24. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function.

- (a) If $(B_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a family of subsets of Y , prove that

$$f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda\right) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(B_\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda\right) = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(B_\lambda).$$

- (b) If $B \subseteq Y$, prove that $f^{-1}(B^c) = f^{-1}(B)^c$, where B^c denotes the complement of B .

Proof. (a) Let $(B_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of subsets of Y . (i) Let $\alpha \in f^{-1}(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda)$. Then $f(\alpha) \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$, whence $f(\alpha) \in B_{\lambda_*}$ for some $\lambda_* \in \Lambda$. It follows

$$\alpha \in f^{-1}(B_{\lambda_*}) \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(B_\lambda).$$

This shows the first inclusion. The other inclusion comes from the fact that the

previous steps are all equivalent. (ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \in f^{-1} \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda \right) &\iff f(x) \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda \\
 &\iff f(x) \in B_\lambda, \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda \\
 &\iff x \in f^{-1}(B_\lambda), \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda \\
 &\iff x \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(B_\lambda)
 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$f^{-1} \left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda \right) = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(B_\lambda),$$

as desired.

(b) For any $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \in f^{-1}(Y \setminus B) &\iff f(x) \in Y \setminus B \\
 &\iff f(x) \notin B \\
 &\iff x \notin f^{-1}(B) \\
 &\iff x \in X \setminus f^{-1}(B).
 \end{aligned}$$

As a result, $f^{-1}(Y \setminus B) = X \setminus f^{-1}(B)$. The proof is complete.

□

25. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Define a relation on X by $x \equiv x'$ if $f(x) = f(x')$. Prove that \equiv is an equivalence relation. (If $x \in X$ and $f(x) = y$, the equivalence class $[x]$ is usually denoted by $f^{-1}(y)$, the inverse image of $\{y\}$.)

Proof. We prove the defining properties of an equivalence relation. Let $x, y, z \in X$.

- (i) (Reflexivity) Because $f(x) = f(x)$, we have $x \equiv x$.
- (ii) (Symmetry) Suppose $x \equiv y$, which means $f(x) = f(y)$. Clearly $f(y) = f(x)$, which, by definition, is equivalent to $y \equiv x$.
- (iii) (Transitivity) Suppose $x \equiv y$ and $y \equiv z$. Then $f(x) = f(y)$ and $f(y) = f(z)$, whence $f(x) = f(z)$. Therefore $x \equiv z$.

The proof is finished.

□