School of Mathematical and **Computational Sciences**

Abstract Algebra

Prof. Pablo Rosero & Christian Chávez Lesson 6

Quotient Groups and Homomorphisms

1.1. Cosets and counting

Let (G, \cdot) be a group.

Definition 1.1. Let $H \leq G$ and $a, b \in G$. Define \cong_l over G by

$$a \cong_l b \iff a^{-1}b \in H.$$

Whenever $a \cong_l b$ we say a is left congruent to b module H. Define \cong_r over G by

$$a \cong_r b \iff ab^{-1} \in H$$
.

Whenever $a \cong_r b$ we say a is right congruent to b module H.

Remark 1.1.1. If *G* is Abelian,

$$a - b \in H \iff b - a \in H$$

for any $a, b \in G$. This is not true in general unless G is Abelian.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $H \leq G$.

- (i) Both \cong_l and \cong_r are equivalence relations on G.
- (ii) The equivalence class of $a \in G$ under left congruence mod H is the set

$$aH = \{ah \mid h \in H\}$$

(iii) The equivalence class of $a \in G$ under right congruence mod H is the set

$$Ha = \{ha \mid h \in H\}.$$

(iv) For any $a \in G$, |Ha| = |H| = |aH|.

Proof. Try it yourself (or classwork).

We call *aH* the *left coset* of *H* by *a* in *G*, and *Ha* the a *right coset* of *H* by *a* in *G*.

Remark 1.2.1. In additive notation (that is, when we are working with an Abelian group) we write a + H instead of aH and H + a instead of Ha. In fact, there is no difference between left and right cosets in this case. (Why a + H = H + a for any $a \in G$?)

Corollary 1.3. *Let* $H \leq G$.

$$(i) \ \ G = \bigcup_{a \in G} Ha = \bigcup_{a \in G} aH$$

- (ii) For all $a, b \in G$ distinct, $aH \cap bH = \emptyset$ and $Ha \cap Hb = \emptyset$.
- (iii) For all $a, b \in G$, we have aH = bH if and only if $a^{-1}b \in H$ (or $b a \in H$ in additive notation) and Ha = Hb if and only if $ab^{-1} \in H$ (or $b a \in H$ in additive notation).
- (iv) If $\mathcal{R} = \{ Ha \mid a \in G \}$ and $\mathcal{L} = \{ aH \mid a \in G \}$ then $|\mathcal{R}| = |\mathcal{L}|$.

A special name and notation have been adopted for the number of left (or right) cosets of a subgroup in a group.

Definition 1.4 (Index). The index of a subgroup H in G is the number of distinct left cosets of H in G. This number is denoted by |G:H|.

Exercise 1. Prove |G:H| equals the number of distinct right cosets of H in G. Thus it does not matter whether we count left or right cosets.

Definition 1.5. A **complete set of right representatives** of H is a subset S of G consisting of exactly one element from each right coset. In other words, $S \cap Ha$ is a singleton for every $a \in G$.

Define a *complete set of left representatives* in the obvious way.

Theorem 1.6. If K, H, G are groups with k < H < G then

$$[G:k] = [G:H] \cdot [H:k]$$

If any two of these indices are finite, so is the third.

Proof.

Corollary 1.7 (Lagrange's theorem). *If* $H \le G$, then |G| = [G:H]|H|. *In particular, if* G *is finite, the order of any* $a \in G$ *divides* |G|.

Proof. \Box

Theorem 1.8. Let H and K be finite subgroups of a group G. Then

$$|HK| = \frac{|H||K|}{|H \cap K|}.$$

Proposition 1.9.

Proof. \Box

Proposition 1.10.