

## School of Mathematical and **Computational Sciences**

Abstract Algebra

Prof. Pablo Rosero & Christian Chávez Lesson 1

## Basic properties of the integers

In this lesson and onwards,  $\mathbb{Z}$  denotes the set of integers and  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  the set of positive integers.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $a \neq 0$ . We say a is a **divisor** of b if there is an integer c such that  $a \cdot c = b$ . In this case, we write  $a \mid b$ . If a does not divide b, we write  $a \nmid b$ .

Note the statement  $a \mid b$  is equivalent to b is a multiple of a.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . The greatest common divisor of a and b, denoted (a, b), is the largest positive integer *d* such that

- 1.  $d \mid a \text{ and } d \mid b$ .
- 2. If  $e \mid a$  and  $e \mid b$  then  $e \mid d$ .

If (a, b) = 1, we say a and b are **coprime** or **relatively prime**.

**Question 1.** Why does (a, b) always exist for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ?

Exercise 1. (i) Prove the greatest common divisor of two integers is indeed unique.

(ii) Define least common multiple.

**Theorem 1.3** (Division algorithm). *If*  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , *there are unique*  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  *such that* 

$$a = qb + r$$
 and  $0 \le r < |b|$ .

*We call q the quotient and r the remainder.* 

**Euclidean Algorithm.** This is an efficient method to compute the gcd of any two integers. It is based on the division algorithm.<sup>1</sup>

If a and b are nonzero integers, then by the division algorithm we get  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that a = qb + r. Let  $q_0 = q$  and  $r_0 = r$ . By applying the division algorithm again with  $q_0$  and  $r_0$  we obtain a new quotient  $q_1$  and a new remainder  $r_1$ . The idea of this procedure is to continue applying the division algorithm until we reach a zero remainder. From one step to the next, the

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Keep in mind that, despite the name, the *division algorithm* is a theorem whereas the *euclidean algorithm* is a procedure.

divisor becomes the dividend and the remainder the divisor, as follows:

$$a = q_{0}b + r_{0}$$

$$b = q_{1}r_{0} + r_{1}$$

$$r_{0} = q_{2}r_{1} + r_{2}$$

$$r_{1} = q_{3}r_{2} + r_{3}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$r_{n-2} = q_{n}r_{n-1} + r_{n}$$

$$r_{n-1} = q_{n+1}r_{n}$$
(1)

**Question 2.** Why the Euclidean algorithm always terminates? In other words, why we always get a zero remainder at the end of the Euclidean algorithm? Keep in mind the condition  $0 \le r < |b|$  in the division algorithm.

As a consequence of the Euclidean algorithm, the greatest common divisor of two integers can be written as a linear combination of those integers. This can be done by backward substitution in (1).

**Theorem 1.4** (Bézout's identity). Let a and b be integers with d = (a, b). Then there exist integers x and y such that ax + by = d.

**Example 1.** Compute (1761, 1567) and write this integer as a linear combination of 1761 and 1567.

Solution. By the Euclidean algorithm,

$$1761 = 1 \cdot 1567 + 194$$

$$1567 = 8 \cdot 194 + 15$$

$$194 = 12 \cdot 15 + 14$$

$$15 = 1 \cdot 14 + 1$$

$$14 = 14 \cdot 1 + 0$$

From the next to last line we get (1761, 1567) = 1.

**Definition 1.5.** An integer p is **prime** iff

- (i) p > 1, and
- (ii) the only positive divisors of p are p and 1.

A **composite** integer is an integer greater than 1 that is not prime.

Thus, every positive integer is composite, prime, or the unit 1.

**Remark 1.5.1.** If *p* is a prime and  $b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  then

$$(p,b) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } p \mid b, \\ 1 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Prove this claim.

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let*  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  *be such that* 

- (*i*)  $0 \in I$ ,
- (ii) if  $a, b \in I$ , then  $a b \in I$ ,
- (iii) if  $a \in I$  and  $q \in I$ , then  $aq \subseteq I$ .

Then, there is some nonnegative integer  $d \in I$  such that

$$I = \{dk : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

**Remark 1.6.1.** If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we denote  $nA = \{na : a \in A\}$ . If  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $(n) = n\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, this result states that I = (d) for some  $d \in I$ .

*Proof.* Condition (i) states  $I \neq \emptyset$ . If  $I = \{0\}$ , take d = 0. Suppose  $I \neq \{0\}$  and  $a \in I$ . By (ii), if  $a \in I$ , then  $-a \in I$ , so I contains both positive and negative integers. Since  $I \cap \mathbb{Z}^+ \neq \emptyset$ , the Well Ordering Principle (W.O.P.) implies there is a smallest positive integer in I. Take d as this integer. By (iii), we have  $(d) \subseteq I$ . Let's see the other inclusion. If  $a \in I$ , then by the division algorithm, a = qd + r for some  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \le r < d$ . By (ii),  $r = a - qd \in I$ . However, d is the smallest positive integer contained in I. Since  $0 \le r < d$ , the only possibility for this inequality to be true is when r = 0. Therefore a = qd. It follows I = (d), and the proof is complete. □

**Theorem 1.7** (Euclid's lemma). Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If p is prime and  $p \mid ab$ , then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

*Proof.* Suppose p is prime and  $p \mid ab$ . We have to prove that  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ . However, this is equivalent to

$$p \nmid a \implies p \mid b$$
.

Thus, suppose also  $p \nmid a$ . Then (p,a) = 1 by Remark 1.5.1. By the division algorithm, there are  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that 1 = xp + ya, so b = xpb + yab. Because  $p \mid ab$ , there is  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that ab = cp. Thus b = xpb + ycp = (xb + yc)p, i.e., b is a multiple of p. In other words  $p \mid b$ , as desired. The proof is complete.

**Corollary 1.8.** Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If p is prime and  $p \mid a^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then  $p \mid a$ .

**Exercise 2.** Let  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Prove, by induction, that if p is prime and  $p \mid a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$ , then there is  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $p \mid a_i$ , i.e., p must divide at least one integer in the product.

The converse of Euclid's lemma is also true.

**Proposition 1.9.** *Let* p > 1. *Suppose* 

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z} : p \mid ab \implies p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b.$$

Then p is prime.

*Proof.* Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that p is not prime. Then p is composite, which means p = ab for some  $a, b \in \{2, ..., p-1\}$ . Since  $p \mid ab$ , the hypothesis implies  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ . However, both cases are impossible because p is greater than a and b. This contradiction proves p is prime.

**Proposition 1.10.** *Let*  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ *. Suppose* 

- (i) (a,c) = 1, and
- (ii)  $c \mid ab$ .

Then  $c \mid b$ .

*Proof.* By (ii), ab = cd for some  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Using (i), write 1 = ax + cy for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Multiplying by b we get

$$b = abx + cby = cdx + cby = (dx + by)c.$$

Thus 
$$c \mid b$$
.

**Definition 1.11.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $b \neq 0$ . The rational number  $\frac{a}{b}$  is in **lowest terms** iff (a, b) = 1. **Lemma 1.12.** Every nonzero rational number can be written as the quotient of two integer in lowest terms.

**Proposition 1.13.**  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational. Then  $\sqrt{2} = a/b$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  in lowest terms. Write  $\sqrt{2}b = a$  to get  $2b^2 = a^2$ . Hence  $2 \mid a^2$ , whence  $2 \mid a$  by Euclid's lemma, meaning a = 2k for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By substitution,  $2b^2 = 4k^2$ , and so  $b^2 = 2k^2$ . As before, this implies b is even. Therefore, both a and b share 2 as a common factor. However, this contradicts (a, b) = 1. This shows our initial assumption was false, meaning  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

**Theorem 1.14** (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic). *For every integer* n > 1, *there are unique distinct primes*  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  *and unique positive integers*  $a_1, \ldots, a_k$  *such that* 

$$n=p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\cdots p_k^{a_k}.$$

Moreover, this factorization is unique up to reordering.<sup>2</sup>

*Proof.* (Existence) By (strong) induction on n. Lets us denote the set of prime numbers by  $\mathbb{P}$  Define

$$A = \{ n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid \exists p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{P}, \exists a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k} \}.$$

For n=2, it is clear that  $n\in A$  as  $n=2^1$  and 2 is prime. Now, fix  $m\in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and assume that  $2,\ldots,m\in A$ . Lets see that  $m+1\in A$ . There are two cases. Either m+1 is prime or it is not. If m+1 is prime, there is nothing to show. If m+1 is not prime, then it is composite. Thus, there are  $m_1,m_2\in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $m+1=m_1m_2$ . But, given that  $m_1,m_2\in \{2,\ldots,m\}$ , it follows that  $m_1,m_2\in A$ ,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This means that the product can be rearranged in any different order, but these primes and their powers are always the same.

by the (inductive) hypothesis. Hence m+1 can be expressed as the product of prime numbers, i.e.,  $m+1 \in A$ .

By the principle of mathematical induction,  $n \in A$  for every  $n \ge 2$ .

(Uniqueness) Suppose an integer  $n \ge 2$  has two prime decompositions, e.g.,

$$p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_k^{a_k} = n = q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_l^{b_l}. \tag{2}$$

where  $p_i,q_j\in\mathbb{P}$  and  $a_i,b_j\in\mathbb{Z}^+$  for  $i\in[k]$  and  $j\in[l]$ . We prove first that the prime numbers on the left are the same as those on the right. Let  $i_0\in[k]$ . Since  $p_{i_0}$  appears at least once in  $p_1^{a_1}\cdots p_k^{a_k}$ , we have  $p_{i_0}\mid p_1^{b_1}\cdots p_k^{b_k}$ . Thus,  $p_{i_0}\mid q_1^{b_1}\cdots q_1^{b_1}$ . By Euclid's lemma, there is some  $j_0\in[l]$  such that  $p_{i_0}\mid q_{j_0}^{b_{j_0}}$ ., whence  $p_{i_0}\mid q_{j_0}$ . But  $q_{j_0}$  is prime, so it can only be divided by 1 or by itself. Since  $p_{i_0}\neq 1$ ,  $p_{i_0}=q_{i_0}$ . Since  $i_0\in[k]$  was arbitrary, we deduce that

$$\forall i \in [k], \exists j \in J : p_i = q_j.$$

This shows that to every prime on the left of (2) there corresponds a prime on the right. In an entirely anlogous manner, it follows that to every prime on the right of (2) there corresponds a prime on the left. Therefore, k = l. Now we can write

$$p_1^{a_1}\cdots p_k^{a_k}=p_1^{b_1}\cdots p_k^{b_k}.$$

The proof that the exponents are equal is left as an *easy* exercise to the reader.

The proof is complete.

The following function computes the amount of smaller positive integers that are coprime to a given positive integer.

**Definition 1.15.** Euler's totient function is the map  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$\varphi(n) = |\{a \le n : (a, n) = 1\}|.$$

Note that

$$\varphi(n) = |\{a \le n \mid (a, n) = 1\}|$$

## Properties.

(i)  $\varphi(p) = p - 1$  if p is prime

(ii) 
$$\varphi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1} = p^{k-1}(p-1)$$
 for any prime  $p$  and any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ 

(iii) 
$$\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) = \text{if } (a,b) = 1$$

(iv) 
$$\varphi(n)=\varphi(p_1^{a_1})\cdots\varphi(p_k^{a_k})$$
 if  $n>1$  has the prime factorization  $p_1^{a_1}\cdots p_k^{a_k}$ 

**Example 2.**  $\varphi(12) = 4$ 

**Exercise 3.** Compute  $\varphi(30)$ .