

## 1. Introduction to Ring Theory

Ring theory studies sets endowed with two binary operations, called addition and multiplication, that are related by distributivity laws.

This lecture introduces core concepts of ring theory, many of which parallel ideas from our earlier discussions on group theory. These foundational facts frequently appear across different areas of algebra. The study of commutative rings, also known as commutative algebra, is well covered in the work by Atiyah and MacDonald, which serves as a valuable reference. We will discuss both commutative and noncommutative rings.

### 1.1. Definition of a ring

**Definition 1.1.** A ring is a triple  $(A, +, \cdot)$  that consist of a set  $A$  and two binary operations  $+, \cdot: A \times A \rightarrow A$ , called respectively sum and multiplication, such that

- (i)  $(A, +)$  is an Abelian group,
- (ii)  $(A, \cdot)$  is a semigroup, and
- (iii)  $\cdot$  distributes over  $+$ , i.e.,

$$a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c \quad \text{and} \quad (a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$$

for all  $a, b, c \in A$ .

Recall that  $(A, \cdot)$  is a semigroup if  $\cdot$  is associative. Thus, in the definition above, we may well have replaced (ii) by the condition that  $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$  for all  $a, b, c \in A$ . The **zero** of the ring  $(A, +, \cdot)$  is the **additive neutral element** of  $(A, +)$ , denoted  $0$ . The **additive inverse** of an element  $a \in A$  is denoted by  $-a$ . **Subtraction** is the internal operation  $-: A \times A \rightarrow A$  on  $A$  defined by

$$a - b = a + (-b).$$

Is  $-$  associative? We usually say  $A$  is a ring to we mean  $(A, +, \cdot)$  is a ring when the operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are known. By convention, we write  $ab$  for  $a \cdot b$ . If there is an element  $e \in A$  such that  $e \cdot a = a \cdot e = a$  for all  $a \in A$ , we say  $A$  is a ring with unity<sup>1</sup> and, since such an element is unique, denote  $e$  by  $1_A$  or simply  $1$ . If  $\cdot$  is commutative, i.e.,  $ab = ba$  for all  $a \in A$ , we say  $A$  is a *commutative ring*; and, if in addition,  $A$  has a unity, we say  $A$  is a commutative ring with unity.

**Example 1.** The basic examples of rings are  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  where the operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are the usual addition and multiplication in each case.

<sup>1</sup>In Spanish, we say “con identidad” instead of “con unidad”. (Un anillo puede tener más de una unidad.)

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $(A, +, \cdot)$  be a ring and  $a, b \in A$ . Then

- (i)  $a0 = 0a = 0$
- (ii)  $a(-b) = -a(b) = -(ab)$
- (iii)  $(-a)(-b) = ab$

*Proof.* (i) We know  $0 = 0 + 0$ . By the distributivity of  $\cdot$  over  $+$ , we have

$$a0 = a(0 + 0) = a0 + a0.$$

Adding  $-a0$  to both sides yields  $0 = a0$ . Similarly, we obtain  $0a = 0$ .

(ii) By the distributivity of  $\cdot$  over  $+$  and by (i),

$$ab + a(-b) = a(b - b) = a0 = 0.$$

Additive inverses are unique, so  $-(ab) = a(-b)$ . Likewise,  $-a(b) = -(ab)$ .

(iii) Exercise. □

**Remark 1.2.1.** If  $1 = 0$ , then

$$a = a1 = a0 = 0$$

for every  $a \in A$ . Thus, in this case, every element of  $A$  is zero, meaning  $A = \{0\}$ . We call this ring the *trivial ring*, and it is the unique ring with this property. Some authors write “ $A$  is a ring with  $1 \neq 0$ ” to express that  $A \neq \{0\}$  is a ring with unity.

**Example 2.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $A$  a ring. The set  $A^X$  of all functions from  $X$  to  $A$  is a ring with the operations given by

$$\begin{aligned}(f + g)(x) &= f(x) + g(x), \\ (f \cdot g)(x) &= f(x) \cdot g(x),\end{aligned}$$

for functions  $f, g: X \rightarrow A$  and  $x \in X$ .

## 2. Examples of rings and their properties

Let  $(A, +, \cdot)$  be a ring. We say  $a \in A$  is

- (i) a *zero divisor* if  $a \neq 0$  and there is  $b \in A, b \neq 0$ , such that  $ab = 0$  or  $ba = 0$ .
- (ii) *nilpotent* if  $a^n = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .
- (iii) *idempotent* if  $a^2 = a$

If  $A$  is a ring with unity,  $a \in A$  is *invertible* if there is  $a' \in A$  such that  $aa' = 1$  and  $a'a = 1$ . The set of units of  $A$  is denoted  $A^\times$  or  $U(A)$ . Verify that  $A^\times$  is a group under multiplication.

**Exercise 1.** Verify that a zero divisors is not invertible.

We say that a ring  $(A, +, \cdot)$  is

- (i) an *integral domain* if  $A$  is a nontrivial commutative ring with unity and it has no zero divisors.
- (ii) a ring with the *cancellation property* if for any  $a, b \in A$ , if  $c \in A$  is nonzero, then
$$ac = bc \text{ or } ca = cb \text{ implies } a = b.$$
- (iii) a *division ring* if  $A$  is a nontrivial ring with unity and every nonzero element is invertible.
- (iv) a *field* if it is both commutative and a division ring.

**Exercise 2.** Verify that a field is an integral domain. Provide a counterexample that disproves the converse affirmation.

**Proposition 2.1.** *A ring has the cancellation property if and only if it has no zero divisors.*

- Proof.* (i) Suppose first that  $A$  is a ring with the cancellation property. Let  $a, b \in A$  such that  $a \neq 0$  and  $ab = 0$ , then  $ab = a0$ , but this implies that  $b = 0$  due to the cancellation property. Thus,  $A$  has no zero divisors.
- (ii) Conversely, suppose that  $A$  has no zero divisors. Let  $ab = ac$  with  $a \neq 0$ , then  $a(b - c) = 0$ . Hence,  $b - c = 0$  and  $b = c$ .

□

**Example 3.** (i)  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  is a ring with zero divisors. Note  $2 \cdot 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

- (ii) The set  $\mathbb{R}^X$  of functions from a set  $X$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is a commutative ring with unity. The unity is the constant function equal to  $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ . In this ring, an element is invertible if it never vanishes, i.e.,  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is invertible if  $f(x) \neq 0$  for every  $x \in X$ .
- (iii)  $\mathbb{R}$  is a field with the usual addition and multiplication. Is  $\mathbb{R}^X$  a field?
- (iv)  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a field with the usual addition and multiplication.
- (v)  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a commutative ring with unity but not a field. The only invertible elements are 1 and  $-1$ .
- (vi)  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain if and only if  $n$  is prime.
- (vii)  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is a field if and only if  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is prime. This is an example of a finite field.
- (viii) Let us show that there are infinitely many fields  $F$  such that  $\mathbb{Q} \subsetneq F \subsetneq \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $D$  be a rational number which is not a perfect square in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e.,  $x^2 \neq D$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) = \{a + b\sqrt{D} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subsetneq \mathbb{R}$$

is a field with the operations:

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 + b_1\sqrt{D}) + (a_2 + b_2\sqrt{D}) &= (a_1 + a_2) + (b_1 + b_2)\sqrt{D}, \\ (a_1 + b_1\sqrt{D})(a_2 + b_2\sqrt{D}) &= (a_1a_2 + b_1b_2D) + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)\sqrt{D}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $a_1, b_1, a_2$  and  $b_2$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $D$  is not a perfect square, every element of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  is written in a unique way. Indeed, suppose that

$$a_1 + b_1\sqrt{D} = a_2 + b_2\sqrt{D},$$

then

$$(a_1 - a_2) + (b_1 - b_2)\sqrt{D} = 0.$$

If  $b_1 \neq b_2$  then

$$\sqrt{D} = \frac{a_2 - a_1}{b_1 - b_2} \in \mathbb{Q},$$

a contradiction. So,  $b_1 = b_2$ , and then,  $a_1 = a_2$ . The ring  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  is called the **quadratic field**. The rational number  $D$  could be written in the form

$$D = e^2 D',$$

for some rational number  $e$  and some rational number  $D'$ , such that  $D'$  is square free, this is,  $D'$  is not divisible for the square of any integer greater than 1. Then

$$\sqrt{D} = e\sqrt{D'},$$

and then,

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D'}).$$

Therefore, it is possible to assume that in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ ,  $D$  is square free.

- (ix) If  $A$  is a ring, the set of square matrices with entries in  $A$  and the usual operations of addition and multiplication of matrices is a noncommutative ring with unity. Exercise: Verify that this ring has zero divisors if  $A$  does. Verify that the converse is not true.

We have already mention that an integral domain need not be a field. However, if the underlying set is finite, this is true.

**Exercise 3.** Prove that a finite integral domain is a field.

This section ends up with important examples and a proposition.

**Example 4.** There is an important and familiar subset of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  that is a ring with a special operation of multiplication, this is, the set of *polynomial functions*  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ . This set contains all the functions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} p: \mathbb{R} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x &\longmapsto p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . An element  $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  is called a polynomial function of degree  $n$  if  $a_n \neq 0$ . The term  $a_nx^n$  is called the leading term of  $p(x)$ .

Let us note that  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  is a ring with the operations inherited from  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$  which, for this particular situation, can be seen in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) + q(x) &= (a_0 + b_0) + (a_1 + b_1)x + \dots = \sum_{i=0}^{\max\{n,m\}} (a_i + b_i)x^i, \\ p(x) \cdot q(x) &= a_0b_0 + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0)x + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)x^2 + \dots = \sum_{i=0}^{n+m} C_i x^i, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_k = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i b_{k-i},$$

$b_j = 0$  if  $j > m$ ,  $a_j = 0$  if  $j > n$ , and  $p(x), q(x)$  polynomial functions given by

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_nx^n, \\ q(x) &= b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots + b_mx^m. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 5.** If  $A = (A, +, \cdot)$  is a ring, then the cartesian product  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a ring with the componentwise operations given by

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) + (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) &= (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots, a_n + b_n), \quad \text{and} \\ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \cdot (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) &= (a_1b_1, a_2b_2, \dots, a_nb_n). \end{aligned}$$

In fact, we can define the ring of all the sequences,  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ , whose coordinates are elements of  $A$ :

$$A^{\mathbb{N}} = \{(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid a_i \in A \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

with the componentwise operations defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2, \dots) + (b_1, b_2, \dots) &= (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots), \\ (a_1, a_2, \dots) \cdot (b_1, b_2, \dots) &= (a_1b_1, a_2b_2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 6 (Important).** The following is a special subset of  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ :

$$A[x] = \left\{ (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in A^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall m \geq N: a_m = 0 \right\}.$$

The notation  $A[x]$  is used because an arbitrary element  $p(x)$  of  $A[x]$  is usually represented as a *formal sum*

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1x^1 + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_nx^n,$$

which means that  $a_i \in A$  for each  $i \in [n]$ ,  $a_n \neq 0$ , and

$$n = \min \{N \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid a_i = 0 \text{ for all } i > N\}.$$

In this case,

- (i)  $p(x)$  is called a *polynomial* in  $x$
- (ii)  $n$  is called the degree of  $p(x)$  and is denoted by  $\deg(p(x))$
- (iii) the term  $a_nx^n$  is called the *leading* term of  $p(x)$
- (iv) if the leading term of  $p(x)$  is  $a_n = 1$ , then  $p(x)$  is called *monic*. Please, do not forget this term.

With this representation, if  $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x^1 + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_nx^n$  and  $q(x) = b_0 + b_1x^1 + b_2x^2 + \dots + b_mx^m$ , assuming that  $m \leq n$ , the operations in this ring are defined by

$$p(x) + q(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i + b_i)x^i,$$

$$p(x) \cdot q(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+m} C_i x^i,$$

where

$$C_k = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i b_{k-i},$$

$b_j = 0$  if  $j > m$  and  $a_j = 0$  if  $j > n$ . The ring  $A[x]$  usually found in the literature as the *polynomial ring* in the *indeterminate*  $x$  over  $A$ .

**Remark 2.1.1.** The ring  $A$  is identify in  $A[x]$  with the set of polynomials of degree 0, i.e, the set  $A$ , is in bijective correspondence with the set of all the sequence of the form  $(a, 0, 0, \dots)$ , for  $a \in A$ . This observation allows us to write  $A \subseteq A[x]$ , a commonly accepted abuse of notation.

**Remark 2.1.2** (Polynomial function  $\neq$  polynomial). Although their construction is different, the rings  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  look very similar. In fact, there is a bijective correspondence between the polynomial functions and the (abstract) polynomials in  $x$ . This is quite obvious considering that every polynomial function of the form

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_nx^n$$

can be viewed as the polynomial

$$\bar{p}(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_nx^n$$

in the variable  $x$ . The big difference between  $p(x)$  and  $\bar{p}(x)$  is that we can evaluate  $p(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ , for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , while  $\bar{p}(a)$  makes no sense.

**Example 7.** The cartesian product of an indexed family  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  of sets is defined by

$$\prod_{i \in I} A_i = \left\{ f: I \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \mid f(i) \in A_i \text{ for all } i \in I \right\}.$$

If each  $A_i$  is a ring, then  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  is a ring with the componentwise addition and multiplication given by

$$(f + g)(i) = f(i) + g(i),$$

$$(fg)(i) = f(i)g(i),$$

for each  $i \in I$ . There is a special subset of  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  called the coproduct of the family  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ , denoted  $\coprod_{i \in I} A_i$ , defined by the set of all functions  $f \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$  such that  $f$  is zero except for a finite numers of elemets in  $I$ . In other words, such that the set

$$\{f(i) \mid f(i) \neq 0, i \in I\}$$

is finite. It is easy to see that  $\coprod_{i \in I} A_i$  is a ring with the componentwise addition and multiplication. Moreover, if  $I$  is finite, both the product and coproduct are exactly the same.

**Remark 2.1.3.** Polynomial rings are important because their structure provides them with many properties which are analogues to those of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, we can define “primes elements” among them and establish a generalized version of the division algorithm. It comes as no surprise then that they have many applications in multiple areas of mathematics such as algebraic geometry, number theory, and algebraic combinatorics.

**Example 8.** Let’s give look to polynomial rings with zero divisors.

(i) For example, take  $p(x) = x^2 + 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) + p(x) &= (x^2 + 1) + (x^2 + 1) \\ &= 2x^2 + 2 \\ &= 0 \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This example shows that the sum of two polynomials does not preserve the degree of the polynomials.

(ii) Another interesting example is in the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}_6[x]$ . Take  $p(x) = 2x$  and  $q(x) = 3x^2 + 1$ . If the multiplication is in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,

$$p(x) \cdot q(x) = 6x^3 + 2x.$$

However, in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  the operations module 6 implies that

$$p(x) \cdot q(x) = 6x^3 + 2x = 2x \pmod{6}.$$

The preceding remark leads us to observe that the degree of the sum of two polynomials does not necessarily equal the maximum of their degrees, nor does the degree of their product always equal the sum of their degrees, as is customary in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The following proposition specifies the conditions under which the latter holds.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be an integral domain and  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  polynomials in  $A[x]$ . Then*

1.  $A[x]$  is an integral domain;
2.  $\deg(p(x) \cdot q(x)) = \deg(p(x)) + \deg(q(x))$ ;
3. considering  $A$  as the polynomials of degree 0,  $(A[x])^\times = A^\times$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a integral domain and  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  polynomials in  $A[x]$ , with leading term  $a_n x^n$  and  $b_m x^m$  respectively. The leading term of  $p(x) \cdot q(x)$  is  $a_n b_m x^{n+m}$ , where  $a_n b_m \neq 0$  because  $A$  is a integral domain. Then

$$\deg(p(x) \cdot q(x)) = \deg(p(x)) + \deg(q(x)),$$

and  $A[x]$  is an integral domain, otherwise, if two polynomials  $p(x) \neq 0$  and  $q(x) \neq 0$ , verifies that  $p(x) \cdot q(x) = 0$ ,

$$0 = \deg(p(x)) + \deg(q(x)),$$

therefore,  $\deg(p(x)) = \deg(q(x)) = 0$ , and  $p(x), q(x) \in A$ , but this is a contradiction since  $A$  is an integral domain. This proves i) and ii).

For ii) it is easy to see that  $A^\times \subseteq (A[x])^\times$ . On the other hand, if  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  are invertibles in  $A[x]$ , then  $p(x) \cdot q(x) = 1$ , and for i),  $\deg(p(x)) = \deg(q(x)) = 0$ , and  $p(x), q(x) \in A$ , therefore,  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  are invertibles in  $A$ .  $\square$