

## In Review

# Treatment of Psychopathology in People With Intellectual and Other Disabilities

Peter Sturmey, PhD<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Professor, Department of Psychology, Queens College and The Graduate Center, City University of New York, Flushing, New York.  
Correspondence: Department of Psychology, Queens College, City University of New York, Flushing, NY 11367; psturmey@gmail.com.

**Key Words:** intellectual disabilities, mental retardation, autism spectrum disorders, psychopathology, applied behaviour analysis, psychosocial interventions, cognitive therapy, cognitive-behavioural therapy, psychopharmacology, randomized controlled trials

Received and accepted  
February 2012.

**Objective:** To review the psychosocial, pharmacological, and other treatments of psychopathology in people with intellectual disabilities (IDs), autism, and other developmental disabilities (DDs).

**Method:** Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of psychosocial, pharmacological, and other treatments for people with DDs are reviewed.

**Results:** There is strong evidence for applied behaviour analysis (ABA) and other behavioural treatments of some forms of psychopathology. There is little good evidence to support the effectiveness of cognitive-behavioural therapy, cognitive therapy, sensory interventions, and other forms of psychosocial interventions. Recently, more randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of psychopharmacology have been published, especially with people with autism spectrum disorders. Most RCTs were for externalizing behaviour problems, rather than for psychopathology. These RCTs offer only preliminary support for the effectiveness of pharmacotherapy. No evidence was found for the effectiveness of other biological treatments.

**Conclusions:** Current research supports the use of ABA and other behavioural interventions for some forms of psychopathology. Evidence for the effectiveness of other interventions is limited or absent.



**Objectif :** Examiner les traitements psychosociaux, pharmacologiques et autres de la psychopathologie chez des personnes souffrant de déficiences intellectuelles (DI), d'autisme, et d'autres déficiences développementales (DD).

**Méthode :** Nous avons effectué une revue des revues systématiques et des méta-analyses des traitements psychosociaux, pharmacologiques et autres pour les personnes souffrant de DD.

**Résultats :** Des données probantes appuient fortement l'analyse du comportement appliquée (ACA) et d'autres traitements comportementaux de certaines formes de psychopathologie. Peu de bonnes données probantes appuient l'efficacité de la thérapie cognitivo-comportementale, de la thérapie cognitive, des interventions sensorielles, et d'autres formes d'interventions psychosociales. Récemment, d'autres essais randomisés contrôlés (ERC) de psychopharmacologie ont été publiés, spécialement avec des personnes souffrant de troubles du spectre de l'autisme. La plupart des ERC portaient sur des problèmes de comportement externalisant plutôt que sur la psychopathologie. Ces ERC n'offrent qu'un appui préliminaire à l'efficacité de la pharmacothérapie. Aucune donnée probante n'a été trouvée sur l'efficacité d'autres traitements biologiques.

**Conclusions :** La recherche actuelle appuie l'utilisation de l'ACA et d'autres interventions comportementales pour certaines formes de psychopathologie. Les données probantes de l'efficacité d'autres interventions sont limitées ou absentes.

There is robust evidence that behaviour disorders, such as aggression, tantrums, stereotyped movement disorder, SIB, and pica, are common in children and adults with DDs, such as IDs.<sup>1-3</sup> Data on the prevalence of mood, anxiety, and psychotic disorders are less certain because of numerous methodological problems, such as problems in validly diagnosing these disorders in people with DDs, defining and sampling relevant populations, and blurring of point and period prevalence.<sup>4</sup> Although our knowledge of prevalence of psychopathology in people with DDs is uncertain, we can be very certain that psychotropics, including polypharmacy, are commonly prescribed.<sup>5-7</sup> Common risk factors are externalizing behaviour disorders, such as aggression and SIB, rather than psychiatric diagnoses.<sup>8</sup> Additionally, people with DDs are commonly subjected to a wide range of restrictive behaviour management practices in community and institutional settings, such as restraint,<sup>9,10</sup> preventable disability, and increased cost of care.<sup>11</sup> This article will review recent research on psychosocial and pharmacological interventions for psychopathology in people with DDs.

## Psychosocial Interventions

### *Applied Behaviour Analysis*

ABA is a natural science-based approach that aims to change socially significant behaviour based on basic principles of learning, including both respondent and operant conditioning. Behaviour includes both public and private behaviour, such as thinking and feeling. While explaining and changing behaviour, ABA eschews explanatory fictions, such as attributing behaviour change to nonobservable mental states or structures. Although behaviourism acknowledges the importance of biological and cultural evolution in causing behaviour, greater emphasis is placed

### Abbreviations

ABA	applied behaviour analysis
AD	antidepressant
ASD	autism spectrum disorder
CBT	cognitive-behavioural therapy
DD	developmental disability
DR	differential reinforcement
EFA	experimental functional analysis
ES	effect size
ID	intellectual disability
NNT	number needed to treat
OCD	obsessive-compulsive disorder
PTSD	posttraumatic stress disorder
RCT	randomized controlled trial
SGA	second-generation antipsychotic
SIB	self-injurious behaviour
SIT	sensory integration therapy
SSRI	selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor

### Clinical Implications

- Treatment of psychopathology for people with IDs should emphasize ABA and other behavioural interventions.
- Where service organizations do not have access to these treatments, they should develop competence within their organization to deliver them. If they do deliver nonevidence-based practices, there is a positive burden on the person conducting interventions to clearly and objectively demonstrate that these practices were effective for this person.
- Given the limited evidence to support psychopharmacology and the risks it involves, service organizations should work to reduce its use and substitute more effective and potentially less harmful interventions.

### Limitations

- There is a large gap between scientific evidence and service practices, in part because of the lack of good models of how to do so and the lack of professional and other training and technical support to implement evidence-based practice.
- We also lack easy methodologies that routine clinicians can adopt to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions for each person.
- There is a general absence of evidence on the use of psychopharmacology to treat psychopathology in people with IDs.

on operant behaviour and the current environmental variables that control behaviour. These variables include establishing operations, such as reinforcer deprivation and satiation, discriminative stimuli, and contingencies of reinforcement and punishment. Behaviourism includes an account of self-control, in which the person apparently voluntarily emits a controlling response (going for a walk) to change a controlled response (removing negative mood and increasing the probability of positive talk), but ultimately control of such behaviour lies with the environment.<sup>12,13</sup> ABA has characteristic methodologies, including: reliable observation of public behaviour; small *N* designs (that is, experimental designs, such as reversal and multiple baseline designs, rather than group experimental designs); detection of functional associations between independent and dependent variables through visual analysis of graphical representation of individual participants' data without the use of inferential statistic; and evaluation of social rather than statistical significance.<sup>14</sup>

ABA has been highly effective in teaching a wide range of skills and increasing desirable behaviour<sup>15</sup> as well as understanding and reducing many different forms of problem behaviour in various populations.<sup>16,17</sup> ABA has had a particular significance for people with DDs, including early institutional work in the 1960s through to the 1980s, and the development of functional analysis methods after the 1990s.<sup>18,19</sup> This work resulted in a greater focus on nonaversive intervention methods, and an expansion of applications to community settings and natural change agents.<sup>19</sup> ABA has addressed a very wide array of target

behaviours, including psychopathology in typically developing children and adults<sup>16,17</sup> and early intensive behavioural intervention for ASD.<sup>20</sup>

ABA is based on hundreds of gradually accumulating empirical studies conducted by many independent researchers across the world during the last 60 years.<sup>15</sup> Recent expert panels and authorities, such as the Surgeon General,<sup>21</sup> have identified ABA as an evidence-based practice in diverse areas, such as autism, and as the first-line psychosocial treatment for behavioural and psychiatric disorders in people with IDs.<sup>22,23</sup> Many systematic reviews have also confirmed these conclusions related to the effectiveness of ABA and the general absence of evidence supporting other psychosocial interventions.<sup>24</sup>

*Psychiatric Disorders.* Several meta-analyses have identified ABA as an evidence-based practice to treat maladaptive behaviour and psychiatric disorders<sup>24,25</sup> in people with mild disabilities.<sup>26</sup> Meta-analyses have also established ABA as an effective or promising treatment for problem behaviour, such as SIB,<sup>27–29</sup> pica,<sup>30</sup> fear, and phobic avoidance.<sup>31</sup>

Jennett and Hagopian<sup>31</sup> conducted a systematic review of treatments of phobic avoidance in people with IDs. None of 3 RCTs met criteria for inclusion. Among 13 small *N* experiments with 28 participants, 12 experiments met inclusion criteria. Interventions were all multi-component behavioural packages that included in vivo exposure and reinforcement. There was no evidence that relaxation alone or CBT were effective in decreasing phobic avoidance. The authors concluded that “there is empirical sufficient support to characterize behavioural treatment as a well-established treatment for phobic avoidance displayed by individuals with intellectual disabilities.”<sup>31, p 158</sup> These conclusions may be tempered because the studies treated simple but not complex anxiety disorders. A few behavioural studies have also examined treatment for OCD in people with DDs.<sup>32</sup> There are several small *N* experiments that have used behavioural packages<sup>33–35</sup> and DR of low rates of behaviour for OCD.<sup>36,37</sup> Owing to the small number of studies and their variable quality, the authors concluded that behavioural treatment for OCD met Chambless and Hollon’s<sup>38</sup> criteria for a possibly efficacious but not an efficacious treatment. Their review also found no controlled studies of psychosocial treatment of PTSD and so failed to find any evidence-based practices for PTSD.

Analogous to mood-induction procedures, several behavioural interventions have shown that it is possible to increase behaviour indicating positive mood and to decrease behaviour indicating negative mood in adults with IDs without depression by scheduling preferred activities associated with positive affective behaviour.<sup>39–41</sup> Two small *N* experiments have taken this approach with adults with DDs and symptoms of mood disorders and clinical depression.<sup>42,43</sup> Other small *N* experiments have successfully implemented packages of behavioural interventions, such as modelling, self-monitoring, and social reinforcement,<sup>44,45</sup>

and one nonexperiment has reported the application of contingency management to prevent suicidal behaviour.<sup>46</sup> Finally, one dissertation<sup>47</sup> reported an experimental evaluation of social skills training in adolescents with autism (but not clinical depression), which observed reductions in self-reports of depression and anxiety only in the experimental group. Currently, there is no systematic review or meta-analysis of this literature and thus the status of behavioural interventions for depression for people with DDs is uncertain.

No formal systematic review or meta-analysis of behavioural treatment of psychotic behaviour was identified for this review; however, Travis and Sturmey<sup>48</sup> conducted a review of behavioural interventions for psychotic verbal behaviour in people with DDs and identified 3 small *N* experiments that used nonfunction-based DR, 8 experiments using function-based interventions, and 2 experiments combining behavioural and pharmacological interventions. Subsequently, 1 study demonstrated 4-year maintenance of intervention effects.<sup>49</sup> Thus behavioural interventions may meet criteria for possibly efficacious or efficacious treatments,<sup>38</sup> although no systematic review has yet been conducted.

### Other Interventions

CBT is a package of behavioural interventions, including skills training, exposure therapy, self-instruction, and relaxation training, and cognitive interventions, such as retraining maladaptive patterns of thinking. CBT has become increasingly popular as a treatment for anger and depression but has received limited empirical support.<sup>24,25,50</sup> For example, there are no RCTs of CBT for anxiety disorders.<sup>24</sup> McCabe et al<sup>51</sup> conducted an RCT of manualized CBT for depression in adults with IDs and subsequently evaluated dissemination of this program using routine clinicians.<sup>52</sup> Although promising, the RCT was of poor quality: therapists and authors collected self-report and rating data themselves, nonblind, and did not collect data on treatment integrity. One form of CBT that has received more attention is anger management; however, a recent systematic review identified few studies, all of which were of poor quality as they did not demonstrate manipulation of the independent variable and used only subjective outcome measures.<sup>53</sup> Thus there is currently limited evidence supporting the effectiveness of CBT.

Counselling and various forms of psychoanalysis have long been practiced with people with DDs. To date, there are no controlled studies of these forms of interventions.<sup>24</sup>

Sensory interventions, including SIT, and sensory environments, such as Snoezelen, have become very popular and are used to allegedly decrease problem behaviour and increase adaptive behaviour. A meta-analysis of SIT<sup>54</sup> found no evidence for effectiveness in well-controlled studies, and recent evaluations of weighted vests have been uniformly negative.<sup>55</sup> Narrative reviews of Snoezelen have produced mostly negative results, with some hints at

positive outcomes for some clients.<sup>56</sup> These studies lack the rationale that could lead to matching individual differences to Snoezelen, which may result in effective client outcomes; for example, basing Snoezelen interventions on client preferences for materials or the functions of their challenging behaviour may yield more promising results. Thus sensory treatments are currently not evidence-based practices. Auditory integration therapy is another sensory treatment in which the alleged auditory sensitivities of people with ASD are attenuated by playing carefully selected sounds matched to the alleged sensitivities. Several well-conducted studies, with appropriate control groups, have found no effects.<sup>57</sup> Finally, 2 meta-analyses of music therapy with children and adolescents with ASD have reported conflicting results.<sup>58,59</sup> Whipple<sup>58</sup> conducted a meta-analysis of 13 studies comparing music therapy to no music and reported a Cohen *d* ES of 0.77, but this review included 10 unpublished studies. In contrast, a Cochrane review<sup>59</sup> identified only 3 small, short-term studies (total *N* = 24), which found only small effects on communication skills but not when music therapy was compared with placebo. Thus music therapy may have some small, short-term effects. We cannot be confident of this, owing to the small quantity of research in this area and the lack of clinically meaningful outcomes, in terms of both treatment duration and individual social validity data.

## Pharmacotherapy

Until recently, there were few RCTs of psychotropics in people with DDs,<sup>60</sup> or RCTs were old and included medications no longer in use or did not include contemporary medications<sup>61</sup>; however, the number of RCTs has increased, resulting in several systematic reviews and meta-analyses. The following section will review the evidence for pharmacotherapy, first for ASDs and then for IDs. Finally, some general observations on evidence for pharmacotherapy will be made.

### *Autism Spectrum Disorders*

To date, Siegel and Beaulieu<sup>62</sup> have conducted the most comprehensive systematic review and synthesis of RCTs of psychotropics with children with ASDs. They updated a previous search in 2010 that identified 33 RCTs published in peer-reviewed journals, with most participants aged 0 to 18 years, and with autistic disorder, pervasive developmental disorder—not otherwise specified, or Asperger syndrome, and which focused on core ASD symptoms, or associated symptoms, such as aggression. The authors reliably rated quality indicators for each study, resulting in rating each study as strong, adequate, or weak. They then rated the level of evidence for the efficacy of each drug as established evidence (meaning at least 2 strong studies or at least 4 adequate studies), as promising evidence (meaning at least 2 adequate studies), and as preliminary evidence (meaning at least 1, for example, adequate study).

Three atypical antipsychotics met criteria for established evidence: aripiprazole for irritability, hyperactivity, and

stereotypy; haloperidol for behavioural symptoms; and risperidone for irritability and hyperactivity. There was promising evidence for methylphenidate for hyperactivity and preliminary evidence for risperidone for repetitive behaviour and stereotypy, atomoxetine hydrochloride for hyperactivity, naltrexone for hyperactivity, and pentoxifylline for irritability and social withdrawal. There were 4 RCTs of risperidone with 311 children. Three were of strong quality. One weak-quality RCT showed risperidone was superior to haloperidol by total Aberrant Behavior Checklist score. The primary outcomes were almost all rating scale measures of externalizing behaviour disorders, such as aggression, SIB, and hyperactivity, or ratings of stereotypic behaviour. Few studies reported changes in core symptoms of autism or effects on adaptive behaviour, learning, internalizing behaviour disorders, or psychiatric symptoms. No studies used primary outcomes related to depression, anxiety, psychosis, or other Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders psychopathology: there were no studies of antipsychotics for psychotic disorders, SSRIs for mood or anxiety disorders, or ADs for depression. A systematic review by Zuddas et al<sup>63</sup> reached similar conclusions based on a smaller sample of 12 RCTs for only 3 medications, and also estimated ESs and NNTs, including an ES of 1.2 and an NNT of 1.5<sup>64</sup> for risperidone, and an ES of 1.2 and an NNT of 3.3<sup>65</sup> for olanzapine, indicating large ESs. They also concluded that open trials conducted after RCTs indicated maintenance after the end of the RCT for up to 12 months, and that relapse occurred after termination of medication.

Clinicians do not treat nonexistent, average people with average outcomes; rather they treat specific people with individual responses to intervention during extended periods of time. A series of small *N* experiments illustrates the importance of these individual differences in response to risperidone. As part of an analysis of a subset of participants in a larger RCT,<sup>66</sup> the authors reported additional experimental analyses of individual participants. Valdovinos et al<sup>67</sup> demonstrated that risperidone reduced SIB and aggression for each of 2 participants in both naturalistic observations and during EFAs; thus, in this study, these individual results reflected changes in group averages. Crosland et al<sup>68</sup> also reported differential effects of risperidone across functions within an individual and across 2 different people. For instance, risperidone suppressed Sean's SIB to a greater extent than his destructive behaviour and his aggression. Risperidone also had idiosyncratic effects during EFAs; for example, it failed to suppress destructive behaviour during the tangible condition for Reggie and during the attention condition for Sean. There were also data on compliance showing that risperidone increased Reggie's compliance, but had little effect on Sean's compliance. Further, Yoo et al<sup>69</sup> demonstrated that risperidone resulted in fewer, and less accurate, responses during a matching task when reinforcement was unavailable, but reinforcement mitigated this outcome. These 3 studies demonstrate that risperidone has idiosyncratic effects, both across and within



individuals, and that the effects of risperidone interact with programmatic variables, such as the use of reinforcement.

There is substantial and robust evidence from RCTs and longer-term, open-ended trials of the negative side effects and safety concerns with SGAs with children, including children with ASD. De Hert et al<sup>70</sup> recently conducted a meta-analysis of 31 studies with 3595 participants, including 4 papers on children and adolescents on the negative side effects of SGAs. Negative side effects included weight gain; adverse metabolic side effects, involving risk of diabetes; changes in thyroid function; and hyperprolactinaemia, with risks of changes in sexual functioning, such as hypogonadism and breast glandular growth. These negative side effects require additional monitoring and further interventions, such as additional psychosocial and drug interventions to attenuate weight gain. Negative side effect profiles varied substantially between each individual medication. For example, De Hert et al<sup>70</sup> concluded that weight gain ranged from -0.2 kg (ziprazidone) to 4.3 kg (olanzipine.) Such data are incomplete as future long-term studies may reveal other negative side effects that short-term RCTs do not reveal and that are currently unknown. Systematic reviews by Deb's group (see Sohanpal et al,<sup>71</sup> Deb et al,<sup>72</sup> Deb and Unwin,<sup>73</sup> Deb et al,<sup>74</sup> and Unwin and Deb<sup>75</sup>) on IDs made broadly similar conclusions (see below).

There have been an increasing number of RCTs of pharmacotherapy for people with ADS of increasing quality during the last 20 years,<sup>68</sup> leading several independent systematic reviews to conclude that risperidone, and perhaps 1 or 2 other psychotropics, meets criteria for evidence-based practice for externalizing behaviour problems; however, these conclusions are compromised in many ways and the clinical utility of these observations is limited. The most telling limitations to these studies is that it is uncertain if they are in fact double-blind<sup>76</sup> as the highly characteristic and visible side effects of risperidone probably makes identification of participants taking the medication very easy. Further, the range of dependent variables is narrow, with almost all studies measuring only externalizing maladaptive behaviour, rather than psychiatric symptoms and other key outcomes, such as adaptive behaviour and learning. Finally, there are few studies that have directly and appropriately compared psychotropics with psychosocial interventions. For example, although an RCT by Aman et al<sup>77</sup> demonstrated that combining risperidone with training from parents produced better child outcomes than risperidone alone, the trial did not include a group that only received parent training.

### **Intellectual Disabilities**

As part of a large systematic review of psychotropics and behaviour problems in children and adults with ID, Deb and colleagues<sup>71-75</sup> conducted a series of systematic reviews of each major class of medication using methods modelled after National Institute of Clinical Excellence guidelines. For example, Unwin and Deb<sup>75</sup> identified 6 RCTs of risperidone, compared with placebo, but no evaluations

of other atypical antipsychotics with this population. In their review of all antipsychotics with adults with ID, Deb et al<sup>74</sup> identified only one RCT comparing risperidone with placebo in adults with ID, and conduct and antisocial personality disorders,<sup>78</sup> and one crossover RCT comparing risperidone and placebo, which included both adults and children. Their review of ADs found only one small-scale, crossover RCT of clomipramine for reducing stereotypy, SIB, and repetitive compulsive behaviour,<sup>79</sup> but no RCTs of SSRIs in this population. Their review of mood stabilizers<sup>74</sup> identified only one RCT of lithium.<sup>80</sup>

This series of systematic reviews<sup>71-75</sup> of psychotropics for people with ID are probably the best available at this time; they are notable for their explicit reporting of methodology and careful reporting of what information is currently available on side effects, but reflect the limited quantity of information currently available and the often poor quality of the RCTs. Two limitations of this project were that Deb's group did not conduct meta-analyses and report ESs, NNTs, and numbers needed to harm, and the reviews are now dated and may not reflect developments during the last 5 years.

### **Conclusions**

The strongest evidence for effectiveness of psychotropics comes from multiple RCTs showing reductions in externalizing behaviour. These findings remain controversial because of failures to replicate, at least one study that showed that risperidone and haloperidol were significantly inferior ( $P = 0.06$ ) and cost more than placebo,<sup>81</sup> doubts over the blinding in risperidone studies,<sup>76</sup> narrow range of interventions, and lack of long-term follow-up data. Reductions in externalizing behaviour or psychiatric symptoms alone are insufficient to evaluate the effectiveness of psychotropics; the economics of treatment and overall impact on a person's life are also essential in considering decisions to treat. Romeo et al<sup>81</sup> conducted cost-effectiveness evaluation of an RCT of risperidone, haloperidol, and placebo for aggression in adults and found that aggression was highest with risperidone and lowest with haloperidol (Haldol), but quality of life was worse for people on haloperidol than for those on risperidone. The cost-effectiveness evaluation indicated that there was very little advantage in either medication, compared with placebo, in terms of participant outcome, and that an antipsychotic was not a cost-effective treatment for aggression, unless society put a very high value on modest changes in behaviour.

Several outstanding issues deserve further comment. First, it is remarkable how few RCTs of psychotropics for common psychiatric disorders, such as depression, anxiety, and schizophrenia, in people with DDs have been published. For example, the systematic reviews of Deb's group<sup>71-75</sup> occasionally allude to this issue, but did not report any data that directly addressed it. This may reflect the problems of making psychiatric diagnoses that are sufficiently reliable for drug trials; however, at least 1 study<sup>82</sup> showed that psychiatrists with experience with ID diagnosed depression

during the last 2 years and presence of hallucination and delusions during the last 12 months in people with mild and moderate IDs, with kappas of about 0.7, but with kappas dropping to about 0.5 for psychosis in people with moderate IDs. Second, interactions of pharmacotherapy and environment are likely, including changes in both the effectiveness of stimuli as reinforcers and behavioural function<sup>67–69</sup> but have received little attention, perhaps because of the methodological subtleties and the cost of clearly demonstrating them.<sup>7,83</sup> Third, few pharmacological studies have evaluated the social validity of behavioural changes.<sup>84</sup> Thus, although studies of pharmacological interventions have increased in quantity and quality during the last 10 years, many important questions, both for researchers and practitioners, remain unanswered.

## Implications for Practice and Future Research

There is now an accumulation of systematic reviews and meta-analyses that have identified the status of evidence for treatment of psychopathology in people with DDs, such as IDs. There is very strong and highly replicable evidence that CBs can be effectively treated using ABA.<sup>21–31</sup> The ESs are greatest when interventions are based on functional assessments and analyses.<sup>24–26</sup> There is also robust evidence for the effectiveness of ABA for specific problems, such as phobic disorders,<sup>31</sup> SIB,<sup>27–29</sup> and pica,<sup>30</sup> and for certain intervention procedures, such as choice making,<sup>85,86</sup> functional communication training,<sup>87</sup> activity schedules,<sup>88</sup> video modelling and video self-monitoring,<sup>89</sup> positive behaviour support,<sup>90</sup> and caregiver training.<sup>91,92</sup> When reviewing the evidence for the effectiveness of ABA for specific psychiatric disorders, there is robust evidence for the effectiveness of behavioural packages that include exposure and reinforcement<sup>31</sup> and weaker evidence for its effectiveness in depression and psychosis. A major weakness in this literature is that there have been few demonstrations of dissemination in routine services, although see Hassiotis et al<sup>93,94</sup> for a notable exception. This may reflect the absence of published treatment manuals and other training materials and evidence-based models for dissemination. There is much weaker evidence, an absence of evidence, or evidence that some forms of psychological interventions are ineffective. For example, there is a small accumulating evidence base for CBT and DDs in which evidence is strongest for anger management, but absent for phobias and psychotic and other disorders; however, there is no well-controlled evidence for the effectiveness of counselling or for psychodynamic and psychoanalytic psychotherapy, and an absence of evidence for the effectiveness of sensory treatments, such as SIT.

Evidence for the effectiveness of psychotropics is strongest for risperidone, but the evidence for its effects is relatively limited. Most of the evidence is for its effects on externalizing behaviour problems, rather than core symptoms of ASD, skills acquisition, other psychiatric symptoms, or socially valid improvements in lifestyle.

The evidence base for psychotropics for people with DDs is growing, but the quality of the studies is often limited. Many questions remain relating to typical clinical practice, such as polypharmacy, rational clinical decision making, and economics of treatment.

## Acknowledgements

I thank Lindsay Maffei-Almodovar for assistance in reviewing an earlier draft of this manuscript. This research did not receive external funding. The author received consulting fees related to ABA.

The Canadian Psychiatric Association proudly supports the In Review series by providing an honorarium to the authors.

## References

1. Deb S, Thomas M, Bright C. Mental disorder in adults with intellectual disability. 2: The rate of behaviour disorders among a community-based population aged between 16 and 64 years. *J Intellect Disabil Res.* 2001;45(Pt 6):506–514.
2. Smith S, Branford D, Collacott RA, et al. Prevalence and cluster typology of maladaptive behaviors in a geographically defined population of adults with learning disabilities. *Br J Psychiatry.* 1996;169:219–227.
3. Einfeld SL, Tonge BJ. Population prevalence of psychopathology in children and adolescents with intellectual disability: II. Epidemiological findings. *J Intellect Disabil Res.* 1996;40(Pt 2):99–109.
4. Underwood L, McCarthy J, Tsakanikos E. Mental health in adults with autism spectrum disorders and intellectual disability. *Curr Opin Psychiatry.* 2010;23:421–426.
5. Aman MG, Van Bourgondien ME, Wolford PL, et al. Psychotropic and anticonvulsant drugs in subjects with autism: prevalence and patterns of use. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 1995;34:1672–1681.
6. Baumeister AA, Todd ME, Sevin JA. Efficacy and specificity of pharmacological therapies for behavioral disorders in persons with mental retardation. *Clin Neuropharmacol.* 1993;16:271–294.
7. Pyles DAM, Muniz K, Cade A, et al. A behavioral diagnostic paradigm for integrating behavior-analytic and psychopharmacological interventions for people with a dual diagnosis. *Res Dev Disabil.* 1997;18:185–214.
8. Singh NN, Ellis CR, Wechsler H. Psychopharmacoepidemiology of mental retardation: 1966 to 1995. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol.* 1997;7:255–266.
9. Sturmey P. It is time to reduce and safely eliminate restrictive behavioural practices. *J Intellect Disabil Res.* 2009;22:105–110.
10. Sturmey P. Restraint, seclusion and PRN medication in English services for people with learning disabilities administered by the National Health Service: an analysis of the 2007 National Audit survey. *J Intellect Disabil Res.* 2009;22:140–144.
11. Einfeld SL, Ellis LA, Doran CM, et al. Behavior problems increase cost of care of children with intellectual disabilities. *J Ment Health Res Intellect Disabil.* 2010;3:202–209.
12. Keller FS, Schoenfeld WN. *Principles of psychology.* New York (NY): Appleton-Century-Crofts; 1950.
13. Skinner BF. *Science and human behavior.* New York (NY): MacMillan; 1953.
14. Baer DM, Wolf MM, Risley TR. Some current dimensions of applied behavior analysis. *J Appl Behav Anal.* 1968;1:91–97.
15. Cooper JO, Heron TE, Heward WL. *Applied behavior analysis.* 2nd ed. Englewood Cliffs (NJ): Pearson; 2007.
16. Sturmey P. *Functional analysis in clinical psychology.* Chichester (GB): John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 1996.
17. Sturmey P. *Functional analysis in clinical practice.* New York (NY): Elsevier; 2007.

18. Iwata BA, Dorsey MF, Slifer KJ, et al. Toward a functional analysis of self-injury. *J Appl Behav Anal.* 1994;27:197–209.
19. Hanley GB, Iwata BA. Functional analysis of problem behavior: a review. *J Appl Behav Anal.* 1980;36:147–185.
20. Matson JL, Sturmey P. International handbook of autism and pervasive and developmental disabilities. New York (NY): Springer; 2011.
21. Surgeon General. Mental health. A report of the surgeon general. Washington (DC): US Department of Health and Human Services; 1999.
22. Rush AJ, Frances A. The expert consensus guidelines: treatment of psychiatric and behavioral problems in mental retardation. *Am J Ment Retard.* 2000;105:159–228.
23. Aman MG, Crismon ML, Frances A, et al, editors. Treatment of psychiatric and behavior problems in individuals with mental retardation: an update of the Expert Consensus Guidelines for mental retardation/developmental disability populations. Englewood (CO): Postgraduate Institute for Medicine; 2004.
24. Maffei-Almodovar L, Sturmey P. Evidence-based practice and crisis intervention. In: Reed DD, DiGennaro Reed FD, Luiselli JK, editors. Handbook of crisis intervention for individuals with developmental disabilities. New York (NY): Springer; 2012.
25. Didden R, Duker PC, Korzilius H. Meta-analytic study on treatment effectiveness for problem behaviors with individuals who have mental retardation. *Am J Ment Retard.* 1997;101:387–399.
26. Didden R, Korzilius H, van Oorsouw W, et al. Behavioral treatment of challenging behaviors in individuals with mild mental retardation: meta-analysis of single-subject research. *Am J Ment Retard.* 2006;111:290–298.
27. Christiansen E. Effectiveness of behavioral treatments for the reduction of self-injury in autism: a meta-analysis [master's thesis]. [Salt Lake City (UT)]: University of Utah; 2005. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering. 2005;71(1-B):647.
28. Christiansen E. Effectiveness of interventions targeting self-injury in children and adolescents with developmental disabilities: a meta-analysis [dissertation]. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: Sciences and Engineering. 2009;71(1-B):647.
29. Sturmey P, Maffei-Almodovar L, Madzharova MS, et al. Self-injurious behavior. In: Sturmey P, Hersen M, editors. Handbook of evidence-based practice in clinical psychology. Vol 2, Adults. New York (NY): John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2012. p 171–195.
30. McAdam D, Breidbord J, Levine A, et al. Pica. In: Hersen M, Sturmey P. Handbook of evidence-based practice in clinical psychology. Vol 1, Children and adolescents. New York (NY): John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2012. p 323–338.
31. Jennett HK, Hagopian LP. Identifying empirically supported treatments for phobic avoidance in individuals with intellectual disabilities. *Behav Ther.* 2008;39:151–161.
32. Sturmey P, Lindsay WR, Vause T, et al. Anxiety disorders. In: Sturmey P, Didden R, editors. Evidence-based practice in intellectual disabilities. Chichester (GB): John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; Forthcoming.
33. Matson JL. Treatment of obsessive compulsive behavior in mentally retarded adults. *Behav Mod.* 1982;6:551–568.
34. Kuhn DE, Hardesty SL, Sweeney NM. Assessment and treatment of excessive straightening and destructive behavior in an adolescent diagnosed with autism. *J Appl Behav Anal.* 2009;42:355–360.
35. Sigafos J, Green VA, Payne D, et al. A classroom-based antecedent intervention reduces obsessive-repetitive behavior in an adolescent with autism. *Clin Case Stud.* 2009;8:3–13.
36. Berry CL, Schell RM. Reducing hoarding behavior with individualized reinforcement and item return. *Behav Interv.* 2006;21:123–135.
37. Kostinas G, Scandlen A, Luiselli JK. Effects of DRL and DRL combined with response cost on perseverative verbal behavior of an adult with mental retardation and obsessive compulsive disorder. *Behav Interv.* 2001;16:27–37.
38. Chambless DL, Hollon SD. Defining empirically supported therapies. *J Consult Clin Psych.* 1998;66:7–18.
39. Green CW, Reid DH. Defining, validating, and increasing indices of happiness among people with profound multiple disabilities. *J Appl Behav Anal.* 1996;29:67–78.
40. Green CW, Reid DH. Reducing indices of unhappiness among individuals with profound multiple disabilities during therapeutic exercise routines. *J Appl Behav Anal.* 1999;32:137–147.
41. Lancioni GE, O'Reilly MF, Singh NN, et al. Impact of stimulation versus microswitch-based programs on indices of happiness of people with profound multiple disabilities. *Res Dev Disabil.* 2002;23:149–160.
42. Carr EG, Magito McLaughlin D, Giacobbe-Grieco T, et al. Using mood ratings and mood induction in assessment and intervention for severe problem behavior. *Am J Ment Retard.* 2003;108:32–55.
43. Lindauer SE, DeLeon IG, Fisher WW. Decreasing signs of negative affect and correlated self-injury in an individual with mental retardation and mood disturbances. *J Appl Behav Anal.* 1999;32:103–106.
44. Matson JL. The treatment of behavioral characteristics of depression in the mentally retarded. *Behav Ther.* 1982;13:209–218.
45. Matson JL, Dettling J, Senatore V. Treating depression in a mentally retarded adult. *Br J Ment Subnorm.* 1979;26:86–88.
46. Sturmey P. Suicide threats and behavior in a person with developmental disabilities: effective psychiatric monitoring based on a functional assessment. *Behav Interv.* 1994;9:235–245.
47. Provencal SC. The efficacy of a social skills training program for adolescents with autism spectrum disorders [dissertation]. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering. 2003;64(3-B):1504.
48. Travis R, Sturmey P. A review of behavioral interventions for psychotic verbal behavior in people with intellectual disabilities. *J Ment Health Res Intellect Disabil.* 2008;1:33–47.
49. Travis R, Sturmey P. Functional analysis and treatment of the delusional statements of a man with multiple disabilities: a four-year follow-up. *J Appl Behav Anal.* 2010;43:745–749.
50. Sturmey P. Cognitive therapy for people with intellectual disabilities: a selective review and critique. *Clin Psych Psychother.* 2004;11:222–232.
51. McCabe MP, McGillivray JA, Newton DC. Effectiveness of treatment programmes for depression among adults with mild/moderate intellectual disability. *J Intellect Dis Res.* 2006;50(Pt 4):239–247.
52. McGillivray JA, McCabe MP, Kershaw MM. Depression in people with intellectual disability: an evaluation of a staff-administered treatment program. *Res Dev Disabil.* 2008;29:524–536.
53. Travis R, Hamelin J, Sturmey P. Anger management and intellectual disabilities: a systematic review. *J Ment Health Res Intellect Disabil.* Forthcoming 2013.
54. Vargas S, Camilli G. A meta-analysis of research on sensory integration treatment. *Am J Occup Ther.* 1999;53:189–198.
55. Stephenson J, Carter M. The use of weighted vests with children with autism spectrum disorders and other disabilities. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2009;39:105–114.
56. Lotan M, Gold C. Meta-analysis of the effectiveness of individual intervention in the controlled multisensory environment (Snoezelen) for individuals with intellectual disability. *J Intellect Dev Disabil.* 2009;34:207–215.
57. Sinha Y, Silove N, Wheeler D, et al. Auditory integration training and other sound therapies for autism spectrum disorders: a systematic review. *Arch Dis Child.* 2006;91:1018–1022.
58. Whipple J. Music intervention for children and adolescents with autism: a meta-analysis. *J Music Ther.* 2004;41:90–108.
59. Gold C, Wigram T, Elephant C. Music therapy for autism spectrum disorder. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2006 Apr 19;(2):CD004381.
60. Jesner OD, Aref-Adib M, Coren E. Risperidone for autism spectrum disorder. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2007 Jan 24;(1):CD005040.
61. Brylewski J, Duggan L. Antipsychotic medication for challenging behaviour in people with learning disability. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2004;(3):CD000377.



62. Siegel M, Beaulieu AA. Psychotropic medications in children with autism spectrum disorders: a systematic review and synthesis for evidence-based practice. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2011 Nov 9; [Epub ahead of print].
63. Zuddas A, Zanni R, Usala T. Second generation antipsychotics (SGAs) for non-psychotic disorders in children and adolescents: a review of the randomized controlled studies. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2011;21:600–620.
64. McCracken JT, McGough J, Shah B, et al. Risperidone in children with autism and serious behavioral problems. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;347:314–321.
65. Hollander E, Wasserman S, Swanson EN, et al. A double-blind placebo-controlled pilot study of olanzapine in childhood/adolescent pervasive developmental disorder. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol*. 2006;16:541–548.
66. Zarcone JR, Hellings JA, Crandall K, et al. Effects of risperidone on aberrant behavior of persons with developmental disabilities: I. A double-blind crossover study using multiple measures. *Am J Ment Retard*. 2001;106:525–538.
67. Valdovinos MG, Napolitano DA, Zarcone JR, et al. Multimodal evaluation of risperidone for destructive behavior: functional analysis, direct observations, rating scales, and psychiatric impressions. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2002;10:268–275.
68. Crosland KA, Zarcone JR, Lindauer SE, et al. Use of functional analysis methodology in the evaluation of medication effects. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2003;33:271–279.
69. Yoo JH, Williams DC, Napolitano DA, et al. Rate-decreasing effects of the atypical neuroleptic risperidone attenuated by conditions of reinforcement in a woman with mental retardation. *J Appl Behav Anal*. 2003;36:245–248.
70. De Hert M, Dobbelaere M, Sheridan EM, et al. Metabolic and endocrine adverse effects of second-generation antipsychotics in children and adolescents: a systematic review of randomized, placebo controlled trials and guidelines for clinical practice. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2011;26:144–158.
71. Sohanpal SK, Deb S, Thomas C, et al. The effectiveness of antidepressant medication in the management of behaviour problems in adults with intellectual disabilities: a systematic review. *J Intellect Disabil Res*. 2007;51(Pt 10):750–765.
72. Deb S, Sohanpal SK, Soni R, et al. The effectiveness of antipsychotic medication in the management of behaviour problems in adults with intellectual disabilities. *J Intellect Disabil Res*. 2007;51(Pt 10):766–777.
73. Deb S, Unwin GL. Psychotropic medication for behaviour problems in people with intellectual disability: a review of the current literature. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2007;20:461–466.
74. Deb S, Chaplin R, Sohanpal S, et al. The effectiveness of mood stabilizers and antiepileptic medication for the management of behaviour problems in adults with intellectual disabilities: a systematic review. *J Intellect Disabil Res*. 2008;52(Pt 2):107–113.
75. Unwin GL, Deb S. Efficacy of atypical antipsychotic medication in the management of behaviour problems in children with intellectual disabilities and borderline intelligence: a systematic review. *Res Dev Disabil*. 2011;32:2121–2133.
76. Singh AN, Matson JL, Cooper CL, et al. The use of risperidone among individuals with mental retardation: clinically supported or not? *Res Dev Disabil*. 2005;26:203–218.
77. Aman MG, McDougle CJ, Scahill L, et al. Medication and parent training in children with pervasive developmental disorders and serious behavior problems: results from a randomized clinical trial. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2009;48:1143–1154.
78. Gagliano C, Read S, Thorpe L, et al. Short- and long-term efficacy and safety of risperidone in adults with disruptive behavior disorders. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2005;179:629–636.
79. Lewis MH, Bodfish JW, Powell SB, et al. Clomipramine treatment for self-injurious behavior of individuals with mental retardation: a double-blind comparison with placebo. *Am J Ment Retard*. 1996;100:654–665.
80. Tyrer SP, Walsh A, Edwards DE, et al. Factors associated with a good response to lithium in aggressive mentally handicapped subjects. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 1984;8:751–755.
81. Romeo R, Knapp M, Tyrer P, et al. The treatment of challenging behaviour in intellectual disabilities: cost-effectiveness analysis. *J Intellect Disabil Res*. 2009;53:633–643.
82. Einfeld S, Tonge B, Chapman L, et al. Inter-rater reliability of the diagnoses of psychosis and depression in individuals with intellectual disabilities. *J Appl Res Intellect Disabil*. 2007;20:384–390.
83. Courtemanche AB, Schroeder SR, Sheldon JB. Designs and analyses of psychotropic and behavioral interventions for the treatment of problem behavior among people with intellectual and developmental disabilities. *Am J Intellect Dev Disabil*. 2011;116:315–328.
84. Poling A, LeSage M. Evaluating psychotropic drugs in people with mental retardation: where are the social validity data? *Am J Ment Retard*. 1995;100:193–200.
85. Kern L, Vorndran CM, Hilt A, et al. Choice as an intervention to improve behavior: a review of the literature. *J Behav Educ*. 1998;8:151–169.
86. Shogren KA, Faggella-Luby MN. The effect of choice-making as an intervention for problem behavior: a metaanalysis. *J Posit Behav Interv*. 2004;6:228–237.
87. Mancil GR. Functional communication training: a review of the literature related to children with autism. *Educ Train Dev Disabil*. 2006;41:213–224.
88. Banda DR, Grimmer E. Enhancing social and transition behaviors of persons with autism through activity schedules: a review. *Educ Train Dev Disabil*. 2008;43:324–333.
89. Bellini S, Akullian J. A meta-analysis of video modeling and video self-monitoring interventions for children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. *Except Child*. 2007;73:264–287.
90. Carr EG, Horner RH, Turnbull AP, et al. Positive behavior support for people with developmental disabilities. A research synthesis. Washington (DC): American Association on Mental Retardation; 1999.
91. Rispoli M, Neely L, Lang R, et al. Training paraprofessionals to implement interventions for people with autism spectrum disorders: a systematic review. *Dev Neurorehabil*. 2011;14(6):378–388.
92. Wood AL, Luiselli JK, Harchik AE. Training instructional skills with paraprofessional service providers at a community-based habilitation setting. *Behav Modif*. 2011;31:847–855.
93. Hassiotis A, Robotham D, Canagasabay A, et al. Randomized, single-blind, controlled trial of a specialist behavior therapy team for challenging behavior in adults with intellectual disabilities. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2009;166:1278–1285.
94. Hassiotis A, Robotham D, Canagasabay A, et al. Brief report: impact of applied behaviour analysis (ABA) on carer burden and community participation in challenging behaviour: results from a randomised controlled trial. *J Intellect Disabil Res*. 2012;56:285–290.