

Continuous Symmetry Groups in Quantum Physics

Winter Term 2023/24

Carsten Henkel

Problem Set No 6

Hand out: 19 January 2024

Discussion: 24 and 31 January 2024

Problem 6.1 – Translating boosts (3 points)

(a) A Galilei transformation can be represented by the linear map

$$\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{v}t, \quad t' = t \quad (6.1)$$

Check that this commutes with spatial translations $\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{b}$. This is a bit strange because in quantum mechanics, Galilei transformations are generated by the centre-of-mass operator (multiplied by $-M$), while translations are generated by the momentum operator.

(b) In the language of Poisson brackets $\{f, g\} = \partial_x f \partial_p g - \partial_p f \partial_x g$ for Hamilton mechanics, a Galilei transformation is generated by

$$\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} + \{\mathbf{r}, K(\mathbf{v})\}, \quad \mathbf{p}' = \mathbf{p} + \{\mathbf{p}, K(\mathbf{v})\} \quad \text{with} \quad K(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} \cdot (-M\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p}t) \quad (6.2)$$

The same scheme generates translations via $T(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{b}$. Check that the Poisson bracket $\{K(\mathbf{v}), T(\mathbf{b})\}$ between the generators is nonzero, although simply a constant in phase space. We may say that the Poisson algebra of the generators for Poincaré symmetry extends by a constant the set of generators of coordinate transformations.

(c) In the relativistic setting, a Galilei transformation becomes a Lorentz boost

$$\mathbf{r}' = \gamma(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{v}t), \quad t' = \gamma(t + \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v}/c^2) \quad (6.3)$$

Consider two infinitesimal boosts with velocities \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} and compute their commutator. Show that you get a term of order $1/c^2$ which has the structure of a rotation around the axis $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}$.

Problem 6.2 – Scalars and vectors (3 points)

(a) A *vector* operator is defined in quantum mechanics as a set of three operators A_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) whose commutator with the angular momentum operator \mathbf{J} has the form (Einstein summation)

$$[J_i, A_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} A_k \quad (6.4)$$

A *scalar* operator commutes with \mathbf{J} . Show that the scalar (the vector) product of two vector operators is a scalar (vector) operator.

(b) A set of four operators (A^μ) is a (contravariant) 4-*vector* provided the following commutators hold. Split into temporal and spatial components (A^μ) = (A_0, \mathbf{A}): A_0 is scalar, and \mathbf{A} is vectorial [Eq. (6.4)]. With respect to the generators \mathbf{K} of Lorentz boosts, we have

$$[\mathbf{K}, A_0] = -i\frac{\hbar}{c}\mathbf{A}, \quad [K_i, A_j] = -i\frac{\hbar}{c}\delta_{ij}A_0 \quad (6.5)$$

Show that the Minkowski product $A^\mu V_\mu = A_0 V_0 - \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{V}$ of two 4-vectors is a Lorentz scalar, i.e., it commutes with \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{K} .

(c) The momentum operator (P^μ) = ($H/c, \mathbf{P}$) is a 4-vector. Consider the commutators $[P_i, K_j]$ in the non-relativistic limit and check how to recover the standard non-relativistic commutation relations between momentum and centre-of-mass coordinate $\mathbf{K} = -M\mathbf{R}$.

Problem 6.3 – The Lorentz algebra and its generators (1 point)

(a) The commutators for the generators \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{K} of the Lorentz group are

$$[J_i, J_k] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ikl} J_l, \quad [J_i, K_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijl} K_l, \quad [K_i, K_j] = -i\frac{\hbar}{c^2} \epsilon_{ijk} J_k, \quad (6.6)$$

We set $\hbar = c = 1$ in the following. Show that the complex linear combinations

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{J} + i\mathbf{K}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J} - i\mathbf{K} \quad (6.7)$$

commute and satisfy separately SU(2)-commutators,

$$[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}] = 0, \quad [A_i, A_j] = 2i \epsilon_{ijk} A_k, \quad [B_i, B_j] = 2i \epsilon_{ijk} B_k \quad (6.8)$$

At the level of the algebra, the Lorentz group is thus (complex) isomorphic to two SU(2) algebras, in jargon: $\text{lor} \simeq \text{su}(2) \oplus \text{su}(2)$ (lowercase notation for Lie algebras)

(b) The group is not really a tensor product $\text{SU}(2) \otimes \text{SU}(2)$ because of the algebra complexification. After all, this tensor product is a compact group, and indeed, the Lorentz group is not compact. (Give an argument why.) To understand the relation between the group and the algebra, consider an irreducible representation of the \mathbf{A} - and \mathbf{B} -algebras with the quantum numbers $j = 0$ and $j' = 1/2$. By equating $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ (why?), give the representation of the operators \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{K} . Compute the exponentials $R(\mathbf{n}, \theta) = \exp(-i\theta \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ (for rotations) and $S(\mathbf{q}) = \exp(-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{K})$ (for boosts) and apply them to a “spin up” state (eigenvector of J_3 with eigenvalue $+\frac{1}{2}$). The particles corresponding to this representation are called “left-handed Weyl fermions”. In the standard model, they correspond to neutrinos, electrons, and quarks (all massless) before the symmetry breaking due to the Higgs mechanism.