

Proof $\Lambda > 0$

Christian Hilscher

July 5, 2021

A single decision tree is consistent if $MDI(X_j, \mathbf{t}) \rightarrow \infty \forall j \in S$. We can lower bound this by $MDI(X_j; \mathbf{t}) \geq \Lambda_j K_j(\mathbf{t})$.

Now we prove that $\Lambda > 0$ since it can be argued that $K_j(\mathbf{t}) \rightarrow \infty$ for deeply grown trees.

Notation

One can write

$$\Delta(s; \mathbf{t}) = P(\mathbf{t}_L)P(\mathbf{t}_R) [\mathbb{E}[Y|\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{t}, X \leq s] - \mathbb{E}[Y|\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{t}, X > s]]^2$$

Define

$$\Xi(s; \mathbf{t}) = P(\mathbf{t}_L)P(\mathbf{t}_R) [\mathbb{E}[Y|\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{t}, X \leq s] - \mathbb{E}[Y|\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{t}, X > s]] \quad (1)$$

such that

$$\Delta(s; \mathbf{t}) = \frac{[\Xi(s; \mathbf{t})]^2}{P(\mathbf{t}_L)P(\mathbf{t}_R)}$$

Weighted approach

Here we deviate from Kuslowski (2020) and instead of analyzing $\Delta(s; \mathbf{t})$ we consider a weighted variant:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\alpha(s; \mathbf{t}) &= [4P(\mathbf{t}_L)P(\mathbf{t}_R)]^\alpha \Delta(s; \mathbf{t}) \\ &= \omega \Delta(s; \mathbf{t}) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

From now on we consider an interval $[a, b]$ where $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$.

Instead of writing $P(\mathbf{t}_L)$ one can also write $P(s|\mathbf{t})$ to emphasize the dependence of the

split-point.

Taking (2) adapted with the weights then yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\alpha(s; \mathbf{t}) &= [4P(s|\mathbf{t})(1 - P(s|\mathbf{t}))]^\alpha \frac{[\Xi(s; \mathbf{t})]^2}{P(s|\mathbf{t})(1 - P(s|\mathbf{t}))} \\ &= \omega \frac{(\int_a^s p(s|\mathbf{t}) \bar{G}(\tilde{s}, \mathbf{t}) d\tilde{s})^2}{P(s|\mathbf{t})(1 - P(s|\mathbf{t}))}\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

where $p(s|\mathbf{t})$ is the density function of $X|\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{t}$. Since $\Delta_\alpha(s^*, \mathbf{t})$ is the maximum of (3), any average over possible split points is smaller. Taking any prior Π on $[0, 1]$ with the corresponding density π , one can thus write

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\alpha(s^*; \mathbf{t}) &\geq \int_a^b \omega \frac{(\int_a^s p(s|\mathbf{t}) \bar{G}(\tilde{s}; \mathbf{t}) d\tilde{s})^2}{P(s|\mathbf{t})(1 - P(s|\mathbf{t}))} \pi\left(\frac{s-a}{b-a}\right) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \omega \frac{(\int_0^s (b-a)p(a + \tilde{s}(b-a)|\mathbf{t}) \bar{G}(a + \tilde{s}(b-a); \mathbf{t}) d\tilde{s})^2}{P(a + s(b-a)|\mathbf{t})(1 - P(a + s(b-a)|\mathbf{t}))} \Pi ds\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

With a uniform prior, $\pi(s) = \mathbb{1}_{s \in [0, 1]}$, and by assumption positive and continuous $p_X(\cdot)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{(a,b) \rightarrow (c,c)} (b-a)p(a + s(b-a)|\mathbf{t}) &= \frac{p_X(c)}{p_X(c)} = 1 \\ \lim_{(a,b) \rightarrow (c,c)} P(a + s(b-a)|\mathbf{t}) &= s \frac{p_X(c)}{p_X(c)} = s\end{aligned}$$

Digression: Auxiliary re-write

For simplifying things later on, let

$$D(s) = \frac{\bar{F}(a + s(b-a); \mathbf{t}) - \bar{F}(c; \mathbf{t})}{(a + s(b-a) - c)^R} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \frac{c-a}{b-a}$$

and note that this way

$$\frac{\bar{G}(a + \tilde{s}(b-a); \mathbf{t})}{(b-a)^R} = D(\tilde{s})(\tilde{s} - \delta)^R - \int_0^1 D(\tilde{s})(\tilde{s} - \delta)^R d\tilde{s}\quad (5)$$

Approximating $\bar{F}(a + s(b-a); \mathbf{t})$ the point $s = c$ by a Taylor expansion and yields

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{F}(a + s(b - a); \mathbf{t}) - \bar{F}(c; \mathbf{t}) &= \bar{F}^{(1)}(c; \mathbf{t})(a + s(b - a) - c) + \dots + \frac{\bar{F}^{(R)}(c; \mathbf{t})}{R!}(a + s(b - a) - c)^R \\ \frac{\bar{F}(a + s(b - a); \mathbf{t}) - \bar{F}(c; \mathbf{t})}{(a + s(b - a) - c)^R} &= \bar{F}^{(1)}(c; \mathbf{t}) \frac{(a + s(b - a) - c)}{(a + s(b - a) - c)^R} + \dots + \frac{\bar{F}^{(R)}(c; \mathbf{t})}{R!}\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

and (6) is equal to $D(s)$. Taking the limit then gives

$$\lim_{(a,b) \rightarrow (c,c)} D(s) = \frac{\bar{F}^{(R)}(c; \mathbf{t})}{R!} \quad (7)$$

We can assume without loss of generality that $\bar{F}^{(R)}(c; \mathbf{t}) > 0$. If then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\sqrt{(b - c)^2 + (a - c)^2} < \varepsilon$, it holds because of uniform continuity that

$$\left| D(s) - \frac{\bar{F}^{(R)}(c; \mathbf{t})}{R!} \right| < \min \left\{ \frac{\bar{F}^{(R)}(c; \mathbf{t})}{2R!}, \frac{1}{\delta^2} \right\} \quad (8)$$

Continuation main part

We are now in the position to reformulate

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\alpha(s^*; \mathbf{t}) &\geq \int_0^1 \omega \frac{(\int_0^s (b - a)p(a + \tilde{s}(b - a)|\mathbf{t})\bar{G}(a + \tilde{s}(b - a); \mathbf{t})d\tilde{s})^2}{P(a + s(b - a)|\mathbf{t})(1 - P(a + s(b - a)|\mathbf{t}))} \Pi ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \omega \frac{(\int_0^s D(\tilde{s})(\tilde{s} - \delta)^R d\tilde{s} - s \int_0^1 D(\tilde{s})(\tilde{s} - \delta)^R d\tilde{s})^2}{s(1 - s)}\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

$$(10)$$

Since $s(1 - s) \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and using Jensen's inequality it holds that (9) is at least

$$\begin{aligned}&4 \left(\int_0^1 \omega \left(\int_0^s D(\tilde{s})(\tilde{s} - \delta)^R d\tilde{s} - s \int_0^1 D(\tilde{s})(\tilde{s} - \delta)^R d\tilde{s} \right)^2 ds \right) \\ &= 4 \left(\int_0^1 [s(1 - s)]^\alpha \left(\int_0^s D(\tilde{s})(\tilde{s} - \delta)^R d\tilde{s} - s \int_0^1 D(\tilde{s})(\tilde{s} - \delta)^R d\tilde{s} \right)^2 ds \right) \\ &\leq 4 \int_0^1 [s(1 - s)]^\alpha ds \left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^s D(\tilde{s})(\tilde{s} - \delta)^R d\tilde{s} - s \int_0^1 D(\tilde{s})(\tilde{s} - \delta)^R d\tilde{s} \right)^2 ds \right)\end{aligned}$$

which, after applying Fubini's Theorem and using $\tilde{s}, \tilde{\tilde{s}} = x$, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4 \int_0^1 [s(1-s)]^\alpha ds \left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^s D(x)(x-\delta)^R ds - s \int_0^1 D(x)(x-\delta)^R ds \right)^2 dx \right) \\
&= 4 \int_0^1 [s(1-s)]^\alpha ds \left(\int_0^1 D(x)(x-\delta)^R \left[\int_0^s ds - s \int_0^1 ds \right]^2 dx \right) \\
&= 4 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)^2}{\Gamma(2\alpha+2)} \int_0^1 D(x)(x-\delta)^R \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) dx
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function.

From here on proof of Kuslowski applies just with the pre-multiplication of $\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)^2}{\Gamma(2\alpha+2)}$. Following his steps, it holds that

$$\liminf_{(a(\mathbf{t}), b(\mathbf{t})) \rightarrow (c, c)} \left\{ \frac{\Delta_\alpha(s^*; \mathbf{t})}{\left(\frac{(b(\mathbf{t}) - a(\mathbf{t}))^R |\bar{F}^{(R)}(c; \mathbf{t})|}{R!} \right)^2} \right\} \geq \Delta_R \quad (11)$$

with

$$\Delta_R = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)^2}{\Gamma(2\alpha+2)} \int_0^1 \Delta(s; [0, 1]) ds > 0$$