Quantum Optics Assignment 1

Christian Lange

September 1, 2023

1 Typical photon energies

Jupyter notebook used for calculations included at the end of this document.

(a) Photon energies

For a photon with wavelength λ and frequency $f = c/\lambda$, the energy E and momentum p are as follows.

$$E = \hbar\omega = hf \tag{1}$$

$$p = \frac{h}{\lambda} \tag{2}$$

For a photon with wavelength 852 nm, these give

• Photon energy in Joule: $2.33 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$

• Photon energy in eV: 1.46 eV

• Frequency of light: 352 THz

The temperature difference of the atom after emission of the photon is obtained through $E=k_BT$. $T=1.69\times 10^4~\mathrm{K}$

(b) Total energy

We can get the velocity from $E = hf = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$.

• Final velocity: 4.2 km/s

(c) Recoil velocity

The recoil velocity is obtained with $p = \frac{h}{f} = mv$.

• Recoil velocity: 29.5 mm/s

• Recoil energy: $1.66 \times 10^{-29} \text{ J}$

2 Mechanical velocity and electromagnetic fields in quantum mechanics

The vector potential

It is useful to know the following definitions about the vector potential, which I found on Wikipedia. Here, $\bf E$ and $\bf B$ are the electric and magnetic fields.

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla U(\mathbf{r}, t) - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \tag{4}$$

(a) Commutators

Functions of \mathbf{r} commute with \mathbf{r} , and likewise with \mathbf{p} .

$$[\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)] = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$[\mathbf{r}, U(\mathbf{r}, t)] = 0 \tag{6}$$

(b) Calculating the velocity

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=x,y,z} \left[p_i^2 + q^2 A_i^2 \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) - q p_i A_i \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) - q A_i \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) p_i \right] + q U \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right)$$

$$\tag{7}$$

$$[x, H] = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=x,y,z} \left\{ \left[x, p_i^2 \right] - q \left[x, p_i A_i \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) \right] - q \left[x, A_i \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) p_i \right] \right\}$$
(8)

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \{ p_x [x, p_x] + [x, p_x] p_x - q [x, p_x] A_x (\mathbf{r}, t) - q A_i (\mathbf{r}, t) [x, p_x] \}$$
(9)

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \left\{ 2i\hbar p_x - 2qi\hbar A_x \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) \right\} \tag{10}$$

$$=\frac{i\hbar}{m}\left\{p_{x}-qA_{x}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)\right\} \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle x \rangle = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [x, H] \rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} x \right\rangle \tag{12}$$

$$=\frac{1}{m}\left\langle p_{x}-qA_{x}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)\right\rangle \tag{13}$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle y\rangle = \frac{1}{m}\langle p_y - qA_y(\mathbf{r}, t)\rangle \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle z \rangle = \frac{1}{m} \langle p_z - q A_z (\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle \tag{15}$$

so that

$$\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = \frac{1}{m} \langle \mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A} (\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$$
 (16)

(c) The Force

Based on the result from (b), we can define the velocity operator as follows.

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{m} \left[\mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A} \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) \right] \tag{17}$$

We can find the acceleration by a second application of Ehrenfest's theorem.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [\mathbf{v}, H] \rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v} \right\rangle$$
(18)

There are two things we must be careful of.

- 1. In general, the velocity operator has explicit time dependence through $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t)$, so that we cannot write $\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v} \rangle = \mathbf{0}$.
- 2. While it is true that any operator \mathscr{O} commutes with itself $[\mathscr{O}, \mathscr{O}] = 0$, it is not necessarily the case that a vector of operators \mathbf{O} commutes with its square $[\mathbf{O}, \mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{O}] \neq \mathbf{0}$. When you expand into $[O_x \hat{\mathbf{x}} + O_y \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \cdots, O_x^2 + O_y^2 + \cdots]$, you get terms like $\hat{\mathbf{x}} [O_x, O_y^2]$ which may not commute. Therefore, we cannot assume that $\langle [\mathbf{v}, \frac{1}{2}m\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}] \rangle = 0$.

We will start by rewriting the Hamiltonian in terms of the velocity operator.

$$H = \frac{1}{2}m\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} + qU(\mathbf{r}, t) \tag{19}$$

Computing $[v_i, v_j]$

It is useful to compute the commutators of the different components of \mathbf{v} .

$$[v_i, v_j] = \frac{1}{m^2} \left[p_i - qA_i(\mathbf{r}, t), p_j - qA_j(\mathbf{r}, t) \right]$$
(20)

$$= \frac{1}{m^2} \left\{ [p_i, p_j] + q^2 [A_i (\mathbf{r}, t), A_j (\mathbf{r}, t)] - q [p_i, A_j (\mathbf{r}, t)] - q [A_i (\mathbf{r}, t), p_j] \right\}$$
(21)

$$= -\frac{q}{m^2} \left\{ \left[p_i, A_j \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) \right] + \left[A_i \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right), p_j \right] \right\}$$
(22)

We can use the identity $[p_i, f(x)] = -i\hbar \partial_x f(x)$ [see Appendix] to obtain $[p_i, A_j(\mathbf{r}, t)] = -i\hbar \partial_i A_j(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $[A_i(\mathbf{r}, t), p_j] = -[p_j, A_i(\mathbf{r}, t)] = i\hbar \partial_j A_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ where i, j are x, y, z.

$$[v_i, v_j] = -\frac{q}{m^2} \left\{ -i\hbar \partial_i A_j \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) + i\hbar \partial_j A_i \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) \right\}$$
(23)

$$=\frac{i\hbar q}{m^2}\left\{\partial_i A_j\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) - \partial_j A_i\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)\right\} \tag{24}$$

We can simplify this by recognizing it is in the form of a cross product.

$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{A})_x = \partial_y A_z - \partial_z A_y \tag{25}$$

$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{A})_y = \partial_z A_x - \partial_x A_z \tag{26}$$

$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{A})_z = \partial_x A_y - \partial_y A_x \tag{27}$$

Note: This can be written very compactly with the Levi-Civita tensor ϵ_{ijk} . The rule is that $\epsilon_{ijk} = +1$ if ijk are "in order," that is, ijk = xyz, or yzx, or zxy. If ijk are "out of order," that is, ijk = xzy or yxz or zyx, then $\epsilon_{ijk} = -1$. If any indices are repeated, such as ijk = xxy, then $\epsilon_{ijk} = 0$. In this notation, any cross product can be written as

$$(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B})_i = \sum_{jk} \epsilon_{ijk} A_j B_k. \tag{28}$$

Computing $[\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}]$

Let's begin with one component $[v_x, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}]$.

$$[v_x, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}] = \left[v_x, v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2\right] \tag{29}$$

$$= \{v_y [v_x, v_y] + [v_x, v_y] v_y + v_z [v_x, v_z] + [v_x, v_z] v_z\}$$
(30)

$$=\frac{i\hbar q}{m^2}\left\{v_y\left[\nabla\times\mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)\right]_z+\left[\nabla\times\mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)\right]_zv_y-v_z\left[\nabla\times\mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)\right]_y-\left[\nabla\times\mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)\right]_yv_z\right\}$$
(31)

$$=\frac{i\hbar q}{m^{2}}\left\{\left(\mathbf{v}\times\left(\nabla\times\mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)\right)\right)_{x}-\left(\left(\nabla\times\mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)\right)\times\mathbf{v}\right)_{x}\right\}$$
(32)

$$= \frac{2i\hbar q}{m^2} \left\{ \mathbf{v} \times \left[\nabla \times \mathbf{A} \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) \right] \right\}_x \tag{33}$$

Because there is nothing particularly special about x as opposed to y and z, this rule should hold for all v_x, v_y, v_z .

$$[v_i, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}] = \frac{2i\hbar q}{m^2} \left\{ \mathbf{v} \times [\nabla \times \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{r}, t)] \right\}_I$$
(34)

The total commutator $[\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}]$ is simply

$$[\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}] = \frac{2i\hbar q}{m^2} \{ \mathbf{v} \times [\nabla \times \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{r}, t)] \}$$
 (35)

Computing $[\mathbf{v}, U(\mathbf{r}, t]]$

Recall that functions of \mathbf{r} commute with other functions of \mathbf{r} because all of r_x, r_y, r_z commute with each other. Recall also that $[p_x, f(x)] = -i\hbar \partial_x f(x)$ and that the gradient is defined as $\nabla f(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \partial_i f(\mathbf{r})$. Here, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i$ is the unit vector in the *i*th direction.

$$\left[\mathbf{v}, U(\mathbf{r}, t)\right] = \frac{1}{m} \left[\mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t), U(\mathbf{r}, t)\right]$$
(36)

$$=\frac{1}{m}\left[\mathbf{p},U\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)\right]-\frac{q}{m}\left[\mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right),U\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)\right]\tag{37}$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{i} \left[p_{i}, U \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) \right]$$
(38)

$$= -\frac{i\hbar}{m} \sum_{i} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{i} \partial_{i} U(\mathbf{r}, t)$$
(39)

$$= -\frac{i\hbar}{m}\nabla U\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) \tag{40}$$

Computing [v, H]

We can finally assemble everything.

$$\left[\mathbf{v},H\right] = \left[\mathbf{v},\frac{1}{2}m\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{v} + qU\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)\right] \tag{41}$$

$$= q\left[\mathbf{v}, U(\mathbf{r}, t] + \sum_{i} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{i} \left[v_{i}, \frac{1}{2}m\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right]$$
(42)

$$= -\frac{i\hbar q}{m} \nabla U(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{i\hbar q}{m} \left\{ \mathbf{v} \times \left[\nabla \times \mathbf{A} \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) \right] \right\}$$
 (43)

The explicit time dependence of \mathbf{v} is all in $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t)$.

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A} (\mathbf{r}, t)}{m} \right]$$
(44)

$$= -\frac{q}{m} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} \tag{45}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [\mathbf{v}, H] \rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} \right\rangle \tag{46}$$

$$= -\frac{q}{m} \langle \nabla U(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle + \frac{q}{m} \langle \mathbf{v} \times [\nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)] \rangle - \frac{q}{m} \left\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} \right\rangle$$
(47)

And that's the answer! In order to make sense of this, we need to employ the relationship between the classical electric and magnetic fields **E** and **B** with the vector potential.

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \left(\mathbf{r}, t \right) \tag{48}$$

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla U(\mathbf{r}, t) - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t}$$
(49)

If we substitute these relations, we obtain

$$\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle = m \frac{d}{dt} \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = q \left[\langle \mathbf{E} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \rangle \right]$$
 (50)

which is exactly the form of the classical Lorentz force $\mathbf{F} = q (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})$.

3 Density matrices

(a) Pure ensemble

$$|\psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3/4} \\ -i/2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{51}$$

$$\langle \psi | = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{3/4} & i/2 \end{array} \right) \tag{52}$$

$$\rho_{\text{pure}} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = \begin{pmatrix} 3/4 & i\sqrt{3}/4\\ -i\sqrt{3}/4 & 1/4 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (53)

(b) Impure ensemble

$$\rho_1 = \frac{3}{4} |g\rangle \langle g| = \frac{3}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{54}$$

$$\rho_2 = \frac{1}{4} |e\rangle \langle e| = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{55}$$

$$\rho_{\text{impure}} = \rho_1 + \rho_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3/4 & 0\\ 0 & 1/4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{56}$$

(c) Experimental determination

In the pure ensemble, all atoms are in a definite state $|\psi\rangle$. In the impure ensemble, a proportion of atoms are in each state. Therefore, if we could devise an experiment to determine the likelihood of an atom being in $|\psi\rangle$, we would get different answers for the two ensembles. For (a), we would get unity. For (b), we would get an answer based on the projection of the component states onto $|\psi\rangle$. Let $A=|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ be the observable that we can measure.

$$\langle A \rangle_{\text{(pure)}} = \text{Tr}\left[\rho_{\text{pure}}A\right] = \text{Tr}\left[\rho_{\text{pure}}^2\right] = 1$$
 (57)

$$\langle A \rangle_{\text{(impure)}} = \text{Tr} \left[\rho_{\text{impure}} A \right] = \text{Tr} \left[\begin{pmatrix} (3/4)^2 & i3^{3/2}/16 \\ -i\sqrt{3}/16 & 1/16 \end{pmatrix} \right] = 10/16$$
 (58)

(d) Entropy

If we use a basis in which ρ is diagonal, the entropy is simply

$$S = -k_B \operatorname{Tr} \left[\rho \ln \rho\right] = -k_B \sum_{k} \rho_k^{\text{(diag)}} \ln \rho_k^{\text{(diag)}}.$$
 (59)

For the pure state, the eigenvalues of 1 and 0.

$$S_{\text{pure}} = -k_B \left[1 \cdot \ln 1 + \lim_{x \to 0^+} x \ln x \right]. \tag{60}$$

We can evaluate the limit using L'hopital's rule. Note that we have to write it as a fraction.

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} x \ln x = \lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{\ln x}{1/x} = \lim_{x \to 0^+} -\frac{1/x}{1/x^2} = \lim_{x \to 0^+} -x = 0 \tag{61}$$

So the entropy of a pure state is zero!

$$S_{\text{pure}} = 0 \tag{62}$$

For the mixed state,

$$S_{\text{impure}} = -k_B \left[3/4 \cdot \ln 3/4 + 1/4 \cdot \ln 1/4 \right] \approx 0.562 k_B$$
 (63)

(e) Thermal state

In this case, we only consider two state $|g\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$, with energy 0 and 1.

$$\rho = \frac{1}{Z} \left(|g\rangle \langle g| + e^{-1/k_B T} |e\rangle \langle e| \right)$$
(64)

At $T=0,\,e^{-1/k_BT}\to 0$ and the population is purely in the ground state. As $T\to\infty,\,e^{-1/k_BT}\to 1$ and the density matrix is a statistical mixture of ground and excited.

$$\rho_{T \to \infty} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0\\ 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{65}$$

Appendices

Commutator of momentum and a position-dependent function

$$\langle x | [\hat{p}, f(\hat{x})] | \psi \rangle = \langle x | (\hat{p}f(\hat{x}) - f(\hat{x})\hat{p}) | \psi \rangle \tag{66}$$

$$= -i\hbar \partial_x \left(f(x)\psi(x) \right) - i\hbar f(x)\partial_x \psi(x) \tag{67}$$

$$= -i\hbar \left(\partial_x f(x)\right) \psi(x) \tag{68}$$

$$\to [p, f(x)] = -i\hbar \partial_x f(x) \tag{69}$$