

Problem 7.1: KKT Conditions for Equality Constrained Problems

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonempty open set, and let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable. Moreover, let $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, and let $h_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable for all $i = 1, \dots, l$. Consider the following optimization problem P :

$$\begin{aligned} (P) : \quad & \min. f(x) \\ \text{subject to: } & g_i(x) \leq 0, & i = 1, \dots, m \\ & h_i(x) = 0, & i = 1, \dots, l \\ & x \in X \end{aligned}$$

Let \bar{x} be a feasible solution to P , and let $I = \{i : g_i(\bar{x}) = 0\}$ be the index set of *active* inequality constraints. Also, let $\nabla g_i(\bar{x})$ for $i \in I$ and $\nabla h_i(\bar{x})$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$ be linearly independent (to enforce constraint qualification). Derive KKT conditions for the problem P .

Hint: Notice that $h_i(x) = 0$ can be equivalently replaced by the two inequalities

$$h_i(x) \leq 0 \text{ and } -h_i(x) \leq 0.$$

Problem 7.2: KKT Transformation of a Bilevel Optimization Problem

Consider the following *bilevel* optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_x c_1^\top x + c_2^\top y & (1) \\ \text{subject to: } & Ax + By \leq \alpha & (2) \\ & y \in \operatorname{argmin}_y c_3^\top y & (3) \\ & \text{subject to: } Dx + Ey \leq \beta & (4) \end{aligned}$$

In problem (1) – (4), we seek an optimal value of x knowing that y , which minimizes another optimization problem, depends on the value of x . This is a way of modeling hierarchical decision problems such as [Stackelberg competition](#).

Reformulate the problem (1) – (4) by replacing the constraints (3) – (4) that form the inner optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & y \in \operatorname{argmin}_y c_3^\top y \\ \text{subject to: } & Dx + Ey \leq \beta \end{aligned}$$

with the KKT optimality conditions of this problem. You can assume that $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^m$, which implies that (4) has $i = 1, \dots, m$ inequality constraints. Is the resulting problem convex? Justify your answer.

Hint: You can write the constraint (4) as

$$d_i x + e_i y \leq \beta_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

where d_i and e_i correspond to the i th rows of the matrices D and E , respectively, and β_i is the i th element of the vector $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Problem 7.3: Example of a Bilevel Transformation

Consider the following bilevel optimization problem:

$$\min_x x - 4y \quad (5)$$

$$\text{subject to: } x \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

$$y \in \operatorname{argmin}_y y \quad (7)$$

$$\text{subject to: } -x - y \leq -3 \quad (8)$$

$$-2x + y \leq 0 \quad (9)$$

$$2x + y \leq 12 \quad (10)$$

$$-3x + 2y \leq -4 \quad (11)$$

$$y \geq 0 \quad (12)$$

Reformulate the problem (5) – (12) by replacing the constraints (7) – (12) that form the inner optimization problem:

$$y \in \operatorname{argmin}_y y$$

$$\text{subject to: } -x - y \leq -3$$

$$-2x + y \leq 0$$

$$2x + y \leq 12$$

$$-3x + 2y \leq -4$$

$$y \geq 0$$

with the KKT conditions of this problem. Try to model and solve the reformulated problem with **Julia** using **JuMP**. One locally optimal solution for the problem is $(x, y) = (2, 1)$ with objective value $f(x, y) = x - 4y = -2$. Can you find this local optimum by trying different initial (starting) values for the different variables? Is the reformulated problem convex? Justify your answer.