

APA PUBLICATIONS RIGHTS FORM

Instructions: Complete and sign Sections 1 and 2 of this form.

Accepted manuscripts cannot be published unless this form is completed, signed, and returned to the Editor. For information on APA copyright policies, please see the reverse side of this form. This form and the terms contained herein may not be amended without the express written consent of the American Psychological Association.

Manuscript title	The development of infants' responses to mispronunciations: A Meta-Analysis		
Author names (in order of authorship)	Katie Von Holzen, Christina Bergmann		
Corresponding author	Katie Von Holzen	Phone number	+491725286485
Email address	katie.m.vonholzen@gmail.com	APA publication	Developmental Psychology

1. Copyright Transfer Section A is applicable


A. AUTHORIZED CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: The undersigned, desiring to publish the above manuscript in a print publication and/or electronic information service of the American Psychological Association (APA), hereby assigns to APA on behalf of all authors, all right, title, and interest in the above manuscript and any supplemental materials. (If the majority of authors or primary authors are U.S. government employees, a signature is required in Section C and not in this section.) All proprietary rights other than copyright, such as patent rights, shall remain with the author(s). In return for copyright, APA hereby grants to the authors listed in Section 2 of this form, and the employers for whom the work was performed (if applicable), royalty-free, nonexclusive, limited licenses to:

1. Reproduce, or have reproduced, the above manuscript for the author(s)' personal use or for the author(s)' intracompany use provided that (a) the source and APA copyright notice are indicated and (b) the copies are not used in a way that implies APA endorsement of a product or service of an employer. This license does not include the right for the author(s) to transfer, offer for sale, or sell the manuscript to any third party.
2. Distribute or post all or portions of the above manuscript, after final acceptance for publication by APA, to the repository at the author(s) institution in compliance with APA's Prior Publication Policy and Internet Posting Policy (available at www.apa.org/pubs/authors/posting.aspx and incorporated herein by reference).
3. In the case of work performed under U.S. Government contract, APA grants the U.S. Government royalty-free permission to reproduce all or portions of the above manuscript and to authorize others to do so for U.S. Government purposes.

For manuscripts arising from National Institutes of Health (NIH) funded investigations, APA will send the final peer-reviewed and accepted manuscript file to the NIH manuscript submission system on your behalf, in a manner consistent with federal law. Please complete the form "Statement of Eligibility for NIH Deposit" in order for APA to identify relevant manuscripts.

Through signature below, the undersigned author (a) signifies acceptance of the terms and conditions listed above; (b) affirms that written permission has been obtained for all previously published and/or copyrighted material contained in this manuscript and any supplemental materials for online publication, as well as any other requisite permissions or releases; (c) affirms that the manuscript is an original work of authorship that has not been previously published, does not infringe any third party's intellectual property rights, and does not contain any material that is obscene, defamatory, or libelous; (d) has read and agrees to APA's internet posting policy; and (e) affirms that he/she is authorized to sign this form on behalf of all authors.

DocuSigned by:

	November 5, 2020
Authorized signature and title	Date

B. AUTHORS OF WORK FOR HIRE: In addition to the author signature in Section A above, authors whose work was performed within the scope of their employment must provide the signature of an authorized representative of the employer, and the employer's signature below affirms its acceptance and grant of the assignment and rights set forth herein to APA.

_____	_____
Authorized signature and title	Date


C. U.S. GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES: In lieu of the author signature in Section A above, author(s) whose work was performed within the scope of their employment with the U.S. Government must provide the signature of an authorized representative, certifying that the work is in the public domain. (If the work was performed under Government contract but the author is not a Government employee, no signature is required in this section—please see Section A.3 above.)

I certify that the majority of the authors or the primary authors of the manuscript cited above are employees of the U.S. Government and performed this work as part of their employment and that the paper is therefore not subject to the U.S. copyright protection.

_____	_____
Authorized signature and title	Date

2. Authorship Certification (all authors must sign this section)

Note: Faxed signatures, digital signatures, or photocopies are acceptable for all authors. By signing below, the undersigned author(s) agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless APA for third party claims of copyright infringement based on APA's use of the manuscript and to approve the order of authorship as listed at the top of this form.

DocuSigned by:		November 5, 2020	
			
Name	Date	Name	Date
Christina Bergmann	November 6, 2020		
Name	Date	Name	Date
Name	Date	Name	Date
Name	Date	Name	Date
Name	Date	Name	Date

APA Permission Policy Governing the Secondary Use of Copyrighted Material

It is the policy of APA to own the copyrights to its publications, and to the contributions contained therein, in order to protect the interests of the Association, its authors, and their employers, and at the same time to facilitate the appropriate reuse of this material by others.

In exercising its rights under U.S. copyright law, APA requires authors to obtain APA's permission and/or pay APA a fee to republish or reuse the author(s)' manuscript under certain circumstances.

Circumstances in which no permission or fees will be required:

- Authors seeking to reproduce their own manuscript for personal use
- Photocopying of isolated articles for noncommercial classroom and/or library reserve use

Circumstances in which permission is required, but no fee will be charged:

- Authors seeking to use their own material commercially (but for use in edited book compilations, fees are waived for the author only if he or she is serving as the editor of that book compilation)

Circumstances in which permission and a fee will be charged:

- Photocopying of materials for classroom use in which students are charged for the copies
- Photocopying of two or more articles, regardless of classroom use
- Photocopying of materials for course packs and other large-scale copying, regardless of whether a fee is charged to the students
- Commercial organizations seeking to reprint APA materials

Fees are normally charged to all commercial organizations that secure permission to reprint APA-copyrighted material. This fee, after an appropriate deduction to cover APA's costs associated with administering the permission function, is turned over to the American Psychological Foundation, a charitable nonprofit 501(c)(3) organization, incorporated independently of APA. For more information on permissions and fees, please see the APA Permissions Policy available at <http://www.apa.org/about/contact/copyright/index.aspx> and incorporated herein by reference.



Full Disclosure of Interests

This section to be completed by author(s):

Developmental Psychology

Journal: _____ Issue: _____

Article title: The development of infants' responses to mispronunciations: A Meta-Analysis

Authors: Katie Von Holzen, Christina Bergmann

In psychology, as in other scientific disciplines, professional communications are presumed to be based on objective interpretations of evidence and unbiased interpretations of fact. An author's economic and commercial interests in products or services used or discussed in their papers may color such objectivity. Although such relationships do not necessarily constitute a conflict of interest, the integrity of the field requires disclosure of the possibilities of such potentially distorting influences where they may exist. The reader may then judge and, if necessary, make allowance for the impact of the bias on the information being reported.

In general, the safest and most open course of action is to disclose activities and relationships that, if known to others, might be viewed as a conflict of interest, even if you do not believe that any conflict or bias exists.

Whether an interest is "significant" will depend on individual circumstances and cannot be defined by a dollar amount. Holdings in a company through a mutual fund are not ordinarily sufficient to warrant disclosure, whereas salaries, research grants, consulting fees, and personal stock holdings would be. Being the copyright holder of and/or recipient of royalties from a psychological test might be another example. Participation on a board of directors or any other relationship with an entity or person that is in some way part of the paper should also be carefully considered for possible disclosure.

In addition to disclosure of possible sources of positive bias, authors should also carefully consider disclosure where circumstances could suggest bias **against** a product, service, facility, or person. For example, having a copyright or royalty interest in a competing psychological test or assessment protocol might be seen as a possible source of negative bias against another test instrument.

Please check one line only:

I have read the above APA policy on full disclosure, and I declare that

☒ X

_____ Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a significant financial arrangement or affiliation with any product or services used or discussed in my paper, nor any potential bias against another product or service.

_____ I (or an immediate family member) have a significant financial interest or affiliation with the following products or services used or discussed in my paper:

Name of product or service and nature of relationship with each (e.g., stock or bond holdings, research grants, employment, ownership or partnership, consultant fees or other remuneration).

Name of Product or Service

Relationship/Interest

If an author note should be added to your manuscript in reference to any disclosure(s) noted above, please check the line below and attach to this form the text of the author note.

_____ Author Note Attached

DocuSigned by:

Author Signature (All contributing authors must sign this form or duplication of thereof)

November 5, 2020

Date



Full Disclosure of Interests

This section to be completed by author(s):

Developmental Psychology

Journal: _____ Issue: _____

Article title: The development of infants' responses to mispronunciations: A Meta-Analysis

Authors: Katie Von Holzen, Christina Bergmann

In psychology, as in other scientific disciplines, professional communications are presumed to be based on objective interpretations of evidence and unbiased interpretations of fact. An author's economic and commercial interests in products or services used or discussed in their papers may color such objectivity. Although such relationships do not necessarily constitute a conflict of interest, the integrity of the field requires disclosure of the possibilities of such potentially distorting influences where they may exist. The reader may then judge and, if necessary, make allowance for the impact of the bias on the information being reported.

In general, the safest and most open course of action is to disclose activities and relationships that, if known to others, might be viewed as a conflict of interest, even if you do not believe that any conflict or bias exists.

Whether an interest is "significant" will depend on individual circumstances and cannot be defined by a dollar amount. Holdings in a company through a mutual fund are not ordinarily sufficient to warrant disclosure, whereas salaries, research grants, consulting fees, and personal stock holdings would be. Being the copyright holder of and/or recipient of royalties from a psychological test might be another example. Participation on a board of directors or any other relationship with an entity or person that is in some way part of the paper should also be carefully considered for possible disclosure.

In addition to disclosure of possible sources of positive bias, authors should also carefully consider disclosure where circumstances could suggest bias **against** a product, service, facility, or person. For example, having a copyright or royalty interest in a competing psychological test or assessment protocol might be seen as a possible source of negative bias against another test instrument.

Please check one line only:

I have read the above APA policy on full disclosure, and I declare that

☒

____ Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a significant financial arrangement or affiliation with any product or services used or discussed in my paper, nor any potential bias against another product or service.

____ I (or an immediate family member) have a significant financial interest or affiliation with the following products or services used or discussed in my paper:

Name of product or service and nature of relationship with each (e.g., stock or bond holdings, research grants, employment, ownership or partnership, consultant fees or other remuneration).

Name of Product or Service

Relationship/Interest

If an author note should be added to your manuscript in reference to any disclosure(s) noted above, please check the line below and attach to this form the text of the author note.

____ Author Note Attached

DocuSigned by:

Christina Bergmann

Author signature

(All contributing authors must sign this form or duplication of thereof)

November 6, 2020

Date



Full Disclosure of Interests

This section to be completed by author(s):

Developmental Psychology

Journal: _____ Issue: _____

Article title: The development of infants' responses to mispronunciations: A Meta-Analysis

Authors: Katie Von Holzen, Christina Bergmann

In psychology, as in other scientific disciplines, professional communications are presumed to be based on objective interpretations of evidence and unbiased interpretations of fact. An author's economic and commercial interests in products or services used or discussed in their papers may color such objectivity. Although such relationships do not necessarily constitute a conflict of interest, the integrity of the field requires disclosure of the possibilities of such potentially distorting influences where they may exist. The reader may then judge and, if necessary, make allowance for the impact of the bias on the information being reported.

In general, the safest and most open course of action is to disclose activities and relationships that, if known to others, might be viewed as a conflict of interest, even if you do not believe that any conflict or bias exists.

Whether an interest is "significant" will depend on individual circumstances and cannot be defined by a dollar amount. Holdings in a company through a mutual fund are not ordinarily sufficient to warrant disclosure, whereas salaries, research grants, consulting fees, and personal stock holdings would be. Being the copyright holder of and/or recipient of royalties from a psychological test might be another example. Participation on a board of directors or any other relationship with an entity or person that is in some way part of the paper should also be carefully considered for possible disclosure.

In addition to disclosure of possible sources of positive bias, authors should also carefully consider disclosure where circumstances could suggest bias **against** a product, service, facility, or person. For example, having a copyright or royalty interest in a competing psychological test or assessment protocol might be seen as a possible source of negative bias against another test instrument.

Please check one line only:

I have read the above APA policy on full disclosure, and I declare that

_____ Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a significant financial arrangement or affiliation with any product or services used or discussed in my paper, nor any potential bias against another product or service.

_____ I (or an immediate family member) have a significant financial interest or affiliation with the following products or services used or discussed in my paper:

Name of product or service and nature of relationship with each (e.g., stock or bond holdings, research grants, employment, ownership or partnership, consultant fees or other remuneration).

Name of Product or Service

Relationship/Interest

If an author note should be added to your manuscript in reference to any disclosure(s) noted above, please check the line below and attach to this form the text of the author note.

_____ Author Note Attached

Author signature *(All contributing authors must sign this form or duplication of thereof)*

Date



Full Disclosure of Interests

This section to be completed by author(s):

Journal: Developmental Psychology Issue: _____

Article title: The development of infants' responses to mispronunciations: A Meta-Analysis

Authors: Katie Von Holzen, Christina Bergmann

In psychology, as in other scientific disciplines, professional communications are presumed to be based on objective interpretations of evidence and unbiased interpretations of fact. An author's economic and commercial interests in products or services used or discussed in their papers may color such objectivity. Although such relationships do not necessarily constitute a conflict of interest, the integrity of the field requires disclosure of the possibilities of such potentially distorting influences where they may exist. The reader may then judge and, if necessary, make allowance for the impact of the bias on the information being reported.

In general, the safest and most open course of action is to disclose activities and relationships that, if known to others, might be viewed as a conflict of interest, even if you do not believe that any conflict or bias exists.

Whether an interest is "significant" will depend on individual circumstances and cannot be defined by a dollar amount. Holdings in a company through a mutual fund are not ordinarily sufficient to warrant disclosure, whereas salaries, research grants, consulting fees, and personal stock holdings would be. Being the copyright holder of and/or recipient of royalties from a psychological test might be another example. Participation on a board of directors or any other relationship with an entity or person that is in some way part of the paper should also be carefully considered for possible disclosure.

In addition to disclosure of possible sources of positive bias, authors should also carefully consider disclosure where circumstances could suggest bias **against** a product, service, facility, or person. For example, having a copyright or royalty interest in a competing psychological test or assessment protocol might be seen as a possible source of negative bias against another test instrument.

Please check one line only:

I have read the above APA policy on full disclosure, and I declare that

_____ Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a significant financial arrangement or affiliation with any product or services used or discussed in my paper, nor any potential bias against another product or service.

_____ I (or an immediate family member) have a significant financial interest or affiliation with the following products or services used or discussed in my paper:

Name of product or service and nature of relationship with each (e.g., stock or bond holdings, research grants, employment, ownership or partnership, consultant fees or other remuneration).

Name of Product or Service

Relationship/Interest

If an author note should be added to your manuscript in reference to any disclosure(s) noted above, please check the line below and attach to this form the text of the author note.

_____ Author Note Attached

Author signature *(All contributing authors must sign this form or duplication of thereof)*

Date



Full Disclosure of Interests

This section to be completed by author(s):

Journal: Developmental Psychology Issue: _____

Article title: The development of infants' responses to mispronunciations: A Meta-Analysis

Authors: Katie Von Holzen, Christina Bergmann

In psychology, as in other scientific disciplines, professional communications are presumed to be based on objective interpretations of evidence and unbiased interpretations of fact. An author's economic and commercial interests in products or services used or discussed in their papers may color such objectivity. Although such relationships do not necessarily constitute a conflict of interest, the integrity of the field requires disclosure of the possibilities of such potentially distorting influences where they may exist. The reader may then judge and, if necessary, make allowance for the impact of the bias on the information being reported.

In general, the safest and most open course of action is to disclose activities and relationships that, if known to others, might be viewed as a conflict of interest, even if you do not believe that any conflict or bias exists.

Whether an interest is "significant" will depend on individual circumstances and cannot be defined by a dollar amount. Holdings in a company through a mutual fund are not ordinarily sufficient to warrant disclosure, whereas salaries, research grants, consulting fees, and personal stock holdings would be. Being the copyright holder of and/or recipient of royalties from a psychological test might be another example. Participation on a board of directors or any other relationship with an entity or person that is in some way part of the paper should also be carefully considered for possible disclosure.

In addition to disclosure of possible sources of positive bias, authors should also carefully consider disclosure where circumstances could suggest bias **against** a product, service, facility, or person. For example, having a copyright or royalty interest in a competing psychological test or assessment protocol might be seen as a possible source of negative bias against another test instrument.

Please check one line only:

I have read the above APA policy on full disclosure, and I declare that

_____ Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a significant financial arrangement or affiliation with any product or services used or discussed in my paper, nor any potential bias against another product or service.

_____ I (or an immediate family member) have a significant financial interest or affiliation with the following products or services used or discussed in my paper:

Name of product or service and nature of relationship with each (e.g., stock or bond holdings, research grants, employment, ownership or partnership, consultant fees or other remuneration).

Name of Product or Service

Relationship/Interest

If an author note should be added to your manuscript in reference to any disclosure(s) noted above, please check the line below and attach to this form the text of the author note.

_____ Author Note Attached

Author signature *(All contributing authors must sign this form or duplication of thereof)*

Date

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH APA ETHICAL PRINCIPLES

The APA Publications and Communications Board has added to the Instructions to Authors for each APA journal the following statement: "Authors will be required to state in writing that they have complied with APA ethical standards in the treatment of their sample, human or animal, or to describe the details of treatment." (A copy of the APA Ethical Principles may be obtained at <http://www.apa.org/ethics/> or by writing the APA Ethics Office, 750 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20002-4242.)

For your information, the APA Ethical Principles concerning research and publication are reprinted below. Please review the Principles and sign the form provided on the back of this sheet to indicate that you are in compliance.

From Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct. (2002). American Psychologist, 57, 1060–1073.

8.01 Institutional Approval

When institutional approval is required, psychologists provide accurate information about their research proposals and obtain approval prior to conducting the research. They conduct the research in accordance with the approved research protocol.

8.02 Informed Consent to Research

(a) When obtaining informed consent as required in Standard 3.10, Informed Consent, psychologists inform participants about (1) the purpose of the research, expected duration, and procedures; (2) their right to decline to participate and to withdraw from the research once participation has begun; (3) the foreseeable consequences of declining or withdrawing; (4) reasonably foreseeable factors that may be expected to influence their willingness to participate such as potential risks, discomfort, or adverse effects; (5) any prospective research benefits; (6) limits of confidentiality; (7) incentives for participation; and (8) whom to contact for questions about the research and research participants' rights. They provide opportunity for the prospective participants to ask questions and receive answers. (See also Standards 8.03, Informed Consent for Recording Voices and Images in Research; 8.05, Dispensing With Informed Consent for Research; and 8.07, Deception in Research.)

(b) Psychologists conducting intervention research involving the use of experimental treatments clarify to participants at the outset of the research (1) the experimental nature of the treatment; (2) the services that will or will not be available to the control group(s) if appropriate; (3) the means by which assignment to treatment and control groups will be made; (4) available treatment alternatives if an individual does not wish to participate in the research or wishes to withdraw once a study has begun; and (5) compensation for or monetary costs of participating including, if appropriate, whether reimbursement from the participant or a third-party payor will be sought. (See also Standard 8.02a, Informed Consent to Research.)

8.03 Informed Consent for Recording Voices and Images in Research

Psychologists obtain informed consent from research participants prior to recording their voices or images for data collection unless (1) the research consists solely of naturalistic observations in public places, and it is not anticipated that the recording will be used in a manner that could cause personal identification or harm, or (2) the research design includes deception, and consent for the use of the recording is obtained during debriefing. (See also Standard 8.07, Deception in Research.)

8.04 Client/Patient, Student, and Subordinate Research Participants

(a) When psychologists conduct research with clients/patients, students, or subordinates as participants, psychologists take steps to protect the prospective participants from adverse consequences of declining

or withdrawing from participation.

(b) When research participation is a course requirement or an opportunity for extra credit, the prospective participant is given the choice of equitable alternative activities.

8.05 Dispensing With Informed Consent for Research

Psychologists may dispense with informed consent only (1) where research would not reasonably be assumed to create distress or harm and involves (a) the study of normal educational practices, curricula, or classroom management methods conducted in educational settings; (b) only anonymous questionnaires, naturalistic observations, or archival research for which disclosure of responses would not place participants at risk of criminal or civil liability or damage their financial standing, employability, or reputation, and confidentiality is protected; or (c) the study of factors related to job or organization effectiveness conducted in organizational settings for which there is no risk to participants' employability, and confidentiality is protected or (2) where otherwise permitted by law or federal or institutional regulations.

8.06 Offering Inducements for Research Participation

(a) Psychologists make reasonable efforts to avoid offering excessive or inappropriate financial or other inducements for research participation when such inducements are likely to coerce participation.

(b) When offering professional services as an inducement for research participation, psychologists clarify the nature of the services, as well as the risks, obligations, and limitations. (See also Standard 6.05, Barter With Clients/Patients.)

8.07 Deception in Research

(a) Psychologists do not conduct a study involving deception unless they have determined that the use of deceptive techniques is justified by the study's significant prospective scientific, educational, or applied value and that effective nondeceptive alternative procedures are not feasible.

(b) Psychologists do not deceive prospective participants about research that is reasonably expected to cause physical pain or severe emotional distress.

(c) Psychologists explain any deception that is an integral feature of the design and conduct of an experiment to participants as early as is feasible, preferably at the conclusion of their participation, but no later than at the conclusion of the data collection, and permit participants to withdraw their data. (See also Standard 8.08, Debriefing.)

8.08 Debriefing

(a) Psychologists provide a prompt opportunity for participants to obtain appropriate information about the nature, results, and conclusions of the research, and they take reasonable steps to correct any misconceptions that participants may have of which the psychologists are aware.

(b) If scientific or humane values justify delaying or withholding this information, psychologists take reasonable measures to reduce the risk of harm.

(c) When psychologists become aware that research procedures have harmed a participant, they take reasonable steps to minimize the harm.

8.09 Humane Care and Use of Animals in Research

(a) Psychologists acquire, care for, use, and dispose of animals in compliance with current federal, state, and local laws and regulations, and with professional standards.

(b) Psychologists trained in research methods and experienced in the care of laboratory animals supervise all procedures involving animals and are responsible for ensuring appropriate consideration of their comfort, health, and humane treatment.

(c) Psychologists ensure that all individuals under their supervision who are using animals have received instruction in research methods and in the care, maintenance, and handling of the species being used, to the extent appropriate to their role. (See also Standard 2.05, Delegation of Work to Others.)

(d) Psychologists make reasonable efforts to minimize the discomfort, infection, illness, and pain of animal subjects.

(e) Psychologists use a procedure subjecting animals to pain, stress, or privation only when an alternative procedure is unavailable and the goal is justified by its prospective scientific, educational, or applied value.

(f) Psychologists perform surgical procedures under appropriate anesthesia and follow techniques to avoid infection and minimize pain during and after surgery.

(g) When it is appropriate that an animal's life be terminated, psychologists proceed rapidly, with an effort to minimize pain and in accordance with accepted procedures.

8.10 Reporting Research Results

(a) Psychologists do not fabricate data. (See also Standard 5.01a, Avoidance of False or Deceptive Statements.)

(b) If psychologists discover significant errors in their published data, they take reasonable steps to correct such errors in a correction, retraction, erratum, or other appropriate publication means.

8.11 Plagiarism

Psychologists do not present portions of another's work or data as their own, even if the other work or data source is cited occasionally.

8.12 Publication Credit

(a) Psychologists take responsibility and credit, including authorship credit, only for work they have actually performed or to which they have substantially contributed. (See also Standard 8.12b, Publication Credit.)

(b) Principal authorship and other publication credits accurately reflect the relative scientific or professional contributions of the individuals involved, regardless of their relative status. Mere possession of an institutional position, such as department chair, does not justify authorship credit. Minor contributions to the research or to the writing for publications are acknowledged appropriately, such as in footnotes or in an introductory statement.

(c) Except under exceptional circumstances, a student is listed as principal author on any multiple-authored article that is substantially based on the student's doctoral dissertation. Faculty advisors discuss publication credit with students as early as feasible and throughout the research and publication process as appropriate. (See also Standard 8.12b, Publication Credit.)

8.13 Duplicate Publication of Data

Psychologists do not publish, as original data, data that have been previously published. This does not preclude republishing data when they are accompanied by proper acknowledgment.

8.14 Sharing Research Data for Verification

(a) After research results are published, psychologists do not withhold the data on which their conclusions are based from other competent professionals who seek to verify the substantive claims through reanalysis and who intend to use such data only for that purpose, provided that the confidentiality of the participants can be protected and unless legal rights concerning proprietary data preclude their release. This does not preclude psychologists from requiring that such individuals or groups be responsible for costs associated with the provision of such information.

(b) Psychologists who request data from other psychologists to verify the substantive claims through reanalysis may use shared data only for the declared purpose. Requesting psychologists obtain prior written agreement for all other uses of the data.

8.15 Reviewers

Psychologists who review material submitted for presentation, publication, grant, or research proposal review respect the confidentiality of and the proprietary rights in such information of those who submitted it.

(Cut along dotted line and send to Editor)


JOURNAL Developmental Psychology

TITLE OF MANUSCRIPT The development of infants' responses to mispronunciations: A Meta-Analysis

AUTHOR(S) Katie Von Holzen, Christina Bergmann

I certify that I (we) have complied with the APA ethical principles regarding research with human participants and/or care and use of animals in the conduct of the research presented in this manuscript.

DocuSigned by:



November 5, 2020

(Name of Corresponding author)

(date)