Reviewer 1

* Make plots work in both color and grayscale
* Temper the conclusion about misp sensitivity from a young age?
  + They complain that this isn’t really news
  + Maybe rewrite this so it reads that part of the literature is supported
  + All 12 months studies show misp sensitivity
    - But its found in 8-10 but not 6-7
    - So, the general trend suggests no developmental change
    - But, at the left tail, this might lack sensitivity
    - Need more studies

Reviewer 2

* Don’t set up PAM or PRIMIR as straw men
* Include the moderator analysis

Reviewer 3

* Do a better job of explaining PAM and PRIMIR and not pinning them down to simplified theories
* Tone down the conclusion that these results reflect a mature understanding of words
* Phonological distinctiveness and constancy
  + Are these correctly explained?
* We can’t really say much about developmental change, because the vocabulary analysis is underpowered
  + I guess tone this down?
* Make sure phonological and phonetic are clear
  + It should be phonetic when talking about the changes themselves, and phonological when talking about their relevance in the language
  + Should we remove non-phonemic contrasts (Ramon-Casas?)
* Adjust y-axis of funnel plot to be the same for both CPs and MPs
* Double check some of the experimental conditions
  + Explicitly say that the older kids in Ramon-Casas are not included
  + Explicitly say that including or not including the bilinguals makes no difference (probably because there aren’t enough bilingualism studies)