

## Lecture 3: Measuring efficiency (cont.)

Harvard SEAS - Fall 2024

2024-09-10

## 1 Announcements

- Lecture 2 detailed notes posted (but to be posted again later today with some small corrections/additions).
- Section 0 recording available on Course Schedule Doc.
- Handout: Lecture notes 3 (PDF on Ed)
- Avi Wigderson (Abel Prize ‘21, Turing Award ‘23) lecture Friday 3:45pm, in this room “The Value of Errors in Proofs”. Highly recommended!
- Salil OH: Thu 11-12 in SEC 3.327, Anurag OH: Fri 1:30-2:30 SEC 3.323.
- Sender–Receiver exercise today (partway through class)! Followed by a 5min in-class reflection survey (required for your participation grade).
- Anurag will be available to support DCE students for the SRE in the Study Lounge, Fri 2:30-3pm.
- Don’t burn yourself out on psets. Mistakes are part of learning, hence the possibility of revision videos. On psets 0 and 1, any grade can be revised even up to an R.

## 2 Loose Ends from Lecture 2

We spent most of the class completing material from Lecture 2 (Computational Problems and their Complexity), as outlined below, before turning to the Sender-Receiver Exercise on SingletonBuck-etSort. See Lecture Notes 2 for details.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $h, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ . We say:

- $h = O(g)$  if
  - $h = \Omega(g)$  if
- Equivalently:
- $h = \Theta(g)$  if

- $h = o(g)$  if  
Equivalently:
- $h = \omega(g)$  if  
Equivalently:

Informal def: *computational complexity* of a problem  $\Pi$  = smallest possible growth rate of runtime among algorithms that solve  $\Pi$ .

## 2.1 Computational Complexity of Sorting

Let's analyze the runtime of the sorting algorithms covered so far (`ExhaustiveSearchSort`, `InsertionSort`, `MergeSort`).

$$T_{\text{exhaustsort}}(n) =$$

$$T_{\text{insertsort}}(n) =$$

And when  $n$  is a power of 2,  $T_{\text{mergesort}}$  satisfies the following recurrence:  
 $T_{\text{mergesort}}(n) \leq$

From this, we can derive that when  $n$  is a power of 2,  
 $T_{\text{mergesort}}(n) =$

And the same holds true when  $n$  is not a power of 2 by rounding  $n$  up to the next-larger power of 2.

**Exercise 2.2.** Order  $T_{\text{exhaustsort}}, T_{\text{insertsort}}, T_{\text{mergesort}}$  from fastest to slowest, i.e.  $T_0, T_1, T_2$  such that  $T_0 = o(T_1)$  and  $T_1 = o(T_2)$ .

In the detailed lecture notes for Lecture 2, you can find a proof (optional to read) that `MergeSort` is *asymptotically optimal* among *comparison-based* sorting algorithms:

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $A$  is a comparison-based algorithm that correctly solves the sorting problem on arrays of length  $n$  in time  $T(n)$ , then  $T(n) = \Omega(n \log n)$ . Moreover, this lower bound holds even if the keys are restricted to be elements of  $[n]$  and the values are all empty.*

We will be interested in three very coarse categories of running time:

**(at most) exponential time**  $T(n) = 2^{n^{O(1)}}$  (slow)

**(at most) polynomial time**  $T(n) = n^{O(1)}$  (reasonably efficient)

**(at most) nearly linear time**  $T(n) = O(n \log n)$  or  $T(n) = O(n)$  (fast)