

# Infinite Horizon Consumption-Savings Problem and Intro to Dynamic Programming

Christine Braun

University of Warwick EC9A2

# Review and Today

## Last time

- ▶ solved a simple consumption-savings problem
- ▶ set initial wealth that agents consume over a finite period
- ▶ consumption path depends on patients vs interest rate

## Today

- ▶ move to infinite horizon
- ▶ agents receive income each period
- ▶ how to solve models

# Why Infinite Horizon

## Why finite horizon is often unrealistic:

- ▶ Real people don't know their exact death date
- ▶ Many economic decisions (career choices, education, homeownership) are made as if life continues indefinitely
- ▶ Finite horizon models often produce unrealistic “end effects” where behavior changes dramatically near the terminal date

## Examples where infinite horizon is more appropriate:

- ▶ Household savings decisions: Families plan for retirement, children's education, emergencies without a fixed endpoint
- ▶ Corporate investment: Firms make long-term investments assuming they'll operate indefinitely
- ▶ Government policy: Social security, infrastructure decisions are made with very long time horizons

# Steady-State Analysis Becomes Relevant

## What steady state means:

- ▶ A point where key variables (consumption, assets, income) stop changing on average. The economy's "long-run equilibrium" where temporary shocks have died out.

## Why this matters economically:

- ▶ Policy analysis: We can study long-run effects of policy changes (tax rates, social security systems)
- ▶ Comparative statics: How do permanent changes in parameters (interest rates, productivity) affect long-run outcomes?
- ▶ Stability analysis: Does the economy return to steady state after shocks?

**Example:** If the government permanently increases unemployment benefits, steady-state analysis tells us the new long-run level of precautionary savings.

# Transversality Conditions Replace Terminal Conditions

## What transversality conditions are:

- ▶ Mathematical conditions that prevent “explosive” solutions where variables grow without bound.

## Why this matters:

- ▶ Finite horizon: Terminal condition  $a_T \geq 0$  is arbitrary - why exactly zero assets at death?
- ▶ Infinite horizon: Transversality emerges naturally from optimization - no arbitrary assumptions needed

## Mathematical necessity:

- ▶ Without this condition, the optimization problem may not have a unique solution
- ▶ Prevents agents from achieving infinite utility through infinite borrowing

# The Infinite Horizon Problem

**Agent:** Lives forever, receives income each period, chooses consumption and savings

**Objective:** Maximize lifetime utility

$$\max \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$$

## Constraints:

- ▶ Budget constraint:  $a_{t+1} = (1 + r)a_t + y_t - c_t$
- ▶ Non-negativity:  $c_t \geq 0$
- ▶ Borrowing limit:  $a_t \geq \underline{a}$  (natural borrowing limit)
- ▶ Transversality condition:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t u'(c_t) a_t = 0$$

## Parameters:

- ▶  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ : discount factor
- ▶  $r > 0$ : interest rate (constant)
- ▶  $y_t$ : per-period income (may be stochastic)
- ▶  $u(\cdot)$ : utility function (increasing, concave)

# Key Features and Economic Interpretation

## Constant income stream:

- ▶ Simplest case of income uncertainty: no uncertainty!
- ▶ Agent receives  $y$  every period forever

## Natural borrowing limit:

$$\underline{a} = -\frac{y}{r}$$

**Interpretation:** Maximum debt sustainable with constant income

## Economic applications:

- ▶ **Government bonds:** Country with stable tax revenue
- ▶ **Pension planning:** Retiree with fixed pension income
- ▶ **Trust funds:** Beneficiary receiving constant payments

# Transversality Condition: Mathematical Intuition

The condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t u'(c_t) a_t = 0$  means:

If  $a_t > 0$  (positive wealth):

- ▶  $u'(c_t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$
- ▶ Marginal utility approaches zero
- ▶ Agent eventually becomes “rich enough” that extra wealth doesn’t matter

If  $a_t < 0$  (debt):

- ▶ When  $a_t < 0$ , condition becomes a *constraint* on debt growth
- ▶ Reveals fundamental limits on borrowing behavior
- ▶ Debt cannot grow faster than rate  $\frac{1}{\beta} - 1$
- ▶ Prevents explosive debt paths
- ▶ Forces eventual debt repayment



## Breaking Down the Condition for Debt

When agent has debt ( $a_t < 0$ ), the transversality condition becomes:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t u'(c_t) a_t = 0$$

Since marginal utility is always positive ( $u'(c_t) > 0$ ) on any feasible consumption path satisfying the budget constraint, we need:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t a_t = 0$$

Debt  $a_t$  must grow slower than  $\beta^{-t}$

$$a_t \text{ grows slower than } \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^t$$

**Define subjective discount rate:**  $\rho = \frac{1}{\beta} - 1$

**Key result:** Debt cannot grow faster than rate  $\rho$  per period

# Maximum Debt Growth Rate

## Economic interpretation:

- ▶  $\rho$ : subjective discount rate (measures impatience)
- ▶ Higher  $\rho$  (more impatient)  $\Rightarrow$  can sustain faster debt growth
- ▶ Lower  $\rho$  (more patient)  $\Rightarrow$  must limit debt growth

## Examples:

- ▶  $\beta = 0.95 \Rightarrow \rho = 5.26\%$ : Debt can grow at most 5.26% per year
- ▶  $\beta = 0.99 \Rightarrow \rho = 1.01\%$ : Debt can grow at most 1.01% per year
- ▶  $\beta = 0.90 \Rightarrow \rho = 11.11\%$ : Debt can grow at most 11.11% per year

# The Role of Patience

## **Impatient agents** (low $\beta$ , high $\rho$ ):

- ▶ Care little about future consumption
- ▶ High subjective discount rate allows more debt accumulation
- ▶ Can sustain borrowing even at moderately high interest rates
- ▶ Economic logic: “Don’t mind debt growing fast since future doesn’t matter much”

## **Patient agents** (high $\beta$ , low $\rho$ ):

- ▶ Care significantly about future consumption
- ▶ Low subjective discount rate severely limits debt accumulation
- ▶ Cannot sustain borrowing at high interest rates
- ▶ Economic logic: “Must be careful about debt since future matters a lot”

**Paradox:** More patient people are *more* constrained in their borrowing ability!

# Bellman Equation

Since income is constant, the value function depends only on current assets:

$$V(a) = \max_{c \geq 0, a' \geq a} \{u(c) + \beta V(a')\}$$

subject to:

$$a' = (1 + r)a + y - c$$

**State variable:** Current assets  $a$

**Choice variable:** Current consumption  $c$ , next period assets  $a'$

- ▶ can reduce to only  $c$  by substituting in the budget constraint

**Key features:**

- ▶ Time-invariant problem (stationary environment)
- ▶ Value function  $V(a)$  independent of time
- ▶ Policy function  $c^*(a)$  also time-invariant

# First-Order Conditions

Interior solution requires:

$$u'(c) = \beta V'((1+r)a + y - c)$$

**Envelope theorem:**

$$V'(a) = \beta(1+r)V'((1+r)a + y - c^*(a))$$

where  $c^*(a)$  is the optimal consumption policy. Then the **envelope condition** gives us:

$$V'(a') = (1+r)u'(c')$$

**Combining FOC and envelope condition:**

$$u'(c) = \beta(1+r)u'(c')$$

**Key insight:** Even with constant income, optimal consumption may not be constant - depends on relationship between  $\beta(1+r)$  and 1.

# Solving Dynamic Programming Problems

## What does a solution look like?

- ▶ the solution is a set of *functions*, not a single point
- ▶ policy function: how much to consume for a given level of assets
- ▶ value function: discounted utility of all future consumption given a level of assets

## Three ways to solve

- ▶ Guess and Verify (analytical)
- ▶ Value function iteration (numerical approximation)
- ▶ Policy function iteration (numerical approximation)

**Before we discuss how to solve we will discuss when we have a unique solution**

# What Do We Mean by “Unique Solution”?

## 1. Value Function Uniqueness:

- ▶ Is there a unique  $V^*(x)$  satisfying the Bellman equation?
- ▶ Most fundamental type of uniqueness

## 2. Policy Function Uniqueness:

- ▶ Is there a unique optimal policy  $\pi^*(x)$  for each state  $x$ ?
- ▶ Can have unique value function but multiple optimal policies

## 3. Optimal Path Uniqueness:

- ▶ Starting from  $x_0$ , is the sequence  $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  unique?
- ▶ Depends on both value and policy uniqueness

# Why Does Uniqueness Matter?

## Theoretical importance:

- ▶ Well-defined economic models require unique predictions
- ▶ Comparative statics analysis needs deterministic responses
- ▶ Welfare analysis requires unambiguous optimal policies

## Computational implications:

- ▶ Numerical algorithms must converge to same solution
- ▶ Starting points shouldn't affect final answer
- ▶ Error bounds and convergence rates are meaningful

## Policy applications:

- ▶ Government policies should have predictable effects
- ▶ Firms need unique optimal strategies
- ▶ Households should have clear decision rules

**When uniqueness fails:** Multiple equilibria, coordination problems, model indeterminacy.



# Mathematical Foundations: Metric Spaces

**Metric Space:** A set  $X$  with distance function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$

**Properties of distance function:**

1.  $d(x, y) \geq 0$  and  $d(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y$  (non-negativity and identity)
2.  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  (symmetry)
3.  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  (triangle inequality)

**Example for Dynamic Programming:** Space of bounded continuous functions with supremum norm (The largest absolute difference between the two functions across all possible input values):

$$d(V_1, V_2) = \|V_1 - V_2\|_\infty = \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |V_1(a) - V_2(a)|$$

This gives us the mathematical framework for analyzing value functions.

# Contraction Mappings

**Definition:** A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is a contraction if there exists  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that:

$$d(T(x), T(y)) \leq \alpha \cdot d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

The constant  $\alpha$  is called the **contraction factor**.

**Intuition:** Contraction mappings bring points closer together

- ▶ Take any two points in the set  $x$  and  $y$
- ▶ After applying  $T$ , distance between  $T(x)$  and  $T(y)$  is smaller
- ▶ Factor  $\alpha < 1$  means strict contraction

**Key property:** If  $\alpha < 1$ , repeated application of  $T$  makes points converge:

$$d(T^n(x), T^n(y)) \leq \alpha^n d(x, y) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

# The Contraction Mapping Theorem (Banach Fixed Point Theorem)

**Theorem:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a contraction mapping with factor  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . Then:

## 1. Existence and Uniqueness:

$$\exists! x^* \in X \text{ such that } T(x^*) = x^*$$

## 2. Global Convergence:

$$\forall x_0 \in X, \text{ the sequence } x_{n+1} = T(x_n) \text{ converges to } x^*$$

## 3. Rate of Convergence:

$$d(x_n, x^*) \leq \alpha^n d(x_0, x^*)$$

**Simple Interpretation:** No matter where you start, repeated application of  $T$  leads to the same unique fixed point.

# The Bellman Operator

Consider the dynamic programming problem:

$$V(a) = \max_{c \in \mathcal{C}(a)} \{u(c) + \beta V((1+r)a + y - c)\}$$

Define the **Bellman operator**  $T$ :

$$T(V)(a) = \max_{c \in \mathcal{C}(a)} \{u(c) + \beta V((1+r)a + y - c)\}$$

**Value function iteration:**  $V^{n+1} = T(V^n)$

**Fixed point:** True value function  $V^*$  satisfies  $V^* = T(V^*)$

**Goal:** Show that  $T$  is a contraction mapping under appropriate conditions.

# When is the Bellman Operator a Contraction?

**Theorem:** Under the following conditions,  $T$  is a contraction with factor  $\beta$ :

1. **Discount factor:**  $\beta \in (0, 1)$
2. **Bounded rewards:**  $\sup_c |u(c)| < \infty$
3. **Compact choice sets:**  $\mathcal{C}(a)$  is compact for all  $a$
4. **Continuity:**  $u(c)$  is continuous

**Contraction property:**

$$\|T(V_1) - T(V_2)\|_\infty \leq \beta \|V_1 - V_2\|_\infty$$

**Implications:**

- ▶ Unique value function  $V^*$  exists
- ▶ Value function iteration converges to  $V^*$
- ▶ Convergence rate is geometric with factor  $\beta$

# Parameter Restrictions for Uniqueness

## 1. Discount Factor:

- ▶  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ : Ensures contraction and unique value function
- ▶  $\beta = 1$ : May lead to non-uniqueness or non-existence
- ▶  $\beta > 1$ : Generally explosive, non-convergent behavior

## 2. Interest Rates:

- ▶  $\beta(1 + r) = 1$ : May create continuum of optimal consumption paths
- ▶  $\beta(1 + r) \neq 1$ : Generally ensures unique consumption dynamics

## 3. Technology Parameters:

- ▶ Production elasticity  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ : Ensures diminishing returns
- ▶ Risk aversion  $\sigma > 0$ : Ensures strict concavity of utility
- ▶ Avoid “knife-edge” parameter values that create indifference

# Constraint Structure and Uniqueness

## 1. Compact Choice Sets:

- ▶ Non-compact sets may lead to no optimal solution
- ▶ Example:  $c \in [0, \infty)$  vs.  $c \in [0, (1+r)a + y]$

## 2. Interior Solutions:

- ▶ When optimal choices are interior, first-order conditions determine unique solution
- ▶ Corner solutions may create multiple optima
- ▶ Inada conditions help ensure interior solutions

## 3. Continuous Constraint Correspondence:

- ▶  $\mathcal{C}(a)$  should vary continuously with state  $a$
- ▶ Discontinuous constraints can create multiple local optima
- ▶ Example: Borrowing limits that depend smoothly on income

## Example: Linear Utility

**Example:** Consumption-savings with linear utility  $u(c) = c$ , assume  $\beta < 1$

**Bellman equation:**

$$V(a) = \max_{c \in \mathcal{C}(a)} \{c + \beta V((1+r)a + y - c)\}$$

$$\mathcal{C}(a) = [0, (1+r)a + y]$$

**Lets check conditions for a contraction:**

1. **Discount factor:**  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  ✓
2. **Bounded rewards:**  $\sup_c |u(c)| < \infty$  ✓ (true in the choice set)
3. **Compact choice sets:**  $\mathcal{C}(a)$  is compact for all  $a$  ✓
4. **Continuity:**  $u(c)$  is continuous ✓

**Result:** the bellman equation for the simple consumption-savings problem with linear utility is a contraction  $\rightarrow$  there exists a unique value function  $V^*(a)$



# Linear Utility: The Indifference Problem

**Bellman equation:**

$$V(a) = \max_{c \in \mathcal{C}(a)} \{c + \beta V((1+r)a + y - c)\}$$

$$\mathcal{C}(a) = [0, (1+r)a + y]$$

**When  $\beta(1+r) = 1$ :**

- ▶ Agent is indifferent between consuming today vs. tomorrow
- ▶ Any consumption path satisfying budget constraint is optimal
- ▶ Value function is unique, but policy function is not

**Euler equation:**  $1 = \beta(1+r) = 1$  (always satisfied)

**Economic interpretation:** No diminishing marginal utility means no incentive to smooth consumption.

**Unique value function doesn't guarantee unique policy!**

# Strict Concavity and Policy Uniqueness

**Strict Concavity Condition:** If the objective function is strictly concave in the choice variable:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial c^2}[u(c) + \beta V((1+r)a + y - c)] < 0$$

Then the policy function  $c^*(a)$  is unique.

**Solution:** Use strictly concave utility

# Analytical Solution: Guess and Verify

The guess and verify method (also called the “method of undetermined coefficients”) is an analytical technique for solving dynamic programming problems where you:

1. Guess the functional form of the value function based on economic intuition
2. Substitute this guess into the Bellman equation
3. Solve for the unknown parameters/coefficients
4. Verify that your solution satisfies all the necessary conditions

# Log Utility Specification

**Assume log utility:**  $u(c) = \ln(c)$

**Properties:**

- ▶  $u'(c) = \frac{1}{c}$  (marginal utility)
- ▶  $u''(c) = -\frac{1}{c^2}$  (diminishing marginal utility)

**Euler equation becomes:**

$$\frac{1}{c_t} = \beta(1+r)\frac{1}{c_{t+1}}$$

Therefore:

$$c_{t+1} = \beta(1+r)c_t$$

**Consumption growth:**

- ▶ If  $\beta(1+r) = 1$ :  $c_{t+1} = c_t$  (constant consumption)
- ▶ If  $\beta(1+r) > 1$ :  $c_{t+1} > c_t$  (growing consumption)
- ▶ If  $\beta(1+r) < 1$ :  $c_{t+1} < c_t$  (declining consumption)

# 1. Guess: Value Function

**Educated guess:** Try value function of the form

$$V(a) = A \ln(a + B)$$

where  $A > 0$  and  $B > 0$  are constants to be determined.

**Why this form?**

- ▶ Log utility suggests log value function
- ▶  $(a + B)$  shifts the argument to handle potentially negative assets
- ▶ Linear in logs preserves analytical tractability

**Marginal value of wealth:**

$$V'(a) = \frac{A}{a + B}$$

**Properties we expect:**

- ▶  $V'(a) > 0$  (more assets are better): requires  $A > 0$
- ▶  $V''(a) < 0$  (diminishing returns):  $V''(a) = -\frac{A}{(a+B)^2} < 0$

## 2. Substituting into Bellman Equation

Substitute guess into Bellman equation:

$$A \ln(a + B) = \max_c \{ \ln(c) + \beta A \ln((1 + r)a + y - c + B) \}$$

**First-order condition:**

$$\frac{1}{c} = \frac{\beta A}{(1 + r)a + y - c + B}$$

Solving for  $c$ :

$$c = \frac{(1 + r)a + y + B}{1 + \beta A}$$

**This gives us the policy function candidate:**

$$c^*(a) = \frac{(1 + r)a + y + B}{1 + \beta A}$$

**Economic interpretation:** Consumption is linear in “total wealth”  $(1 + r)a + y + B$ .

### 3. Determine Constants $A$ and $B$

Substitute optimal consumption back into Bellman equation and match coefficients.  
After substituting  $c^*(a)$  and simplifying:

$$A \ln(a + B) = \ln \left( \frac{(1 + r)a + y + B}{1 + \beta A} \right) + \beta A \ln \left( \frac{\beta A((1 + r)a + y + B)}{1 + \beta A} \right)$$

**For this to hold for all  $a$ , we need:** (see extra notes for derivation)

$$A = \frac{1}{1 - \beta}$$

$$B = \frac{y}{r}$$

# Final Value and Policy Functions

**Value function:**

$$V(a) = \frac{1}{1-\beta} \ln \left( a + \frac{y}{r} \right)$$

**Policy function:**

$$\begin{aligned} c^*(a) &= \frac{(1+r)a + y + \frac{y}{r}}{1 + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} \\ &= \frac{(1+r)a + y(1 + \frac{1}{r})}{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying:

$$c^*(a) = (1-\beta)(1+r) \left[ a + \frac{y}{r} \right]$$



# Economic Interpretation of the Solution

## Total wealth concept:

$$\text{Total wealth} = a + \frac{y}{r} = \text{financial assets} + \text{human wealth}$$

## Consumption rule:

$$c^* = (1 - \beta)(1 + r) \times \text{total wealth}$$

## Key insights:

- ▶ Agent consumes fixed fraction of total wealth each period
- ▶ Higher patience ( $\beta$  closer to 1)  $\Rightarrow$  lower consumption rate
- ▶ Higher interest rate  $\Rightarrow$  higher consumption rate
- ▶ Consumption depends on both financial and human wealth

# Guess and Verify: Strengths and Limitations

## Strengths:

- ▶ **Exact solutions:** No approximation error
- ▶ **Economic insight:** Clear parameter relationships
- ▶ **Analytical results:** Closed-form comparative statics
- ▶ **Fast evaluation:** No iterative computation needed

## Limitations:

- ▶ **Very restrictive:** Only works for special utility/technology
- ▶ **Guess quality:** Success depends on making good initial guess
- ▶ **Limited scope:** Can't handle general constraints or uncertainty easily
- ▶ **Algebraic complexity:** Can become very tedious
- ▶ **No general method:** Each problem requires fresh approach

# Value Function Iteration

**Value Function Iteration (VFI)** is a numerical algorithm for solving dynamic programming problems by repeatedly applying the Bellman operator until convergence.

## Main Advantages:

- ▶ General applicability - works for any well-posed dynamic programming problems
- ▶ Guaranteed convergence - mathematical certainty it will work
- ▶ Handles complexity - constraints, uncertainty, multiple state variables

## Main Disadvantages:

- ▶ Computational cost - can be slow for large problems
- ▶ Approximation error - discretization introduces errors
- ▶ Curse of dimensionality - exponential growth with state variables

# Basic Algorithm

1. **Discretize state space:** Create grid  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_J\}$  where  $\underline{a} = a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_J$
2. **Initial guess:** Choose  $V^0(a_j)$  for all grid points
3. **Iterate:** For  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ :

$$V^{n+1}(a_j) = \max_c \{ \ln(c) + \beta V^n((1+r)a_j + y - c) \}$$

subject to:  $c > 0$  and  $(1+r)a_j + y - c \geq \underline{a}$

4. **Store policy:**  $c^{n+1}(a_j) = \arg \max$  of above
5. **Check convergence:** If  $\|V^{n+1} - V^n\| < \text{tolerance}$ , stop
6. **Output:**  $V^*(a)$  and  $c^*(a)$

# Complete Algorithm

---

## Algorithm 1 Basic VFI

---

- 1: **Initialize:** Grid  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_J\}$ , Guess  $V^0(a_j)$ , set tolerance
  - 2: Set  $n = 0$
  - 3: **repeat**
  - 4:   Calculate consumption at  $a_j$  and each  $a'_j$ :  $c = \max((1+r)a_j + y - \mathcal{A}, 0)$
  - 5:   Find Maximum:  $[V^1(j), \max\_idx] = \max(\log(c) + \beta V^0)$
  - 6:   Store Asset Policy:  $g_a(j) = \mathcal{A}(\max\_idx)$
  - 7:   Store Consumption Policy:  $g_c(j) = (1+r)a(j) + y - g_a(j)$
  - 8:   Check convergence:  $\max(|V^1 - V^0|) < \text{tol}$
  - 9:   Update:  $V^0 = V^1$
  - 10:    $n = n + 1$
  - 11: **until** convergence
  - 12: **Return:** value functions, policy functions
-