Home Assignment 2

Christopher Rydell & Christoffer Falkovén December 12, 2016

1 Growth of Functions

$$F(n) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0\\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1\\ F(n-1) + F(n-2) & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

1.1

Prove that $F(n) = O(2^n)$.

We need to prove that $F(n) \leq c_2 2^n$ where $c_2 > 0$ for every value $n > n_0$. We'll begin by assigning the values n_0 and c_2 so that we have a case where we know the condition to be true. For this we'll use $n_0 = 0$ and $c_2 = 1$. Next, we'll prove the inequality using complete induction.

First off we show that the inequality is valid for the base case n = 0:

$$P(0)$$
:
$$F(0) = 0 \le 1 = 1 * 2^{0}$$

Assume that the following applies for all values of n where $0 \le n \le m$:

$$P(m)$$
:
$$F(n) \le 2^n$$

We'll now show that the inequality also applies for m + 1:

$$P(m+1)$$
:

$$F(m+1) = F(m) + F(m-1)$$

$$\leq 2^{m} + 2^{m-1}, \text{ from our assumption}$$

$$= 2^{m} + \frac{2^{m}}{2}$$

$$= 2^{m} * (1 + \frac{1}{2})$$

$$< 2^{m} * 2$$

$$= 2^{m+1}$$

As the base case applies and $P(m) \implies P(m+1)$, we prove that the inequality applies for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \ge 0$ according to the principle of induction.

1.2

Prove that $F(n) = \Omega(2^{\frac{n}{2}})$.

We need to prove that $c_1 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq F(n)$ where $c_1 > 0$ for every value $n > n_0$. We'll begin by assigning the values n_0 and c_1 so that we have a case where we know the condition to be true. For this we'll use $n_0 = 2$ and $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. Next, we'll prove the inequality using complete induction.

First off we show that the inequality is valid for the base case n=2:

$$P(2)$$
 :
$$\frac{1}{2}2^{\frac{2}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}2 = 1 \le 1 = 1 + 0 = F(1) + F(0) = F(2)$$

Assume that the following applies for all values of n where $2 \le n \le m$:

$$P(m)$$
 :
$$\frac{1}{2}2^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq F(n)$$

We'll now show that the inequality also applies for m + 1:

$$\begin{split} P(m+1): & \frac{1}{2}2^{\frac{m+1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}2^{\frac{m}{2}}(\sqrt{2}) \\ & < \frac{1}{2}2^{\frac{m}{2}}(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) \\ & = \frac{1}{2}2^{\frac{m}{2}}+\frac{1}{2}2^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \\ & \le F(m)+F(m-1), \text{ from our assumption} \\ & = F(m+1) \end{split}$$

As the base case applies and $P(m) \implies P(m+1)$, we prove that the inequality applies for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ according to the principle of induction.

2 From Code to Recurrences

2.1

```
append [] ys = ys
append (x:xs) ys = x : append xs ys
```

If the function is given an empty list for xs, it will simply return ys, and will only take the time for the function to return a list. If xs has elements in it, it will add the element as the head to a list returned by a recursive call to the function, which is given a list with one less element. Therefore the run-time cost can be described as:

$$T_{append}(n) = \begin{cases} t_0 & \text{if } n = 0\\ T_{append}(n-1) + t_{add} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

2.2

```
fib 0 = 0
fib 1 = 1
fib n = fib (n-1) + fib (n-2)
```

When n is either 0 or 1 the function will simply take the time for returning a value. If n > 0 the function will make two recursive calls to itself, one where n is decreased by 1 and one where it is decreased by 2. This will also add the time it takes to add the returned values of the recursive calls, which makes the run-time cost of the function:

$$T_{fib}(n) = \begin{cases} t_0 & \text{if } n = 0\\ T_{fib}(n-1) + T_{fib}(n-2) + t_{sum} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

2.3

```
power b 0 = 1
power b n | even n = power b (n 'div' 2) * power b (n 'div' 2)
power b n | odd n = b * power b (n 'div' 2) * power b (n 'div' 2)
```

When n=0 the function simply takes the time to return a value. If it's larger, it checks if n is even or odd, which adds time, and depending on the result also calls the multiplication function. As all three of the functions even, odd and (*) have a constant time complexity, they can be described as $\Theta(1)$ in the run-time cost. The function also makes two recursive calls to itself, with the value n divided by 2. The run-time cost is as follows:

$$T_{power}(n) = \begin{cases} t_0 & \text{if } n = 0\\ \Theta(1) + 2T_{power}(n/2) & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

3 Solving Recurrences

3.1

$$f(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 3 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 2f(n-1) + 3f(n-2) & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

We'll attempt to find a pattern in the recurrence using the expansion method.

$$f(0) = 1$$

$$f(1) = 3$$

$$f(2) = 2f(1) + 3f(0) = 6 + 3 = 9$$

$$f(3) = 2f(2) + 3f(1) = 18 + 9 = 27$$

$$f(4) = 2f(3) + 3f(2) = 54 + 27 = 81$$

We see that the following pattern emerges from our analysis:

$$f(n) = 3^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

3.2

$$g(n) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0\\ g(n-1) + 2n - 1 & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

We look at the function using the substitution method.

$$g(n) = g(n-1) + 2n - 1$$

$$= (g(n-2) + 2(n-1) - 1) + 2n - 1$$

$$= g(n-2) + 4n - 4$$

$$= (g(n-3) + 2(n-2) - 1) + 4n - 4$$

$$= g(n-3) + 6n - 9$$

$$= (g(n-4) + 2(n-3) - 1) + 6n - 9$$

$$= g(n-4) + 8n - 16$$

$$= g(n-k) + 2kn - k^{2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= g(n-n) + 2n^{2} - n^{2} \quad \text{when } k = n$$

$$= 2n^{2} - n^{2}$$

$$= n^{2}$$

As can clearly be seen from the substitution, the function can be rewritten to a closed form as:

$$g(n) = n^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

4 The Master Theorem

$$T(n) := 3 * T(n/2) + n^2 * \log(\log n)$$

We will check if the function behaves according to any of the master theorem cases, starting with the first one.

4.1 Case 1

For the first case we need to check if $f(n) = O(n^c)$, where $\log_b a < c$, in which $f(n) = n^2 \log(\log n)$, b = 2 and a = 3 based on the given function. As $2^2 = 4$, we can safely set c = 2 as it is definitely greater than $\log_2 3$. As $\log(\log n)$ will eventually reach a value greater than 1 and then increase from there, we can determine that $f(n) \neq O(n^c)$ and move on to the second case.

4.2 Case 2

For the second case we check to see if $f(n) = \Theta(n^c \log^k n)$, where $c = \log_b a$ and $k \ge 0$. We can set the value k = 2 and immediately tell that $n^2 \log(\log n)$ will be growing at a greater rate than $n^{\log_2 3} \log^2 n$, which makes the second case invalid.

4.3 Case 3

For the third case we look if $f(n) = \Omega(n^c)$, where $c > \log_b a$. We'll set the value c to any arbitrary number $\log_2 3 < c < 2$ and see that n^c will in fact grow at a slower rate than $n^2 \log(\log n)$, fulfilling the case.

4.4 Regularity condition

We also need to make sure that the regularity condition holds for the master theorem to be applicable. The regularity condition states that $af(\frac{n}{b}) \leq kf(n)$ for some constant k < 1 and sufficiently large n, so we insert in our function to see if it works.

$$af(\frac{n}{b}) \le kf(n)$$

$$3f(\frac{n}{2}) \le kf(n)$$

$$3(\frac{n}{2})^2 \log(\log \frac{n}{2}) \le kn^2 \log(\log n)$$

$$\frac{3}{4}n^2 \log(\log \frac{n}{2}) \le kn^2 \log(\log n)$$

We know that $\log(\log \frac{n}{2}) < \log(\log n)$ and can thereby safely say that the inequality applies for any $\frac{3}{4} \le k < 1$, which proves that the regularity condition holds. According to the master theorem, we can now determine that $T(n) = \Theta(n^2 * \log(\log n))$.

5 Example: Quicksort

5.1

When the function is called with the empty list xs, the run-time will be the one it takes to simply return a tuple of two empty lists. If the list isn't empty, it makes a single recursive call to itself with a list one element shorter and adds the time it takes to add an element at the head of a list. The run-time cost can thus be described with the following recurrence:

$$T_{partition}(n) := \begin{cases} t_0 & \text{if } n = 0\\ T(n-1) + t_{add} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

5.2

We'll use the expansion method to find a pattern for $T_p(n)$ and determine a closed function.

$$T_{p}(0) = t_{0}$$

$$T_{p}(1) = T_{p}(0) + t_{add} = t_{0} + t_{add}$$

$$T_{p}(2) = T_{p}(1) + t_{add} = t_{0} + 2t_{add}$$

$$T_{p}(3) = T_{p}(2) + t_{add} = t_{0} + 3t_{add}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$T_{p}(n) = t_{0} + nt_{add}$$

5.3

(a) lows == highs

If the list xs given to the function is empty, it will take the time it takes to return an empty list. Otherwise, the function will call the partition function with a list 1 element shorter than xs. It will also make two recursive calls to itself, each time with a list half the length of xs minus one element. There will also be an added cost of using (:) and (++), which can be described as t_{add} and $\Theta(n)$ respectively. The recurrence is as follows:

$$T_q(n) = \begin{cases} t_0 & \text{if } n = 0\\ T_p(n-1) + 2T_q(\frac{n-1}{2}) + \Theta(n) + t_{add} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

(b) length highs == 0

In this case the function will make one recursive call to itself with a list with the length of xs minus one (lows) and one with the length 0 (lows), the latter simply adding t_0 from the base case. The recurrence for the run-time cost is thereby:

$$T_q(n) = \begin{cases} t_0 & \text{if } n = 0\\ T_p(n-1) + T_q(n-1) + \Theta(n) + t_{add} + t_0 & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$