

# Climate change and trees: drones and experiments to refine forest carbon models

Christophe Rouleau-Desrochers

August 28, 2025

**Context:** There is increasing evidence that anthropogenic climate change, and particularly increased temperature, affect many natural systems at the global scale (Parmesan *et al.*, 1999; Rosenzweig *et al.*, 2008). The most observed biological impact of climate change over the past decades are major changes on spring and fall phenology — the timing of recurring life history events (Parmesan & Yohe, 2003). Understanding the consequences of these shifts on ecosystems requires understanding how much the growing season has changed (Duputié *et al.*, 2015). Spring phenological events (e.g. budburst and leafout) have been advancing from 0.5 (Wolfe *et al.*, 2005) to 4.2 days/decade (Chmielewski & Rötzer, 2001; Fu *et al.*, 2014) and are mainly driven by temperature (Chuine, 2010; Cleland *et al.*, 2007; Peñuelas & Filella, 2001). In contrast, autumn phenology (e.g. budset and leaf colouring) is delayed, though to a much lesser extent than spring’s (Gallinat *et al.*, 2015; Jeong & Medvigy, 2014) and are driven by shortening photoperiod (Cooke *et al.*, 2012; Flynn & Wolkovich, 2018; Körner & Basler, 2010) and colder temperatures (Cooke *et al.*, 2012; Delpierre *et al.*, 2016). These shifts support a long-lasting and intuitive assumption that earlier spring and delayed fall events lead to longer seasons —and thus increased growth (Keenan *et al.*, 2014).

However, research from the past three years has cast doubt on this hypothesis (Dow *et al.*, 2022; Green & Keenan, 2022; Silvestro *et al.*, 2023). Recently, (Dow *et al.*, 2022)\*\* showed that despite an earlier growth onset, neither growth rate nor overall annual increment was increased by longer seasons. This could have large consequences on carbon cycle models with potential feedback on future climate change (Richardson *et al.*, 2013; Swidrak *et al.*, 2013).

Understanding these findings requires answering why trees don’t grow more despite longer growing seasons. I hypothesize that there are two possible drivers explaining this phenomenon: external (environmental) (Kolář *et al.*, 2016) or internal (via physiological constraints)(Zohner *et al.*, 2023) limits to growth. The complex nature of climate change makes predicting the external limits to growth hard to quantify at the individual level, as they affect communities as a whole. Drought, spring frost and heat waves are commonly mentioned as the main extreme events that could limit tree growth under climate change (Tyree & Zimmermann, 2002; Choat *et al.*, 2018; Li *et al.*, 2023; Trenberth *et al.*, 2014; Change, 2014; Chiang *et al.*, 2021; Polgar & Primack, 2011; Reinmann *et al.*, 2023). To better comprehend these mechanisms, experiments are paramount because they can robustly tease apart the external vs internal drivers (e.g. warmer springs from severe drought later in the season —a common co-occurring reality in natural environments) (Morin *et al.*, 2010; Primack *et al.*, 2015). This is essential to refine forest carbon sequestration projections (Green & Keenan, 2022; Cabon *et al.*, 2022). However, experiments are most often performed on juvenile trees, which are critical for their role in forest regeneration projections, but their responses can hardly be translated to mature trees, which hold the overwhelming carbon biomass proportion of forests (Augspurger & Bartlett, 2003; Silvestro *et al.*, 2023; Vitasse, 2013). To investigate how growing

season shifts impact mature trees in their natural environments, Unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) imagery paired with machine learning have the capacity to acquire huge sample sizes largely beyond what is achievable via traditional observational ground work, and at a better spatial and temporal resolution than satellites (Berra *et al.*, 2019; Piao *et al.*, 2019; Teng *et al.*, 2025). Thus, I propose to use a combination of two experiments to test internal (Chapter 1) and external (Chapter 2) limits to growth along with a large-scale mixed-forest observational data project using UAV imagery and machine learning (Chapter 3). This will allow me to address the paradox of the absence of increased growth despite apparently improved growing season conditions.

**Chapter 1. Extended growing season experiment (MSc thesis continuation):** Shifts in phenological phases have consequences on growth during the current growing season —hypothesis previously tested in my thesis—, but experiments to date have yet to quantify if longer seasons have lagging effects over the following years (Chapin *et al.*, 1990; Landhäusser *et al.*, 2012; Lawrence & Melgar, 2018; Martens *et al.*, 2007; Schott *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, my objective here will be to expand my Master’s thesis work by analyzing 2025 data and extend the project for a third consecutive year (2026) in order assess different tree species’ potential to stretch their activity schedules and whether or not this translates into increased growth over multiple growing seasons. For the first year of my proposed award tenure, I will continue to monitor phenology and growth, but I will also collect tree cross-sections at the end of the third growing season. Following up on an ongoing collaboration with ETH Zurich, I will perform cellular scans of the tree cross-sections at WSL (ETH Zurich). These valuable tree-ring data will allow me to understand how treatments affect cell count and morphology for each growing season (Silvestro *et al.*, 2023).

**Chapter 2. Drought and spring frost experiment:** With climate change, not only will growing season length shift, but trees will also experience shifts in the timing of moisture deficits leading to drought stress and of late-spring frosts (Dox *et al.*, 2022). Tree-ring research shows that both droughts and frosts can result in important tissue loss (Kramer *et al.*, 2012; Baumgarten *et al.*, 2023; Kramer *et al.*, 2012; D’Andrea *et al.*, 2019). However, it is unclear whether trees exposed to droughts and spring frosts also grow less (Chamberlain & Wolkovich, 2021; Baumgarten *et al.*, 2023). Here, my objective will be to investigate how these two abiotic drivers affect tree growth. For this, I will conduct an experiment during the second year of the award tenure that consists of three drought treatments and an additional two spring frost treatments. I will use 15 replicates of 12 deciduous North American tree species (six congeneric pairs to avoid potential confounding effects of shared evolutionary history), spanning different life history strategies, for all five treatments and a control, summing a total of 1080 individuals (a sample size consistent with my successful thesis experiment). For the drought treatments, I will move the trees in growth chambers at a warmer temperature and lower air humidity than ambient conditions to maximize evapotranspiration rates until they reach their respective wilting point (values at which soil water is not extractable by the plant). Then the trees will be moved back to ambient conditions and at constant irrigation. The three drought treatments will differ in their timing of occurrence to test the importance of drought timing. Thus, the first treatment will be conducted just after leaf-out; the second, one week before solstice — period of peak growth for a lot of species (Anderson-Teixeira *et al.*, 2021; D’Orangeville *et al.*, 2018; McMahon & Parker, 2015); the last will happen near the end of the season, just before growth cessation. For spring frost treatments, I will place the trees in growth chambers early in the season at warm temperatures to trigger budburst. When the trees start to burst, I will place the first treatment for one hour in freezing growth chambers. For the second spring frost treatment, I will wait for the leaves to be fully elongated and then place the trees under the same freezing conditions as the first treatment (Zohner *et al.*, 2018). Both treatments will follow successful methods in Chamberlain & Wolkovich (2021)\*\*. For all treatments and the control, I will monitor phenology

throughout the growing season; will estimate biomass at the start and end of the growing season—using allometric equations—; and will equip a subset of trees with magnetic dendrometers that will provide valuable insight into growth temporality in response to treatments.

**Chapter 3. Cambial phenology × drone imagery phenological observations:** An improved understanding of the differences in growth synchronicity with leaf phenology across species is paramount in forest ecology, yet this relationship has received little attention (Klein *et al.*, 2016; Kramer *et al.*, 2000). Thus, for the three years of my award tenure, I aim to launch a large-scale project using cutting-edge UAVs equipped with multispectral sensors × artificial intelligence technologies (Ball *et al.*, 2023; Teng *et al.*, 2025; Ulku *et al.*, 2022) to gather a large amount of data on tree growth onset and end from a mixed-forest community. My objectives are to increase the accuracy of the data used by carbon cycle models—paramount in the context of rapid climate change (Richardson *et al.*, 2013; Swidrak *et al.*, 2013)—and improve the field of phenology remote sensing by challenging novel methods and building on them. The work will take place at a research station in St-Hypolyte (Qc) and for three consecutive growing seasons. Using this site will allow me to follow up on work previously done by my laboratory (Flynn & Wolkovich, 2018) as well as create a partnership with Dr. Etienne Laliberté, whose laboratory currently uses this site for their research (Cloutier *et al.*, 2024). To monitor leaf phenology from budburst to leaf drop, I will use high-frequency repeated overflights with UAVs over the canopy to monitor every canopy tree over the course of the growing season. Then I will use BalSAM, a promising model to accurately and efficiently segment tree crowns from repeated UAV images (Teng *et al.*, 2025). This will allow me to gather large amount of highly precise phenological data from single trees within the forest community (Teng *et al.*, 2025). With this data, I will be able to accurately infer the start and end of the growing season for each species and individual within this forest community (Berra *et al.*, 2019; Fawcett *et al.*, 2021). Then, I will use 50 DC3 Perimeter Dendrometers placed randomly throughout the site on 10 trees per species. Using high-resolution data across space and time will allow me to robustly infer a relationship between leaf phenology and growth seasonality.

**Outreach** Given the widespread impacts of climate change on ecosystems, understanding how forest communities respond to prolonged growing seasons is crucial. Observing the reactions of deciduous tree species to extended seasons may reveal potential benefits for some species and harm for others. These shifts are likely to influence forest stand dynamics across North America, with potential feedback with future climate change. Therefore, using two different experiments and a large-scale remote sensing project, I aim to understand how the growth dynamics of North American tree species will change with longer growing seasons.

## References

- Anderson-Teixeira, K.J., Herrmann, V., Banbury Morgan, R., Bond-Lamberty, B., Cook-Patton, S.C., Ferson, A.E., Muller-Landau, H.C. & Wang, M.M.H. (2021). Carbon cycling in mature and regrowth forests globally. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16, 053009.
- Augspurger, C.K. & Bartlett, E.A. (2003). Differences in leaf phenology between juvenile and adult trees in a temperate deciduous forest. *Tree Physiology*, 23, 517–525.
- Ball, J.G.C., Hickman, S.H.M., Jackson, T.D., Koay, X.J., Hirst, J., Jay, W., Archer, M., Aubry-Kientz, M., Vincent, G. & Coomes, D.A. (2023). Accurate delineation of individual tree crowns in tropical forests from aerial >RGB</span> imagery using Mask >R-CNN</span>. *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation*, 9, 641–655.
- Baumgarten, F., Gessler, A. & Vitasse, Y. (2023). No risk—no fun: Penalty and recovery from spring frost damage in deciduous temperate trees. *Functional Ecology*, 37, 648–663.
- Berra, E.F., Gaulton, R. & Barr, S. (2019). Assessing spring phenology of a temperate woodland: A multiscale comparison of ground, unmanned aerial vehicle and Landsat satellite observations. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 223, 229–242.
- Cabon, A., Kannenberg, S.A., Arain, A., Babst, F., Baldocchi, D., Belmecheri, S., Delpierre, N., Guerrieri, R., Maxwell, J.T., McKenzie, S., Meinzer, F.C., Moore, D.J.P., Pappas, C., Rocha, A.V., Szejner, P., Ueyama, M., Ulrich, D., Vincke, C., Voelker, S.L., Wei, J., Woodruff, D. & Anderegg, W.R.L. (2022). Cross-biome synthesis of source versus sink limits to tree growth. *Science*, 376, 758–761.
- Chamberlain, C.J. & Wolkovich, E.M. (2021). Late spring freezes coupled with warming winters alter temperate tree phenology and growth. *New Phytologist*, 231, 987–995.
- Change, I.P.O.C. (2014). Detection and Attribution of Climate Change: from Global to Regional. In: *Climate Change 2013 – The Physical Science Basis*. Cambridge University Press, pp. 867–952. 1st edn.
- Chapin, F.S., Schulze, E. & Mooney, H.A. (1990). The Ecology and Economics of Storage in Plants. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 21, 423–447.
- Chiang, F., Mazdiasni, O. & AghaKouchak, A. (2021). Evidence of anthropogenic impacts on global drought frequency, duration, and intensity. *Nature Communications*, 12, 2754.
- Chmielewski, F.M. & Rötzer, T. (2001). Response of tree phenology to climate change across Europe. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 108, 101–112.
- Choat, B., Brodribb, T.J., Brodersen, C.R., Duursma, R.A., López, R. & Medlyn, B.E. (2018). Triggers of tree mortality under drought. *Nature*, 558, 531–539.
- Chuine, I. (2010). Why does phenology drive species distribution? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 365, 3149–3160.
- Cleland, E., Chuine, I., Menzel, A., Mooney, H. & Schwartz, M. (2007). Shifting plant phenology in response to global change. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 22, 357–365.

- Cloutier, M., Germain, M. & Laliberté, E. (2024). Influence of temperate forest autumn leaf phenology on segmentation of tree species from UAV imagery using deep learning. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 311, 114283.
- Cooke, J.E.K., Eriksson, M.E. & Junttila, O. (2012). The dynamic nature of bud dormancy in trees: environmental control and molecular mechanisms. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 35, 1707–1728.
- D’Andrea, E., Rezaie, N., Battistelli, A., Gavrichkova, O., Kuhlmann, I., Matteucci, G., Moscatello, S., Proietti, S., Scartazza, A., Trumbore, S. & Muhr, J. (2019). Winter’s bite: beech trees survive complete defoliation due to spring late-frost damage by mobilizing old C reserves. *New Phytologist*, 224, 625–631.
- Delpierre, N., Vitasse, Y., Chuine, I., Guillemot, J., Bazot, S., Rutishauser, T. & Rathgeber, C.B.K. (2016). Temperate and boreal forest tree phenology: from organ-scale processes to terrestrial ecosystem models. *Annals of Forest Science*, 73, 5–25.
- D’Orangeville, L., Maxwell, J., Kneeshaw, D., Pederson, N., Duchesne, L., Logan, T., Houle, D., Arseneault, D., Beier, C.M., Bishop, D.A., Druckenbrod, D., Fraver, S., Girard, F., Halman, J., Hansen, C., Hart, J.L., Hartmann, H., Kaye, M., Leblanc, D., Manzoni, S., Ouimet, R., Rayback, S., Rollinson, C.R. & Phillips, R.P. (2018). Drought timing and local climate determine the sensitivity of eastern temperate forests to drought. *Global Change Biology*, 24, 2339–2351.
- Dow, C., Kim, A.Y., D’Orangeville, L., Gonzalez-Akre, E.B., Helcoski, R., Herrmann, V., Harley, G.L., Maxwell, J.T., McGregor, I.R., McShea, W.J., McMahon, S.M., Pederson, N., Tepley, A.J. & Anderson-Teixeira, K.J. (2022). Warm springs alter timing but not total growth of temperate deciduous trees. *Nature*, 608, 552–557.
- Dox, I., Mariën, B., Zuccarini, P., Marchand, L.J., Prislan, P., Gričar, J., Flores, O., Gehrman, F., Fonti, P., Lange, H., Peñuelas, J. & Campioli, M. (2022). Wood growth phenology and its relationship with leaf phenology in deciduous forest trees of the temperate zone of Western Europe. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 327, 109229.
- Duputié, A., Rutschmann, A., Ronce, O. & Chuine, I. (2015). Phenological plasticity will not help all species adapt to climate change. *Global Change Biology*, 21, 3062–3073.
- Fawcett, D., Bennie, J. & Anderson, K. (2021). Monitoring spring phenology of individual tree crowns using drone-acquired NDVI data. *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation*, 7, 227–244.
- Flynn, D.F.B. & Wolkovich, E.M. (2018). Temperature and photoperiod drive spring phenology across all species in a temperate forest community. *New Phytologist*, 219, 1353–1362.
- Fu, Y.H., Piao, S., Op De Beeck, M., Cong, N., Zhao, H., Zhang, Y., Menzel, A. & Janssens, I.A. (2014). Recent spring phenology shifts in western Europe based on multiscale observations. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 23, 1255–1263.
- Gallinat, A.S., Primack, R.B. & Wagner, D.L. (2015). Autumn, the neglected season in climate change research. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 30, 169–176.
- Green, J.K. & Keenan, T.F. (2022). The limits of forest carbon sequestration. *Science*, 376, 692–693.

- Jeong, S. & Medvigy, D. (2014). Macroscale prediction of autumn leaf coloration throughout the continental United States. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 23, 1245–1254.
- Keenan, T.F., Gray, J., Friedl, M.A., Toomey, M., Bohrer, G., Hollinger, D.Y., Munger, J.W., O’Keefe, J., Schmid, H.P., Wing, I.S., Yang, B. & Richardson, A.D. (2014). Net carbon uptake has increased through warming-induced changes in temperate forest phenology. *Nature Climate Change*, 4, 598–604.
- Klein, T., Vitasse, Y. & Hoch, G. (2016). Coordination between growth, phenology and carbon storage in three coexisting deciduous tree species in a temperate forest. *Tree Physiology*, 36, 847–855.
- Kolář, T., Giagli, K., Trnka, M., Bednářová, E., Vavřík, H. & Rybníček, M. (2016). Response of the leaf phenology and tree-ring width of European beech to climate variability. *Silva Fennica*, 50.
- Kramer, K., Bijlsma, R.J., Hickler, T. & Thuiller, W. (2012). Why Would Plant Species Become Extinct Locally If Growing Conditions Improve? *International Journal of Biological Sciences*, 8, 1121–1129.
- Kramer, K., Leinonen, I. & Loustau, D. (2000). The importance of phenology for the evaluation of impact of climate change on growth of boreal, temperate and Mediterranean forests ecosystems: an overview. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 44, 67–75.
- Körner, C. & Basler, D. (2010). Phenology Under Global Warming. *Science*, 327, 1461–1462. Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science.
- Landhäusser, S.M., Pinno, B.D., Lieffers, V.J. & Chow, P.S. (2012). Partitioning of carbon allocation to reserves or growth determines future performance of aspen seedlings. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 275, 43–51.
- Lawrence, B.T. & Melgar, J.C. (2018). Variable Fall Climate Influences Nutrient Resorption and Reserve Storage in Young Peach Trees. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9.
- Li, Y., Zhang, W., Schwalm, C.R., Gentile, P., Smith, W.K., Ciais, P., Kimball, J.S., Gazol, A., Kannenberg, S.A., Chen, A., Piao, S., Liu, H., Chen, D. & Wu, X. (2023). Widespread spring phenology effects on drought recovery of Northern Hemisphere ecosystems. *Nature Climate Change*, 13, 182–188.
- Martens, L.A., Landhäusser, S.M. & Lieffers, V.J. (2007). First-year growth response of cold-stored, nursery-grown aspen planting stock. *New Forests*, 33, 281–295.
- McMahon, S.M. & Parker, G.G. (2015). A general model of intra-annual tree growth using dendrometer bands. *Ecology and Evolution*, 5, 243–254.
- Morin, X., Roy, J., Sonié, L. & Chuine, I. (2010). Changes in leaf phenology of three European oak species in response to experimental climate change. *New Phytologist*, 186, 900–910.
- Parmesan, C., Ryrholm, N., Stefanescu, C., Hill, J.K., Thomas, C.D., Descimon, H., Huntley, B., Kaila, L., Kullberg, J., Tammaru, T., Tennent, W.J., Thomas, J.A. & Warren, M. (1999). Poleward shifts in geographical ranges of butterfly species associated with regional warming. *Nature*, 399, 579–583.

- Parmesan, C. & Yohe, G. (2003). A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature*, 421, 37–42.
- Peñuelas, J. & Filella, I. (2001). Responses to a Warming World. *Science*, 294, 793–795. Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science.
- Piao, S., Liu, Q., Chen, A., Janssens, I.A., Fu, Y., Dai, J., Liu, L., Lian, X., Shen, M. & Zhu, X. (2019). Plant phenology and global climate change: Current progresses and challenges. *Global Change Biology*, 25, 1922–1940. eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/gcb.14619>.
- Polgar, C.A. & Primack, R.B. (2011). Leaf-out phenology of temperate woody plants: from trees to ecosystems. *New Phytologist*, 191, 926–941.
- Primack, R.B., Laube, J., Gallinat, A.S. & Menzel, A. (2015). From observations to experiments in phenology research: investigating climate change impacts on trees and shrubs using dormant twigs. *Annals of Botany*, 116, 889–897.
- Reinmann, A.B., Bowers, J.T., Kaur, P. & Kohler, C. (2023). Compensatory responses of leaf physiology reduce effects of spring frost defoliation on temperate forest tree carbon uptake. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, 6, 988233.
- Richardson, A.D., Keenan, T.F., Migliavacca, M., Ryu, Y., Sonnentag, O. & Toomey, M. (2013). Climate change, phenology, and phenological control of vegetation feedbacks to the climate system. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 169, 156–173.
- Rosenzweig, C., Karoly, D., Vicarelli, M., Neofotis, P., Wu, Q., Casassa, G., Menzel, A., Root, T.L., Estrella, N., Seguin, B., Tryjanowski, P., Liu, C., Rawlins, S. & Imeson, A. (2008). Attributing physical and biological impacts to anthropogenic climate change. *Nature*, 453, 353–357.
- Schott, K.M., Pinno, B.D. & Landhäusser, S.M. (2013). Premature shoot growth termination allows nutrient loading of seedlings with an indeterminate growth strategy. *New Forests*, 44, 635–647.
- Silvestro, R., Zeng, Q., Buttò, V., Sylvain, J.D., Drolet, G., Mencuccini, M., Thiffault, N., Yuan, S. & Rossi, S. (2023). A longer wood growing season does not lead to higher carbon sequestration. *Scientific Reports*, 13, 4059.
- Swidrak, I., Schuster, R. & Oberhuber, W. (2013). Comparing growth phenology of co-occurring deciduous and evergreen conifers exposed to drought. *Flora - Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants*, 208, 609–617.
- Teng, M., Ouaknine, A., Laliberté, E., Bengio, Y., Rolnick, D. & Larochelle, H. (2025). Bringing SAM to new heights: Leveraging elevation data for tree crown segmentation from drone imagery. ArXiv:2506.04970 [cs].
- Trenberth, K.E., Dai, A., Van Der Schrier, G., Jones, P.D., Barichivich, J., Briffa, K.R. & Sheffield, J. (2014). Global warming and changes in drought. *Nature Climate Change*, 4, 17–22.
- Tyree, M.T. & Zimmermann, M.H. (2002). *Xylem Structure and the Ascent of Sap*. Springer Series in Wood Science. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.

- Ulku, I., Akagunduz, E. & Ghamisi, P. (2022). Deep Semantic Segmentation of Trees Using Multispectral Images. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 15, 7589–7604.
- Vitasse, Y. (2013). Ontogenic changes rather than difference in temperature cause understory trees to leaf out earlier. *New Phytologist*, 198, 149–155.
- Wolfe, D.W., Schwartz, M.D., Lakso, A.N., Otsuki, Y., Pool, R.M. & Shaulis, N.J. (2005). Climate change and shifts in spring phenology of three horticultural woody perennials in northeastern USA. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 49, 303–309.
- Zohner, C., Rockinger, A. & Renner, S. (2018). Increased autumn productivity permits temperate trees to compensate for spring frost damage. *The New phytologist*, 221 2, 789–795.
- Zohner, C.M., Mirzaghali, L., Renner, S.S., Mo, L., Rebindaine, D., Bucher, R., Palouš, D., Vitasse, Y., Fu, Y.H., Stocker, B.D. & Crowther, T.W. (2023). Effect of climate warming on the timing of autumn leaf senescence reverses after the summer solstice. *Science*, 381, eadf5098.