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# Introduction

National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) Division I men’s basketball is a widely publicized sport in the United States, and like many other sports, the use of statistics to make some informed decision has become relevant for the league, analysts, coaches, and even the casual fan. The validity and usefulness of certain statistically backed reasoning can be questioned and explored but it is undeniable that number-backed decisions provide concreteness to any given conclusion. In the eyes of analysis, this sport provides an extra level of difficulty due to the collegiate aspect. In a traditional professional league, you can note some small material differences between teams, for example, payroll size, organization location, owner investment strategies, but overall, you can do analysis with the assumption that the professional teams are all on the same level of fairness. When we look at the collegiate level there are two main levels of unfairness that we must consider when looking to do any sort of statistical analysis, access to funds and recruiting level.

It is known that the NCAA basketball tournament, also known as March Madness , the final tournament of the NCAA season is regarded as the pinnacle of sports. It is a single elimination tournament that decides the overall champion of college basketball each year. The tournament is set up such that out of the 32 Division I conferences, the champion of each is guaranteed a spot in the tournament, then 36 other teams that impressed the NCAA committee(1). This guarantee’s representation of every conference, and rewards teams that play tougher conferences, which goes back to the unfairness factor in this sport. When the field of 68 teams is set, the NCAA committee then decides seeding, such that the best teams would play the worst teams on a path to the championship, this rewards the teams that did the best in the regular season. This seeding decision by the NCAA is at least in part, statistically based, and by creating an order of teams, the NCAA is essentially making their own prediction of what teams they think are better than others(1). If the NCAA’s ranking was completely true, then the lower seed would always win with the top ranked number one seed winning the whole tournament. We know this is not true, for example, since 1984 when the tournament expanded to 68 teams the seed 5 teams only have a 63% win rate in the initial matchup against the seed 12 teams(2). Much of this randomness in predicting relative team performance has to do with the complexity of valuing how much the aforementioned unfairness contributed to the team’s performance.
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# Data Considerations and Setup
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# Time-Series Model
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# Markov Chain as Probability Model

For every year we have a set of numbers defining the teams to participate in the final NCAA tournament. As stated in the previous section it was stated how we are using a time-series analysis to populate these set of numbers, but we can see that the following markov chain method to get expected probabilities of teams making it to the second and third rounds can be applied to any set of numbers that are defining the teams as long as those set of numbers are positive. This idea of a probabilistic model to create expected results will loosely follow the work of (SCHWERTMAN)

Diagram

Description automatically generated with medium confidenceThere are 64 teams in the tournament and these teams are split into four different regions. For any given year we are predicting the last four remaining teams in each region therefore overall predicting 16 teams. This stochastic method takes the set of any pair of four connected teams in any given region, for example, seeds (1,16,8,9) or (2,15,7,10), and finds the probability of making past the first round and probability of making it past the second round.

Figure – March Madness Region Example

We can define as the probability of a given team with seed beating seed in the game of the region. Then we can define where, and,

and

We can now see that if for example, we wanted the probability that the third seed made it to the second round, we can find it using . A Markov Chain matrix can now populated with as the cells and the rows of this matrix will be seeds one through sixteen and the columns will be the same. From the matrix and the bracket we can see that if, for example, now we wanted the probability that the third seed made it to the third round, we can find it using,

As an example, we will take the East region in the year 2011 and populate a table of the probabilities for each team to make it to round 2 and round 3 using the three methods define , the baseline seed method, ARIMA method, and exponential smoothing method.

![Table

Description automatically generated]()

Table – Example of Different Methods Probabilies in East 2011 Region

We can see the differences between the three methods in this table, it is important to note that for any region the seed method will always have the same probabilities because the nature of for that method is not dependent on the actual characteristics of the team. For this region and this year, we can see that the ARIMA method only predicts one upset, although it does predict a close first round game between 5 seed West Virginia and 12 seed Clemson. The ETS method predicts the same one upset as the ARIMA method but is much more favorable to the performance of the lower seeds in that region. This dynamic will change depending on the region and the year and this can be explored along with the performance of each method’s ability to predict what happened.
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Figure – Average Prediction Probability Curve
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