1.4 Consequences of Completeness

another way to think of R as gapless

Theorem 1.4.1 (Nested Interval Property):

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , assume we one given a closed interval  $In = [a_n, b_n] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a_n \leq x \leq b_n\}$ . Assume In contains  $I_{n+1}$ . Then the resulting sequence of nested intervals  $a_n = a_n + a_n = a_n + a_n = a_n$ 

## Proof:

We need to show  $\exists x \in [R \text{ s.t. } x \in In \forall n \in N.$  Consider the set of all left endpoints  $A = \{a_n : n \in N\}$ , A is nonempty and bounded above by  $B = \{b_n : n \in N\}$ , the set of all right endpoints. Let  $x = \sup A$ . Then  $x \ge a_n \forall a_n \in A$ , and  $x \le b_n \forall b_n \in B$ . That is,  $a_n \le x \le b_n$ , so  $x \in In \forall n \in N_D$ 

Density of Q in 1R

· Qextends N and IR extends Q - how do it and N sit inside IB?

Theorem 1.4.2 (Archimedean Property)

(i) Giren amy XEIR, INEN s.t. n>X (N is unbounded)

(ii) Given real number y>0, ∃n∈N sit. Yn < y

## Proof of (i):

Suppose for contradiction N is bounded. That is,  $a = \sup N$ . Then by the Axiom of Completeness,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t. a - 1 < n. But this implies that a < n + 1. Because  $n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , this contradicts the fact that  $a = \sup N$ , so our assumption that N is bounded is false. Thus, N is unbounded  $\square$ 

Proof if (ii):
Aim to show Ine is such that Inky for y tikt. Rewrite the inequality as no 1/y. From (i) we have that given any real number x, Ine is sit. n > x. Let x = yy. Then Ine is sit. n > yy.

Theorem 1.4.3 (Density of Q in IR):

Va,b \in IR with a < b, \( \frac{1}{2} \) \( \text{ca} \) s.t. \( \alpha < r < b \) \( \text{in IR} \) \( \text{in IR} \)

## Proof:

Since  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , r = P/q, for  $P, q \in Z$  and  $q \ne 0$ . Rewrite the inequality as a < P/q < b. The proof now relies on correspondences of p and q to make the inequality true. First, choose denominator q to be large enough so that increments of size 1/q are smaller than the interval b-a: 1/q < b-a. We can do this by the Archimedean Property. Now rewrite the inequality to be proved as qa , and focus on <math>p. Want to pick p as the smallest integer greater than qa:  $p-1 \le qa < p$ . From this we see that a < P/q. All that's left is to show P/q < b <= p < qb. Note that a < b - 1/q. Use this in the manipulation of  $p-1 \le q$  a.  $p-1 \le q$  a.

<=> ρ < q a + 1 < q (b - 1/q) + 1

(=> p<qb-1+1

(=> p<qb ()

Corollary 1.4.4 (Density of I in IR): Given a, b \in IR, \text{ I \in IR}: \* Proof given in exercise 1.4.5

Existence of Square Roots

Theorem 1.4.5 (Existence of JZ in IR): There exists a real number & EIR satisfying K2=2

Proof:

Consider  $T = \{ t \in \mathbb{R} : t^2 < 2 \}$  and set  $K = \sup T$ . Assume for contradiction  $\alpha^2 < 2$ . We want to show that  $\alpha + \epsilon \in T$  for  $\epsilon > 0$  to contradict that  $\alpha^2 < 2$ . Let  $\epsilon = \forall n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

 $(x+\frac{1}{n})^2 = x^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \langle x^2 + \frac{2x+1}{n} \rangle$ . Now choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $(2x+1)/n \langle 2-x^2 \rangle$ . Then  $x^2 + (2x+1)/n \langle x^2 + 2-x^2 \rangle$ .  $(x+1/n)^2 \langle 2 \rangle = (x+1/n) \in \mathbb{T}$ . Thus  $\alpha^2$  commot be less than 2 for  $\alpha$  to be a supremum. Now assume for contradiction that  $\alpha^2 > 2$ . We want to show that  $2 \langle (x-\epsilon)^2 \langle x^2 \rangle$  to contradict that that  $\alpha^2 > 2$  (and  $\alpha$  is a supremum). Consider  $\epsilon = 1/n$ . Then:

 $\left(\kappa - \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 = \alpha^2 - \frac{2\kappa}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} > \alpha^2 - \frac{2\kappa}{n}$ 

Now choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $-2\alpha/n > 2 - \alpha^2$ . Then  $\alpha^2 - 2\alpha/n > \alpha^2 + 2 - \alpha^2 = 7 \alpha^2 - 2\alpha/n > 2$ . So  $2 < \alpha^2 - 2\alpha/n < \alpha^2$ , contradicting our assumption that  $\alpha^2 > 2$ . Since  $\alpha^2 \nmid 2$  and  $\alpha^2 \nmid 2$ , then  $\alpha^2 = 2$  and thus  $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## 1.4 Exercises

- 1) I is the set of irratronals
  - a) Show if  $a,b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then ab and  $a+b \in \mathbb{Q}$  froof:

Since  $a_1b \in Q$ , we can rewrite them as  $a = \frac{m}{n}$ ,  $b = \frac{q}{q}$  for  $m_1, n_1, p_1, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n_1, q \neq 0$ ,  $a_1b$  becomes  $(m/n)(p/q) = \frac{mp}{nq}$ . Both mp and  $n_q$  are integers because  $\mathbb{Z}$  is closed under multiplication, so  $a_1b$  can be written as the division of two integers with a nonzero denominator. Thus  $a_1b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Similarly,  $a_1b = \frac{m}{n} + \frac{p}{q} = \frac{(mq + p_1)}{nq}$ . As before,  $m_1, n_1, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $m_1 + p_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  because of closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  under

mg, pn, ng  $\in \mathbb{Z}$ , and mg  $\dagger$  pn  $\in \mathbb{Z}$  because of closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  under addition and multiplication. Since we wrote at b as the division of two integers with a non-zero denominator, at  $b \in Q$ 

b) Show that if  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $t \in I$ , then  $a + t \in I$  and  $at \in I$  as long as  $a \neq 0$ .

Proof:

Suppose for contradiction that at  $t \notin I \Rightarrow a+t \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then a+t=p/q for  $p,q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q\neq 0$ . Solving for t yields  $t=p/q-a \in \mathbb{Q}$  because the sum of two nationals is national. This contradicts  $t \in I$ , so our assumption is false and  $a+t \in I$ . Again suppose for contradiction that at  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Thun at=p/q for  $p,q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q\neq 0$ . Solving for t yields  $t=p/qa \in \mathbb{Q}$  (because  $a\neq 0$ ). This contradicts  $t \in I$ , so our assumption is false and  $at \in ID$ 

- C) Is I closed under addition and multiplication (s+t, st)? No. Consider t=-s. Then s+t=s-s=0€Q. Consider t='/s. Then st=s('/s)=1€Q.
- 3) Prove that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (0, 1/n) = \emptyset$ . Notice this demonstrates that intervals must be closed for Nested Interval Property to be true. Proof:

  Let  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (0, 1/n)$ . Then x > 0 and x < 1/n  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This contradicts part (ii) of Arch: median property which states for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ : x > 0,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, no such  $\mathbb{N}$  exists and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (0, 1/n) = \emptyset$
- 5) Prove Corollory 1.4.4: Given any 2 real numbers a, b, there exists an irratronal number t satisfying a < t < b. Consider the numbers a J2 and b J2.

  Proof:
  Let a, b \in R and a < b. Then a J2 < b J2. By the national density theorem, a J2 < r < b J2. Add J2 to get a < r + J2 < b. (+J2 \in I, so we are done I)
- 6) A set B is dense in IR if ∀a, b ∈ IR a < b, ∃x ∈ B s.f. a < x < b. Let p ∈ Z and q ∈ N. Which are dense in IR?
  - a) Set of all rationals P/q with  $9 \le 10$ . Not dense - consider 0 = .01, 1 = .02. There is no element x in the given set such that .01 < x < .02. The closest we get is x = 0 and x = .1.

- b) Set of all nationals P/q where q is a power of 2
  - Dense. Let q= 2" for n=0,1,2,... this ensures 2 ∈ N and q is a power of a. Now choose q so that 1/9 < b-a, for a, b ∈ R, a < b. We can do this by finding n s.t. 4n < b-a n ∈ N by Archimedian property, and then 1/2" < 1/n < b-a, let q = 2". The proof proceeds identically to that of the rational density theorem.
- c) Set of rationals P/a where 10/p/29.

Not dense-this means that  $\frac{191}{9} \stackrel{?}{=} 10$ , so elements in the set have a magnitude greater than 1/10. This means no such 9/9 exists between a = -1/10 and b = 1/10.

- 8) Give example or prove impossible
  - Or) Sets A and B with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , sup  $A = \sup B$ , sup  $A \notin A$  and sup  $B \notin B$ .  $A = \{ x \in Q : x \nmid 1 \} \quad B = \{ x \in I : x \nmid 1 \}$   $A \cap B = \emptyset \text{ because } Q = \overline{I} \text{ sup } A = \sup B = 1 \text{ . } 1 \notin B \text{ and } 1 \notin B.$
  - b) A sequence of nested unbounded closed intervals  $J_1 = J_2 = ...$  with  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n$  nonempty but finite let  $J_n = [-1/n, 1/n]$ . Then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n = \{0\}$
  - C) A sequence of nested unbounded closed intervals  $L_1 \ge L_2 \ge ...$ with  $\Pi_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n = \emptyset$ . ( $L_n$  of the form  $L_q, \infty$ )) el

    Let  $L_n = [n, \infty]$ . Proof  $\Pi_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n$  is empty:

    Suppose  $x \in \Pi_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n$ . Then  $x \in [n, \infty)$  if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which means  $x \ge n$ . But by archimedean principle, we can always find  $n > \infty$ , so no such  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  exists and  $\Pi_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n = \emptyset$ .

d) A sequence of closed, bounded (not necessorily nested) intervals II, II, ... with Mr. In # Ø +NEN but Mr. In = Ø.

Does not exist. Solution sketchy, but if Ni. In 70 then this necessitates nested intervals. Since they're closed and bounded, then by Nested Interval Theorem Ni. In 70.