Part IB — Numerical Analysis Example Sheet 2

Supervised by Dr. Saxton Examples worked through by Christopher Turnbull $Lent \ 2018$

The differential equations, with initial condition y(0) = 1 have exact solutions given by

$$y = \frac{1}{1+t}$$
 and $y = (1+t)^2$, $0 \le t \le 1$

respectively.

Using the Euler method for the first ODE we have $f(t,y) = -\frac{y}{1+t}$. Here, $y_0 = 1, t_m = mh$. For $n \ge 1$,

$$y_n = y_{n-1} + hf(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1})$$

$$= y_{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{h}{1 + (n-1)h} \right)$$

$$= y_{n-1} \cdot \frac{1 + (n-2)h}{1 + (n-1)h}$$

Have that $y_1 = 1 - h$, thus

$$y_n = 1 \cdot (1 - h) \left(\frac{1}{1 + h}\right) \left(\frac{1 + h}{1 + 2h}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1 + (n - 3)h}{1 + (n - 2)h}\right) \left(\frac{1 + (n - 2)h}{1 + (n - 1)h}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1 - h}{1 + (n - 1)h}$$

As $h \to 0$, $n \to \infty$ in such a way that $nh \to t$. So we deduce y = 1/(1+t) as required.

Moreover, the error is

$$y_n - y(nh) = \frac{1-h}{1+(n-1)h} - \frac{1}{1+nh}$$

which is clearly O(h).

For the second ODE we have $f(t,y) = \frac{2y}{1+t}$. Calculating the first few terms we find that

$$y_1 = y_0 \left(1 + \frac{2h}{1+t_0} \right)$$
 $t_0 = 0$
= $(1+2h)$

$$y_2 = y_1 \left(1 + \frac{2h}{1+t_1} \right)$$
 $t_1 = h$
= $(1+2h) \cdot \left(\frac{1+3h}{1+h} \right)$

$$y_3 = y_2 \left(1 + \frac{2h}{1+t_1} \right)$$
 $t_2 = 2h$
= $(1+2h) \cdot \left(\frac{1+3h}{1+h} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1+4h}{1+2h} \right)$

and so

$$y_n = (1+2h) \cdot \left(\frac{1+3h}{1+h}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1+4h}{1+2h}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1+(n+1)h}{1+(n-1)h}\right)$$
$$= \frac{(1+nh)(1+(n+1)h)}{1+h}$$

again $nh \to t$, so we have the result as required. Here, the error is

$$y_n - y(nh) = \frac{(1+nh)(1+(n+1)h)}{1+h} - (1+nh)^2$$
$$= \frac{(1+nh)^2 + h(1+nh) - (1+h)(1+nh)^2}{1+h}$$

which is clearly O(h).

QUESTION 2

The trapezoidal rule states that the numerical solution to the differential equation

$$\mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}_n) \tag{2.1}$$

is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_{n+1} = \mathbf{y}_n + \frac{1}{2}h[\mathbf{f}(t_n, \mathbf{y}_n) + \mathbf{f}(t_{n+1}, \mathbf{y}_{n+1})]$$
 (2.2)

Assuming that **f** satisfies the Lipschitz condition: there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$||\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{w})|| \le \lambda ||\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}||, \quad t \in [0, t^*], \quad \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

we will prove that the trapezoidal rule converges; ie.

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \max_{n=0,\dots,\lfloor t^*/h \rfloor} ||\mathbf{y}_n(h) - \mathbf{y}(nh)|| = 0$$

where $\mathbf{y}(nh)$ is the evaluation at time t = nh of the exact solution of (2.1).

Proof. Let $\mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{y}(t_n)$, the error at step n, where $0 \le n \le t^*/h$, $t_n := nh$. Thus,

$$\mathbf{e}_{n+1} = \mathbf{y}_{n+1} - \mathbf{y}(t_{n+1}) = [\mathbf{y}_n + \frac{1}{2}h[\mathbf{f}(t_n, \mathbf{y}_n) + \mathbf{f}(t_{n+1}, \mathbf{y}_{n+1})]] - [\mathbf{y}(t_n) + h\mathbf{y}'(t_n) + O(h^2)]$$

By the Taylor theorem, the $O(h^2)$ term can be bounded uniformly for all $[0, t^*]$ by ch^2 , where c > 0. Thus, using (2.1) and the triangle inequality,

$$||\mathbf{e}_{n+1}|| \le ||\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{y}(t_n)|| + h||\frac{1}{2} \{\mathbf{f}(t_n, \mathbf{y}_n) + \mathbf{f}(t_{n+1}, \mathbf{y}_{n+1})\} - \mathbf{f}(t_n, \mathbf{y}(t_n))|| + ch^2$$

Want this in terms of $||\mathbf{e}_n||$, but how?

The s-step Adams-Bashforth method is of order s and has the form

$$\mathbf{y}_{n+s} - \mathbf{y}_{n+s-1} = h \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sigma_j \mathbf{f}(t_{n+j}, \mathbf{y}_{n+j})$$

For s=3 we have $\rho(w)=w^2(w-1)$. To maximize order, we let σ be the 2 degree polynomial $(\sigma_3=0)$ arising from the truncation of the Taylor expanison of

$$\frac{\rho(w)}{\log w}$$

Letting $\xi = w - 1$ and expanding,

$$\begin{split} \frac{w^2(w-1)}{\log w} &= \frac{(\xi+1)^2 \xi}{\log(1+\xi)} = \frac{\xi+2\xi^2+\xi^3}{\xi-\frac{1}{2}\xi^2+\frac{1}{3}\xi^3-\cdots} \\ &= \frac{1+2\xi+\xi^2}{1-\frac{1}{2}\xi+\frac{1}{3}\xi^2-\cdots} \\ &= [1+2\xi+\xi^2][1+(\frac{1}{2}\xi-\frac{1}{3}\xi^2)+(\frac{1}{2}\xi-\frac{1}{3}\xi^2)^2+O(\xi^3)] \\ &= 1+\frac{5}{2}\xi+\frac{5}{3}\xi^2+O(\xi^3) \\ &= 1+\frac{5}{2}(w-1)+\frac{5}{3}(w-1)^2+O(|w-1|^3) \\ &= \frac{1}{6}-\frac{5}{3}w+\frac{5}{3}w^2+O(|w-1|^3) \end{split}$$

Therefore $\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{6}, \sigma_1 = -\frac{5}{3}, \sigma_2 = \frac{5}{3}, \sigma_3 = 0$

Applying the explicit midpoint rule

$$\mathbf{y}_{n+2} = \mathbf{y}_n + 2h\mathbf{f}(t_{n+1}, \mathbf{y}_{n+1})$$

to the ODE y' = -y, we have

$$y_{n+2} = y_n - 2hy_{n+1}$$

Making the ansatz $y_n = k^n$ gives

$$k^2 + 2hk - 1 = 0$$

and hence

$$k = -h \pm \sqrt{h^2 - 1}$$

giving

$$y_n = A \left(-h - \sqrt{h^2 - 1} \right)^n + B \left(-h + \sqrt{h^2 - 1} \right)^n$$

Now $y_0 = 1 \Rightarrow A + B = 1$, and $y_1 = 1 - h \Rightarrow 1 = (B - A)\sqrt{h^2 - 1}$, thus

$$A = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{h^2 - 1}} + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$B = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{h^2 - 1}} - \frac{1}{2}$$

Now as $n \to \infty$, we wish to show that y_n diverges, ie. one of the terms blow up, and we want to show this happens for all h > 0. Can see that if h > 1, the $A\left(-h - \sqrt{h^2 - 1}\right)^n$ explodes as $-h - \sqrt{h^2 - 1} < -1$. If 0 < h < 1, I don't know

The multistep method

$$\sum_{j=0}^{3} \rho_{j} \mathbf{y}_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^{2} \sigma_{j} \mathbf{f}(t_{n+j}, \mathbf{y}_{n+j}), \quad \rho_{3} = 1$$

is of order 4 iff

$$\rho(e^z) - z\sigma(e^z) = O(z^5), \quad z \to 0$$

Expanding into Taylor series.

$$\begin{split} e^z &= 1 + z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{24}z^4 + O(z^5) \\ e^{2z} &= 1 + 2z + 2z^2 + \frac{4}{3}z^3 + \frac{5}{8}z^4 + O(z^5) \\ e^{3z} &= 1 + 3z + \frac{9}{2}z^2 + \frac{9}{2}z^3 + \frac{10}{3}z^4 + O(z^5) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \rho(e^z) - z\sigma(e^z) &= [1 + 3z + \frac{9}{2}z^2 + \frac{9}{2}z^3 + \frac{10}{3}z^4] + \rho_2[1 + 2z + 2z^2 + \frac{4}{3}z^3 + \frac{5}{8}z^4] \\ &+ \rho_1[1 + z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{24}z^4] + \rho_0 - z\sigma_2\left[1 + 2z + 2z^2 + \frac{4}{3}z^3 + \frac{5}{8}z^4\right] \\ &- z\sigma_1\left[1 + z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \frac{1}{24}z^4\right] - z\sigma_0 \end{split}$$

For this expression to be $O(z^5)$, looking at first order terms we deduce that $\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3 = -1$.

So we have $\rho(w) = w^3 + \rho_2 w^2 - 9w + \rho_0$, $\rho_0 + \rho_2 = 8$ for this to satisfy the root condition we must have all zeros residing in $|w| \le 1$, and all zeros of unit modulus simple.

starred

Consider the ODE y' = y with y(0) = 1 whose solution is $y(t) = e^t$. For this ODE we can write the local error explicitly: indeed we have

$$k_1 = f(t_n, y(t_n)) = e^{t_n}$$

$$k_2 = y(t_n) + \frac{1}{3}hk_1 = e^{t_n}(1 + \frac{1}{3}h)$$

$$k_3 = y(t_n) - \frac{1}{3}hk_1 + hk_2 = e^{t_n}\left(1 + \frac{2}{3}h + \frac{1}{3}h^2\right)$$

$$k_4 = y(t_n) + hk_1 - hk_2 + hk_3 = e^{t_n}\left(1 + h + \frac{1}{3}h^2 + \frac{1}{3}h^3\right)$$

Then the local error is

$$y(t_{n+1}) - (y(t_n) + \frac{1}{8}hk_1 + \frac{3}{8}hk_2 + \frac{3}{8}hk_3 + \frac{1}{8}hk_4) = e^{t_{n+1}} - e^{t_n} - e^{t_n}$$

Let us now show that the method has order at least 3. To do this we restrict our attention to scalar, autonomous equations of the form y' = f(y).

Consider the linear scalar system

$$\begin{cases} y' = \lambda y \\ y(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda < 0$. The solution is $y(t) = e^{\lambda t}$ which decays to 0 as $t \to \infty$.

- (i) For the explicit Euler method we get $y_{n+1} = y_n + h\lambda y_n$ whose solution is $y_n = (1+h\lambda)^n$, so $y_n \to 0$ iff $|1+h\lambda| < 1$, therefore $\mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} ; |1+z| < 1\}$, and $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathbb{R} =$
- (ii) Considering now the trapezoidal rule we get $y_{n+1} = [(1 + \frac{1}{2}h\lambda)/(1 \frac{1}{2}h\lambda)]y_n$, and thus by induction, $y_n = [(1 + \frac{1}{2}h\lambda)/(1 \frac{1}{2}h\lambda)]^n y_0$. Therefore

$$z \in \mathcal{D} \iff \left| \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}z}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z} \right| < 1 \iff \operatorname{Re} z < 0$$

and we deduce that $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C}^-$. Hence the method is A-stable.

- (iii)
- (iv)
- (v) Applying the RK method to $y' = \lambda y$ we have

$$hk_1 = h\lambda y_n$$
$$hk_2 = h\lambda (y_n + hk_1)$$

therefore

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{1}{2}hk_1 + \frac{1}{2}hk_2 = (1 + h\lambda + \frac{1}{2}h^2\lambda^2)y_n$$

Let

$$r(z) = 1 + z + \frac{1}{2}z^2$$

Then $y_{n+1}=r(h\lambda)y_n$, therefore, by induction, $y_n=[r(h\lambda)]^ny_0$ and we deduce that

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} ; |r(z)| < 1 \}$$

r is analytic in $\mathcal{V}=\{z\in\mathbb{C}\ ;\ \mathrm{Re}\ z<\leq 0\}.$ Therefore it attains its maximum on $\partial\mathcal{V}=i\mathbb{R}.$

Consider the two-step BDF method: $\mathbf{y}_{n+2} - \frac{4}{3}\mathbf{y}_{n+1} + \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{y}_n = \frac{2}{3}hf(t_{n+2},\mathbf{y}_{n+2}).$ Applied to $y' = \lambda y$ we get

$$y_{n+2} - \frac{4}{3}y_{n+1} + \frac{1}{3}y_n = \frac{2}{3}h\lambda y_{n+2}$$

$$(3 - 2h\lambda)y_{n+2} - 4y_{n+1} + y_n = 0$$

We try $y_n = k^n$ and obtain

$$(3 - 2h\lambda)k^2 - 4k + 1 = 0$$

So

$$k = \frac{4 \pm \sqrt{16 - 4(3 - 2h\lambda)}}{(6 - 4h\lambda)}$$
$$= \frac{2 \pm \sqrt{1 + 2h\lambda}}{3 - 2h\lambda}$$

Hence

$$y_n = A \left(\frac{2 + \sqrt{1 + 2h\lambda}}{3 - 2h\lambda} \right)^n + B \left(\frac{2 - \sqrt{1 + 2h\lambda}}{3 - 2h\lambda} \right)^n$$

Unsure what to deduce.

Given that $|y_n - y(t_n)| \le 10^{-6}$, with Euler's method, setting $h = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y_{n+1} - y(t_{n+1})| &= |y_n + h_n f(t_n, y_n) - t_n^{-1}| \\ &= |y_n + 2 \times 10^{-4} (-10^4 (y_n - t_n^{-1}) - t_n^{-2}) - t_{n+1}^{-1}| \\ &= |(1 + 2 \times 10^{-8}) y_n - (2 \times 10^{-8}) t_n^{-1} - 2 \times 10^{-4}) t_n^{-2} - t_{n+1}^{-1}| \end{aligned}$$

No idea what I'm doing here.

First consider the predictor; substituting the true solution

$$\mathbf{y}(t_{n+3}) - \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}(t_n) + 3\mathbf{y}(t_{n+1}) - \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{y}(t_{n+2}) + 3h\mathbf{y}'(t_{n+2}) \right\} \quad (*)$$

Performing Taylor expansions:

$$\mathbf{y}(t_{n+3}) = \mathbf{y}(t_n) + 3h\mathbf{y}'(t_n) + \frac{9}{2}h^2\mathbf{y}''(t_n) + \frac{9}{2}h^3\mathbf{y}'''(t_n) + \frac{27}{8}h^4\mathbf{y}''''(t_n) + O(h^5)$$

$$\mathbf{y}(t_{n+1}) = \mathbf{y}(t_n) + h\mathbf{y}'(t_n) + \frac{1}{2}h^2\mathbf{y}''(t_n) + \frac{1}{6}h^3\mathbf{y}'''(t_n) + \frac{1}{24}h^4\mathbf{y}''''(t_n) + O(h^5)$$

$$\mathbf{y}(t_{n+2}) = \mathbf{y}(t_n) + 2h\mathbf{y}'(t_n) + 2h^2\mathbf{y}''(t_n) + \frac{4}{3}h^3\mathbf{y}'''(t_n) + \frac{2}{3}h^4\mathbf{y}''''(t_n) + O(h^5)$$

$$h\mathbf{y}'(t_{n+2}) = h\mathbf{y}'(t_n) + 2h^2\mathbf{y}''(t_n) + 2h^3\mathbf{y}'''(t_n) + \frac{4}{3}h^4\mathbf{y}''''(t_n) + O(h^5)$$

Substituting these into (*) it is clear that the predictor method is third order; moreover we deduce that

$$\mathbf{y}(t_{n+3}) - \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}(t_n) + 3\mathbf{y}(t_{n+1}) - \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{y}(t_{n+2}) + 3h\mathbf{y}'(t_{n+2}) \right\} = \frac{1}{4}h^4\mathbf{y}''''(t_n) + O(h^5)$$

and thus

$$\mathbf{y}_{n+3}^P \approx \mathbf{y}(t_{n+3}) - \frac{1}{4}h^4\mathbf{y}^{\prime\prime\prime\prime}(t_n)$$

Similarly for the corrector; substituting the true solution

$$\mathbf{y}(t_{n+3}) - \frac{1}{11} \{ 2\mathbf{y}(t_n) - 9\mathbf{y}(t_{n+1}) + 18\mathbf{y}(t_{n+2}) + 6h\mathbf{y}'(t_{n+3}) \} \quad (**)$$

Noting that

$$h\mathbf{y}'(t_{n+3}) = h\mathbf{y}'(t_n) + 3h^2\mathbf{y}''(t_n) + \frac{9}{2}h^3\mathbf{y}'''(t_n) + 9h^4\mathbf{y}''''(t_n) + O(h^5)$$

We again see this method is third order, and that

$$\mathbf{y}(t_{n+3}) - \frac{1}{11} \{ 2\mathbf{y}(t_n) - 9\mathbf{y}(t_{n+1}) + 18\mathbf{y}(t_{n+2}) + 6h\mathbf{y}'(t_{n+3}) \} =$$

starred