

Problem 1. Let $\hat{\theta}_n$ be an MLE based on n observations such that $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, I^{-1}(\theta))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ whatever the true value of $\theta \in \Theta$. Here $I(\theta)$ denotes the Fisher information in the underlying model. Now consider an arbitrary value $\eta \in \Theta$ and define

$$\tilde{\theta}_n = \begin{cases} \eta, & \text{if } n^{1/4} |\hat{\theta}_n - \eta| \leq 1, \\ \hat{\theta}_n, & \text{if } n^{1/4} |\hat{\theta}_n - \eta| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Show that

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}(0, I^{-1}(\theta)), & \text{if } \theta \neq \eta, \\ \mathcal{N}(0, 0) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} 0, & \text{if } \theta = \eta, \end{cases}$$

i.e. $\tilde{\theta}_n$ is ‘super-efficient’.

Problem 2. For a statistical model $\{f(x|\theta); \theta \in \Theta\}$ with $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open, let $\lambda(x|\theta) = \log f(x|\theta)$ and let $I(\theta)$ denote the Fisher information matrix in this model (in one observation). For a random sample X_1, \dots, X_n from $f(x|\theta_0)$ let $\hat{\theta}_n$ denote the MLE. For a twice differentiable function $g : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ let \ddot{g} denote its Hessian matrix. Suppose that

- (i) $\hat{\theta}_n$ is a consistent estimator of θ_0 ;
- (ii) $I(\theta_0) = -E_{\theta_0}[\ddot{\lambda}(X_1|\theta_0)]$ and $I(\theta_0)$ is positive definite;
- (iii) $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_j \partial \theta_k} \lambda(x|\theta)$ is continuous at θ_0 for all x and $j, k = 1, \dots, d$;
- (iv) There exist functions $K_{j,k}(x)$, $j, k = 1, \dots, d$ with $E_{\theta_0}|K_{j,k}(X_1)| < \infty$ and

$$|U_{j,k}(x|\theta)| := \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_j \partial \theta_k} \lambda(x|\theta) \right| \leq K_{j,k}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \text{ and } \theta;$$

- (v) For every $\epsilon > 0$ and all $j, k = 1, \dots, d$ we have with $\mathcal{U}_{\theta_0}(\epsilon) = \{\theta : \|\theta - \theta_0\| \leq \epsilon\}$ that

$$P_{\theta_0} \left[\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{U}_{\theta_0}(\epsilon)} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [U_{j,k}(X_i|\theta) - E_{\theta_0} U_{j,k}(X_i|\theta)] \right| > \epsilon \right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Show that then

- a) $-\frac{1}{n} \ddot{\lambda}_n(\mathbf{X}|\hat{\theta}_n) \rightarrow I(\theta_0)$ in probability, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- b) $-n (\ddot{\lambda}_n(\mathbf{X}|\hat{\theta}_n))^{-1} \rightarrow I^{-1}(\theta_0)$ in probability, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

where $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X)$ and $\lambda_n(\mathbf{X}|\theta)$ denotes the log-likelihood function. As for convergence in probability of matrices we use the Frobenius norm $\|\cdot\|_F$ which for a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is defined as $\|A\|_F^2 = \text{tr}(A'A) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2$, i.e. a sequence of $(d \times d)$ -matrices A_n with random entries converges in probability to a (non-random) $(d \times d)$ -matrix A iff for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have that $P(\|A_n - A\|_F > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Problem 3. (a) (*Multivariate mean value theorem*) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be differentiable derivative $\dot{f}(x)$, and assume that $\dot{f}(x)$ is continuous in the neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{x_0}(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x - x_0\| < r\}$. Then the multivariate mean value theorem states that for $x \in \mathcal{U}_{x_0}(r)$ we have

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_0^1 \dot{f}(x_0 + t(x - x_0)) dt (x - x_0).$$

Verify this equality.

(b) (*Multivariate second order Taylor expansion*) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be twice differentiable with Hessian matrix $\ddot{f}(x)$ continuous in $\mathcal{U}_{x_0}(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x - x_0\| < r\}$ for some $r > 0$. Then, for $x \in \mathcal{U}_{x_0}(r)$ we have

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \dot{f}(x_0)(x - x_0) + (x - x_0)' \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s \ddot{f}(x_0 + st(x - x_0)) ds dt (x - x_0).$$

(c) Show that for $d = 1$ the formula in (b) reduces to

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \int_{x_0}^x (x - t)f''(t) dt.$$

Remark. A generalization of the formula given in (c): If f is $(k+1)$ -times differentiable with $f^{(k+1)}(x)$ continuous in an open interval around x_0 , then

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \dots + \frac{1}{k!}f^{(k)}(x_0)(x - x_0)^k + \frac{1}{k!} \int_{x_0}^x (x - t)^k f^{(k+1)}(t) dt.$$

Problem 4. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be iid from a mixture of Gamma distributions with pdf

$$f(x|\theta) = [(1 - \theta)e^{-x} + \theta x e^{-x}] \mathbf{1}\{x > 0\},$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$.

- Find the method of moment estimator $\tilde{\theta}_n$ of θ and discuss the estimator (i.e. comment on whether it is a good estimator, and justify your assessments).
- Derive the asymptotic distribution of $\tilde{\theta}_n$.
- Find an asymptotically efficient estimate by improving $\tilde{\theta}_n$.

Problem 5. Consider a statistical model $\{f(x|\theta); \theta \in \Theta\}$ with $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open. Let $\tilde{\theta}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be an estimator of θ with $\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0 = O_P(n^{-1/2})$. On top of the assumptions of the theorem on the asymptotic normality of the MLE (see below) assume that the function $\theta \rightarrow I(\theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta$, is continuous in a neighborhood of θ_0 . Show that the method of scoring estimator

$$\tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)} = \tilde{\theta}_n + \frac{1}{n} I(\tilde{\theta}_n)^{-1} \dot{\lambda}_n(\mathbf{X}|\tilde{\theta}_n)$$

is asymptotically equivalent to the MLE $\hat{\theta}_n$, in the sense that $\tilde{\theta}_n - \hat{\theta}_n = o_P(n^{-1/2})$ (implying that the method of scoring estimator $\tilde{\theta}_n$ has the same asymptotic distribution as the MLE, i.e. it is asymptotically efficient). Here $\lambda_n(\mathbf{X}|\theta)$ is as in problem 2.

Using the notation from problem 2, the assumptions underlying the theorem on the asymptotic normality of the MLE (as discussed in STA231B) are as follows:

- (i) *The parameter space $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is open,*
- (ii) *Second partial derivatives of $f(x|\theta)$ with respect to θ exist and are continuous for all x , and may be passed under the integral sign in $\int f(x|\theta) dx$,*
- (iii) *There exists a function $K(x)$ such that $E_{\theta_0} K(X_1) < \infty$ and*

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{U}_{\theta_0}(r)} \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_j \partial \theta_k} \lambda(x|\theta) \right| \leq K(x)$$

- (iv) *$I(\theta_0) = -E_{\theta_0} [\ddot{\lambda}(X_1|\theta_0)]$ and $I(\theta_0)$ is positive definite,*
- (v) *$\{x : f(x|\theta) \neq f(x|\theta_0)\}$ has Lebesgue measure 0 $\Rightarrow \theta = \theta_0$*