CS 242 Final Project Proposal

Trade Simulator Christian Cygnus (cygnus2), Daniel Tian

1. Abstract

1.1. Project Purpose

Provide a locally served stock trading/arbitrage system that would allow aspiring traders to learn how to write trading algorithms and test them out on a mock exchange.

1.2. Background/Motivation

When I worked for IMC during a Winter Internship, the interns all participated in a mock exchange that served the same general purpose as my project proposal. I had a lot of fun testing out my trader against bots, and I learned a lot from the process. However, the simulator wasn't perfect, and I think I could make it more stable and usable if I made my own version. Additionally, building such a simulator would give me additional experience that might be valuable before I start my full-time position at IMC.

2. Technical Specifications

- **2.1. Platform:** Backend + Local Clients, Web based status checker
- 2.2. Programming Languages: Java
- **2.3. Testing Framework:** Junit, along with Mockito and PowerMock
- **2.4. Stylistic Conventions:** Standard Java Convention (Checkstyle) + Method Javadoc
- **2.5. SDK:** Dropwizard for REST
- **2.6. IDE:** IntelliJ (WebStorm for Web Dev)
- **2.7. Tools/Interfaces:** Google Chrome for Web
- **2.8.** Target Audience: Computer Scientists wanting to learn the basics of trading/arbitrage

3. Functional Specifications

3.1. Features

- Backend that would support subscribing clients and automatic bots to different exchanges
- Client SDK that would handle serving book updates to client code
- Website for monitoring bot status and other exchange information

3.2. Scope of project

Currently my goals would be limited to a service that runs locally on network, not anything that allows users to connect from outside the LAN. Additionally, there may be limitation on the types of activities that could happen on this exchange (most likely just bid/asks that can

be immediate execute or long-standing trade). There most likely won't be support for advanced limit purchases or specific infrastructure to simulate options trading.

4. Timeline:

4.1. Week 1

- 4.1.1. Build backend API on a server
 - Basic POST request for BUY/SELL
 - Basic GET for info such as current price
- 4.1.2. Build barebones Java library for interacting with server
 - Can POST to server
 - Can GET current price

4.2. Week 2

- 4.2.1. Build basic bots for the market
 - Will buy and sell to simulate the ups and downs of the market
 - Statistical distributions to vary from a mean price
- 4.2.2. Add functionality to the client library for interacting with server
 - Immediate buy/sell, along with good 'til canceled
 - Add endpoints for user creation
 - Can subscribe handlers to handle updates
 - For "extra credit", have client code subscribe to updates pushed from server

4.3. Week 3

- 4.3.1. Build website for basic monitoring
 - Bid/Ask spread for single market
 - Current price
- 4.3.2. Expose API endpoint to get access to server data
 - Get the current bid/ask from the server
 - Any additional data that is being stored could be retrieved

4.4. Week 4

- 4.4.1. Enhance Website
 - Live graphs preferable
 - View individual performance
 - Full list of all bids/asks
- 4.4.2. Add more advanced bots to the exchange
 - More accurately model market variation
 - Could employ Markov models or other appropriate techniques

5. Future Enhancements

This project has a large number of possible extensions. They include:

- The ability to pull in actual stock pricing data to practice writing algorithms on normal stock data
- Additional types of bots/algorithms that play on the arbitrage exchange

- Enhanced control/monitoring features for the web interface that would show a real time graph of the stock price and the book depth
- Arbitrage + Other techniques for trading supported

The only question that remains is if IMC would let me make it an open source project. While I am sure for my own private learning and in an academic setting, they would not have a problem with the software. But I may only be able to release it internally for new interns if IMC deems it as a competition threat.

Week 1 Rubric:

Category	Weight	Scoring	Requirement
Basic Preparation	2	0-1	Ready to go at the start of section
Cleverness	2	0-2	The hardest points on the rubric
Code Submission	4	0-2	Submitted correct content on time and to the correct location in the repository
Decomposition	4	0-2	Project is adequately decomposed to different classes and methods
Documentation	4	0-2	Comments for each class and each function are clear and are following style guides
Effort	2	0-2	Perform considerable amount of work
Naming	2	0-2	Variable names and method names are readable and are following the naming conventions of the language you chose
Overall Design	5	0-2.5	Have nice approaches and structures in overall
Participation	5	0-2.5	Interact with the group 2 times (ask a question, make a comment, help answer a question, etc.)
Presentation	4	0-2	Present the code clearly
Requirements – Backend API	5	0-2.5	0 points: Lacks any Backend API progress 1 point: Implements GET or POST requests for transactions, but not both 2 points: Implements both GET and POST requests for transactions 2.5 points: Implements both GET and POST requests for transactions, along with endpoints for getting users
Requirements - Client	5	0-2.5	0 points: Lacks any form of Client code 1 point: Implements GET or POST requests for transactions, but not both

Category	Weight	Scoring	Requirement
			2 points: Implements both GET and POST requests for transactions
			2.5 points: Implements both GET and POST requests for transactions, along with a demo use of the client code
Requirements – Backend Unit Testing	4	0-2	0 points: No unit tests covering Client code 1 point: Minimal testing, does not cover all endpoints 2 points: Testing covers all endpoints, offline
Requirements – Client Unit Testing	4	0-2	0 points: No unit tests covering Client code 1 point: Minimal testing, does not cover all endpoints 2 points: Testing covers all endpoints, offline
Total	52		

Week 2 Rubric

Category	Weight	Scoring	Requirement
Basic Preparation	2	0-1	Ready to go at the start of section
Cleverness	2	0-2	The hardest points on the rubric
Code Submission	4	0-2	Submitted correct content on time and to the correct location in the repository
Decomposition	4	0-2	Project is adequately decomposed to different classes and methods
Documentation	4	0-2	Comments for each class and each function are clear and are following style guides
Effort	2	0-2	Perform considerable amount of work
Naming	2	0-2	Variable names and method names are readable and are following the naming conventions of the language you chose
Overall Design	5	0-2.5	Have nice approaches and structures in overall
Participation	5	0-2.5	Interact with the group 2 times (ask a question, make a comment, help answer a question, etc.)
Presentation	4	0-2	Present the code clearly

Category	Weight	Scoring	Requirement
Requirements – User Creation	4	0-2	0 points: No endpoint for user creation 1 point: Only Client-side or Server-side support for user creation 2 points: Both Client- and Server-side support for user creation
Requirements – Server Push Updates	5	0-2.5	0 points: No messages can be pushed from the server 1 point: Messages can be sent, but not in response to transactions 2 points: Messages can be sent in response to transactions, but only to one user 2.5 points: Messages can be sent asynchronously to all subscribed users in response to transactions
Requirements – Client Subscribe Update Handlers	5	0-2.5	0 points: No messages can be received in the client 1 point: Messages can be received, but no action can be taken on them 2 points: Messages can be received and processed statically in method 2.5 points: Messages can be asynchronously received and can be passed on to subscribed handlers
Requirements – Immediate Transactions	4	0-2	0 points: No immediate transactions can be sent 1 point: Immediate transactions can be sent, but only support matching with a single other transaction 2 points: Immediate transactions can be sent and filled if they can match with any number of corresponding transactions in the database
Requirements – Client bot	4	0-2.5	0 points: No bot exists 1 point: Bot exists and can send a single transaction 2 points: Bot exists and can send transactions in a loop 2.5 points: Bot exists and can intelligently sent transactions with prices based on the historic price momentum.
Requirements – Unit Testing	4	0-2	 0 points: No unit tests covering new features 1 point: Limited testing, does not cover all new endpoints 2 points: Testing covers all endpoints (offline)
Total	60		