

# 1 Largest Ad Gap

**Problem:** Let  $A$  be a sorted (ascending) list of cue points with size  $|A| = m$ , and  $r$  be the number of cue points we aim to remove from this list  $A$ . We define a gap between any pair of consecutive items  $A_i, A_{i+1}$  ( $1 \leq i < m$ ) as the difference  $A_{i+1} - A_i$ .

Let  $O_{m,r}$  be a sorted list of cue points  $|O_{m,r}| = m - r$ ,  $O_{m,r} \subset A$  such that the smallest gap in  $O_{m,r}$  is maximized.

Write an algorithm that returns the value  $D(m, r)$ , the minimum gap between any pair of consecutive numbers in  $O_{m,r}$ . That is, write an algorithm which selects the optimal  $r$  elements to remove from  $A$  in order to the maximize the smallest gap in the resulting list  $O_{m,r}$ .

**Note:** Notice that here we aim to return the minimum gap between each consecutive pair in  $O_{m,r}$ , and not the set  $O_{m,r}$  itself. We assert that given a dynamic programming table  $D$  (as described below), the polynomial time method of tracing can be employed to find  $O_{m,r}$ . Other methods (e.g. storing the optimal sub-list  $O_{n,k}$  at each step) may also be used.

We aim to solve this problem by method of dynamic programming table (i.e. a memoization, or caching solution). Let  $D$  be a dynamic programming table of size  $m \times r$ . We aim to fill the table  $D$  such that each value of the table,  $D(n, k)$ , is an optimal solution for  $0 \leq n \leq m$  and  $0 \leq k \leq r$ . In other words, each  $D(n, k)$  is largest minimum gap formed by removing  $k$  elements from the list  $A_1 \dots A_n$ .

First, let us consider the various trivial solutions for specific pairs  $n, k$ .

1. Consider the case where, after removing  $k$  elements from  $A$  there is only a single element remaining in the resulting list,  $|A'| = 1$ . If there is only a single element in the resulting list, we consider the list trivially optimal, and denote it with  $\infty$ . That is,

$$\forall n, k \text{ such that } n - k \leq 1, \quad D(n, k) = \infty \quad (1)$$

This fact allows us to fill in the cells of our dynamic programming table  $D$  which fall on and above its diagonal.

2. Next, consider the trivial case where  $k = 0$ . That is, the case where there are no elements to remove from  $A$ . When  $k = 0$  and  $n = 2$ , we define the maximum gap between consecutive elements in  $A$ , as

$$D(2, 0) = A_2 - A_1 \quad (2)$$

From here we can build on the preceding equation by noting that

$$\forall n > 2 \text{ such that } k = 0, \quad D(n, 0) = \min(A_n - A_{n-1}, D(n-1, 0)) \quad (3)$$

This new fact, coupled with equation (2) allows us to inductively fill in the leftmost column of  $D$ , which is of the form  $D(n, 0)$ .

Now we will derive an equation to find the value of  $D(n, k)$  for all remaining  $n, k$ .

Recall that  $D(n, k)$  is the optimal solution of the subproblem which considers only the first  $n$  items of  $A$  and removes only  $k$  elements from that subarray (where  $n \leq m, k \leq r$ ).

First, we assert that the  $n$ th element of  $A$  is always present in the optimal solution list  $O_{n,k}$  (that is,  $A_n$  will *not* be removed). To show this, consider the following two cases, one of which must be true for the new element  $A_n$ :

1.  $A_n$  and the preceding element  $A_{n-1}$  form the smallest gap in  $O_{n,k}$  (i.e.  $A_n - A_{n-1} = D(n, k)$ ). In this case, this minimum gap may be removed by eliminating the element  $A_n$ , but a gap of larger or equal value may *always* be produced by removing the preceding element  $A_{n-1}$ . This is because, since  $A$  is sorted,  $A_n - A_{n-2} \geq A_n - A_{n-1}$ .
2.  $A_n$  and the preceding element  $A_{n-1}$  **do not** form the smallest gap in  $A$ . If this is the case, then  $A_n$  is trivially in  $O_{n,k}$ , since it would be better to remove an element in the smallest gap of  $A$ .

Next, we form the following injunction:

For any list of size  $n$  the largest gap of  $A_1 \dots A_n$  after removing  $k$  elements,  $D(n, k)$ , must be the largest of:

- $\min(A_n - A_{n-1}, D(n-1, k))$
- $\min(A_n - A_{n-2}, D(n-2, k-1))$
- $\min(A_n - A_{n-3}, D(n-3, k-2))$

- ...
- $\min(A_n - A_{n-(k+1)}, D(n - (k + 1), k - k))$

Using mathematical notation, we may re-write the above as,

$$D(n, k) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq k} (\min(A_n - A_{n-(i+1)}, D(n - (i + 1), k - i))) \quad (4)$$

**Explanation:** Consider the list  $A_1 \dots A_{n-1}$ , which has an optimal solution  $D(n - 1, k)$  formed by calculating the minimum gap in  $O_{n-1, k}$ . Now observe the following: upon adding the newly revealed value  $A_n$  to the end of the list  $O_{n-1, k}$ , one of the two following cases **must** be satisfied. Either,

- The new item  $A_n$  forms the new minimum gap of the list  $A_1 \dots A_n$ . That is, the minimum gap is  $A_n - A_{n-(i+1)}$  for some  $i$  such that  $0 \leq i \leq k$ .
- The new item  $A_n$  **does not** form the new minimum gap of  $A_1 \dots A_n$ . That is, the minimum gap of  $A_1 \dots A_n$  is  $D(n - (i + 1), k - i)$  for some  $i$  such that  $0 \leq i \leq k$ .

So, to find the largest minimum gap, we take the largest of the above cases for all valid  $i$ , as denoted in (4).

The runtime of the above algorithm is  $O(m \cdot r^2)$ , since iterating through the table  $D$  takes  $O(m \cdot r)$  time, and each iterative step takes  $O(r)$  time.