

Multiple Regression

Advanced Psychological Research Methods

Dr Christopher Wilson



Questions from last week's session?

Submit your attendance

Attendance code: 9456



<http://bit.ly/APRM22>

Note from last week:

What do we do if our assumptions are violated?

- Normality: transformation or bootstrapping
- Linearity: Consider alternatives such as non-linear regression or polynomial approaches
- Homogeneity of variance or influential cases: Robust regression can reduce standard errors

Overview

- What is multiple regression?
- Assumptions of multiple regression
- Sample size in regression
- Using categorical predictors in R
- Testing all predictors at once
 - Interpreting the output of Multiple Regression
- Hierarchical regression
- Stepwise regression

What is multiple regression?

- An extension of simple regression
- Same format as simple regression but adding each predictor:

$$Y = b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_0$$

(The constant can be referred to in the equation as **c** or **b0**)

What are the assumptions of Multiple Regression?

- They are primarily the same as simple regression
- The additional assumption of no **multicollinearity** (due to having multiple predictors)
 - i.e. predictors should not be highly correlated

What is multicollinearity?

- Multicollinearity = predictors correlated highly with each other.
- This is not good because:
 - It makes it difficult to determine the role of individual predictors
 - Increases the error of the model (higher standard errors)
 - Difficult to identify significant predictors - wider confidence interval

Testing multicollinearity

```
1 ## use the mctest package
2
3 library(mctest)
4
5 m1 <- lm(aggression_level ~ treatment_group + treatment_duration + trust_score, data=regressi
6
7 mctest(m1)
```

Call:
omcdiag(mod = mod, Inter = TRUE, detr = detr, red = red, conf = conf,
 theil = theil, cn = cn)

Overall Multicollinearity Diagnostics

	MC Results	detection
Determinant $ X'X $:	0.9229	0
Farrar Chi-Square:	7.7960	0
Red Indicator:	0.1547	0
Sum of Lambda Inverse:	3.1728	0
Theil's Method:	-0.8800	0
Condition Number:	13.6549	0

- The format of *mctest()* is:

`mctest(model)`

What to do if multicollinearity exists:

- Remove some of the highly correlated predictors
- Linearly combine some predictors.
- Perform an analysis designed for highly correlated variables (e.g. PCA or partial least squares regression)

Sample size for multiple regression

- Is based on the number of predictors
- More predictors = more participants needed
- **Do a power analysis**
- Loose “rule of thumb” = 10-15 participants per predictor

Approaches to multiple regression: All predictors at once #1

Research question: Do a client's treatment duration and treatment group predict aggression level?

```
1 model1 <- lm(data = regression_data, aggression_level ~ treatment_duration + treatment_group)
```

- Here we are including all of the predictors at the same time
- Note that we are using a plus sign + between each predictor
 - This means that no interactions will be tested

Using categorical predictors in R

- Treatment group is a categorical (also called “nominal” or “factor”) variable
- No special “dummy coding” is required in R to use categorical predictors in regression
- R will use the first group as the reference category and test whether being in another group shows a significant difference
- R chooses the reference group based on numerical value or alphabetical order
- If you want you can change the reference category or “force” it using the `relevel` function:

```
1 regression_data$treatment_group <- relevel(regression_data$treatment_group, ref = "therapy1")
```

Reviewing the output

```
1 summary(model1)
```

Call:
lm(formula = aggression_level ~ treatment_duration + treatment_group,
 data = regression_data)

Residuals:

	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-2.9468	-1.1104	0.0205	0.9621	3.4481

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	
(Intercept)	11.58713	0.77331	14.984	< 2e-16	***
treatment_duration	-0.66024	0.07119	-9.274	4.96e-15	***
treatment_grouptherapy2	0.85032	0.30449	2.793	0.0063	**

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1



Interpreting the output

- Multiple R^2 = Total variance in outcome that is explained by the model
- p-value = Statistical significance of the model
- Coefficients = Contribution of each predictor to the model
 - Pr = Significance of the individual predictor
 - Estimate = Change in the outcome level that occurs when the predictor increases by 1 unit of measurement

Approaches to multiple regression: All predictors at once #2

Research questions: - Do a client's treatment duration and treatment group predict aggression level - Do the predictors interact?

```
1 model2 <- lm(data = regression_data, aggression_level ~ treatment_duration * treatment_group)
```

- Here we are including all of the predictors at the same time
- Note that we are using an asterisk * between each predictor
 - This means that interactions will be tested

Reviewing the output

```
1 summary(model2) %>% coefficients
```

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value
(Intercept)	12.3529190	1.1006127	11.2236751
treatment_duration	-0.7334435	0.1033086	-7.0995381
treatment_grouptherapy2	-0.5615517	1.4753596	-0.3806202
treatment_duration:treatment_grouptherapy2	0.1394649	0.1425977	0.9780305

	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	3.599000e-19
treatment_duration	2.166226e-10
treatment_grouptherapy2	7.043260e-01
treatment_duration:treatment_grouptherapy2	3.305175e-01

- We get additional information in the coefficients table about the interaction between variables
 - e.g. does the interaction between level of trust and treatment duration predict the outcome (aggression level)?
- We can see from the output that none of the interactions are significant

Hierarchical multiple regression: Theory driven “blocks” of variables

- It might be the case that we have previous research or theory to guide how we run the analysis
- For example, we might know that treatment duration and therapy group are likely to predict the outcome
- We might want to check whether client’s level of trust in the clinician has any **additional** impact on our ability to predict the outcome (aggression level)

Hierarchical multiple regression: Theory driven “blocks” of variables

- To do this, we run three regression models
 - Model 1: treatment duration and therapy group
 - Model 2: treatment duration and therapy group and trust score
- We then compare the two regression models to see if:
 - Model 2 is better than Model 1

Hierarchical multiple regression: Running and comparing 2 models

```
1 ## run regression using the same method as above
2 model1 <- lm(data = regression_data, aggression_level ~ treatment_duration + treatment_group)
3 model2 <- lm(data = regression_data, aggression_level ~ treatment_duration + treatment_group
4
5 ## use the aov() command to compare the models
6 anova(model1,model2)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

```
Model 1: aggression_level ~ treatment_duration + treatment_group
Model 2: aggression_level ~ treatment_duration + treatment_group + trust_score
  Res.Df    RSS Df Sum of Sq    F    Pr(>F)
1      97
2      96 217.86  1    0.399 0.1757    0.676
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

- We can see that:
 - Model 2 (treatment duration, treatment group and trust score) shows no significant change compared to Model 1

Stepwise multiple regression: computational selection of predictors

- Stepwise multiple regression is controversial because:
 - The computer selects which predictors to include based on Akaike information criterion (AIC)
 - This is a calculation of the quality of statistical models when they are compared to each other

What's the problem?

- This selection is not based on any underlying theory or understanding of the real-life relationship between the variables

Stepwise multiple regression: loading the MASS package and run the full model

1. install and load the MASS package
2. run a regression model with all of the variables
3. use the *stepAIC()* command on the full model to run stepwise regression
4. View the best model

```
1 library(MASS)
2
3 # Run the full model
4 full.model <- lm(data = regression_data, aggression_level ~ treatment_duration + treatment_group)
```

Stepwise multiple regression: Use stepAIC() with options

- **Trace** (*TRUE* or *FALSE*): do we want to see the steps that were involved in selecting the best model ?
- **Direction** (*“forward”*, *“backward”* or *“both”*):
 - start with no variables and add them (*forward*)
 - start with all variables and subtract them (*backward*)
 - use both approaches (*both*)

```
1 # Run stepwise
2 step.model <- stepAIC(full.model, direction = "both", trace = TRUE)
```

```
Start:  AIC=85.87
aggression_level ~ treatment_duration + treatment_group + trust_score
```

	Df	Sum of Sq	RSS	AIC
- trust_score	1	0.399	218.26	84.052
<none>			217.86	85.869
- treatment_group	1	17.877	235.74	91.755
- treatment_duration	1	188.709	406.57	146.259

```
Step:  AIC=84.05
```


aggression_level ~ treatment_duration + treatment_group

	Df	Sum of Sq	RSS	AIC
<none>			218.26	84.052
+ trust_score	1	0.399	217.86	85.869



Stepwise multiple regression: Display the best model

1. install and load the MASS package
2. run a regression model with all of the variables
3. use the *stepAIC()* command on the full model to run stepwise regression
4. View best model

```
1 #view the stepwise output
2 summary(step.model)
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = aggression_level ~ treatment_duration + treatment_group,
    data = regression_data)
```

Residuals:

	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-2.9468	-1.1104	0.0205	0.9621	3.4481

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	
(Intercept)	11.58713	0.77331	14.984	< 2e-16	***
treatment_duration	-0.66024	0.07119	-9.274	4.96e-15	***
treatment_grouptherapy2	0.85032	0.30449	2.793	0.0063	**

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1					

Summary

- Multiple regression is an extension of simple regression
- We need to check the same assumptions + multicollinearity
- When entering multiple predictors:
 - Hierarchical: we have a theoretical basis for the models
 - Stepwise: the computer selects the best model
- Comparing multiple models using Akaike information criterion (AIC)

Questions?

Submit your attendance

Attendance code: 9456



<http://bit.ly/APRM22>