# STA9690 - Midterm

Christopher Lang November 2, 2017

## Question 1

### Part A

Prove that  $E[y] = X\beta^*$  and  $Cov[y] = \sigma^2 I$ 

We know the following:

- $E[\epsilon] = 0$   $Cov[\epsilon] = \sigma^2 I$

Using the distributive property of the **expectation operator** we define the following:

$$y = X\beta^* + \epsilon$$
 
$$E[y] = E[X\beta^*] + E[\epsilon]$$
 
$$E[y] = E[X\beta^*] + 0$$
 
$$E[y] = E[X\beta^*]$$

X is a fixed quantity, while  $\beta^*$  is a known quantity that does not vary (though we don't know what the value is, we know it is a population parameter). If so, they are both constant values (constant matrix, constant vector, respectively), and by the expectation property of E[a] = a we have the following:

$$E[y] = X\beta^*$$

Similarly, to find Cov[y] we note that the covariance of a single random variable is the same as the variance of that random variable by the property of covariance:

$$Cov[Y] = \sigma_Y^2$$

Since we are only looking at a single random variable y, the covariance operator is equivalent to the variance operator. Therefore, all of the variance operator is applicable:

$$Cov[Y] = Cov[X\beta^* + \epsilon]$$

Since both X and  $\beta^*$  are constants, they are dropped by the variance operator:

$$Cov[Y] = Cov[\epsilon]$$

And by the known definition of  $Cov[\epsilon] = \sigma^2 I$ 

$$Cov[Y] = \sigma^2 I$$

### Part B

## We know the following:

- $v \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is a vector such that Xv = 0
- $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  is a  $n \times p$  real matrix of predictor variables

Let the minimizer of  $\hat{\beta} + c \cdot v$  be a solution to the least squares problem. Then:

$$y - X\beta = y - X(\hat{\beta} + c \cdot v) = y - X\hat{\beta} - Xc \cdot v = y - X\hat{\beta} - c \cdot Xv$$

Since we know that Xv = 0 then we know that:

$$y - X\hat{\beta} - c \cdot Xv = y - X\hat{\beta} - c \cdot 0$$

So regardless of the value of c, the term  $c \cdot 0 = 0$ . So:

$$y - X\hat{\beta} - c \cdot Xv = y - X\hat{\beta}$$

Hence:

$$(\hat{\beta} + c \cdot v) = argmin_{\beta} ||y - X\beta||_2^2$$

In summary, the minimizer  $\hat{\beta} + c \cdot v$  is equivalent to the minimizer  $\hat{beta}$  if Xv = 0

### Part C

If the matrix X has completely linear independent columns, than the matrix is full column rank and has a unique solution for v. That solution is v = 0

## Part D

If p > n then the matrix Xv = 0 is an underdetermined homogeneous linear system, with a trivial solution of v = 0 and **infinitely many non-trivial solutions**. Therefore:

- There will be infinitely many vectors  $v \neq 0$  for the homogeneous system Xv = 0
- For the minimizer  $\hat{\beta} + c \cdot v$  in part b, vector v has infinitely many non-trivial solutions, which also means that there will be infinitely many regression coefficient estimates that are minimizers to the least squares problems, regardless of the value of c

If there are infinitely many regression estimates that is not unique, we can expect that some of them to have have their sign flipped since we can change the value of c

For example, solutions  $\hat{\beta}_i$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_i - 6v$ , and  $\hat{\beta}_i + 6v$  are all valid solutions under part b, yet their signs (and values) and changing

This is not useful in regression. The coefficient's value and sign are often used for insight generation:

- We often want to know the direction of contribution each variables has (positive/negative sign)
- We often want to know the magnitude of the contribution each variables has (the total value)

If these are not unique, then the model is not usable in most use cases

## Part E

Let:

• 
$$argmin||y - X\beta||^2 + \lambda ||\beta||^2 = (y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta) + \lambda \beta^2$$