## Introduction to the Theory of Statistics Part 2 PM522b

#### Meredith Franklin

Division of Biostatistics, University of Southern California

Slides 5, 2015

M. Franklin (USC)

## Topics covered

- Hypothesis Testing
- 2 Confidence Intervals (interval estimation)

- ullet We now turn to hypothesis testing whereby we form a statement about a parameter heta and then perform a statistical test to determine the correctness of the statement
- Hypothesis testing is similar to the scientific method: a scientist formulates a theory and then tests this theory against observation
- In statistics we pose a theory concerning one or more population parameters (i.e. that they equal specified values), we then sample the population and compare our observations with our posed theory. If the observations disagree with the theory then we reject it.

M. Franklin (USC)

#### Null and Alternative Hypotheses

- In parametric inference, a statistical hypothesis is a statement concerning an unknown parameter for the population distribution  $f(x|\theta), x \in R$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$
- $\bullet$  The statistical hypothesis is a statement about  $\theta$  and the testing aims to prove its correctness
- The hypothesis specifies that  $\theta$  belongs to some subset of  $\Theta$ . We define  $\Theta_0 \subseteq \Theta$  and  $\Theta_1 \subseteq \Theta$  with  $\Theta_0 \cap \Theta_1 = 0$
- The statement that  $\theta \in \Theta_0$  is denoted by  $H_0$  and is a statistical hypothesis that invalidates (nullifies) the statement under investigation. This is the null hypothesis.
- The statement that  $\theta \in \Theta_1$  is denoted by  $H_1$  and is the statistical hypothesis under investigation. This is the alternative hypothesis.
- In practice, after observing a sample it must be decided whether to accept (fail to reject)  $H_0$  or to reject  $H_0$  and decide  $H_1$  is true
- ullet The alternative hypothesis  $H_1$  is usually taken to be the negation of  $H_0$

M. Franklin (USC) PM522b Slides 5, 2015 4 / 50

4日 > 4周 > 4 至 > 4 至 >

#### Simple and Composite Hypotheses

- A hypothesis  $H_i: \theta \in \Theta_i, i = 0, 1$  is called *simple* if the subset  $\Theta_i$  contains only one element,  $\Theta_i = \{\theta_i\}$
- Under a simple hypothesis,  $H_i: \theta = \theta_i$ , the population distribution  $f(x|\theta_i), x \in R$  is completely specified
- A hypothesis  $H_i: \theta \in \Theta_i, i = 0, 1$  is called *composite* in  $\Theta_i$  contains more than one element
- Under a composite hypothesis,  $H_i: \theta = \theta_i$ , the population distribution belongs to a family of distribution  $f(x|\theta_i), x \in R, \theta \in \Theta_i, i = 0, 1$
- There are two popular forms of composite hypotheses:
  - one-sided where they take the form  $H_0: \theta < \theta_0$  or  $H_0: \theta > \theta_0$  for the null hypothesis and subsequently  $H_1: \theta > \theta_1$  or  $H_1: \theta < \theta_1$  for the null hypothesis
  - two-sided where they take the form  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  for the null hypothesis and subsequently  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$  for the null hypothesis

PM522h

◆□ → ◆圖 → ◆臺 → ◆臺 → □ M. Franklin (USC)

Slides 5, 2015

5 / 50

#### Critical and Acceptance Regions

- A statistical test of the null hypothesis  $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$  is a procedure by which, using the observed values  $x_1,...,x_n$  of a random sample  $X_1,...,X_n$ , we come to the decision to reject  $H_0$  and accepting  $H_1$  OR accepting  $H_0$  (not rejecting  $H_0$ )
- The subset of the sample space for which H<sub>0</sub> will be rejected is called the rejection or critical region, C
- The compliment to the critical region is the acceptance region, A
- We need a test statistic  $T(X_1,...,X_n)$  that partitions (or maps)  $x_1,...,x_n$  into these two subset regions C and A
- For example, a simple test could be that if  $T(X_1,...,X_n)=1$  then we reject  $H_0$ , thus  $C=\{(x_1,...,x_n): T(x_1,...,x_n)=1\}$  is our critical region
- Another example, we could define a test where the critical region is  $C = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) : \bar{x} > 0\}$

◆ロト ◆団 ト ◆草 ト ◆草 ト ・草 ・ 釣 Q (\*)

#### Type I and Type II errors

- A statistical test of the null hypothesis  $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$  against an alternative hypothesis  $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1$  leads to either a correct decision or one of the following errors:
  - Type I error = rejecting  $H_0$  when it is true
  - Type II error = accepting  $H_0$  when it is false

	$H_0$ is accepted	$H_0$ is rejected
$H_0$ is true	correct decision	type I error
$H_0$ is false	type II error	correct decision

#### Type I and Type II errors

• The probability of *rejecting* the null hypothesis,  $H_0$ :

$$P[(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) \in C | \theta] = \beta(\theta), \theta \in \Theta$$

• The probability of *failing to reject* the null hypothesis,  $H_0$ :

$$P[(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) \in A|\theta] = 1 - P[(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) \in C|\theta]$$
  
= 1 - \beta(\theta), \theta \in \Theta

- The probability  $\beta(\theta)$  depends on the value of the parameter of the population distribution  $f(x|\theta)$  and on the sample size n and critical region C
- The probabilities of type I and type II errors are defined by restricting the parameter  $\theta$  to the subsets of  $\Theta$ ,  $\Theta_0$  and  $\Theta_1$
- $\beta(\theta) = P[(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) \in C|\theta], \theta \in \Theta_0$  is the probability of type I error, i.e. rejecting  $H_0$  given that  $H_0$  is correct
- $1 \beta(\theta) = P[(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) \in A|\theta], \theta \in \Theta_1$  is the probability of type II error, i.e. of accepting (not rejecting)  $H_0$  given that  $H_1$  is correct

M. Franklin (USC) PM522b Slides 5, 2015 8 / 50

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆□ > ◆□ > □ □

Power of a test (power function)

- $\beta(\theta) = P[(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) \in C|\theta], \theta \in \Theta_1$  is the probability of rejecting  $H_0$  given that  $H_1$  is correct, and this is the correct decision of rejecting  $H_0$
- The function  $\alpha(\theta)$  is called the **power** function of the test and its value at a specific point  $\theta = \theta_1 \in \Theta_1$  is the **power of the test** at  $\theta_1$
- The ideal power function is 0 for all  $\theta \in \Theta_0$  and 1 for all  $\theta \in \Theta_1$
- In a statistical test, it is desirable to keep the probabilities of Type I and Type II errors small. In searching for a good test, commonly the tests are restricted to control the Type I error probability at a specified level. Then within this class of tests, we search for those that have the smallest Type I error probability
- In controlling Type I error probabilities we have:
  - The size of a test, defined as  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \alpha(\theta) = \alpha$  for  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$
  - The **level** of a test, defined as  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \alpha(\theta) \leq \alpha$  for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$

4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 990

#### Significance Level and Most Powerful Test

• In testing a statistical hypothesis, a significance level  $\alpha$  with  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$  is taken among the tests satisfying

$$\alpha(\theta) \leq \alpha \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta_0$$

- The test that minimizes the probability of Type II error  $1 \alpha(\theta)$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta_1$ , or equivalently that maximizes the power  $\alpha(\theta)$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta_1$  is chosen
- If the set  $\Theta_1$  contains one point,  $\Theta_1 = \{\theta_1\}$ , then the test is called the *most powerful test*
- If the set  $\Theta_1$  contains more than one point then the test is called the uniformly most powerful test (UMP)
- Usually significance level is chosen to be  $\alpha \leq$  0.05, but a more conservative significance level is  $\alpha \leq$  0.01

◆ロト ◆部 ト ◆ 恵 ト ◆ 恵 ・ 夕 Q ○

Slides 5, 2015

10 / 50

M. Franklin (USC) PM522b

#### p-Values

- It has become standard practice to report the **size** of the test,  $\alpha$  used in the decision to reject or accept  $H_0$  as it carries important information:
  - If  $\alpha$  is small, the decision to reject  $H_0$  is convincing (giving evidence that  $H_1$  is true)
  - If  $\alpha$  is large (usually larger than 0.05), the decision to reject  $H_0$  is not very convincing because the hypothesis test has a large probability of incorrectly making that decision
- For a sample  $x_1, ..., x_n$ , a p-value is a test statistic satisfying  $0 \le p(x) \le 1$ , a p-value p(X) is valid if

$$P(p(X) \le \alpha) \le \alpha$$

• The general approach for defining a valid p-value is to determine a test statistic T(X) such that large values of T(x) (the observed value of the test statistic) give evidence that  $H_1$  is true

$$p(x) = \sum_{\theta \in \Theta_0} P(T(X) \ge T(x))$$

◆ロト ◆部ト ◆意ト ◆意ト 意 めなべ

11 / 50

This method of hypothesis testing is related to maximum likelihood estimators.

• The generalized LRT is formulated as the ratio of the maximum probability of the observed sample being computed over the parameters in the null hypothesis,  $H_0$  to the maximum probability of the observed sample over *all* possible parameters in  $\Theta$ .

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{\sup\limits_{\Theta_0} L(\theta|x)}{\sup\limits_{\Theta} L(\theta|x)}$$

 $\lambda(x)$  is the likelihood ratio test statistic.

• Consider testing the null  $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$  against the alternative  $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1$ . In terms of a simple hypothesis,  $\Theta_0 = \{\theta_0\}$  and  $\Theta_1 = \{\theta_1\}$ . The LRT statistic for this test is:

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{L(\theta_0|x)}{L(\theta_1|x)}$$

The test for these statistics is defined by

$$c = \{x : \lambda(x) \le k\}$$
 for  $0 \le k \le 1$ 



M. Franklin (USC) PM522b Slides 5, 2015 12 / 50

#### Unrestricted and restricted maximization

- $\hat{\theta}$  is the MLE of  $\theta,$  obtained by doing a maximization over all possible parameters  $\Theta$
- $\hat{\theta}_0$  can also be an MLE of  $\theta$ , but obtained by doing a maximization over the restricted parameter space  $\Theta_0$
- That means  $\hat{\theta}_0 = \hat{\theta}_0(x)$  is the value of the parameter  $\theta \in \Theta_0$  that maximizes the likelihood  $L(\theta|x)$ . In this case, the LRT is

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{L(\hat{\theta}_0|x)}{L(\hat{\theta}|x)}$$
$$= \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L(\theta|x_1, ..., x_n)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta|x_1, ..., x_n)}$$

◆ロト ◆部ト ◆差ト ◆差ト 差 めなべ

M. Franklin (USC)

The critical region for the LRT statistic is defined by:

$$C = \{x_1, ..., x_n : \lambda(x) \leq k\}$$

where k is a constant between 0 and 1 and will be for a given significance level  $\alpha$  when it is chosen to satisfy:

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \{ P[\lambda(x) \le k_\alpha | \theta \in \Theta_0] \} = \alpha$$

• We can also write the critical function in terms of  $-2 \log \lambda(x)$  since it is a decreasing function.

$$C = \{x_1, ..., x_n : -2 \log \lambda(x) \ge k\}$$
 and writing  $\Lambda(x) = -2 \log \lambda(x) = 2[\log L(\hat{\theta}|x) - \log L(\theta_0|x)]$  
$$C = \{x_1, ..., x_n : \Lambda(x) \ge k\}$$

4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 4□

M. Franklin (USC) PM522b Slides 5, 2015 14 / 50

Example: LRT of normal distribution

Testing the mean of  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is known, namely  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  against  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ .  $\mu_0$  is a number fixed by the experimenter before doing the experiment.

$$L(\mu|x_1,...,x_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2]$$

$$\sup_{\mu \in \{\mu_0\}} L(\mu|x_1,...,x_n) = L(\mu_0|x_1,...,x_n)$$

$$\sup_{\mu \in \Theta} L(\mu|x_1,...,x_n) = L(\hat{\mu}|x_1,...,x_n)$$

where  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}$  is the MLE of  $\mu$ 

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{L(\mu_0|x_1, ..., x_n)}{L(\hat{\mu}|x_1, ..., x_n)} = \frac{\exp[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2]}{\exp[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2]}$$

#### Example: LRT of normal distribution con't

$$\Lambda(x) = -2\log \lambda(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2 - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

and noting that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu_0)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2$$

the LRT statistic becomes

$$\frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{\sigma^2} = \left(\frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right)^2$$

Because  $\frac{\bar{x}-\theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$  is a standard normal random variable, the above  $\Lambda(x)$  is the square of two standard normals which is a  $\chi_1^2$  random variable.

M. Franklin (USC) PM522b Slides 5, 2015 16 / 50

#### Example: LRT of normal distribution con't

$$\left(\frac{\bar{x}-\theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right)^2$$
 and  $\left|\frac{\bar{x}-\theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right|$ 

Both are test statistics, with the first being the square of the critical value for the second. The critical region in terms of the second is:

$$C = \{x_1, ..., x_n : \left| \frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \right| \ge k \}$$

where k is a constant for a given significance level  $\alpha$ , determined by

$$P(\left|\frac{\bar{x}-\theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right| \ge k|\mu=\mu_0) = \alpha$$

Since  $\frac{\bar{x}-\theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$  is a standard normal random variable we have a two-sided z-test. The test is to reject  $H_0$  if  $\frac{\bar{x}-\theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \geq z_{\alpha/2}$ .

# Neyman-Pearson Lemma

This Lemma allows us to find test of a given size  $\alpha$  with the largest power (it is a most powerful test) and formulated around the simple hypothesis testing  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$  where  $\theta_0 \neq \theta_1$ .

#### Neyman-Pearson Lemma

Let  $X_1,...,X_n$  be a random sample from a distribution with parameter  $\theta$ , where  $\theta \in \Theta = \{\theta_0,\theta_1\}$ . Consider testing  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$  where  $\theta_0 \neq \theta_1$ ; the pdf or pmf corresponding to  $\theta_i, i = 0,1$  is  $f(x|\theta_i)$  and corresponding likelihood function is  $L(\theta_i|x)$ .

If there exists a test at significance level  $\alpha$  such that for some positive constant k,

$$\frac{L(\theta_0|x)}{L(\theta_1|x)} \leq k \text{ for each } x \in C_1 \text{ (inside the critical region)}$$

$$\frac{L(\theta_0|x)}{L(\theta_1|x)} \geq k \text{ for each } x \in C_0 \text{ (outside the critical region)}$$

then this is the most powerful test at significance level  $\alpha$  for testing the null against alternative hypothesis,  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$ .

M. Franklin (USC) PM522b Slides 5, 2015 18 / 50

## Neyman-Pearson Lemma

The Neyman-Pearson lemma gives us the most powerful test of size  $\alpha$  for  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$ .

#### Proof Neyman-Pearson Lemma

For a continuous random variable, let C be the critical region of size  $\alpha$  and A be another region of size  $\alpha$ , both fitting the conditions of the Neyman-Pearson Lemma. Also, let  $\int \cdots \int L(\theta|x_1,...,x_n)dx_1,...,dx_n$  be represented by  $\int L(\theta)$  (integrated over a region).

Slides 5

# Uniformly Most Powerful Tests

The Neyman-Pearson lemma gives us the most powerful test for a simple null hypothesis against a simple alternative hypothesis. It can be extended for composite alternative hypotheses by ensuring each simple alternative is accounted for.

### Uniformly Most Powerful Test (UMP)

For a continuous random variable, let C be the critical region of size  $\alpha$ . A test is the uniformly most powerful if it is a most powerful test against each simple alternative in the alternative (composite) hypothesis.

The critical region is called the most powerful critical region of size  $\alpha$ .

M. Franklin (USC)

20 / 50

### Monotone Likelihood Ratio

We defined the Uniformly Most Powerful test, but we must state when it exists.

For 
$$X_1,...,X_n$$
 with likelihood function  $L(\theta|X) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i|\theta)$  we define:

#### Monotone Likelihood Ratio Property

The family (or set) of distributions has Monotone Likelihood Ratio (MLR) if we can represent the likelihood ratio as

$$\frac{L(\theta_1|X)}{L(\theta_2|X)} = f(T(X), \theta_1, \theta_2) \text{ for } \theta_1 > \theta_2$$

Where the function  $f(T(X), \theta_1, \theta_2)$  is strictly increasing in T(X)

◆ロト ◆問 ト ◆差 ト ◆差 ト ・ 差 ・ 夕久で

## Karlin-Rubin Theorem

### Interval Estimates

- We have examined point estimators of unknown distribution parameters  $\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_n$  using MLE, numerical methods for MLE and MOM
- We have assessed our estimates by examining sufficiency, their bias, MSE, and MVUE.
- Even if we have minimized the squared error or have an minimum variance unbiased estimate, we have no idea if our parameter lies in an acceptable range (and where the parameter lies in that range).
- Interval estimates are calculated with our sample measurements and are two numbers that define endpoints.
- Ideally the interval has two properties: that it contains the target parameter  $\theta$  and that it is relatively narrow. We want the interval to have high probability of containing  $\theta$ .

### Interval Estimates

#### Definition: Interval Estimator

Given a random sample  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ , an interval estimate of an unknown parameter  $\theta$  from probability distribution function  $f(x|\theta)$  is any pair of functions  $L(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$  and  $U(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$  that satisfy  $L(X) \leq U(X)$ . L(x) and U(x) are the lower and upper limits of the interval, respectively.

When  $X = x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  is observed, the inference  $L(x) \le \theta \le U(x)$  is made. [L(x), U(x)] is the interval estimator.

# Coverage Probability

#### Definition: Coverage probability

For an interval estimator [L(x), U(x)], the probability that the interval contains the true parameter  $\theta$  is defined by  $P(\theta \in [L(x), U(x)]|\theta)$ 

#### Concept

Given a random sample  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , we estimate  $\mu$ , the expected value, with  $\bar{X}$ 

However, there will be some estimation error between  $\bar{X}$  and  $\mu$ , as the probability of our estimate being exactly correct  $P(\bar{X}=\mu)$  is 0. So it is more appropriate to define a range of values around  $\bar{X}$  that has high probability of containing  $\mu$  We say that the probability of  $\mu$  is covered by the interval  $\bar{X}\pm c$  via:

$$P(\bar{X}-c\leq\mu\leq\bar{X}+c)$$



25 / 50

# Coverage Probability

## Example (CB 9.1.3)

Given a random sample  $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \sim N(\mu, 1)$ , we estimate  $\mu$ , the expected value, with  $\bar{X}$ 

We have confidence interval  $[\bar{X}-1,\bar{X}+1]$ , and the probability that  $\mu$  is covered by this interval is:

$$P(\mu \in [\bar{X} - 1, \bar{X} + 1]) = P(\bar{X} - 1 \le \mu \le \bar{X} + 1)$$

$$= P(-1 \le \bar{X} - \mu \le 1)$$

$$= P(-2 \le \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sqrt{1/4}} \le 2)$$

$$= P(-2 \le Z \le 2)$$

$$= 0.9544$$

Here, Z is the standard normal. And given these endpoints, we have over a 95% chance of covering the unknown  $\mu$  with our interval estimator.

M. Franklin (USC) PM522b Slides 5, 2015 26 / 50

4日 > 4回 > 4 至 > 4 至 >

# Coverage Probability and Confidence Coefficients

For an interval estimator of a parameter  $\theta$ , [L(x), U(x)], the confidence coefficient of [L(x), U(x)] is the infimum of the coverage probabilities,  $\inf_{\theta} P_{\theta}(\theta \in [L(x), U(x)])$ 

Example (CB 9.1.6)

Example done in class

### Confidence Intervals

- Interval estimators, in combination with a measure of confidence (via a confidence coefficient) are referred to as confidence intervals.
- $P(\theta \in [L(x), U(x)]|\theta) = 1 \alpha$ , where  $1 \alpha$  is the confidence coefficient
- The two primary methods for finding confidence intervals are by inverting a test statistic and the pivotal method.

M. Franklin (USC)

There is a strong relationship between hypothesis testing and confidence intervals in that a confidence interval can be obtained by inverting a hypothesis test (and vice versa). Specifically, the  $1-\alpha$  confidence interval is obtained by inverting the acceptance region of the  $\alpha\text{-level}$  test.

In general, under  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ ,

$$A(\theta_0) = \{ \mathbf{x} : \text{the test accepts } H_0 : \theta = \theta_0 \}$$

Where  $A(\theta_0)$  is the acceptance region. Defining the 1- $\alpha$  confidence set  $C(X_1,...,X_n)$ :

$$C(X_1,...,X_n) = \{\theta_0 : \mathbf{x} \in A(\theta_0)\}$$

The confidence interval is the set of all parameters for which the hypothesis would have accepted  $H_0$ . Namely, it is the set of  $\theta$  given  $X_1,..,X_n$  and for each  $\theta_0 \in C(X)$  you would not reject  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ 

4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 990

Slides 5, 2015

29 / 50

Conversely we can say:

$$A(\theta_0) = \{ \mathbf{x} : \theta_0 \in C(X_1, ..., X_n) \}$$

In this case  $A(\theta_0)$  is the acceptance region of the  $\alpha$ -level test of  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  **Proof:** 

For the confidence set, since  $A(\theta_0)$  is the acceptance region of the  $\alpha$ -level test

$$P_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{X} \notin A(\theta_0)) \leq \alpha \leftrightarrow P_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{X} \in A(\theta_0)) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

Using  $\theta$  more generally than  $\theta_0$ , the coverage probability of the set C((X)) is

$$P_{\theta_0}(\theta \in C(\mathbf{X})) = P_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{X} \in A(\theta_0)) \ge 1 - \alpha$$

Showing that  $C(\mathbf{X})$  is a  $1-\alpha$  confidence set. For the hypothesis test, the probability of Type I error for testing the null hypothesis  $H_0: \theta=\theta_0$  with acceptance region  $A(\theta_0)$  is

$$P_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{X} \not\in A(\theta_0)) = P_{\theta_0}(\theta_0 \not\in C(\mathbf{X})) \le \alpha$$

So this is the  $\alpha$ -level test.



In hypothesis testing, the acceptance region is the set of  $X_1, ..., X_n$  that are very likely for  $\theta_0$ . We fix the parameter and find what sample values in the region are consistent with that value.

In interval estimation, the confidence interval is a set of  $\theta$ 's that make  $X_1,..,X_n$  very likely

#### Example: Inverting a Normal Test

Given  $X_1,...,X_n$  from a normal distribution where  $\sigma$  is known and we wish to test  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  versus  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$  for a fixed  $\alpha$  level, we used the test statistic

$$rac{ar{X}-\mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\sim N(0,1)$$

This has critical region  $\{x: |\bar{x}-\mu_0| > z_{\alpha/2}\sigma/\sqrt{n}\}$ , or in other words,  $H_0$  is accepted in the region defined by  $|\bar{x}-\mu_0| \leq z_{\alpha/2}\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ 

4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 9 Q Q

31 / 50

M. Franklin (USC) PM522b Slides 5, 2015

#### Example: Inverting a Normal Test, con't

This is written as

$$\bar{x} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \le \mu_0 \le \bar{x} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Which has size  $\alpha$ , so we can write  $P(H_0 \text{is rejected} | \mu = \mu_0) = \alpha$  or equivalently stated another way,  $P(H_0 \text{is accepted} | \mu = \mu_0) = 1 - \alpha$ . Combining this,

$$P(\bar{X} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \le \mu_0 \le \bar{X} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} | \mu = \mu_0) = 1 - \alpha$$

But the probability statement is true for every  $\mu_0$ , so the above is written

$$P_{\mu}(\bar{X} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \le \mu \le \bar{X} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}) = 1 - \alpha$$

And the confidence interval  $[\bar{x}-z_{\alpha/2}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}},\bar{x}+z_{\alpha/2}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}]$  is obtained by inverting the acceptance region of the  $\alpha$ -level test giving a  $1-\alpha$  confidence interval.



## Confidence Intervals: Pivotal Method

#### Definition: Pivotal quantities

A random variable  $Z(X, \theta) = Z(X_1, ..., X_n, \theta)$  is a pivotal quantity if the distribution of  $Z(X, \theta)$  is independent of all the parameters  $\theta$ The function  $Z(X,\theta)$  will usually contain both parameters and statistics, but for any set A,  $P_{\theta}(Z(X, \theta) \in A)$  cannot depend on  $\theta$ .

- It is desirable to have the length U-L or mean length E(U-L) to be as short as possible.
- The pivotal method assures the minimization of the MSE of the constructed confidence interval (in most cases).

34 / 50

## Confidence Intervals: Pivotal Method

- The pivotal method involves the following steps:
  - ① Determine the point estimator for the unknown parameter  $\theta$ . i.e.  $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$
  - ② Construct a function of  $\hat{\theta}$  and  $\theta$ ,  $Q = g(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$  with known distribution function  $f_Q(q)$  which is independent of  $\theta$  and any other unknown parameter. This is the pivotal quantity.
  - **③** Using the distribution function  $f_Q(q)$ , find two constants a and b with a < b such that  $P(a \le Q \le b) = 1 \alpha$ . Usually these constants are chosen so  $P(Q < a) = P(Q > b) = \alpha/2$ .
  - **①** Put everything together into a double inequality which constructs the confidence interval,  $a \leq g(\hat{\theta}, \theta) \leq b$ . In terms of the unknown parameter, the equivalent inequality is  $L(X) \leq \theta \leq U(X)$ .

$$P(L(X) \le \theta \le U(X)) = P(a \le Q \le b) = 1 - \alpha$$

- Note that the interval does not depend on the unknown parameter.
- When choosing a and b such that  $P(a \le Q \le b) = 1 \alpha$  we want the interval length b a to be as small as possible because the shorter the interval, the more precise it is.
- Typically when the distribution of Q is symmetric, the interval is also symmetric.

M. Franklin (USC) PM522b Slides 5, 2015 35 / 50

## Confidence Intervals: Pivotal Method

In location, scale and location scale families there are many possible pivotal quantities. For  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  we let  $\bar{X}$  and S be the sample mean and standard deviation, respectively. The common pivotal quantities are shown below.

PDF	Туре	Pivotal Quantity
$f(x-\mu)$	Location	$\bar{X} - \mu$
$\frac{1}{\sigma}f(\frac{x}{\sigma})$	Scale	$\frac{\bar{X}}{\sigma}$
$\frac{1}{\sigma}f(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma})$	Location-Scale	$\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{S}$

36 / 50

### Pivotal method for Normal: Case 1

Given a random sample  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is known and we wish to construct a confidence interval for  $\mu$  (Location type example).

- The estimator for the unknown parameter  $\mu$  is  $\bar{X}$  and it has distribution  $N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$
- **②** The function  $Q = (\bar{X}, \mu)$  defined by

$$Q = rac{ar{X} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$$

has  $f_Q(q) \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$  which is independent of  $\mu$ 

• Using  $Q \sim N(0,1)$  we find the constants  $P(Q < a) = \alpha/2$  so  $a = -q_{1-\alpha/2}$  since the distribution of Q is symmetric. Similarly,  $P(Q < b) = 1 - \alpha/2$  so  $b = q_{1-\alpha/2}$ . Note,  $q_{\alpha}$  represents the upper  $\alpha$  percentage of the standard normal distribution. In this case,  $\pm q_{1-\alpha/2}$  are obtained from the standard normal distribution so we will use  $\pm z_{1-\alpha/2}$ .

Slides 5, 2015

37 / 50

#### Pivotal method for Normal: Case 1 con't

Putting this together into a double inequality,

$$\begin{split} -z_{1-\alpha/2} &\leq \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq z_{1-\alpha/2} \\ &- \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2} \leq \bar{X} - \mu \leq \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2} \\ \bar{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2} \leq \mu \leq \bar{X} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2} \end{split}$$

So, the random interval  $[\bar{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{1-\alpha/2}, \bar{X} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{1-\alpha/2}]$  is an exact confidence interval for  $\mu$  with confidence coefficient  $1 - \alpha$ .

Note: The length of the interval is constant,  $I=2rac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}z_{1-lpha/2}$ 

### Pivotal method for Normal: Case 2

Given a random sample  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  where  $\mu$  is known and  $\sigma$  is unknown, and we wish to construct a confidence interval for  $\sigma^2$  (Scale type example).

- **1** The estimator for the unknown parameter  $\sigma^2$  is  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X \bar{X})^2$ .
- 2 The function  $Q = (S^2, \sigma^2)$  defined by

$$Q = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}$$

has  $f_Q(q) \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$  which is independent of  $\sigma^2$ 

① Using  $Q \sim \chi^2_{n-1}$  we find the constants  $P(Q < a) = \alpha/2$  and  $P(Q < b) = 1 - \alpha/2$ .

### Pivotal method for Normal: Case 2 con't

Opening Putting this together into a double inequality,

$$\chi_{n-1,\alpha/2}^{2} \le \frac{(n-1)S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \le \chi_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}^{2}$$

$$\frac{\chi_{n-1,\alpha/2}^{2}}{(n-1)S^{2}} \le \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \le \frac{\chi_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}^{2}}{(n-1)S^{2}}$$

$$\frac{(n-1)S^{2}}{\chi_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}^{2}} \le \sigma^{2} \le \frac{(n-1)S^{2}}{\chi_{n-1,\alpha/2}^{2}}$$

So, the random interval  $[(n-1)S^2/\chi^2_{n-1,1-\alpha/2},(n-1)S^2/\chi^2_{n-1,\alpha/2}]$  is an exact confidence interval for  $\sigma^2$  with confidence coefficient  $1-\alpha$ .

Note, this interval is not symmetric since the  $\chi^2$  distribution is not symmetric.

Slides 5, 2015 40 / 50

### Pivotal method for Normal: Case 3

Given a random sample  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  where  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown, and we wish to construct a confidence interval for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  (Location-Scale type example).

- The estimator for the unknown parameter  $\mu$  is  $\bar{X}$  and it has distribution  $N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$
- ② As in the previous example, the function  $Q=(\bar{X},\mu)$  defined by

$$Q = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$$

has  $f_Q(q) \sim N(0,1)$  which is independent of  $\mu$ , but note that it also contains the unknown parameter  $\sigma$ . The confidence interval cannot contain an unknown parameter, so we must replace it with the unbiased estimator for  $\sigma^2$ ,  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X - \bar{X})^2$ .

The pivotal function becomes,  $Q = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S / \sqrt{n}}$  which has a  $t_{n-1}$  distribution.

✓ □ ➤ ✓ □ ➤ ✓ □ ➤ ✓ □ ➤ □
 Slides 5, 2015
 41 / 50

#### Pivotal method for Normal: Case 3 con't

- **9** Using  $Q \sim t_{n-1}$  we find the constants  $P(Q < a) = \alpha/2$  or  $P(Q < a) = -(1 \alpha/2)$ , so  $a = -t_{1-\alpha/2,n-1}$  since the t-distribution is symmetric. Similarly,  $P(Q < b) = 1 \alpha/2$  so  $b = t_{1-\alpha/2,n-1}$ .
- Putting this together into a double inequality,

$$-t_{1-\alpha/2,n-1} \le \frac{X-\mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \le t_{1-\alpha/2,n-1}$$
$$-\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{1-\alpha/2,n-1} \le \bar{X} - \mu \le \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{1-\alpha/2,n-1}$$
$$\bar{X} - \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{1-\alpha/2,n-1} \le \mu \le \bar{X} + \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{1-\alpha/2,n-1}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ り

#### Pivotal method for Normal: Case 3 con't

So, the random interval  $[\bar{X} - \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{1-\alpha/2,n-1}, \bar{X} + \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{1-\alpha/2,n-1}]$  is an exact confidence interval for  $\mu$  with confidence coefficient  $1-\alpha$ .

When the sample size is large ( $n \ge 35$ ) the CLT states that the t-distribution is approximated by the standard normal distribution. Thus in this case,  $t_{1-\alpha/2,n-1}=z_{1-\alpha/2}$  and the confidence interval for  $\mu$  becomes  $[\bar{X}-\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}z_{1-\alpha/2},\bar{X}+\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}z_{1-\alpha/2}]$ . This is an asymptotic result (Ch. 10).

M. Franklin (USC)

### Practical Example

Given a random sample  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  of n = 14 gym-goers showed that the mean workout time was  $\bar{X}=45$  minutes with a sample standard deviation of S=14 minutes. What is the population mean  $\mu$  with confidence coefficient  $1 - \alpha = 0.95$ ?

- The confidence coefficient  $1-\alpha=0.9$  means  $\alpha=0.1$  and thus  $\alpha/2=0.05$
- Using the table of standard normals,  $t_{0.025,13} = 2.16$  and therefore

$$\bar{X} - \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} t_{1-\alpha/2, n-1} = 45 - \frac{14}{\sqrt{14}} 2.16 = 36.92$$

$$\bar{X} + \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} t_{1-\alpha/2, n-1} = 45 + \frac{14}{\sqrt{14}} 2.16 = 53.08$$

• Therefore the CI for the average workout time ( $\mu$ ) with  $1-\alpha=0.95$  (also stated as  $100(1 - \alpha) = 95\%$  confidence) is [36.92, 53.08] minutes.

M. Franklin (USC) PM522h Slides 5, 2015 44 / 50

4日 > 4回 > 4 至 > 4 至 >

### Pivotal method for Normal: Case 3 con't

- **1** The estimator for the unknown parameter  $\sigma^2$  is  $S^2$
- **②** The function  $Q = (S^2, \sigma^2)$  defined by

$$Q = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}$$

has  $f_Q(q) \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$ 

**1** The constants a and b are obtained with the  $\chi^2_{n-1}$  distribution

$$P(\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} > a) = 1 - \alpha/2$$

$$a = \chi^2_{1-\alpha/2, n-1}$$

$$P(\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} > b) = \alpha/2$$

$$b = \chi^2_{\alpha/2, n-1}$$

• Putting this together, we get the confidence interval for  $\sigma^2$ 

$$\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sqrt{\chi^2_{\alpha/2,n-1}}} \le \sigma^2 \le \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sqrt{\chi^2_{1-\alpha/2,n-1}}}$$

**1** The confidence intervals for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are constructed separately in this case.

### Pivotal method for Normal: Case 3 con't

Suppose we wish to find a simultaneous confidence interval for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ . In this situation one good option is to use the Bonferroni inequality (CB 1.2.9). Recall  $P(A_1 \cap A_2) \geq P(A_1) + P(A_2) - 1$ . The probability that the interval covers  $\mu$  is  $P(A_1) = 1 - \alpha/2$ , and similarly for  $\sigma^2$ ,  $P(A_2) = 1 - \alpha/2$ . So, the inequality gives  $2(1 - \alpha/2 - 1) = 1 - \alpha/2$ .

We thus can use the same pivots shown above for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ , however we require a and b to be defined by  $\pm t_{n-1,\alpha/4}$  for  $\mu$  and  $a=\chi^2_{n-1,1-\alpha/4}$ ,  $b=\chi^2_{n-1,\alpha/4}$  for  $\sigma^2$ .

The simultaneous  $1-\alpha$  CI for  $(\mu,\sigma^2)$  is

$$\bar{X} - \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} t_{1-\alpha/4, n-1} \le \mu \le \bar{X} + \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} t_{1-\alpha/4, n-1}, \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sqrt{\chi^2_{\alpha/4, n-1}}} \le \sigma^2 \le \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sqrt{\chi^2_{1-\alpha/4, n-1}}}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □

M. Franklin (USC)

### General Pivotal Quantities

For a random variable X we define  $F(a) = P[X \le a]$  and assume that we have another random variable  $U = -2 \log[F(X)]$  with a  $\chi_2^2$  distribution.  $V = -2 \log[1 - F(X)]$  also has a  $\chi_2^2$  distribution. Then for  $a \ge 0$ ,

$$P[U \le a] = P[F(X) \ge \exp(-a/2)]$$

$$= 1 - P[F(X) \le \exp(-a/2)]$$

$$= 1 - P[X \le F^{-1}(\exp(-a/2))]$$

$$= 1 - F[F^{-1}(\exp(-a/2))]$$

$$= 1 - \exp(-a/2)$$

So U has density  $\frac{1}{2}\exp(-a/2)$  which is the density of a  $\chi^2_2$  random variable. This leads to us being able to define a pivotal quantity  $Q(X,\theta)=Q(X_1,...,X_n,\theta)$ . As before, the pivotal quantity is a random variable and has a distribution independent of the parameters  $\theta$ .

M. Franklin (USC) PM522b Slides 5, 2015 47 / 50

◆□ > ◆圖 > ◆臺 > ◆臺 >

#### General Pivotal Quantities

In terms of a random sample of data  $X_1,...,X_n$  which are iid with pdf  $f(x|\theta)$ , we define  $F(a|\theta)=\int_{-\infty}^a f(x|\theta)dx$  and  $U_i=-2\log[F(X_i|\theta)]$  for i=1,...,n. Then  $U_1,...,U_n$  are iid and each has  $\chi^2_{2n}$  distribution. We have pivotal quantities:

$$\mathit{Q}_{1}(\mathit{X}|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathit{U}_{i}, \; \mathit{Q}_{1}(\mathit{X}|\theta) \sim \chi_{2n}^{2}$$
 and

$$Q_2(X|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i, \ Q_2(X|\theta) \sim \chi_{2n}^2$$

where  $V_i = -2 \log[1 - F(X_i|\theta)]$ 

M. Franklin (USC)

48 / 50

Confidence Intervals

# Confidence Intervals: Pivotal Method

### General Pivotal Quantities: Example

Suppose we have  $X_1, ..., X_n$  which are iid with pdf  $f(x|\theta) = \theta \exp(-\theta x)$  and want to construct a 95% confidence interval for  $\theta$ .

$$F(a|\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{a} f(x|\theta)dx$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{a} \theta \exp(-\theta x)dx$$
$$= 1 - \exp(-\theta a)$$

So.

$$Q_1(X|\theta) = -2\sum_{i=1}^n \log[1 - \exp(-\theta X_i)]$$

Is one pivotal quantity with  $\chi^2_{2n}$ , distribution, and another is

$$Q_2(X|\theta) = -2\sum_{i=1}^n \log[\exp(-\theta X_i)] = 2\theta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

also with  $\chi^2_{2n}$  distribution.

49 / 50

### General Pivotal Quantities: Example con't

Using  $Q_2(X|\theta)$  to generate the  $1-\alpha$  confidence interval (it is simpler to use than  $Q_1$ ), we need to find a < b such that  $P[\chi^2_{2n} < a] = \alpha/2$  and  $P[\chi^2_{2n} > b] = 1 - \alpha/2$ .

$$1 - \alpha = P[a \le Q_2(X, \theta) \le b]$$

$$= P[a \le 2\theta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \le b]$$

$$= P[\frac{a}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n X_i} \le \theta \le \frac{b}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}]$$

So,

$$\big[\tfrac{a}{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i},\tfrac{b}{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}\big]$$

is a  $1 - \alpha$  confidence interval for  $\theta$ .

4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 900

Slides 5, 2015

50 / 50

M. Franklin (USC) PM522b