

CSCI 3278 Homework 2

William Christie SID: 810915676

October 3, 2016

3.2.7

Prove that $(X^+)^+ = X^+$

Assume for the sake of contradiction that $(X^+)^+ \neq X^+$, meaning that there is at least one more attribute that may be added to the set of attributes $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ in X . However, X^+ is already a closure of X meaning that all true FD's have been claimed by the closure of X . Because all true FD's have been claimed by X^+ , no further FD's will be claimed by $(X^+)^+$. This is a contradiction, proving that $(X^+)^+ = X^+$.

3.3.1 b)

Indicate all the BCNF violations and decompose the relations into collections of relations that are in BCNF.

$R(A, B, C, D)$ with FD's $B \rightarrow C$ and $B \rightarrow D$

Using BCNF Decomposition Algorithm 3.20 from textbook:

- 1) Check whether R is in BCNF:

FD's = $B \rightarrow C$ and $B \rightarrow D$

$B^+ = \{B, C, D\}$ which is a BCNF violation.

- 2) If there are BCNF violations, let one of them be $X \rightarrow Y$. Compute X^+ . Choose $R_1 = X^+$ and let R_2 have attribute X and attributes of R that are not in X^+ .

Using $B \rightarrow C$,
 $B^+ = \{B, C, D\}$
 $R_1 = \{B, C, D\}$ and $R_2 = \{B, A\}$

- 3) Compute sets of FD's for R_1 and R_2 ; let them be S_1 and S_2 respectively

$S_1 = \{B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D\}$ and $S_2 = \{\}$

- 4) Recurse over R_1 and R_2 . Return union of results of decomposition.

R_2 is in BCNF because it has 2 attributes.
 R_1 has FD's $B \rightarrow C$ and $B \rightarrow D$
 $B^+ = \{B, C, D\}$ which is no longer a BCNF violation because the left side of the FD's are now super keys.

Therefore $R_1 = \{B, C, D\}$ and $R_2 = \{B, A\}$

3.5.1 b)

Indicate all the 3NF violations and decompose into collections of relations that are in 3NF.

$R(A, B, C, D)$ with FD's $B \rightarrow C$ and $B \rightarrow D$

- 1) Determine super key of relation.

$AB^+ = \{A, B, C, D\}$

- 2) Examine FD's of R.

$B \rightarrow C$: B is not a super key and C is not prime.

$B \rightarrow D$: B is not a super key and D is not prime.

These are both 3NF violations.

- 3) Decompose based on $B \rightarrow C$

$R_1 = \{B, C\}$ $R_2 = \{B, A, D\}$
 R_1 fits 3NF because it contains 2 attributes.

- 4) Determine super key of new relation R_2

$$BA^+ = \{B, A, D\}$$

- 5) Examine FD's of R_2

$B \rightarrow D$: B is not a super key and D is not prime. This is a 3NF violation.

- 6) Decompose again based on $B \rightarrow D$

$$R_3 = \{B, D\} \text{ and } R_4 = \{B, A\}$$

Now we have 3 relations with 2 attributes each which all fit 3NF.

Therefore $R_1 = \{B, C\}$, $R_3 = \{B, D\}$ and $R_4 = \{B, A\}$