









2.2 i) John tries random PIN until he gets the correct PIN.

Each time John enters a random PIN, there are total of 104 combinations

4 P= 10000

Since he continues to try until he gets the correct PIN,

we can apply geometric distribution where p=0.000|

Expelled value of geometric distribution

5 € K. (1-p) K-1 p => p

ii) This time, John does same method as S, but Keeps track of the random PIN so that he tries a new

PIN each time

Expected value 10000

Ly E[X2] = In Pr(Xn=PIN), but the value of Pr changes

every trial

Trial 1 Trial 2 Trial 3
$$n=1, p=\frac{1}{10^{4}} \quad n=2, p=\frac{10^{4}-1}{10^{4}} \left(\frac{1}{10^{4}-1}\right) \quad n=3, p=\frac{10^{4}-1}{10^{4}} \left(\frac{10^{4}-2}{10^{4}-1}\right) \left(\frac{1}{10^{4}-2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{10^{4}-1}\right) \left(\frac{1}{10^{4}-2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{10^{4}-1}\right) \left(\frac{1}{10^{4}-1}$$

In trial 2, he guesses the PIN wrong with p= 104-1 since there

is only one correct PIN. Then, out of 104-1 remaining PINS, he has to guess it correctly. This pattern continues until trial 10000 in the worst case, where he is guaranteed to get the correct PIN, after trying all possible 4 digits.

If we add them all up,



Ti

2.3 For i=1,

P(X, > 7000) < F[X,] < 10000 7000 - 7000

For i=2,

P(x2 77000) < E[x2] < 5000.5 7000 - 7000

Markov's inequality does not always provide meaningful bounds. The equation of Markov's inequality is

P(X)t) < E(X)

According to the law of probability, probability of an exevent is always OLPr(E) 41.

If the value of ECIJ is greater than t, like Si in the question where ECIJ = 10000 > t=7000, the value of ECIJ/t>1.

the upper bound of the Probability is higher than I which is the fact that we already know.

The other words, if

E[X] It, Markov's inequality provides a result

that we already know by the definition of

probability, and therefore the information is not useful.

.. Markov's inequality does not always provide a meaningful bound, and is only meaningful when E(x) < t.





3.

In order to prove the independence of two fair dice summing up to 7 and score on the first die, we need to prove the independence for all possible value for first die

Let Event A= two dice sum up to 7,
B= first die value

 $P(A \cap B=1) = P(A) \cdot P(B=1)$  $P(A \cap B=2) = P(A) \cdot P(B=2)$ 

P(AnB=6) = P(A). P(B=6)

There are 6 out of 36 combinations that give the sum of two dice to 70 (1,6), (2,5), (3,4), (4,3), (5,2), (6,1)

Since all dice are fair, the numbers (1-6) on the dice are equally likely to occur

P(B=1) = P(B=2) = = = P(B=6) = 1/6

when the first die is 1, the second die must be 6 for the sum to be 7 when the first die is 2, the second die must be 5 for the sum to be 7

When the first die is 6, the second die must be I for the sum to be 7

If we let c=second die value, | P(ANB=1) = P(B=1 \(\Lambda\cup c=6) = 1/36, which equals \(P(A) \cdot P(B=1) = \frac{6}{36} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = 1/36 P(ANB=2) = P(B=2 \(\Lambda\cup c=5) = 1/36, which equals \(P(A) \cdot P(B=2) = 6/36 \cdot 1/6 = 1/36\)

P(A A B= 6) = P(B=6 A C= 1) = 1/36, which equals P(A) · P(B=6) = 6/36 · 1/6= 1/36

... The events of two dice summing up to 7 and score on the first dice are independent



3.2

In order to prove  $E[xy]^2 \leq E(x^2)E(y^2)$ , let  $C=(X-\alpha Y)^2$  as random variable.

No matter what X-a, Y is, the square of the value is always positive, giving Calways a positive value. There fore, E(C) 70.

If we compute E[C] we get  $E[(X-\alpha Y)^2] = E[x^2 - 2\alpha xy + \alpha^2 y^2]$ = E[x2] - 2aE[xy] + a2 E[y2]

Since E[C]]o, E[2]-ZaE[xy]+a2E[x]]o

Now, let a= E[xy] but E(y2] # 0

Then, we get  $E[x^2] - 2E[xy]E[xy] + E[xy] + E[xy] \ge 0$   $E[x^2] - 2E[xy]^2 + E[xy]^2 \ge 0$   $E[y^2] + E[y^2] \ge 0$ 

E[x2] - E[xy]2 > 0

E[x2] > E[xy]2

E[x2]E[y2] ]E[xy]2, who

: E[xy]2 LE[x2]E[y2].

The equality in this case, holds when  $E[x^2] - 2\alpha E[xy] + \alpha^2 E[y^2] = E[(x - \alpha y)^2] = E[C] = 0.$  $(x-ay)^2 = 0$  when x=ay.

.. The equality holds only when x=ay.





```
57
```

 $P_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x})$ 



 $\frac{3.3}{f(x,y) = \log_{10}(1+\frac{1}{10x4y})} = \log_{10}(\frac{10x4y+1}{10x4y}), 1 \le x \le 9, 0 \le y \le 9$ 

- Mass function of first significant digit X:

 $|og_{10}(\frac{11}{10}) + |og_{10}(\frac{12}{11}) + ... + |og_{10}(\frac{20}{19}) = |og_{10}(\frac{2}{1}) \approx 0.30 |o| if x=1$   $|og_{10}(\frac{21}{20}) + |og_{10}(\frac{22}{21}) + ... + |og_{10}(\frac{30}{29}) = |og_{10}(\frac{3}{2}) \approx 0.17 |o| if x=2$   $|og_{10}(\frac{31}{30}) + |og_{10}(\frac{32}{31}) + ... + |og_{10}(\frac{40}{39}) = |og_{10}(\frac{4}{3}) \approx 0.1249 |if x=3$   $|og_{10}(\frac{41}{40}) + |og_{10}(\frac{42}{41}) + ... + |og_{10}(\frac{50}{49}) = |og_{10}(\frac{5}{4}) \approx 0.0969 |if x=4$   $|og_{10}(\frac{51}{50}) + |og_{10}(\frac{52}{51}) + ... + |og_{10}(\frac{50}{59}) = |og_{10}(\frac{5}{5}) \approx 0.0792 |if x=5$   $|og_{10}(\frac{61}{50}) + |og_{10}(\frac{62}{51}) + ... + |og_{10}(\frac{70}{69}) = |og_{10}(\frac{7}{6}) \approx 0.0669 |if x=6$   $|og_{10}(\frac{70}{10}) + |og_{10}(\frac{70}{51}) + ... + |og_{10}(\frac{80}{99}) = |og_{10}(\frac{9}{10}) \approx 0.0669 |if x=7$   $|og_{10}(\frac{81}{80}) + |og_{10}(\frac{81}{51}) + ... + |og_{10}(\frac{90}{89}) = |og_{10}(\frac{9}{10}) \approx 0.0458 |if x=9$   $|og_{10}(\frac{91}{90}) + |og_{10}(\frac{91}{91}) + ... + |og_{10}(\frac{100}{99}) = |og_{10}(\frac{91}{9}) \approx 0.0458 |if x=9$ 

The probability is the highest when the first significant digit X=1. In addition, as x increases, the probability for the specific X decreases. Therefore, digits 1 and 9 are not equally likely to be the first digit.

 $E[X] = 1 \cdot |og_{10}(\frac{2}{7}) + 2 \cdot |og_{10}(\frac{3}{2}) + 3 \cdot |og_{10}(\frac{4}{3}) + 4 \cdot |og_{10}(\frac{5}{4}) + 5 \cdot |og_{10}(\frac{5}{5}) + 6 \cdot |og_{10}(\frac{7}{6}) + 7 \cdot |og_{10}(\frac{9}{7}) + 8 \cdot |og_{10}(\frac{9}{5}) + 9 \cdot |og_{10}(\frac{10}{9}) = 3.4402$ 



3.4 f (2,y) = 22 e-24 for 0 6x 5y 600

To find the conditional density, we need to compute

$$f_{Y|X=\chi}(y|X) = f_{XY}(x,y)$$
 where  $f_{\chi}(x)\neq 0$ 

$$f_x(x) = \int_x^\infty f_{xy}(x,y) dy$$

$$= \int_{x}^{\infty} \lambda^{2} e^{-\lambda y} dy$$
$$= \lambda^{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda y} dy$$

$$= \int_{-\lambda}^{2} \left[ \frac{e^{-\lambda y}}{x} \right]_{x}^{\infty}$$

$$= -\lambda \left[ e^{-\lambda y} \right]_{x}^{\infty}$$

$$= -\lambda \left[ o - e^{-\lambda x} \right]$$

$$= -\lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

$$\frac{f_{Y|X=x}(y|X)}{\lambda e^{-\lambda x}} = \frac{\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda y}}{\lambda e^{-\lambda x}} = \frac{\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda y}}{\lambda e^{-\lambda (y-x)}}$$

$$f_{Y|X=x}(y|X) = \frac{\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda y}}{\lambda e^{-\lambda (y-x)}} = \frac{\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda (y-x)}}{\lambda e^{-\lambda (y-x)}}$$

$$f_{Y|X=x}(y|X) = \frac{\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda y}}{\lambda e^{-\lambda (y-x)}} = \frac{\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda (y-x)}}{\lambda e^{-\lambda (y-x)}}$$