## Gradient and Hessian of the SPARROW Function

## Christian Steffens

Consider the objective function

$$f(s) = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{Q}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{R}}) + \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}}s, \tag{1}$$

where  $Q = A \operatorname{diag}(s)A^{\mathsf{H}} + \lambda I \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ , with  $s = [s_1, \dots, s_K]^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^K$ ,  $A = [a_1, \dots, a_K] \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times K}$  and  $\mathbf{1}$  is a vector of ones. Using the elementwise derivatives

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}}{\partial s_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s_k} \sum_{i=1}^K s_i \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{a}_i^{\mathsf{H}} + \lambda \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{a}_k \mathbf{a}_k^{\mathsf{H}}$$
(2)

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{Q}^{-1}}{\partial s_k} = -\boldsymbol{Q}^{-1} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{Q}}{\partial s_k} \boldsymbol{Q}^{-1} = -\boldsymbol{Q}^{-1} \boldsymbol{a}_k \boldsymbol{a}_k^{\mathsf{H}} \boldsymbol{Q}^{-1}$$
(3)

on the function (1), we obtain the elementwise derivatives

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{s})}{\partial s_k} = 1 - \text{Tr}(\mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_k \mathbf{a}_k^{\mathsf{H}} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{R}}) = 1 - \mathbf{a}_k^{\mathsf{H}} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_k, \tag{4}$$

which are summarized as the gradient

$$\frac{\partial f(s)}{\partial s} = 1 - \text{vecd}(A^{\mathsf{H}} Q^{-1} R Q^{-1} A), \tag{5}$$

where  $\operatorname{vecd}(X)$  denotes the vector containing the elements on the main diagonal of matrix X. Using the product rule and (3), the elementwise second order derivative of (4) is given as

$$\frac{\partial^{2} f(\mathbf{s})}{\partial s_{k} \partial s_{l}} = \mathbf{a}_{k}^{\mathsf{H}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}^{-1}}{\partial s_{l}} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_{k} + \mathbf{a}_{k}^{\mathsf{H}} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{R} \frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}^{-1}}{\partial s_{l}} \mathbf{a}_{k}$$

$$= \mathbf{a}_{k}^{\mathsf{H}} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_{l} \mathbf{a}_{l}^{\mathsf{H}} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_{k} + \mathbf{a}_{k}^{\mathsf{H}} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_{l} \mathbf{a}_{l}^{\mathsf{H}} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_{k}$$

$$= 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (\mathbf{a}_{k}^{\mathsf{H}} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_{l}) \cdot (\mathbf{a}_{l}^{\mathsf{H}} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_{k}) \right\} \tag{6}$$

which can be written in compact matrix notation as

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(s)}{\partial s \partial s^{\mathsf{T}}} = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathsf{H}} \boldsymbol{Q}^{-1} \boldsymbol{A})^{\mathsf{T}} \odot (\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathsf{H}} \boldsymbol{Q}^{-1} \boldsymbol{A}) \right\}, \tag{7}$$

forming the Hessian matrix of (1), with  $\odot$  denoting the Hadamard product, i.e., elementwise multiplication. From the Schur product theorem it can be concluded that the Hessian matrix in (7) is positive semidefinite, since for  $s_1, \ldots, s_K \geq 0$  it holds that  $\mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0}$ . In other words, the SPARROW formulation in (1) is convex for nonnegative  $s_1, \ldots, s_K \geq 0$ .