

Absolute support **sup** (count) Relative support **s** (the fraction of transactions that contains X (the probability that a transaction contains X))

Association Rules (s,c) Support of $X \cup Y$ Ex. $s\{\text{Diaper, Beer}\} = 3/5 = 0.6$ (i.e., 60%) Confidence of $X \rightarrow Y$ The conditional probability that a transaction containing X also contains Y: $c = \text{sup}(X, Y) / \text{sup}(X)$ Ex. $c = \text{sup}\{\text{Diaper, Beer}\} / \text{sup}\{\text{Diaper}\} = 3/4 = 0.75$

Closed patterns: A pattern (itemset) X is closed if X is frequent, and there exists no super-pattern Y $X \subsetneq Y$ such that $\text{sup}(X) = \text{sup}(Y)$

lossless compression: Reduces the # of patterns but does not lose the support information Thus more **desirable**

Max-patterns: A pattern X is a max-pattern if X is frequent and there exists no frequent super-pattern Y $X \subsetneq Y$ **lossy compression**

We only know a subset of the max-pattern is frequent But we do not know the real support any more.

Downward closure (**Apriori**): Any subset of a frequent itemset must be frequent. **Apriori pruning principle**: If there is any itemset which is infrequent, its superset should not even be generated! **Outline of Apriori** (level-wise, candidate generation and test) :[Scan] DB once to get frequent 1-itemset [Repeat] Generate length-(k+1) candidate itemsets from length-k frequent itemsets--Test the candidates against DB to find frequent (k+1)-itemsets--Set $k := k + 1$ --[Until] no frequent or candidate set can be generated [Return] all the frequent itemsets derived

Partitioning: Scan Database Only Twice. **Direct Hashing and Pruning (DHP)** Hash Table **Exploring Vertical Data Format: ECLAT**

An element may contain a set of items (also called events) Customer shopping Medical treatments Natural disastersScientific Experiments Stocks Markets Biological sequences, DNA /Protein

Mining Multiple-Level Associations(Uniform support, Reduced support;Efficient mining: Shared multi-level mining;Use group-based “individualized” min-support) **Mining Multi-Dimensional Associations**(Inter-dimension,Hybrid-dimension)

Mining Quantitative Associations

Mining Negative Correlations $(s(A \cup B)/s(A) + s(A \cup B)/s(B))/2 = (0.01 + 0.01)/2 < \epsilon$

Mining Compressed and Redundancy-Aware Patterns

depth-first. **Apriori**: A breadth-first search mining algorithm

GSP (Generalized Sequential Patterns);**SPADE**Vertical format-based mining.

PrefixSpan:Pattern-growth methods

Measure	Definition	Range	Null-Invariant?
$\chi^2(A, B)$	$\sum_{i,j} \frac{(e(a_i, b_j) - o(a_i, b_j))^2}{e(a_i, b_j)}$	$[0, \infty]$	No
$Lift(A, B)$	$\frac{s(A \cup B)}{s(A) \times s(B)}$	$[0, \infty]$	No
$Allconf(A, B)$	$\frac{s(A \cup B)}{\max\{s(A), s(B)\}}$	$[0, 1]$	Yes
$Jaccard(A, B)$	$\frac{s(A \cup B)}{s(A) + s(B) - s(A \cup B)}$	$[0, 1]$	Yes
$Cosine(A, B)$	$\frac{s(A \cup B)}{\sqrt{s(A) \times s(B)}}$	$[0, 1]$	Yes
$Kulczynski(A, B)$	$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{s(A \cup B)}{s(A)} + \frac{s(A \cup B)}{s(B)} \right)$	$[0, 1]$	Yes
$MaxConf(A, B)$	$\max\left\{\frac{s(A \cup B)}{s(A)}, \frac{s(A \cup B)}{s(B)}\right\}$	$[0, 1]$	Yes

Let

$$p = \frac{s(A \cup B)}{s(A)} = P(B|A)$$

$$q = \frac{s(A \cup B)}{s(B)} = P(A|B)$$

p, q are null invariant

Pattern distance measure

$$Dist(P_1, P_2) = 1 - \frac{|T(P_1) \cap T(P_2)|}{|T(P_1) \cup T(P_2)|}$$

δ -clustering: For each pattern P, find all patterns which can be expressed by P and whose distance to P is within δ (δ -cover)

erate length-2 candidate sequences

singleton * singleton – Total: (6 * 6)

	<a>		<c>	<d>	<e>	<f>
<a>	<aa>	<ab>	<ac>	<ad>	<ae>	<af>
	<ba>	<bb>	<bc>	<bd>	<be>	<bf>
<c>	<ca>	<cb>	<cc>	<cd>	<ce>	<cf>
<d>	<da>	<db>	<dc>	<dd>	<de>	<df>
<e>	<ea>	<eb>	<ec>	<ed>	<ee>	<ef>
<f>	<fa>	<fb>	<fc>	<fd>	<fe>	<ff>

Apriori Pruning

w/o pruning (includes g and h)

$$8 * 8 + 8 * 7 / 2 = 92$$

length-2 candidate:

w/ pruning:

$$6 * 6 + 6 * 5 / 2 = 51$$

length-2 candidate:

Sets (unordered) – Total: (6*5) / 2

	<a>		<c>	<d>	<e>	<f>
<a>		<(ab)>	<(ac)>	<(ad)>	<(ae)>	<(af)>
			<(bc)>	<(bd)>	<(be)>	<(bf)>
<c>				<(cd)>	<(ce)>	<(cf)>
<d>					<(de)>	<(df)>

IR (Imbalance Ratio): measure the imbalance of two itemsets A and B in rule implications:

Neutral ($Ku=0.5$) $IR(A, B) = \frac{|s(A) - s(B)|}{s(A) + s(B) - s(A \cup B)}$

Kulczynski and Imbalance Ratio (IR) together present a clear picture for all the three datasets D_4 through D_6

Why **Iceberg Cube**: 1.No need to save nor show those cells whose value is below the threshold (iceberg condition) 2.Efficient methods may even avoid computing the un-needed,intermediate cells; 3.Avoid explosive growth

Data Cube: A **Lattice** of Cuboid

Closed cube: A cell c is **closed** if there exists no cell d, such that d is a descendant of c, and d has the same measure value as c A **closed cube** is a cube consisting of only closed cells **CubeShell**: The cuboids involving only a small # of dimensions, e.g.,2 Idea: Only compute cube shells, other dimension combinations can be computed on the fly

	multiway	BUC
Input format	Multi-dimensional array	Relational database
Good for	Full cube	Iceberg cube
Key idea	Simultaneously Aggregation	Partition and sort
Calculation direction		

Semi-Online Computational Model Use Frag-Shells for Online OLAP Query Computation

Given a database of T tuples, D dimensions, and F shell fragment size, the fragment cubes’ space requirement is:

$$O\left(T \left\lceil \frac{D}{F} \right\rceil (2^F - 1)\right)$$

Data Mining in Cube Space

Reports generated from a Data Cube can easily be drilled into fashion.

0D (Apex) cuboid be pre-calculated (Materialization)

$$T = \prod_{i=1}^n (L_i + 1)$$

Nominal	categories, states, or "names of things"	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hair_color = {auburn, black, brown, grey, red} marital status, occupation, ID numbers, zip codes 	Types of data sets: Record Data, Graphs and Networks, Ordered Data, Spatial, Image and multimedia Data Direct Data Visualization: Scatterplot, Chernoff Faces, Stick Figures Geometric projection: Matrices, Landscapes, Parallel Coordinates? Hierarchical: Dimensional Stacking, Worlds-within-Worlds, Tree-Map, Cone Trees, InfoCube
Binary (0 or 1)	Symmetric: equally important Inter-quartile range: IQR = Asymmetric: not equally important	gender Q3 (75th percentile) - Q1 (25th percentile) Medical test (negative & positive); assign 1 to most important outcome	
Ordinal	Need order but no magnitude	Size = {small, medium, large}, grades, army rankings	
Numeric	Interval: • equal-sized units; • ordered; • no true zero-point; Ratio: inherent zero-point; being an order of magnitude larger than the unit of measurement	temperature in C° or F°, calendar dates $median = L_1 + \left(\frac{n/2 - (\sum freq)_i}{freq_{median}} \right) width$ temperature in Kelvin, length, counts, monetary quantities	Variance: (algebraic, scalable computation) Q: Can you compute it incrementally and efficiently? $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^2 \right]$ $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \mu^2$ sample Population Standard deviation s (or σ) is the square root of variance s ² (or σ ²) Note: The formulae • n : the • N : the
Minkowski	$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{it} - x_{jt} ^p \right)^{1/p}$ 0 → ∞	Pros: • Most commonly used distance for numerical data • Positivity/Symmetry/Triangle Inequality	
Manhattan	Minkowski, p = 1 $\sum_{i=1}^n x_{it} - y_{jt} $ 0 → ∞	Pros: • Not sensitive to outliers. Cons: • Non differentiable	Cosine Similarity $\cos(d_1, d_2) = \frac{d_1 \bullet d_2}{\ d_1\ \times \ d_2\ }$ In many applications, d _i are all positive, then [0, 1] Commonly used in text mining 1-> similar 0-> irrelevant -1-> opposite
Euclidean	Minkowski, p = 2 $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{it} - x_{jt} ^2 \right)^{1/2}$ 0 → ∞	Pros: • differentiable Cons: • Sensitive to outliers	Chi-Squared Test $\chi^2 = \sum_i \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$ [0, +∞] Correlation measure for categorical data Higher value->strong correlation
Supremum	Minkowski, p → ∞ $\max_{j=1}^n x_{it} - x_{jt} $ 0 → ∞		Variance / Covariance [−∞, +∞] Correlation measure for continuous data High positive value->strong positive correlation Very negative value->strong negative correlation Correlation measure for continuous data High positive value->strong positive correlation Very negative value->strong negative correlation
Symmetric Binary Variable	$\frac{r+s}{q+r+s+t}$ [0, 1] $z_{ij} = \frac{r_{ij} - 1}{M_{ij} - 1}$	• Null variant • if 0 and 1 are equally in	
Asymmetric Binary Variable	$\frac{r+s}{q+r+s}$ [0, 1]	• Null invariant • If 0 is not important (such as meaning did not appear, too common in data, ...)	
Jaccard Coefficient / Coherence	$\frac{q}{(q+r) + (q+s) - q}$ [0, 1]	• This is a similarity measure • The higher the value, the more similar the two vector	
Covariance Matrix $\rho_{12} = \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} = \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2}}$ $\sigma_{12} = E[(X_1 - \mu_1)(X_2 - \mu_2)] = E[X_1 X_2] - \mu_1 \mu_2 = E[X_1 X_2] - E[X_1]E[X_2]$ $\sigma^2 = \text{var}(X) = E[(X - \mu)^2] = \begin{cases} \sum_i (x - \mu)^2 f(x) & \text{if } X \text{ is discrete} \\ \int (x - \mu)^2 f(x) dx & \text{if } X \text{ is continuous} \end{cases}$ Data Compression : Lossless vs Loss			
Data Warehouse: Long time horizon (e.g., past 5-10 years) ,Contains an element of time, explicitly or implicitly. Operational Database: current value data; data may or may not contain "time element" Three Data Warehouse Models: [Enterprise warehouse] - Specially designed for the entire organization; [Data Mart]: Specific, selected groups, Independent vs. dependent (directly from warehouse) data mart; [Virtual warehouse] A set of views over operational databases Only some of the possible summary views may be materialized OLTP users: clerk, IT professional function: day to day operations DB design: application-oriented data: current, up-to-date detailed, flat relational isolated usage: repetitive access: read/write index/hash on prim. key unit of work: short, simple transaction # records accessed: tens #users: thousands DB size: 100MB-GB metric: transaction throughput			
OLAP users: knowledge worker function: decision support DB design: subject-oriented data: historical, summarized, multidimensional integrated, consolidated standard usage: ad-hoc access: lots of scans unit of work: complex query # records accessed: millions #users: hundreds DB size: 100GB-TB metric: query throughput, response			
Dimensionality reduction: Feature selection and feature extraction; PCA; attribute subset selection (heuristic search); attribute creation Z-Score −∞, +∞ But scores outside −3, 3 are likely to be outliers • Pros: • Easy to calculate • Good for outlier detection • Cons: • Small data sets skew the results $z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}$ Mean Absolute Deviance [0, +∞] $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \bar{x} }{n}$ Numerosity Reduction: Parametric (Regression) Min/Max Normalization • Pros: • Allows for custom range of data $v' = \frac{v - \min_A}{\max_A - \min_A} (new_max_A - new_min_A) + new_min_A$ Unsupervised / Top-down Split: Binning, Histogram analysis, Clustering analysis Unsupervised / bottom-up merge: Clustering analysis Supervised / top-down split: Decision-tree analysis Discretization Conceptual Modeling: [Star Schema] A fact table in the middle connected to a set of dimension tables [Snowflake Schema] A refinement of star schema where some dimensional hierarchy is normalized into a set of smaller dimension tables [Fact Constellation], Multiple fact tables share dimension tables OLAP Operation: Roll up, Drill down, Dice, Slice, Pivot (rotate) (reorient the cube, visualization, 3D to series of 2D planes), Drill across (involving (across) more than one fact table), Drill through (through the bottom level of the cube to its back-end relational tables (using SQL)) Data Cube Measures: [Distributive]: count(), sum(), min(), max [Algebraic]: avg(), standard deviation() . [Holistic] median(), mode(), rank() Server Architectures: Relational OLAP (ROLAP) Data is stored in a relational database. Greater scalability Multidimensional OLAP (MOLAP) Everything is in multi-dimensional storage (see page 26 for an example) Fast indexing to pre-computed summarized data Hybrid OLAP (HOLAP) Used by : Microsoft SQL Server Combines both ROLAP & MOLAP. Theoretically provides best performance ETL: Data extraction, Data cleaning, Data transformation, Load, Refresh			