

Journal Pre-proof

Instagram influencer definitions and the need for dermatologist engagement on social media

Varun Ranpariya, BA, Brian Chu, BS, Ramie Fathy, AB, Jules B. Lipoff, MD



PII: S0190-9622(20)32301-X

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2020.07.100>

Reference: YMJD 15030

To appear in: *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*

Received Date: 23 July 2020

Accepted Date: 24 July 2020

Please cite this article as: Ranpariya V, Chu B, Fathy R, Lipoff JB, Instagram influencer definitions and the need for dermatologist engagement on social media, *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* (2020), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2020.07.100>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American Academy of Dermatology, Inc.

Article type: Letter: notes & comments

Title: Instagram influencer definitions and the need for dermatologist engagement on social media

Varun Ranpariya, BA,¹ Brian Chu, BS,² Ramie Fathy, AB,² Jules B. Lipoff, MD³

(1) Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Piscataway, NJ

(2) Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

(3) Department of Dermatology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

Corresponding author:

Jules B. Lipoff, MD

Department of Dermatology, University of Pennsylvania

Penn Medicine University City

3737 Market Street, Suite 1100

Philadelphia, PA 19104

Clinic Phone: 215-662-8060

Clinic Fax: 215-243-3284

Email: jules.lipoff@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

Funding Sources: None

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare

Word count: 480

Number of References: 4

Number of Tables: 1

To the Editor:

We thank Bressler and Zampella for their thoughtful comments on our article “Dermatology without Dermatologists? Analyzing Instagram influencers with dermatology-related hashtags.”¹ Given our goal to accurately identify dermatology influencers, we appreciate their concerns regarding use of follower counts. As pointed out, follower counts do not always translate to influence, as accounts may have paid for followers. We thus agree on the importance of considering objective measures of engagement. In our study, we anticipated this concern and used two definitions of influencers: one based on follower count (greater than 40,000), and a second based on capturing high engagement (number of appearances in Instagram’s Top 9).

Our goal in the study was to identify accounts producing popular dermatology content. We were limited by the proprietary nature of Instagram’s algorithm for Top 9 posts. However, this algorithm most likely measures engagement (likes and comments) and growth.² While one of our criteria identified accounts based on the Top 9, our second definition (based on follower counts) was meant to be an objective alternative, regardless of how these followers were obtained. We reasoned that using both criteria together would provide the best representation of the most popular influencers.

In future research, identifying influencers may be improved with new analytics. Bressler and Zampella make a compelling argument for engagement rate (ER). Following their suggestion to use SocialBlade, an ER measurement tool, we compiled engagement rates of our study’s identified influencers (Table 1). SocialBlade calculates ER based on the creator’s 20 most recent posts. Overall, our influencers’ average ER (3.21%) matched the average ER across all public Instagram users.³ Accounts with more followers (lower average ER) had a larger estimated user reach compared to accounts with fewer followers (higher average ER). Further, board-certified dermatologists had a lower ER (1.87%) than other groups.

Despite its utility, ER also has weaknesses, e.g. users can purchase likes and comments. Future studies should carefully consider the merits and faults of various metrics and discuss their limitations.

Ultimately, no perfect or consensus metric for influencers currently exists. Still, multiple different definitions (follower count, top 9 appearances, and ER) consistently demonstrated data supporting one conclusion: board-certified dermatologists represent a tiny fraction of users generating the most popular Instagram dermatology content. The American Academy of Dermatology and other organizations may recognize the potential, investing more in Instagram, but have yet to master the new medium. One study of Facebook regarding skin cancer prevention found that most messages from professional organizations were didactic and focused on skin cancer risk using a strategy of fear appeal, which may prove ineffective in gaining social media influence.⁴ We encourage dermatologists and dermatology organizations to engage and generate quality posts accessible to the dermatology-seeking Instagram audience. Successful engagement may require different strategies, such as positive messaging, comedic meme use, hiring social-media managers, and interacting with popular influencers, especially prominent figures outside the traditional dermatology community with a larger reach.

References

1. Ranpariya V, Chu B, Fathy R, Lipoff JB. Dermatology without Dermatologists? Analyzing Instagram influencers with dermatology-related hashtags. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. Published online May 2020:S0190962220308707. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2020.05.039
2. How to Get Into Instagram's 'Top Posts' for a Hashtag. Business 2 Community. Accessed July 19, 2020. <https://www.business2community.com/instagram/get-instagrams-top-posts-hashtag-01920608>
3. nikkitravelled. The State of Instagram Influencer Marketing (+ Free Report). Later Blog. Published December 9, 2019. Accessed July 19, 2020. <https://later.com/blog/instagram-influencer-marketing-report/>
4. Nosrati A, Pimentel MA, Falzone A, et al. Skin cancer prevention messages on Facebook: Likes, shares, and comments. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2018;79(3):582-585.e1.

Table Legend

Table 1. Engagement rates associated with influencer criteria

Table 1. Engagement rates associated with influencer criteria

		N*	Average Engagement Rate**	Estimated User Reach***
Influencer Criteria ¹	All	132	3.21%	6 – 45,457
	Top 9, ≥ 5 times	64	3.45%	6 – 39,474
	$\geq 40k$ followers	103	2.77%	60 – 45,457
	Board-Certified Dermatologists	6	1.87%	322 – 4,099
Influencer Stratification by <i>Later</i> ³	Nano (<10k followers)	14	6.17%	6 - 976
	Micro (10k-50k followers)	34	3.12%	187 – 3,632
	Mid-Tier (50k-300k followers)	69	2.86%	60 – 35,989
	Macro ($\geq 300k$ followers)	15	2.27%	1513 – 45,457

* 14 out of 146 influencers were excluded due to unavailable engagement rate (ER) on SocialBlade¹

**Calculated by SocialBlade.com based on an account's 20 most recent posts (as of 7/17/20)

***Defined as minimum and maximum user reach per criteria. User reach calculated as influencer's follower count from original data¹ X influencer's ER