

Testing the APT with the Maximum Sharpe Ratio of Extracted Factors - Erratum

Chu Zhang*

August, 2009

*Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (HKUST), Email: czhang@ust.hk, Tel: (852) 2358-7684. The note is an erratum of errors/typos in the paper “Testing the APT with the Maximum Sharpe Ratio of Extracted Factors,” published in *Management Science* 55, pp1255-1266 in 2009.

1. Error

In the Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT) literature, the return-generating processes are usually stated in two ways. The first is to write

$$r_t = a + Bf_t + \varepsilon_t, \quad (1)$$

where r_t is the n -vector of returns in excess of the riskfree rate over period t , f_t is the k -vector of systematic factors over t , $E\varepsilon_t = 0$ and $Ef_t\varepsilon_t' = 0$. If f_t is chosen as excess returns on factor-mimicking portfolio, then $Ef_t \equiv \mu_f$ is the factor premium, $\mu \equiv Er_t = a + B\mu_f$, and a is vector of pricing errors associated with f_t relative to the exact version of the APT: $\mu = B\mu_f$. It is important to note that $B'a = 0$ as assumed in the APT. The second way is to write

$$r_t = \mu + B\tilde{f}_t + \varepsilon_t, \quad (2)$$

where $E\tilde{f}_t = 0$, $E\varepsilon_t = 0$ and $E\tilde{f}_t\varepsilon_t' = 0$. The two expressions are equivalent with $\tilde{f}_t = f_t - \mu_f$ and $\mu = a + B\mu_f$.

Let $S_r = Er_t r_t'$, $S_f = Ef_t f_t'$, $S_{\tilde{f}} = E\tilde{f}_t \tilde{f}_t'$ and $\Sigma_\varepsilon = E\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_t'$ be the second moment matrices of the corresponding variables. Then from the two expressions of returns,

$$S_r = aa' + BS_f B' + \Sigma_\varepsilon + a\mu_f' B' + B\mu_f a' \quad (3)$$

$$= \mu\mu' + BS_{\tilde{f}} B' + \Sigma_\varepsilon. \quad (4)$$

The paper uses (1), However, Equation (2) in the paper mixes up the two expressions of S_r above by writing $S_r = aa' + BS_f B' + \Sigma_\varepsilon$, which is wrong for any finite n . The correct equation is (3) here.

The error does not affect the validity of the rest of the paper, however. Only the proof of Proposition 1 should be revised. Rewrite $S_r = aa' + B_g B_g' + \Sigma_\varepsilon + a\mu_g' B_g' + B_g \mu_g a'$ where $B_g = BS_f^{1/2}$ and $\mu_g = S_f^{-1/2} \mu_f$. Since by definition, Σ_ε has bounded eigenvalues, the number of unbounded eigenvalues is the same as the number of unbounded eigenvalues of

$S = aa' + B_g B_g' + a\mu_g' B_g' + B_g \mu_g a'$. It can be shown that the positive eigenvalues of S satisfy the following equation

$$\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha \mu_j^2 \frac{\beta_j}{\lambda - \beta_j} = \lambda, \quad (5)$$

where $\alpha = a'a$, $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \beta_k > 0$ are the positive eigenvalues of BB' , and $\mu_g = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)'$. If $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k, \alpha$ all tend to infinity, it's easy to see that any solution to (5) tend to infinity. If β_1, \dots, β_k tend to infinity, but $\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\alpha} < \infty$ (or remains bounded), then it can be verified that in the limit, $\lambda/\beta_j \rightarrow 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ for the k largest eigenvalues and $\lambda \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}(1 - \mu_g' \mu_g) > 0$, which is finite, (or remain bounded) for the smallest positive eigenvalue.

2. Typo

There is a typo in the proof of Proposition 2 (iii). It is a typo made by the publisher, which the author missed in the galley proof. In the published paper,

... It follows that, in the limit when n goes to infinity, the maximum squared Sharpe ratio is

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_r' \Sigma_r^{-1} \mu_r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a + B_g \mu_g)' (B_g \Sigma_g B_g' + \Sigma_\varepsilon)^{-1} (a + B_g \mu_g) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (B_g \mu_g)' (B_g \Sigma_g B_g')^+ (B_g \mu_g) = \mu_g' \Sigma_g^{-1} \mu_g = \mu_g' (I_k - \mu_g \mu_g')^{-1} \mu_g \\ &= \mu_g' [I_k + \mu_g \mu_g' / (1 - \mu_g' \mu_g)] \mu_g = \gamma / (1 - \gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where ...

The typo occurs in the last line of the formulas, which should be

$$= \mu_g' [I_k + \mu_g \mu_g' / (1 - \mu_g' \mu_g)] \mu_g = \gamma / (1 - \gamma).$$