Dynamic Contract Checking for OCaml - A Tutorial

Dana N. Xu INRIA France na.xu@inria.fr

May 17, 2011

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Contracts 2.1 Predicate contract 2.2 Dependent function contract 2.3 Pure functions in contracts 2.4 Specifying size properties 2.5 Higher order functions can be called in contracts 2.6 Higher order contracts 2.7 Dependent tuple contract	3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8
	2.8 Local contract declaration	9
3	Divergent functions in contracts	11
4	Contract violations in contracts are reported	11
5	Modules	12
6	Command line instructions	13
7	Contract checking algorithm behind the scene	14
8	Future features	14

1 Introduction

A contract in a programming language is a formal and checkable interface specification that allows programmers to declare what a function assumes and what

a function guarantees. In an OCaml program, you can specify a contract for a top-level function with a keyword contract. Consider a simple example:

The contract for f specifies that an input to f must be greater than 0 (indicated by $\{x \mid x > 0\}$) and f should guarantee that after taking a non-negative input, its output is greater than its input (indicated by $\{r \mid r > x\}$).

We can see two calls of f in basic.ml:

- t1 calls f with 2, which satisfies f's precondition;
- t2 calls f with 0, which violates f's precondition.

If we compile basic.ml and run it, we get:

```
Fatal error: exception
Contract_failure("basic.ml, line: 6, chars: 9-10,
Blame t2, because t2 fails f's precondition")
```

The error message gives the precise information where a contract violation occurs. It tells the programmer that in the file basic.ml, the call of f (at location (line 6, chars 9-10)) violates the contract and the function t2 (i.e. the caller) is at fault.

Without the contract declaration, a call $(f\ 0)$ gives the following run-time error message:

```
Fatal error: exception
  Failure("f requires a positive number")
```

which does not tell which caller of f invokes this failure.

Consider another example:

```
(* basic.ml *)
contract g = {x | x > 0} -> {r | r > x}
let g x = x - 1
let t3 = g 2
```

We would get the following run-time error message:

```
Fatal error: exception
Contract_failure("basic.ml, line: 11, chars: 9-10,
Blame g, because g's postcondition fails")
```

It says that the call of g (at location (line 11, chars 9-10)) causes a contract violation and the function g itself is at fault. From the definition of g, we can see that the result of g is not greater than its input, thus, violates g's postcondition.

From these examples, we can see that contracts give a way to reason about your program and help locating the function at fault precisely. This makes debugging more fun!

2 Contracts

Figure 1 gives the abstract syntax tree for contracts. We explain each of them in detail below. Note that the -> is right associative and the * binds stronger than -> without brackets.

```
t ::= \{x \mid p\} \qquad (* \text{ predicate contract } *)
| x:t_1 \to t_2 \quad (* \text{ dependent function contract } *)
| x:t_1 * t_2 \quad (* \text{ dependent tuple contract } *)
```

Figure 1: Abstract Syntax Tree for Contracts

2.1 Predicate contract

We use set notation $\{x \mid p\}$ to mean $\forall x.p$ holds, where the p is a boolean-expression in OCaml. Currently, we allow arbitrary pure functions not raising exceptions to be called in the boolean expression p. For example, we may define a function sorted, which tests whether a list is sorted.

We may have a function insert, which inserts an item to a sorted list and returns a sorted list.

We can now define a function insertion_sort which always returns a sorted list.

As the function insert plays a key role, we would like to test it with t1.

```
let t1 = insert 5 [3;1;6]
```

We get this run-time error message:

```
Fatal error: exception
Contract_failure("insertionsort.ml, line: 19, chars: 9-15,
Blame t1, because t1 fails insert's precondition")
```

Clearly, it is t1's fault not to provide a sorted list as insert's second argument. For example, a programmer makes a careless mistake writing > instead of <=:

Testing it with t2:

```
let t1 = insert 5 [1;3;6]
```

We get a run-time error message:

which indicates that the careless mistake prevents insert producing a result that satisfies its postcondition. The second half of the message "or insert violates its parameter's contract" is useful for a function whose parameter is a function itself. The details can be found in Section 2.6.

2.2 Dependent function contract

Dependent function contracts allow us to specify a dependency between input and output in a function's postcondition. Its full notation is $x: t_1 \to t_2$ as shown in Figure 1 where x denotes an element in the precondition t_1 and can be used in the postcondition t_2 . A simple example of a dependent function contract is:

```
contract f = k:\{x \mid x > 0\} \rightarrow \{r \mid r > k\}
```

where k denotes an element in the precondition $\{x \mid x > 0\}$ and we can refer to k in the scope of the postcondition $\{r \mid \dots \}$. If k denotes an element of a predicate contract, we can omit the k, for example:

```
contract f = \{x \mid x > 0\} \rightarrow \{r \mid r > x\}
```

We allow such shorthand by assuming the x in the precondition $\{x \mid \dots \}$ scopes over the RHS of ->. However, if k denotes a (dependent) function contract, we cannot omit the k:. For example,

```
contract g = k:(\{x \mid x > 0\} \rightarrow \{y \mid y > x\}) \rightarrow \{z \mid z > 0\}
 \rightarrow \{r \mid r > k z\}
let g f x = f x + 1
```

when we refer to the function contract, we have to use k in $\{r \mid r > k z\}$. Note that we *cannot* refer the x and the y outside the scope of the function contract, such as

```
contract g = k: (\{x \mid x > 0\} \rightarrow \{y \mid y > x\}) \rightarrow \{z \mid z = x\}
\rightarrow \{r \mid r > k z\}
```

2.3 Pure functions in contracts

Properties in contracts are all defined using pure expressions in OCaml itself. Logical operators are also pure functions. Note that the (&&) and (||) in the stdlib are lazily defined and can be used directly. If you want strict versions, you can have the following.

```
let (&&) x y = if x then y else false
let (||) x y = if x then true else y
let (==>) x y = if x then y else true
let not x = if x then false else true
```

Let us see more examples of what can be specified in contracts.

```
let null xs = match xs with
            | [] -> true
            | _ -> false
contract hd = \{xs \mid not (null xs)\} \rightarrow \{r \mid true\}
let hd xs = match xs with
          | [] -> failwith "hd"
          | x::_ -> x
contract tl = {xs | not (null xs)} -> {r | true}
let tl xs = match xs with
          | [] -> failwith "tl"
          | _::1 -> 1
contract risers = {xs | true}
                 \rightarrow {r | not (null xs) ==> not (null r)}
let rec risers xs = match xs with
       | [] -> []
       | [x] -> [[x]]
```

We can see that preconditions of the functions hd and tl are always fulfilled.

2.4 Specifying size properties

In many cases, we want to specify size information of a function. For example:

Function combine requires both arguments to have the same length and the length of the resulting list is the same as that of the first argument.

For another example:

Function zip does the same as combine except that it stops combining two lists when the shorter list reaches its end.

2.5 Higher order functions can be called in contracts

We can define a higher-order function filter whose result is asserted with the help of another recursive higher-order function for_all.

2.6 Higher order contracts

A higher order contract allows t_1 in $x:t_1\to t_2$ to be a (dependent) function contract itself. For example:

```
(* ho.ml *)
(* val f1 : (int -> int) -> int *)
contract f1 = ({x | x > 0} -> {y | y >= x}) -> {z | z > 0}
let f1 g = (g 0) - 5
let t1 = f1 (fun x -> x + 1)
```

In this case, we get run-time error message:

```
Fatal error: exception
Contract_failure("ho.ml, line: 5, chars: 9-11,
Blame f1, because f1's postcondition fails or
f1 violates its parameter's contract")
```

It is because f1 does not use g in a way that satisfies g's precondition. But this is detected at the call site of f1, i.e. (line 6, chars 9-11).

For another example:

```
contract f2 = (\{x \mid x > 0\} \rightarrow \{y \mid y >= x\}) \rightarrow \{z \mid z > 0\}
let f2 g = (g \ 1) - 5
```

We get the following error message:

```
Fatal error: exception
Contract_failure("ho.ml, line: 9, chars: 9-11,
Blame f2, because f2's postcondition fails")
```

We can see that the argument of f2, (fun x -> x + 1), satisfies f2's precondition. From the contract of f2, we can see that x > 0 and y >= x, so y > 0, that is, (g 1) > 0. However, (g 1) - 5 is not greater than 0, thus, f2 fails its postcondition $\{z \mid z > 0\}$. That is why the error message blames f2. The location (line 9, chars 9-11) refers to the call site of f2.

Moreover, if we have:

```
let t3 = f2 (fun x -> x - 1)
```

we have error message:

```
Fatal error: exception
Contract_failure("ho.ml, line: 11, chars: 9-11,
Blame t3, because t3 fails f2's precondition")
```

The location (line 11, chars 9-11) is the call site of f2. Since t3 calls f2 with a function that fails f2's precondition, the function t3 is blamed.

2.7 Dependent tuple contract

Currently, we allow tuple contract of arbitrary length. For example, we may have:

Function f takes a tuple of an array arr and an index i and access the ith element in the array. The contract of f requires the index to be within the bounds of the array, i.e. greater or equal to 0 and less than the length of the array. It also specifies that the result of f should be the same as the second component of the argument (i.e. the index). We can see that the call in t1 satisfies f's precondition while the call in t2 violates f's precondition and gives the following run-time error message:

```
Fatal error: exception
Contract_failure("tuple.ml, line: 9, chars: 9-10,
Blame t2, because t2 fails f's precondition")
```

Given a dependent tuple contract $x: t_1 * t_2$, if t_1 denotes a predicate contract, we can use shorthand, for example,

```
{arr | true} * {i | 0 <= i && i < Array.length arr}
```

by assuming the variable arr scopes over the second component. However, if t_1 is a (dependent) function contract, we cannot omit the x in $x:t_1*t_2$. For example:

```
k: (\{x \mid x > 0\} \rightarrow \{y \mid y > x\}) * \{z \mid z > k 0\}
```

we cannot omit the k if we want to use it in $\{z \mid \dots \}$.

Moreover, in the contract of f, we can see that the variable arr and i do not scope over the RHS of ->. In order to refer to the subcomponents of the argument, we have to define projection function that projects on the subcomponent such as fst and snd and use them instead.

Consider another example:

```
contract f1 = \{x \mid x > 0\} * \{y \mid y > 0\} \rightarrow \{z \mid z > 0\};; let f1 (a,b) = a let t3 = f1 (5, -1)
```

Although the second element of the tuple is not used in the definition of fstPos. Due to strict evaluation, a call fst (5, -1) fails fst's precondition.

Recall the syntax in Figure 1, we can also give fstPos the following contract.

```
contract fstPos = \{x \mid fst \ x > 0 \&\& snd \ x > fst \ x\}
-> \{r \mid r = fst \ x\}
let fstPos (a,b) = a
```

Here, we use a predicate contract for the parameter of fstPos and requires the second component to be greater than the first.

If we are only interested in the first element of the tuple, we can write:

```
contract fstPos = \{x \mid fst x > 0\} \rightarrow \{r \mid r = fst x\}
```

A call fstPos (5, -1) satisfies fstPos's precondition. However, a call

```
fstPos (5, failwith "fstPos: 2nd component")
```

gives error message:

```
Fatal error: exception Failure("fstPos: 2nd component")
```

It is because the contract $\{x \mid fst \mid x > 0\}$ requires that the first component of x to be greater than 0 and the second component to be any total expression. A *total* expression refers to an expression that neither diverges nor throws an exception.

2.8 Local contract declaration

It is possible to give a contract to a local let-binding. For example:

```
if x <= y then (x ::(y::ys), changed)
        else (y ::(x::ys), true)
in
let (result, changed) = bsorthelper xs in
if changed then bubblesort result
else result</pre>
```

let test_bubblesort = bubblesort [2,1,5]

We give a contract to the local function bsorthelper in a format

```
< function \ name >  sat < contract >  =  < function \ body >
```

which is analogic to the local function type declaration

```
< function \ name > : < type > = < function \ body >
```

In the above example, the result of bubblesort is sorted and thus satisfies its postcondition. Let us see another example:

The contract of the local function h requires its result to be greater than its input. The call (f 0) in the definition of t1 produces x+0 which is not greater than x. Thus, the postcondition of h is not satisfied and we get run-time error message:

```
Fatal error: exception

Contract_failure("local.ml, line: 6, chars: 17-18,

Blame h, because h's postcondition fails or

h violates its parameter's contract")
```

where the location (line 6, chars 17-18) refers to the call (h 6).

The local function k requires its input to be greater than 3, the call (f 4) in the definition of t2 satisfies this precondition. However, the function k calls the local function k with its argument and fails k precondition k > 5. Thus, the call k = 5, chars 26-27, in the definition of k, violates k precondition and the function k is to blame. So we get the following run-time error message:

```
Fatal error: exception
Contract_failure("local.ml, line: 5, chars: 26-27,
Blame k, because k fails h's precondition")
```

3 Divergent functions in contracts

We only have partial correctness for our contract framework. That is, a divergent expression satisfies any contract and a divergent expression is the only expression that satisfies $\{x \mid p\}$ where p evaluates to false.

Given

```
(* loop.ml *)
contract loop = {x | x > 0} -> {y | false}
let rec loop x = loop x
let t1 = loop 0
let t2 = loop 5
```

The call (loop 0) invokes error message:

```
Fatal error: exception
Contract_failure("loop.ml, line: 4, chars: 9-13,
Blame t1, because t1 fails loop's precondition")
```

while a call (loop 5) diverges (i.e. causes stack overflow).

4 Contract violations in contracts are reported

As we allow arbitrary pure functions to be called in contracts, it is possible that a call to a function throws an exception in contracts. For example:

```
(* c.ml *)
contract f1 = {x | true} -> {y | hd x > y}
let f1 x = 5
let t1 = f1 []
```

As we gave hd a contract, we can get run-time error message:

```
Fatal error: exception
Contract_failure("cntr.ml, line: 16, chars: 33-34,
Blame f1, because f1 fails hd's precondition")
```

The location (line 16, chars 33-34) refers to the call site of hd in the contract $\{y \mid hd x > y\}$. Here, "blame: f1" means blaming f1's contract.

For another example:

```
contract f2 = k:(\{x \mid x > 0\} -> \{y \mid y > x\}) -> \{z \mid k \ 0 < z\}
let f2 g = g 5
```

We have error message:

```
Fatal error: exception
Contract_failure("cntr.ml, line: 7, chars: 53-54,
Blame f2, because f2 fails k's precondition")
```

We blame the location of the call (k 0), which is in the contract of f2. Note, in this case, the f2 in f2 fails k's precondition refers to the contract of f2.

To use a partial function in contracts that may throw an exception, we recommend users to give sufficient precondition for the partial function used. For example:

We get error message:

```
Fatal error: exception

Contract_failure("cntr.ml, line: 18, chars: 9-11,

Blame t3, because t3 fails f3's precondition")
```

We can see that the precondition of hd is always satisfied when the call hd xs is reached.

5 Modules

We allow a contract to be defined directly next to its corresponding function in .ml files with a keyword contract mainly because programmers often want to specify contracts for functions that are not exported as well. Exported contracts are in .mli files where they should be syntactically the same as those in .ml files and declared after their type declaration. (That is, if a contract is specified for an exported function, programmers should write this contract in both .ml and .mli files and make sure that they are syntactically the same.) For example:

```
(* basic.mli *)
val f: int -> int
contract f = {x | x >= 0} -> {y | y > x}
```

It is possible not to write a .mli file. In this case, all contracts declared in the .ml file are presumed to be exported.

Similar ideas apply to nested modules: exported contracts are written in a module signature; if no module signature is given, we assume all contracts declared in the module are exported.

6 Command line instructions

Source code can be obtained by:

svn checkout https://yquem.inria.fr/caml/svn/ocaml/branches/contracts which is based on the source code of ocamlc-3.11.2. To build ocamlc from source, do:

```
$./configure
$make world
```

Small examples can be downloaded at:

```
http://gallium.inria.fr/~naxu/research/testcontracts.tar.bz2
```

I put the folder testcontracts and the folder contracts side by side in the same directory. To release .tar.bz2, do:

```
$tar -jxvf testcontracts.tar.bz2
```

To test one of the examples, e.g. basic.ml, you can do:

```
$make basic
$ocamlrun ./basic
```

To compile all examples:

```
$make all
```

If you have installed the newly built ocamlrun and ocamlc and you do not want to use a Makefile, you can compile your own example as usual:

```
$ocamlrun ocamlc -I stdlib -c basic.ml
$ocamlrun ocamlc -o basic -I stdlib basic.cmo
$ocamlrun ./basic
```

If you want to install the newly built ocamle locally, you need do:

```
$make distclean
$./configure --prefix='pwd'/_install
$make world
$make install
```

This will install everything at local directory ./_install. For example, you can see ocamlc, ocamlrun at _install/bin/ and the standard library is at _install/lib/ocaml/. You need to give the full path to invoke them.

If you want to switch off the contract checking, you can do:

```
$ocamlrun ocamlc -I stdlib -nocontract -c basic.ml
```

You can look up the flag -nocontract with ocamlc -help.

7 Contract checking algorithm behind the scene

The beauty of contract checking is that it is simple! Given

contract
$$f = t$$
 let $f = e$

where the t refers to the contract of the function f and the e refers to the definition of f. The contract checking algorithm is:

$$\begin{array}{lll} e \biguplus_{l_2}^{l_1} \{x \mid p\} & = & \text{let } x = e \text{ in if } p \text{ then } x \text{ else blame } l_1 \\ \\ e \biguplus_{l_2}^{l_1} x \colon t_1 \to t_2 & = & \text{fun } v \to \left(e \ (v \biguplus_{l_1}^{l_2} t_1)\right) \biguplus_{l_2}^{l_1} t_2 [(v \biguplus_{l_1}^{l_2} t_1)/x] \\ \\ e \biguplus_{l_2}^{l_1} x \colon t_1 * t_2 & = & \text{match } e \text{ with} \\ \\ & & (x_1, x_2) \to \left(x_1 \biguplus_{l_2}^{l_1} t_1, x_2 \biguplus_{l_2}^{l_1} t_2 [(x_1 \biguplus_{l_1}^{l_2} t_1)/x]\right) \end{array}$$

The operator $\bowtie_1^{l_1}$ is called a wrapper, which wraps an expression with its contract. If the contract is satisfied, the original expression is returned; otherwise, a contract violation is reported. Here the l_i contains information about source location and function name of a callee (or a caller). The algorithm makes blaming of a callee or a caller precise. For more information related to contracts, you can read [1, 2].

8 Future features

More features we are considering include: conjunctive and disjunctive contracts, invariants for data types, polymorphic contracts, contract synonyms, etc.

Acknowledgement I would like to thank Xavier Leroy and Nicolas Pouillard for explaining the internal structure of the OCaml compiler.

References

- [1] Robert Bruce Findler and Matthias Felleisen. Contracts for higher-order functions. In *ICFP '02: Proceedings of the seventh ACM SIGPLAN international conference on Functional programming*, pages 48–59, New York, NY, USA, 2002. ACM Press.
- [2] Dana N. Xu, Simon L. Peyton Jones, and Koen Claessen. Static contract checking for haskell. In Zhong Shao and Benjamin C. Pierce, editors, POPL, pages 41–52. ACM, 2009.