# CS2040S 22/23 Sem 1

# Orders of Growth

 $\begin{aligned} 1 < \log n < \sqrt{n} < n < n \log n < n^2 < n^3 < 2^n < 2^{2n} \\ \log_a n < n^a < a^n < n! < n^n \end{aligned}$ 

# Types

# properties

Let T(n) = O(f(n)) and S(n) = O(g(n))• addition: T(n) + S(n) = O(f(n) + g(n))• multiplication: T(n) \* S(n) = O(f(n) \* g(n))• composition:  $f_1 \circ f_2 = O(g_1 \circ g_2)$ – only if both functions are increasing

## 1 HASH TABLES

• disadvantage: no successor/predecessor operation

# 1.1 hashing

Let the m be the table size; let n be the number of items; let cost(h) be the cost of the hash function

•  $load(hash\ table)$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{n}{m} = average\ number\ of\ items$  per bucket = expected number of items per bucket

## 1.1.1 hashing assumptions

# ullet simple uniform hashing assumption

- every key has an equal probability of being mapped to every bucket
- keys are mapped independently

# • uniform hashing assumption

- every key is equally likely to be mapped to every permutation, independent of every other key.
- NOT fulfilled by linear probing

# 1.1.2 properties of a good hash function

- 1. able to enumerate all possible buckets  $h:U\to \{1..m\}$ 
  - for every bucket j,  $\exists i$  such that h(key, i) = j
- 2. simple uniform hashing assumption

# 1.2 chaining

- time complexity
  - insert(key, value)  $O(1 + cost(h)) \Longrightarrow O(1)$ \* for n items: expected maximum cost  $\cdot = O(\log n)$   $\cdot = \Theta(\frac{\log n}{\log(\log(n))})$
  - search(key)

```
* worst case: O(n + cost(h)) \implies O(n)

* expected case: O(\frac{n}{m} + cost(h)) \implies O(1)
```

• total space: O(m+n)

# 1.3 open addressing - linear probing

- $hash(k) = (k\%m + i)\%TABLE\_SIZE$ (if collide, check next slot)
- delete(key)
  - use a tombstone value DON'T set to null

#### • performance

- if the table is  $\frac{1}{4}$  full, there will be clusters of size  $\Theta(\log n)$
- expected cost of an operation i.e no. of probes  $\leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$  (assume  $\alpha < 1$  and uniform hashing)

#### • advantages

- saves space (use empty slots vs linked list)
- better cache performance (table is one place in memory)
- rarely allocate memory (no new list-node allocation)

#### • disadvantages

- more sensitive to choice of hash function (primary clustering)
- more sensitive to load (as  $\alpha \to 1$ , performance degrades)

## 1.3.1 modified linear probing

```
hash(key)
(hash(key) + 1 * d) % m
(hash(key) + 2 * d) % m
(hash(key) + 3 * d) % m
```

d is some constant integer > 1 and is co-prime to m

## 1.3.2 quadratic probing

```
hash (key)

(hash (key) + 1) % m

(hash (key) + 4) % m

(hash (key) + 9) % m

:

(hash (key) + k^2) % m
```

• If  $\alpha < 0.5$  and m is prime, then we can always find an empty slot.

## 1.3.3 double hashing

 $(hash(key) + i * hash_2(key))\%TABLE\_SIZE$ 

ullet Secondary hash function must not evaluate to 0

• To solve this problem, simply change  $hash_2(key)$  to:  $hash_2(key) = n - (key\%n)$ Prevents secondary clustering

| sort      | best               | average       | worst         | stable? | in-place |
|-----------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|----------|
| bubble    | $\Omega(n)$        | $O(n^2)$      | $O(n^2)$      | ✓       | ✓        |
| radix     | $\Omega(n)$        | O(n)          | O(n)          | ✓       | ×        |
| selection | $\Omega(n^2)$      | $O(n^2)$      | $O(n^2)$      | ×       | ✓        |
| insertion | $\Omega(n)$        | $O(n^2)$      | $O(n^2)$      | ✓       | ✓        |
| merge     | $\Omega(n \log n)$ | $O(n \log n)$ | $O(n \log n)$ | ✓       | ×        |
| quick     | $\Omega(n \log n)$ | $O(n \log n)$ | $O(n^2)$      | ×       | ✓        |
| heap      | $\Omega(n \log n)$ | $O(n \log n)$ | $O(n \log n)$ | ×       | ×        |

## sorting invariants

| $\mathbf{sort}$ | <b>invariant</b> (after $k$ iterations) | searching   |             |
|-----------------|---|-------------|-------------|
| bubble          | largest $k$ elements are sorted         | search      | average     |
| selection       | smallest $k$ elements are sorted        | linear      | O(n)        |
| insertion       | first $k$ slots are sorted              | binary      | $O(\log n)$ |
| merge           | given subarray is sorted                | quickSelect | O(n)        |
| quick           | partition is in the right position      |             |             |

data structures assuming O(1) comparison cost

| data structure            | search                      | insert                |  |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| sorted array              | $O(\log n)$                 | O(n)                  |  |
| unsorted array            | O(n)                        | O(1)                  |  |
| linked list               | O(n)                        | O(1)                  |  |
| tree $(kd/(a, b)/binary)$ | $O(\log n)$ or $O(h)$       | $O(\log n)$ or $O(h)$ |  |
| trie                      | O(L)                        | O(L)                  |  |
| symbol table              | O(1)                        | O(1)                  |  |
| chaining                  | O(n)                        | O(1)                  |  |
| open addressing           | $\frac{1}{1-\alpha} = O(1)$ | O(1)                  |  |

orders of growth

$$T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n) \qquad \Rightarrow O(n \log n)$$

$$T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n) \qquad \Rightarrow O(n)$$

$$T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(1) \qquad \Rightarrow O(n)$$

$$T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(1) \qquad \Rightarrow O(\log n)$$

$$T(n) = 2T(n-1) + O(1) \qquad \Rightarrow O(2^n)$$

$$T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n \log n) \qquad \Rightarrow O(n(\log n)^2)$$

$$T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{4}) + O(1) \qquad \Rightarrow O(\sqrt{n})$$

$$T(n) = T(n-c) + O(n) \qquad \Rightarrow O(n^2)$$