

Project4 - Car

LiuBaichuan
School of Data Science
Fudan University
16307130214

June 2, 2019

1 Warmup

1.1

$$P(C_2|D_2 = 0) = \frac{P(C_2, D_2=0)}{P(D_2=0)}$$

So we can get:

$$\begin{aligned} P(C_2|D_2 = 0) &\propto P(C_2, D_2 = 0) \\ P(C_2|D_2 = 0) &\propto P(D_2 = 0|C_2)P(C_2) \\ P(C_2|D_2 = 0) &\propto P(D_2 = 0|C_2) \sum_{C_1} P(C_2, C_1) \end{aligned}$$

Then I compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{C_1} P(C_2 = 0, C_1) &= \frac{1}{2} * \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} * (1 - \epsilon) \\ \sum_{C_1} P(C_2 = 1, C_1) &= \frac{1}{2} * \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} * (1 - \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

So we can simplify:

$$P(C_2|D_2 = 0) \propto P(D_2 = 0|C_2)$$

Normalized:

$$\begin{aligned} P(C_2 = 1|D_2 = 0) &= \frac{P(D_2=0|C_2=1)}{P(D_2=0|C_2=1)+P(D_2=0|C_2=0)} \\ P(C_2 = 1|D_2 = 0) &= \frac{\eta}{\eta+1-\eta} = \eta \end{aligned}$$

1.2

$$\begin{aligned}
P(C_2 = 1|D_2 = 0, D_3 = 1) &= \frac{C_2=1, D_2=0, D_3=1}{D_2=0, D_3=1} \\
P(C_2 = 1|D_2 = 0, D_3 = 1) &= \frac{\sum_{C_3} P(C_2=1, C_3, D_2=0, D_3=1)}{\sum_{C_3} \sum_{C_2} P(C_2, C_3, D_2=0, D_3=1)} \\
P(C_2 = 1|D_2 = 0, D_3 = 1) &= \frac{\eta(1-\epsilon)(1-\eta) + \eta\eta\epsilon}{\eta(1-\epsilon)(1-\eta) + \eta\eta\epsilon + \eta(1-\epsilon)(1-\eta) + (1-\eta)(1-\eta)\epsilon} \\
P(C_2 = 1|D_2 = 0, D_3 = 1) &= \frac{\eta[\eta\epsilon + (1-\eta)(1-\epsilon)]}{4\eta_2\epsilon - 2\eta_2 - 4\eta\epsilon + 2\eta + \epsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

1.3

1.3.1 i

Use what I have computed before, I can directly get:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(C_2 = 1|D_2 = 0) &= 0.2000 \\
P(C_2 = 1|D_2 = 0, D_3 = 1) &= 0.4157
\end{aligned}$$

1.3.2 ii

Because $\eta = 0.1$ and $\epsilon = 0.2$, so C_3 has a higher probability of being 1 like D_3 and C_2 has a higher probability of being 1 like C_2 . So based original evidence $D_2 = 0, D_3 = 1$ gives more possibility of being 1 for C_2 .

1.3.3 iii

$$\frac{0.2[0.2\epsilon + (1-0.2)(1-\epsilon)]}{0.16\epsilon - 0.08 - 0.8\epsilon + 0.4 + \epsilon} = 0.2$$

$$\epsilon = 0.5$$

The intuition is although C_3 has a higher probability of being 1 like D_3 , C_3 should not provide more evidence for $C_2 = 1$. When $\epsilon = 0.5$, $C_2 = 1$ and $C_2 = 0$ have the same probability of turning out $C_3 = 1$.

2 Other parts

Other parts please refer to my submitted codes.