

TABLE I
COMPARING *AutoCCAG* WITH *TCA-opt*, *TCA*, *CASA*, *HHSa* AND *CHiP* FOR 3-WAY CCAG ON THE REAL-WORLD AND IBM BENCHMARKS. THE RUN TIME IS MEASURED IN SECOND.

Instance	<i>AutoCCAG</i>		<i>TCA-opt</i>		<i>TCA</i>		<i>CASA</i>		<i>HHSa</i>		<i>CHiP</i>	
	min (avg)	time	min (avg)	time	min (avg)	time	min (avg)	time	min (avg)	time	size	time
Apache	132 (136.7)	732	141 (143.7)	848	154 (155.5)	896	245 (247.9)	874	– (–)	>1000	166	90947
Bugzilla	48 (48.0)	6	48 (48.0)	9	48 (48.0)	9	61 (64.6)	33	61 (64.3)	122	54	4218
GCC	73 (75.3)	757	80 (82.4)	798	81 (83)	894	112 (140)	894	– (–)	>1000	91	28671
SPIN-S	80 (80.0)	<1	80 (80.0)	1	80 (80.0)	3	98 (100.5)	4	81 (93.5)	12	93	1899
SPIN-V	190 (192.9)	900	198 (199.5)	19	198 (200.5)	219	224 (233.1)	671	210 (214)	663	205	1513
Banking1	45 (45.0)	<1	45 (45.0)	1	45 (45.0)	<1	45 (46.2)	<1	45 (45.0)	<1	45	378
Banking2	30 (30.0)	<1	30 (30.0)	<1	30 (30.0)	<1	30 (30.4)	<1	30 (30.0)	<1	30	142
CommProtocol	41 (41.0)	<1	41 (41.0)	2	41 (41.0)	1	41 (42.2)	<1	41 (41.3)	9	50	5846
Concurrency	8 (8.0)	<1	8 (8.0)	<1	8 (8.0)	<1	8 (8.0)	<1	8 (8.0)	<1	8	215
Healthcare1	96 (96.0)	<1	96 (96.0)	<1	96 (96.0)	<1	96 (96.6)	<1	96 (96.0)	6	103	1323
Healthcare2	51 (51.7)	127	51 (51.9)	193	51 (51.9)	215	53 (55.1)	6	53 (53.8)	3	52	524
Healthcare3	146 (149.3)	590	153 (154.4)	334	154 (154.9)	381	170 (175)	228	168 (176.5)	98	171	1676
Healthcare4	238 (238.4)	368	239 (241.1)	553	240 (241.4)	606	278 (286.7)	890	281 (287.8)	306	257	6927
Insurance	6851 (6851.0)	<1	6851 (6851.0)	3	6851 (6851.0)	11	7017 (7156.4)	760	– (–)	>1000	6851	115809
NetworkMgmt	1100 (1100.0)	<1	1100 (1100.0)	1	1100 (1100.0)	<1	1124 (1136.8)	5	1100 (1100.0)	58	1100	4523
ProcessorComm1	103 (104.9)	229	105 (105.5)	275	108 (108.4)	292	117 (120.7)	81	115 (120.3)	9	119	383
ProcessorComm2	120 (122.8)	217	125 (126.3)	354	126 (126.9)	377	141 (145.0)	233	138 (144.6)	198	164	2675
Services	815 (817.5)	283	840 (845.8)	116	842 (847.2)	145	853 (894)	442	825 (831.7)	314	942	37540
Storage1	25 (25.0)	<1	25 (25.0)	<1	25 (25.0)	<1	25 (25.0)	<1	25 (25.0)	1	25	1074
Storage2	54 (54.0)	<1	54 (54.0)	<1	54 (54.0)	<1	54 (55.8)	<1	54 (54.0)	2	54	23
Storage3	222 (222.0)	3	222 (222.0)	7	222 (222.0)	8	241 (248.8)	2	225 (225.9)	76	233	6076
Storage4	910 (910.0)	8	910 (910.0)	29	910 (910.0)	34	927 (951.6)	712	910 (910.8)	273	– ^a	– ^a
Storage5	1705 (1707.1)	615	1707 (1708.4)	636	1709 (1711.5)	773	1856 (1958.3)	972	– (–)	>1000	1879	35538
SystemMgmt	45 (45.0)	<1	45 (45.0)	<1	45 (45.0)	1	47 (48.3)	<1	45 (45.9)	2	50	173
Telecom	120 (120.0)	<1	120 (120.0)	<1	120 (120.0)	<1	120 (120.4)	<1	120 (120.0)	2	120	1043

^a As originally reported in the literature [1], *SQ-CHiP* finds a CCA with the size of 819 with the running time of 11029 seconds on instance ‘Storage4’. However, for solving 3-way CCAG, the model files for ‘Storage4’ adopted in our work and in the literature [1] are not exactly the same. Thus we mark ‘–’ for the result of *SQ-CHiP* on ‘Storage4’ in this table. Nevertheless, we have performed 10 independent runs of *AutoCCAG* on ‘Storage4’ as used in the literature [1], and all 10 runs can find CCAs with the size of 819 in 600 seconds.

References:

- [1] H. Mercan, C. Yilmaz, and K. Kaya, “CHiP: A configurable hybrid parallel covering array constructor,” IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. 45, no. 12, pp. 1270–1291, 2019.