TABLE I
COMPARING AutoCCAG WITH TCA-opt, TCA, CASA, HHSA AND CHIP FOR 2-WAY CCAG ON THE REAL-WORLD AND IBM
BENCHMARKS. THE RUN TIME IS MEASURED IN SECOND.

| Instance | AutoCCAG | | TCA-opt | | TCA | | CASA | | HHSA | | СНіР | |
|----------------|-------------|------|--------------------|------|--------------------|------|--------------------|------|------------------|------|-------|------|
| | min (avg) | time | min (avg) | time | min (avg) | time | min (avg) | time | min (avg) | time | size | time |
| Apache | 30 (30.0) | <1 | 30 (30.0) | 1 | 30 (30.0) | 2 | 33 (35.3) | 3 | 31 (31.9) | 52 | 31 | 957 |
| Bugzilla | 16 (16.0) | <1 | 16 (16.0) | <1 | 16 (16.0) | <1 | 16 (16.4) | <1 | 16 (16.2) | 3 | 16 | 147 |
| GCC | 16 (16.0) | 33 | 16 (16.0) | 343 | 16 (16.1) | 373 | 19 (21.7) | 36 | 19 (19.8) | 36 | 17 | 471 |
| SPIN-S | 19 (19.0) | <1 | 19 (19.0) | 1 | 19 (19.0) | <1 | 19 (19.7) | <1 | 19 (19.2) | 2 | 19 | 35 |
| SPIN-V | 31 (31.0) | 108 | 32 (32.0) | 369 | 32 (32.1) | 366 | 36 (39.9) | 3 | 31 (32.3) | 14 | 32 | 334 |
| Banking1 | 13 (13.0) | <1 | 13 (13.0) | <1 | 13 (13.0) | <1 | 13 (13.0) | <1 | 13 (13.0) | <1 | 13 | 35 |
| Banking2 | 10 (10.0) | <1 | 10 (10.0) | <1 | 10 (10.0) | <1 | 10 (10.1) | <1 | 10 (10.0) | <1 | 10 | 19 |
| CommProtocol | 16 (16.0) | <1 | 16 (16.0) | <1 | 16 (16.0) | <1 | 16 (16.0) | <1 | 16 (16.0) | 7 | 16 | 292 |
| Concurrency | 5 (5.0) | <1 | 5 (5.0) | <1 | 5 (5.0) | <1 | 5 (5.0) | <1 | 5 (5.0) | <1 | 5 | 11 |
| Healthcare1 | 30 (30.0) | <1 | 30 (30.0) | <1 | 30 (30.0) | <1 | 30 (30.1) | <1 | 30 (30.0) | <1 | 30 | 141 |
| Healthcare2 | 14 (14.0) | <1 | 14 (14.0) | <1 | 14 (14.0) | <1 | 14 (14.9) | <1 | 14 (14.0) | 1 | 14 | 40 |
| Healthcare3 | 34 (34.0) | <1 | 34 (34.0) | <1 | 34 (34.0) | <1 | 34 (35.3) | <1 | 34 (34.1) | 3 | 34 | 208 |
| Healthcare4 | 46 (46.0) | <1 | 46 (46.0) | <1 | 46 (46.0) | 2 | 46 (46.9) | <1 | 46 (46.0) | 4 | 46 | 413 |
| Insurance | 527 (527.0) | <1 | 527 (527.0) | <1 | 527 (527.0) | <1 | 527 (540.6) | 3 | 527 (527.0) | 15 | 527 | 936 |
| NetworkMgmt | 110 (110.0) | <1 | 110 (110.0) | <1 | 110 (110.0) | <1 | 110 (117.0) | <1 | 110 (110.0) | 1 | 110 | 82 |
| ProcessorComm1 | 22 (22.0) | <1 | 22 (22.0) | 1 | 22 (22.0) | 2 | 22 (24.1) | <1 | 22 (22.7) | <1 | 22 | 65 |
| ProcessorComm2 | 25 (25.0) | <1 | 25 (25.0) | 2 | 25 (25.0) | 3 | 26 (27.6) | <1 | 25 (27.3) | 3 | 27 | 320 |
| Services | 100 (100.0) | 1 | 100 (100.1) | 254 | 100 (100.2) | 261 | 102 (105.1) | <1 | 100 (100.0) | 3 | 106 | 4220 |
| Storage1 | 17 (17.0) | <1 | 17 (17.0) | <1 | 17 (17.0) | <1 | 17 (17.2) | <1 | 17 (17.0) | 3 | 17 | 71 |
| Storage2 | 18 (18.0) | <1 | 18 (18.0) | <1 | 18 (18.0) | <1 | 18 (18.0) | <1 | 18 (18.0) | 1 | 18 | 28 |
| Storage3 | 50 (50.0) | <1 | 50 (50.0) | <1 | 50 (50.0) | <1 | 50 (51.7) | <1 | 50 (50.0) | 1 | 50 | 455 |
| Storage4 | 130 (130.0) | <1 | 130 (130.0) | <1 | 130 (130.0) | <1 | 130 (130.4) | <1 | 130 (130.0) | 2 | $-^a$ | -a |
| Storage5 | 215 (215.0) | <1 | 215 (215.0) | 1 | 215 (215.0) | <1 | 215 (221.3) | 8 | 215 (215.0) | 13 | 215 | 1856 |
| SystemMgmt | 15 (15.0) | <1 | 15 (15.0) | <1 | 15 (15.0) | <1 | 15 (16.1) | <1 | 15 (15.0) | <1 | 15 | 33 |
| Telecom | 30 (30.0) | <1 | 30 (30.0) | <1 | 30 (30.0) | <1 | 30 (30.2) | <1 | 30 (30.0) | <1 | 30 | 122 |

^a As originally reported in the literature [1], *SQ-CHiP* finds a CCA with the size of 117 with the running time of 699 seconds on instance 'Storage4'. However, for solving 2-way CCAG, the model files for 'Storage4' adopted in our work and in the literature [1] are not exactly the same. Thus we mark '-' for the result of *SQ-CHiP* on 'Storage4' in this table. Nevertheless, we have performed 10 independent runs of *AutoCCAG* on 'Storage4' as used in the literature [1], and all 10 runs can find CCAs with the size of 117 in less than 1 second.

References:

[1] H. Mercan, C. Yilmaz, and K. Kaya, "CHiP: A configurable hybrid parallel covering array constructor," IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. 45, no. 12, pp. 1270–1291, 2019.