GDA

Generative Learning Algorithms

- Gaussian Discriminant Analysis (GDA)
- Generative and discriminative comparison
- Naive Bayes

Discriminative:

learn
$$p(y|x)$$
 or $h_{ heta}(x) = egin{cases} 1 \ 0 \end{cases}$ directly.

Generative learning algorithm:

learn
$$p(x|y)$$
 and $p(y)$ — class prior; x — feature, y — class

Bayes rule:

$$p(y=1|x)=rac{p(x|y=1)p(y=1)}{p(x)}$$
 where $p(x)=p(x|y=1)p(y=1)+p(x|y=0)p(y=0)$

Gaussian Discriminant Analysis (GDA)

Suppose
$$x \in R^n$$
 (drop $x_0 = 1$ convention)

Assume p(x|y) is Gaussian (features of each class follow multivariate Gaussian)

$$x|y=0 \sim N(\mu,\Sigma); x|y=1 \sim N(\mu,\Sigma)$$

GDA model: parameters $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in R^n, \Sigma \in R^{n imes n}, \phi \in R$

$$p(x|y=0) = rac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}|\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp(-rac{1}{2}(x-\mu_0)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu_0))$$

$$p(x|y=1) = rac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}|\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp(-rac{1}{2}(x-\mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu_1))$$

$$p(y) = \phi^y (1 - \phi)^{1-y}$$
 or $P(y = 1) = \phi$

Training set:
$$\{(x^{(i)},y^{(i)})\}_{i=1}^m$$

Joint likelihood:
$$L(\phi,\mu_0,\mu_1,\Sigma)=\Pi_{i=1}^m p(x^{(i)},y^{(i)};\phi,\mu_0,\mu_1,\Sigma)=\Pi_{i=1}^m p(x^{(i)}|y^{(i)})p(y^{(i)})$$

In contrast, Discriminative: maximize

Conditional likelihood: $L(heta) = \Pi_{i=1}^m p(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)}, heta)$

Maximum likelihood estimation:

$$\begin{split} \max_{\phi,\mu_0,\mu_1,\Sigma} L(\phi,\mu_0,\mu_1,\Sigma) &\to \\ \phi &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)}}{m} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m L\{y^{(i)}=1\}}{m} \quad \text{proportion of } y^{(i)} = 1 \\ \mu_0 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m L\{y^{(i)}=0\}x^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^m L\{y^{(i)}=0\}} \quad \text{look at all benign tumors and take averages} \\ \mu_1 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m L\{y^{(i)}=1\}x^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^m L\{y^{(i)}=1\}} \\ \Sigma &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (x^{(i)} - \mu_{y^{(i)}}) (x^{(i)} - \mu_{y^{(i)}})^T \end{split}$$

Prediction:
$$rg \max_y p(y|x) = rg \max_y rac{p(x|y)p(y)}{p(x)} = rg \max_y p(x|y)p(y)$$

Compared to Logistics Regression: has stronger assumption; if nearly Gaussian (most cases), performs better, else (e.g. poisson distribution) worse; more efficient