

## Problem

Initially, Chef had an array  $A$  of length  $N$ . Chef performs the following operation on  $A$  **at most** once:

- Select  $L$  and  $R$  such that  $1 \leq L \leq R \leq N$  and set  $A_i := A_i + 1$  for all  $L \leq i \leq R$ .

Determine the **maximum** number of *inversions* Chef can decrease from the array  $A$  by applying the operation **at most** once.

More formally, let the final array obtained after applying the operation **at most** once be  $B$ . You need to determine the **maximum** value of  $\text{inv}(A) - \text{inv}(B)$  (where  $\text{inv}(X)$  denotes the number of *inversions* in array  $X$ ).

**Note:** The number of *inversions* in an array  $X$  is the number of pairs  $(i, j)$  such that  $1 \leq i < j \leq N$  and  $X_i > X_j$ .

## Input Format

- The first line contains a single integer  $T$  — the number of test cases. Then the test cases follow.
- The first line of each test case contains an integer  $N$  — the size of the array  $A$ .
- The second line of each test case contains  $N$  space-separated integers  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N$  denoting the array  $A$ .

## Output Format

For each test case, output the **maximum** value of  $\text{inv}(A) - \text{inv}(B)$  which can be obtained after applying at most one operation.

## Constraints

- $1 \leq T \leq 10^5$
- $1 \leq N \leq 10^5$
- $1 \leq A_i \leq N$
- Sum of  $N$  over all test cases does not exceed  $2 \cdot 10^5$ .

## Sample 1:

Input	Output
3	2
5	0
4 2 3 1 5	3
6	
1 2 3 4 5 6	
4	
2 1 1 1	

## Explanation:

**Test case 1:** The initial array  $A$  is  $[4, 2, 3, 1, 5]$  which has 5 inversions. We can perform operation on  $L = 3, R = 4$ . The resultant array will be  $[4, 2, 4, 2, 5]$  which has 3 inversions. Therefore we reduce the number of inversion by 2 which is the maximum decrement possible.

**Test case 2:** The initial array  $A$  is  $[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]$  which has 0 inversions. In this case, we do not need to apply any operation and the final array  $B$  will be same as the initial array  $A$ . Therefore the maximum possible decrement in inversions is 0.

**Test case 3:** The initial array  $A$  is  $[2, 1, 1, 1]$  which has 3 inversions. We can perform operation on  $L = 2, R = 4$ . The resultant array will be  $[2, 2, 2, 2]$  which has 0 inversions. Therefore we reduce the number of inversion by 3 which is the maximum decrement possible.

Did you like the problem statement?

39 users found this helpful



More Info



Contributors

