

**BY ORDER OF THE
SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE**

**AIR FORCE MANUAL 11-2UV-18,
VOLUME 2**



23 SEPTEMBER 2020

Flying Operations

**UV-18 AIRCREW EVALUATION
CRITERIA**

COMPLIANCE WITH THIS PUBLICATION IS MANDATORY

ACCESSIBILITY: Publications and forms are available for downloading or ordering on the e-Publishing website at www.e-Publishing.af.mil.

RELEASABILITY: There are no releasability restrictions on this publication.

OPR: 19 AF/DOV

Certified by: AF/A3T
(Maj Gen James A. Jacobson)

Supersedes: AFI11-2UV18 V2,
19 March 2015

Pages: 34

This publication implements Air Force Policy Document (AFPD) 11-2, *Aircrew Operations*, Air Force Instruction (AFI) 11-200, *Aircrew Training, Standardization/Evaluation, and General Operations Structure*, and AFI 11-202V2, *Aircrew Standardization and Evaluation Program*. It establishes procedures and criteria for evaluation of all aircrews performing duties in the UV-18 aircraft. This manual applies to uniformed members of the Regular Air Force. It also applies to Air Force Reserve and Air National Guard personnel in UV-18 associate instructor pilot programs. Ensure that all records created as a result of processes prescribed in this publication are maintained in accordance with AFI 33-322, *Records Management and Information Governance Program*, and disposed of in accordance with the Air Force Records Disposition Schedule located in the Air Force Records Information Management System. This manual requires the collection and or maintenance of information protected by the Privacy Act of 1974 authorized by Title 37 United States Code Section 301a, *Incentive Pay: aviation career*, and Executive Order 9397, *Numbering System for Federal Accounts Relating to Individual Persons*. The applicable SORN F011 AF XO A, *Aviation Resource Management System (ARMS)*, is available at: <http://dpcl.d.defense.gov/Privacy/SORNs.aspx>. Refer recommended changes and questions about this publication to the OPR using AF Form 847, *Recommendation for Change of Publication*; route AF Forms 847 from the field through the appropriate Stan/Eval functional chain. Field units below major command (MAJCOM)-level will coordinate copies of their supplements with their parent MAJCOM Stan/Eval office prior to approval. The authorities to waive wing/unit level requirements in this publication are identified with a Tier ("T-0, T-1, T-2, T-3") number following the compliance statement. See Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 33-360, *Publications and Forms Management*, for a description of the authorities

associated with the Tier numbers. Submit requests for waivers through the chain of command to the appropriate Tier waiver approval authority, or alternately, to the requestor's commander for non-tiered compliance items.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

This document has been substantially revised and must be completely reviewed. Major changes include updated emergency procedures requirements, clarified publication check requirements, expanded on prebriefing and debriefing criteria, and identified instructor pilot evaluation minimums.

Chapter 1—GENERAL	3
1.1. Roles and Responsibilities.	3
1.2. Procedures.....	3
1.3. Grading Instructions.	3
Table 1.1. General Evaluation Criteria.	4
1.4. Emergency Procedures Evaluation (EPE).....	4
1.5. Completion of AF Form 8.	4
Chapter 2—EVALUATION REQUIREMENTS	5
2.1. General.....	5
2.2. Requisites. Table 2.1.....	5
Table 2.1. Evaluation Requisites.	5
2.3. Copilot Evaluations.....	5
2.4. Pilot Evaluations.	6
2.5. Instructor Pilot Evaluations.....	6
Table 2.2. UV-18 Pilot Evaluation Requirements.	7
Chapter 3—EVALUATION CRITERIA	10
3.1. Evaluation Criteria. Table 3.....	10
3.2. Evaluations.....	10
Table 3.1. Evaluation Criteria.....	10
Attachment 1—GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION	33

Chapter 1

GENERAL

1.1. Roles and Responsibilities.

1.1.1. MAJCOM Director of Operations. The MAJCOM Director of Operations is responsible for establishing and managing the MAJCOM Stan/Eval program in accordance with AFI 11-202V2.

1.1.2. Operations Group Commander. The Operations Group Commander is responsible for establishing and maintaining the unit-level Stan/Eval program and ensuring flight examiners administer evaluations in accordance with AFI 11-202V2, and this publication. **(T-2)**.

1.1.3. Flight Examiners (FE). FEs are responsible for administering Stan/Eval programs in accordance with AFI 11-202V2, and this publication. **(T-2)**.

1.2. Procedures.

1.2.1. Conducting Evaluations. Units will conduct all evaluations in accordance with the provisions of AFI 11-202V2 and this publication. **(T-1)**.

1.2.2. FEs will use the evaluation criteria contained in this publication for conducting flight and emergency procedures evaluations (EPE). **(T-2)**. To ensure standard and objective evaluations, each FE must become thoroughly familiar with the prescribed evaluation criteria. **(T-2)**.

1.2.3. Unless specified, the examinee or FE may fly in the seat that best enables the FE to conduct a thorough evaluation. The FE normally occupies the left seat during periodic instructor mission evaluations.

1.2.4. Prior to the flight, the FE will brief the examinee on the purpose of the evaluation and how it is conducted. **(T-2)**. The examinee accomplishes required flight planning during the evaluation and furnishes the FE a copy of necessary mission data and maps (as required). **(T-2)**.

1.2.5. The FE will thoroughly debrief all aspects of the flight. **(T-2)**. Debriefs include the examinee's overall rating, specific deviations, area grades assigned (if other than qualified), and any required additional training. The FE will debrief a squadron supervisor after all evaluations. **(T-2)**. A squadron supervisor must attend the debrief if the overall grade is Qualification Level 2 (Q-2) or Qualification Level 3 (Q-3). **(T-2)**.

1.3. Grading Instructions.

1.3.1. The general evaluation criteria in [Table 1.1](#) apply during all phases of flight (except as noted in [Table 3.1](#) for specific maneuver items). **(T-2)**.

Table 1.1. General Evaluation Criteria.

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	General Area	Q	Q-	U
1	Altitude	±100 feet	±200 feet	Exceeds Q- limits
2	Airspeed	±5 knots indicated airspeed (KIAS)	±10 KIAS	Exceeds Q- limits
3	Heading	Maintains/rolls out ±15° of desired heading	Maintains/rolls out ±30° of desired heading	Exceeds Q- limits

1.3.2. FEs will use the evaluation criteria in **Table 3.1** to determine individual area grades. **(T-2)**. When individual areas are performed well above the grading criteria standards, FEs will make appropriate comments stating performance and/or instruction was commendable in the Examiner's Remarks in the Comments block of the AF Form 8, *Certificate of Aircrew Qualification*. **(T-2)**.

1.3.3. If the examinee receives an unqualified grade in any critical area, the overall grade for the evaluation will be unqualified (Q-3). **(T-2)**.

1.4. Emergency Procedures Evaluation (EPE).

1.4.1. The FE will administer an oral EPE either on the ground or in flight. **(T-2)**. During the evaluation, the FE will include a sampling of emergency procedures resolved to a logical conclusion. **(T-2)**.

1.4.2. The FE will evaluate the following items on an instrument/qualification EPE:

1.4.2.1. General knowledge to include aircraft systems, operating procedures, and the National Airspace System. **(T-2)**.

1.4.2.2. Emergency procedures. Evaluate all boldface procedures. **(T-2)**.

1.4.2.3. Unusual attitude recoveries. **(T-2)**.

1.4.2.4. Alternate or divert airfields. **(T-2)**.

1.4.2.5. Cockpit/crew resource management. **(T-2)**.

1.4.3. The FE will include an evaluation of jump emergencies on a mission EPE. **(T-2)**.

1.4.4. Units will not permit examinees receiving an overall unqualified grade (Q-3) because of an unsatisfactory EPE to fly in any aircrew position until the examinee completes a successful reevaluation. **(T-2)**. For each EPE graded "qualified with additional training required," the FE will indicate whether the additional training must be accomplished before the next flight. **(T-2)**.

1.5. Completion of AF Form 8. Record and certify aircrew member qualification using the AF Form 8 in accordance with AFI 11-202V2. **(T-2)**.

Chapter 2

EVALUATION REQUIREMENTS

2.1. General.

2.1.1. There are five types of evaluations in the UV-18: qualification (QUAL), mission (MSN), instrument (INSTM), instructor (INSTR), and SPOT. Evaluations include requisites and required areas. **Table 2.1** indicates when a requisite is required (R) for an evaluation. **Table 2.2** prescribes required areas that must be included in the flight evaluation profile. (T-2). Evaluation areas are aligned under the type of evaluation.

2.1.2. If it is impossible to accomplish a required area in flight, the FE may elect to evaluate the areas by an alternate method (aircrew training device, orally, etc.) in order to complete the evaluation. If the FE determines the required item cannot be adequately evaluated by an alternate method, the examinee must complete an additional flight to complete the evaluation. (T-2). The FE will document the alternate evaluation method in the Examiner's Remarks in the Comments block of the AF Form 8. (T-2).

2.2. Requisites. **Table 2.1** indicates the minimum requisites for each type of evaluation. When periodic evaluations are combined, accomplish all requisites for each evaluation and document in the ground phase of the AF Form 8. (T-2). Units may combine similar exams into a single test and enter as one requisite on the AF Form 8.

Table 2.1. Evaluation Requisites.

I T E M	A	B	C	D	E	F
	Requisite	QUAL	MSN	INSTM	INSTR	SPOT
1	Open Book Exam	R				
2	Closed Book Exam	R				
3	Boldface Exam	R	R			
4	Instrument Exam			R		
5	EPE	R	R		R	

2.3. Copilot Evaluations.

2.3.1. All copilot evaluations are combined INSTM and QUAL evaluations. (T-2). For mission copilots, include the MSN evaluation. (T-2). The FE will brief the sortie profile and fly from the left seat. (T-2).

2.3.2. Include all required areas from **Table 2.2** in the flight evaluation profile. (T-2).

2.3.3. The examinee will accomplish a normal instrument flight rules departure; perform normal approaches and fly a visual pattern; accomplish at least one non-precision and one precision approach; and perform 0-, 10-, and 20-degree flap landings. (T-2).

2.3.4. Evaluate a sampling of instrument maneuvers including unusual attitudes, holding, fix-to-fix, circling, or arcing. **(T-2)**. On combined MSN evaluations, evaluate Area 33, Wind Drift Indicator (WDI)/Jump Pattern Procedures (simulated or actual). **(T-2)**.

2.4. Pilot Evaluations.

2.4.1. All evaluations are combined INSTM and QUAL evaluations. **(T-2)**. The FE will brief the sortie profile and fly the profile from the right seat. **(T-2)**.

2.4.2. Include all required areas from **Table 2.2** in the flight evaluation profile. **(T-2)**.

2.4.3. The examinee will accomplish a normal instrument flight rules departure; perform normal and single engine approaches and fly a visual pattern; accomplish at least one non-precision and one precision approach; and perform 0-, 10-, and 20-degree flap landings. **(T-2)**.

2.4.4. Evaluate a sampling of instrument maneuvers, including unusual attitudes, holding, fix-to-fix, circling, or arcing. **(T-2)**. For combined MSN evaluations, evaluate Area 33, WDI/Jump Pattern Procedures (simulated or actual). **(T-2)**.

2.5. Instructor Pilot Evaluations.

2.5.1. To initially qualify as an instructor, a pilot must successfully complete a dedicated initial instructor evaluation (INIT INSTR). **(T-2)**. Subsequently, crewmembers designated as instructors will be evaluated on their ability to instruct during all periodic evaluations. **(T-2)**. FEs will act as students for the purpose of evaluating the examinee's instructional ability. **(T-2)**. The examinee will debrief the examiner's simulated student performance after the sortie, will debrief the mission objectives, and properly assess debrief focus points. **(T-2)**.

2.5.2. Following the initial MSN/INSTR evaluation, all periodic instructor pilot evaluations are combined MSN, QUAL, and INSTM evaluations to evaluate both proficiency and instructor ability. **(T-2)**. The examinee briefs the sortie profile and flies from the right seat. **(T-2)**.

2.5.3. Include all required areas from **Table 2.2** in the flight evaluation profile. **(T-2)**.

2.5.4. The examinee will accomplish a normal instrument flight rules departure; perform normal and single engine approaches and fly a visual pattern; accomplish at least one non-precision and one precision approach; and perform 0-, 10-, and 20-degree and full-flap flap landings. **(T-2)**.

2.5.5. Evaluate a sampling of instrument maneuvers, including unusual attitudes, holding, fix-to-fix, circling, or arcing. **(T-2)**. The examinee will accomplish Area 33, WDI/Jump Pattern Procedures. **(T-2)**.

2.5.6. The examinee will instruct all maneuvers while flying, and the evaluator, acting as a "student", will accomplish at least one area maneuver and one pattern to a go-around, touch-and-go, or landing in order to afford the examinee the chance to instruct and assess the "student". **(T-2)**.

Table 2.2. UV-18 Pilot Evaluation Requirements.

A R E A	A	B	C	D
	Title	Copilot	Pilot	Instructor Pilot
General				
1	General Knowledge	R	R	R
2	Emergency Procedures	R	R	R
3	Publications	R	R	R
4	Mission Planning	R	R	R
5	Mission Briefing			R
6	Ground Operations	R	R	R
7	Checklist Procedures	R	R	R
8	Takeoff	R	R	R
9	Communications/identification, friend or foe Procedures	R	R	R
10	Clearing	R	R	R
11	In-Flight Planning	R	R	R
12	Departure/En Route Navigation	R	R	R
13	Outbase Procedures	Note 1	Note 1	Note 1
14	Recovery	R	R	R
15	Mission Debriefing/Postflight Procedures	R	R	R
16	Task Management	R	R	R
17	Risk Management/Decision Making	R	R	R
18	Crew Coordination/Flight Integrity	R	R	R
19	Situational Awareness (Critical)	R	R	R
20	Airmanship (Critical)	R	R	R
21	Safety (Critical)	R	R	R
22	Aircrew Discipline (Critical)	R	R	R
Qualification				
23	Visual Pattern	R	R	R
24	Normal Landing	R	R	R
25	Simulated Single-Engine		R	R

A R E A	A	B	C	D
	Title	Copilot	Pilot	Instructor Pilot
	Flight/Approach/Pattern			
26	Simulated Single-Engine Landing		R	R
27	Simulated Single-Engine Go-around		R	R
28	Power-On Stall	R	R	Note 2
29	Traffic Pattern Stall	R	R	Note 2
30	Steep Turn	R	R	Note 3
31	Slow Flight	R	R	Note 3
32	Touch-and-Go Procedures			R
Mission				
33	WDI/Jump Pattern Procedures	R	R	R
34	Airspace Coordination	R	R	R
Instrument				
35	Basic Instrument Flight	R	R	R
36	Global Positioning System (GPS) Usage	R	R	R
37	Unusual Attitudes	Note 4	Note 4	Note 4
38	Holding/Procedure Turn	Note 4	Note 4	Note 4
39	Fix-to-Fix	Note 4	Note 4	Note 4
40	Arcing	Note 4	Note 4	Note 4
41	Circling Approach	Note 4	Note 4	Note 4
42	Missed Approach/Climbout	R	R	R
43	Transition to Landing	R	R	R
44	Nonprecision Approach	R	R	R
45	Precision Approach	R	R	R
Instructor				
46	Instructor Ability			R
Notes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Outbase procedures may be verbally evaluated during the ground evaluation in place of an out-and-back mission. 2. Sample either power-on or traffic pattern stalls. 3. Sample either slow flight or steep turns. 4. For INSTM evaluations, at least one of the following is required: unusual attitudes, holding, 				

A R E A	A	B	C	D
	Title	Copilot	Pilot	Instructor Pilot
fix-to-fix, arcing, or circling approach.				

Chapter 3

EVALUATION CRITERIA

3.1. Evaluation Criteria. Table 3. 1 lists the grading criteria for each specific grading area.

3.2. Evaluations. To ensure standard and objective evaluations, FEs will use the grading criteria in Table 3.1 for determining required proficiency standards for the corresponding grading area. (T-2).

Table 3.1. Evaluation Criteria.

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
1	Area 1. General Knowledge: a. Aircraft General.	Demonstrated a thorough knowledge of aircraft systems, limitations, and performance characteristics.	Knowledge of aircraft systems, limitations, and performance characteristics was sufficient to perform the mission safely. Demonstrated deficiencies either in depth of knowledge or comprehension.	Demonstrated unsatisfactory knowledge of aircraft systems, limitations, or performance characteristics.
	b. Flight Rules and Procedures.	Had a thorough knowledge of flight rules and procedures.	Had deficiencies in depth of knowledge.	Had inadequate knowledge of flight rules and procedures.
	c. Local Area Procedures.	Had a thorough knowledge of local area procedures.	Had deficiencies in depth of knowledge.	Had inadequate knowledge of local area procedures.
2	Area 2. Emergency Procedures.	Used all available indications to analyze the situation. Applied correct, immediate response to boldface and nonboldface emergency situations. Resolved the emergency situation to a logical conclusion. Effectively used checklist. If in flight, maintained	Missed some indications to analyze the situation. Response to boldface was correct. Response to certain areas of nonboldface emergencies or follow-on steps to boldface procedures was slow or confused. Used the checklist, but was slow to locate required data. If in flight, aircraft control was affected,	Was unable to correctly analyze problems or take corrective action. Made an incorrect response for boldface. Did not use checklist or lacked acceptable familiarity with its arrangement or contents. If in flight, aircraft control was potentially unsafe during performance

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
		aircraft control during performance of critical or noncritical action emergency procedures.	but still safe during performance of critical or noncritical action emergency procedures.	of critical or noncritical action emergency procedures.
3	Area 3. Publications.	Flight manuals and required directives were current, contained all supplements and changes, and were properly posted.	Flight manuals and required directives contained deficiencies that would not impact flight safety or mission accomplishment.	Flight manuals and required directives were outdated, missing, and/or contained deficiencies that would impact flight safety or mission accomplishment.
4	Area 4. Mission Planning.	Developed a sound plan to accomplish the mission (mission pilot or instructor pilot). Checked all factors applicable to flight according to applicable directives. Was aware of alternatives available, if flight could not be completed as planned. Complied with local go/no-go procedures. Prepared at briefing time.	Made minor errors or omissions that resulted in minor detractions to mission effectiveness. Demonstrated limited knowledge of performance capabilities or approved operating procedures or rules in some areas.	Made major errors or omissions that would have prevented a safe or effective mission. Displayed faulty knowledge of operating data or procedures. Did not comply with local go/no-go procedures. Was not prepared at briefing time.
5	Area 5. Mission Briefing.	Was well organized and professional. Established objectives. Crew clearly understood mission requirements. Concluded briefing in time to allow for	Was hard to follow. Dwelled on nonessential mission items. Omitted minor mission events.	Did not conclude in time for preflight and ground operations, which impacted mission accomplishment. Briefing created doubts or confusion. Omitted major

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
		preflight and ground operations.		mission events.
6	Area 6. Ground Operations.	Accurately determined readiness of aircraft for flight. Performed all pre-takeoff and post-landing checks in accordance with the flight manual and applicable directives. Taxied or reverse taxied the aircraft safely while maintaining proper control deflections and power settings. Visually cleared for ground traffic and obstacles.	Minor procedural deviations occurred that did not detract from mission effectiveness.	Failed to accurately determine readiness of aircraft for flight. Omitted major items of the appropriate checklist. Made major deviations in procedure that would prevent safe mission accomplishment. Taxied or reverse taxied in an unsafe manner.
7	Area 7. Checklist Procedures.	Completed all checklists in the prescribed order at a point in the mission as designated by aircraft flight manual and appropriate directives.	Required checklist items were missed or completed in the wrong order, but this did not significantly impact systems operations, crew coordination, or safe mission accomplishment.	Did not accomplish required checklists, which would potentially impact system operations, crew coordination, or safe mission accomplishment.
8	Area 8. Takeoff.	Maintained smooth aircraft control throughout takeoff. Performed takeoff in accordance with flight manual procedures and techniques. Transitioned to appropriate climb angle and airspeed.	Made minor flight manual procedural or technique deviations during takeoff or climbout. Control was rough or erratic.	Takeoff or climbout was potentially dangerous. Exceeded aircraft or systems limitations. Failed to establish proper climb attitude. Overcontrolled aircraft resulted in excessive deviations from intended flightpath.
9	Area 9.	Had complete	Occasionally deviated	Incorrect procedures

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
	Communication/ Identification, Friend or Foe Procedures.	knowledge of and compliance with correct communication and Identification, Friend or Foe procedures. Transmissions were concise and accurate and used proper terminology. Complied with and acknowledged all required instructions. Thoroughly familiar with communications security requirements. Intercockpit communications were clear, concise, and understood.	from correct procedures requiring retransmissions or resetting codes. Slow to initiate or missed several required calls. Made minor errors or omissions that did not significantly detract from situational awareness or mission accomplishment. Transmissions contain extraneous matter, were not in proper sequence, or used nonstandard terminology. Intercockpit communications were sometimes unclear or confusing, but did not significantly impact mission accomplishment or safety.	or poor performance caused confusion and jeopardized mission accomplishment. Omitted numerous required radio calls. Inaccurate or confusing terminology significantly detracted from situational awareness or mission accomplishment. Unclear or confusing intercockpit communications significantly impacted mission accomplishment or flight safety.
10	Area 10. Clearing.	Maintained constant vigilance during all phases of flight using visual and auditory information. Timely actions were taken to reduce potential conflicts.	Vigilance was intermittent throughout sortie. Was slow to take actions to reduce possible conflicts.	Clearing was inadequate and actions were not taken to reduce possible conflicts.
11	Area 11. In-Flight Planning.	Properly demonstrated fuel management. Adapted to fuel, time, weather, and/or airspace limitations.	Made errors in fuel management procedures that did not prevent mission accomplishment. Was slow to adjust mission profile for fuel or time	Failed to monitor fuel status or comply with established fuel requirements. Poor fuel or time management prevented mission

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
			limitations, weather, and airspace limits.	accomplishment. Did not adjust to weather and airspace.
12	Area 12. Departure/ En Route Navigation.	Performed departure and en route navigation as directed and/or in accordance with published or local directives.	Minor deviations occurred during completion of departure or en route navigation.	Failed to comply with departure procedures or en route navigation instructions.
13	Area 13. Outbase Procedures.	Demonstrated proper flight service station or base operations procedures. Conducted proper trip planning to include: AF Form 70, <i>Pilot's Flight Plan and Flight Log</i> , or equivalent; takeoff weight and climb performance; cargo loading; and fuels coordination. Knew proper aircraft handling requirements to include fueling, propeller locks, intake covers, tiedown points, and door locks.	Made minor errors in trip planning which did not detract from mission accomplishment. Displayed lack of knowledge in aircraft handling and security.	Performed unsuccessful planning to include improper use of AF Form 70. Made major errors in trip planning that detracted from mission accomplishment. Unable to properly or safely manage aircraft handling procedures.
14	Area 14. Recovery.	Flew as directed or via the published recovery routings.	Made minor deviations to published recovery routings.	Was unable to perform published recovery routings.
15	Area 15. Mission Debriefing/ Postflight Procedures.	Properly debriefed all applicable areas of the mission. Made a clear analysis of events or maneuvers. Answered all	Made minor errors or omissions in debriefing or mission critique. Was occasionally unclear in analysis of events or maneuvers. Minor errors in AFTO	Made major errors or omissions in debriefing. Analysis of events or maneuvers was incomplete, inaccurate, or

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
		crewmember questions. Properly completed AFTO Form 781, <i>Aviation Resource Management System Aircrew/Mission Flight Data Document</i> , and other postflight documentation.	Form 781 and other postflight documentation.	confusing. Left crewmembers with unanswered questions. Made major errors in AFTO Form 781 and other postflight documentation.
16	Area 16. Task Management.	Effectively set priorities in flight. Used other crewmembers when task overloaded. Recognized when other crewmembers were overloaded and assisted when required.	Made minor errors in prioritization or management of task that did not affect safe or effective mission accomplishment.	Incorrectly prioritized or managed tasks that seriously degraded mission accomplishment or potentially impacted safety of flight.
17	Area 17. Risk Management/ Decision making.	Effectively identified contingencies and alternatives. Gathered and cross-checked available data before deciding. Clearly stated decisions and ensured they were understood.	Made minor errors in identifying contingencies, gathering data, or communicating decisions that did not affect safe or effective mission accomplishment.	Improperly or ineffectively identified contingencies, gathered data, or communicated decisions that would have seriously degraded mission accomplishment or potentially impacted safety of flight.
18	Area 18. Crew Coordination/ Flight Integrity.	Effectively coordinated with other crewmember throughout the mission. Contributed to the smooth and efficient operation of the aircrew.	Crew coordination was adequate to accomplish the mission. Deficiencies in crew communication or interaction resulted in degraded crew efficiency.	Performed poor crew coordination that would have seriously degraded mission accomplishment or potentially impacted safety of flight.

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
19	Area 19. Situational Awareness (Critical).	Accurately analyzed flight conditions. Planned and acted in a timely manner to ensure safe mission accomplishment. Prioritization of flight requirements assured mission success.	(Note: Because this area is critical, Q- is not applicable.)	Misanalyzed flight conditions and/or failed to prioritize, plan, or act in a timely manner would have seriously degraded mission accomplishment or potentially impacted safety of flight.
20	Area 20. Airmanship (Critical).	Executed the assigned mission in a timely, efficient manner. Conducted the flight with a sense of understanding and comprehension.	(Note: Because this area is critical, Q- is not applicable.)	Made decisions or lack thereof that would have resulted in failure to accomplish the assigned mission. Demonstrated poor judgment to the extent that safety could have been compromised.
21	Area 21. Safety (Critical).	Was aware of and complied with all safety factors required for safe aircraft operation and mission accomplishment.	(Note: Because this area is critical, Q- is not applicable.)	Was not aware of or did not comply with all safety factors required for safe operation or mission accomplishment. Operated the aircraft in a dangerous manner. Knowingly violated established procedures or flight restrictions.
22	Area 22. Aircrew Discipline (Critical).	Demonstrated strict professional flight and crew discipline throughout all phases of the mission.	(Note: Because this area is critical, Q- is not applicable.)	Failed to exhibit strict flight or crew discipline. Violated flight restrictions or established procedures.
23	Area 23. Visual Pattern.	Flew the visual pattern using appropriate pattern	Performed patterns with minor deviations to procedures outlined	Patterns not performed according to procedures

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
		spacing, pattern altitude, and appropriate glidepath on final. Used proper analysis of pattern winds and flap condition to maintain pattern spacing. Used adequate pitch and power setting to maintain altitude (\pm 150 feet) and pattern airspeeds (- 5 to + 10 KIAS of briefed airspeed).	in the flight manual, operational procedures, and local directives. Aircraft control was safe, but not consistently smooth. Alignment with runway varied. Was slow to correct to proper or briefed airspeed. Airspeed was - 10 to + 15 KIAS.	outlined in the flight manual, operational procedures, and local directives. Performed erratic aircraft control. Made large deviations in runway alignment. Exceeded Q- parameters.
24	Area 24. Normal Landing.	While established on final, began a roundout and flare at a point appropriate to speed and configuration. Selected proper aim point and reduced power with consideration given to gross weight, winds, and runway length so as to touchdown was within prescribed landing zone. Removed any crab and/or drift prior to touchdown and maintained runway centerline. Touchdown occurred near the stall speed. Did not apply reverse thrust and brakes until the	Made minor procedural errors. Erratic aim point, airspeed, touchdown, or braking. Errors did not detract from safe handling of the situation.	Did not comply with applicable procedures. Erratic aimpoint, airspeed, touchdown, or braking potentially impacted safety of flight.

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
		nosewheel was down (full stop landings only).		
25	Area 25. Simulated Single-Engine Flight, Approach, and Pattern.	Maintained proper aircraft control while flying simulated single engine. Established proper pitch and power settings with the use of the good engine. Remained coordinated through use of flight controls. Proper analysis of pattern winds was used to maintain pattern spacing. Maintained pattern altitude (\pm 150 feet) and airspeed (- 0, + 10 KIAS).	Made minor deviations from recommended procedures, airspeed and altitudes and unnecessary maneuvering due to minor errors in planning or judgment. Safety was not compromised.	Made major deviations from recommended procedures, airspeed and altitudes. Required excessive maneuvering. Safety of flight was potentially compromised.
26	Area 26. Simulated Single-Engine Landing.	Set the proper pitch picture on final in order to achieve the proper roundout and landing attitude on touchdown. Reduced power at the proper point, giving consideration to gross weight, winds, and runway length. Landed on centerline with no crab. Upon touchdown, did not select reverse thrust. Maintained aero-braking until appropriate speed or	Made minor procedural errors. Performed erratic aim point, airspeed, touchdown, or braking. Errors did not detract from safe handling of the situation.	Did not comply with applicable procedures. Techniques were potentially unsafe or incorrect procedures were applied.

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
		condition. Used wheel braking to bring the aircraft to a stop without skidding.		
27	Area 27. Simulated Single-Engine Go-Around.	Safely executed the go-around procedure as per the single-engine go-around checklist. In the event of an unplanned go-around, made the decision to go around in a timely manner and with minimum altitude loss. Maintained aircraft control by use of rudder and aileron for minimum drag. Set throttle to calculated power setting.	Was slow to initiate a go-around or accomplish procedural steps.	Did not self-initiate a go-around when appropriate or directed. Techniques were potentially unsafe or incorrect procedures were applied.
28	Area 28. Power-On Stall.	Set approximately 20 to 25 degrees nose high and recognized the full stall indication for the power on stall. Accomplished recovery without adjusting power by releasing elevator back pressure and rolling wings level. Max performed the recovery for minimum altitude loss while avoiding secondary stalls.	Slow to set required pitch attitude. Adjusted power for recovery. Slow to release adequate back pressure or delayed rolling wings level. Had some secondary stall, but recognized promptly and corrected it.	Setup did not allow for full stall. Adjusted power for recovery. Did not release adequate back pressure or did not roll wings level. Secondary stalls delayed recovery. Had excessive altitude loss during recovery.
29	Area 29.	Recovered promptly	Was slow to analyze	Failed to correctly

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
	Traffic Pattern Stall.	at the first indication of a stall (aerodynamic buffet or stall warning horn) by relaxing back elevator pressure, adding power, and using rudder and ailerons to roll wings level. Recovered to the maneuver's starting airspeed and did not descend during recovery. Used correct flap configuration.	attitude or erratic in recovery to level flight. Was slow to recognize or use the proper power setting and configuration.	analyze attitude or failed to recover using correct recovery procedures.
30	Area 30. Steep Turn.	During turns of 45 or 60 degrees of bank, controlled airspeed (± 10 KIAS) and altitude (± 200 feet), and rolled out on desired point (± 10 degrees). Used sufficient rudder to remain coordinated throughout the maneuver.	Maintained planned altitude (± 300 feet). Rolled out on desired point (± 15 degrees) of planned heading. Maintained planned airspeed (± 15 KIAS).	Exceeded Q-criteria.
31	Area 31. Slow Flight.	Performed three consecutive turns of 90, 180, and 90 degrees. Rolled out on beginning heading (± 10 degrees). Maintained airspeed of 70 to 75 KIAS (± 5 KIAS) and altitude (± 100 feet) throughout the maneuver. Used	Rolled out on beginning heading (± 20 degrees). Maintained airspeed of 70 to 75 KIAS (± 10 KIAS) and altitude (± 200 feet) throughout the maneuver.	Exceeded Q-criteria.

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
		sufficient rudder to remain coordinated.		
32	Area 32. Touch-and-Go Procedures.	Executed touch-and-go procedures while maintaining proper aircraft control. Checklist items were accomplished smoothly. Reconfiguration accomplished correctly and timely. Landed with little deviation from runway centerline. Thoroughly briefed procedures and crew coordination.	Touchdown was outside prescribed landing zone, but did not impact safety of flight. Reconfiguration was unnecessarily delayed, but did not impact safety of flight.	Touchdown was outside prescribed landing zone which potentially impacted safety of flight. Delayed reconfiguration or used incorrect procedures. Procedures and crew coordination were not briefed.
33	Area 33. WDI/Jump Pattern Procedures.	Flew the WDI and/or jump patterns at briefed altitudes (- 100, + 200 feet) and 80 to 85 KIAS (± 5 KIAS). Displayed a good understanding of how winds affect the pattern. Planned each jump pattern to meet the appropriate timing requirements for the parachute drops. Accomplished this through proper drift corrections and knowledge of the jump run-in ground track. Used proper configuration and drop clearance lights.	Flew the WDI and/or jump patterns at briefed altitudes (- 200, + 300 feet) and 80 to 85 KIAS (± 10 KIAS). Displayed limited understanding of wind and drift correction. Made some errors in timing, proper drift corrections, and knowledge of run-in ground track. Slow to use proper configuration or drop clearance lights.	Exceeded Q-criteria. Consistently did not fly correct jump run-in ground track. Made incorrect adjustments to timing or wind drift that would not allow for safe jumper exit. Used incorrect configuration or drop clearance lights that potentially impacted safety.
34	Area 34. Airspace	Followed local area procedures and air	Made errors in following local area	Showed a lack of knowledge or

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
	Coordination: a. General.	traffic control instructions regarding area boundaries, required ground tracks, and altitude restrictions for all phases of flight. Efficiently used available airspace to safely accomplish the mission.	boundaries, ground tracks, and altitude restrictions. Made questionable, but safe decisions to efficiently use available airspace.	disregard for local area procedures or Air Traffic Control instructions. Made potentially unsafe decisions in airspace coordination.
	b. Jump Operations.	Coordinated for airspace usage by following the published procedures. Coordinated with appropriate agencies (drop zone control officer, Flight Service Station, Air Traffic Control, etc.). Obtained required clearance to drop.	Made some errors in airspace coordination that did not impact mission accomplishment, successful jump operations, or safety. Slow to obtain clearance to drop.	Made major errors in airspace coordination that potentially impacted mission accomplishment, successful jump operations, or safety. Did not obtain clearance prior to drop.
35	Area 35. Basic Instrument Flight.	Maintained proper airspeed, headings, and altitudes. When deviations occurred, timely corrections were made to place the aircraft back within proper parameters. Used the control performance concept in setting proper pitch and power settings to maintain airspeed, altitude, and heading.	Made minor deviations in airspeed, headings, and altitudes. Was slow to use the control performance concept in setting proper pitch and power settings, but maintained safe flight.	Was unable to maintain proper airspeed, heading, and altitude without potential compromise of safety.

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
36	Area 36. GPS Usage.	Performed turn-on and self-test, way point selection, and direct-to operation. Used navigation pages, wind calculation, nearest airport function, and message page viewing. Was able to properly load and fly GPS approaches and understand GPS displays. Performed flight plan entry, supplemental way point navigation and finding frequencies for nearest Flight Service Station or air route traffic control center.	Was able to perform major operations outlined in Q criteria. Was unable to perform minor operations.	Was unable to effectively use GPS.
37	Area 37. Unusual Attitudes.	Recovered to level flight expeditiously, using visual procedures or instrument procedures outlined in Air Force Manual (AFMAN) 11-202V3, <i>Flight Operations</i> , while not stalling or exceeding G-force or bank limitations.	Was slow to analyze attitude or was erratic in recovery to level flight. Used correct recovery procedures.	Was unable to determine attitude. Used improper recovery procedures.
38	Area 38. Holding/Procedure Turn.	Performed prescribed entry procedures and maintained designated track according to AFMAN 11-202V3,	Made minor deviations from prescribed procedures, but safely accomplished the procedure.	The holding or procedure turn was not according to published procedures and directives.

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
		and other appropriate directives.		
39	Area 39. Fix-to-Fix.	Fix-to-fix procedures were flown in accordance with steps outlined in AFMAN 11-202V3. An approximate initial heading was determined, followed by a sustained effort to continually update the initial heading to arrive at the fix (± 3 nautical miles [nm]).	Made frequent or large heading changes, reached fix ± 5 nm. Made minor deviations from prescribed procedures, but safely accomplished the procedure.	Fix-to-fix was not according to published procedures and directives. Exceeded Q- criteria.
40	Area 40. Arcing.	Proper aircraft control was maintained throughout this instrument procedure maintaining the arc (± 1 nm).	Followed instrument procedure to maintain the arc (± 2 nm). Made minor deviations from prescribed procedures, but safely accomplished the procedure.	Arcing was not according to published procedures and directives. Exceeded Q- criteria.
41	Area 41. Circling Approach.	Descended to the proper circling minimum descent altitude (MDA) (-0, + 150 feet). Circled in the proper direction. Executed procedure in accordance with AFMAN 11-202V3.	Descended to the proper circling MDA (-0, + 200 feet). Circled in the proper direction. Made minor deviations from prescribed procedures, but safely accomplished the procedure.	Circling was not according to published procedures and directives. Exceeds Q- criteria.
42	Area 42. Missed Approach/ Climbout.	Knew and executed the proper missed approach or climbout procedures. Understood the differences between missed approach and	Executed missed approach or climbout with minor deviations. Was slow to comply with published procedures, controller's instructions, or flight	Executed missed approach or climbout with major deviations or did not comply with applicable directives.

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
		climbout instructions and flew the appropriate procedure.	manual procedures.	
43	Area 43. Transition to Landing.	Accomplished the transition from the instrument approach to landing in accordance with AFMAN 11-202V3. Safely placed the aircraft in a position to land while avoiding tendencies to “duck under.”	Made a slow transition to the landing phase. Made minor deviations to the procedure, but was able to maintain safe flight.	Made a late transition to the landing phase. Excessive power and pitch inputs resulted in an excessively long or short landing. Unable to land out of the approach.
44	Area 44. Nonprecision Approach (may include automatic direction finder, very high frequency omnidirectional radio, very high frequency omnidirectional radio -distance measuring equipment, airport surveillance radar, localizer, or GPS).	Adhered to all published or directed procedures and restrictions. Used appropriate descent rate to arrive at MDA (- 0, + 100 feet) at or before the visual descent point. Position permitted a safe landing. Maintained airspeed (- 5, + 10 KIAS), Airport Surveillance Radar heading (± 5 degrees), very high frequency omnidirectional radio or nondirectional beacon course (± 4 degrees), course at missed approach point (± 5 degrees), GPS or localizer course (± 1 dot), and MDA (- 0, +100	Maintained airspeed (- 10, + 15 KIAS), ASR heading (± 10 degrees), very high frequency omnidirectional radio or nondirectional beacon course (± 8 degrees), GPS or localizer course (± 2 dots), and MDA (- 0, + 200 feet). Was able to perform a nonprecision approach with minor errors. Safety was never compromised.	Exceeded Q-criteria. Was unable to performed nonprecision approach without potentially compromising flight safety.

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
		feet).		
45	Area 45. Precision Approach (may include instrument landing system, GPS, or precision approach radar.	Performed procedures as published and according to applicable flight manual guidance. Made smooth and timely corrections to azimuth and glide slope. Complied with decision height. Aircraft position permitted a safe landing. Maintained airspeed (- 5, + 10 KIAS), instrument landing system glide slope or azimuth (± 1 dot), and precision approach radar heading (± 5 degrees).	Maintained airspeed (- 10, + 15 KIAS), instrument landing system glide slope or azimuth (- 1, + 2 dots), and precision approach radar heading (± 10 degrees). Was able to perform precision approaches with little or minor errors. Safety was never compromised.	Exceeded Q-criteria. Was unable to perform precision approach without potentially compromising flight safety.
46	Area 46. Instructor Ability: a. Briefing/ Debriefing.	Presented a comprehensive, prebriefing to include mission and training objectives and sortie overview. Properly debriefed the mission and all training objectives. Properly assessed and debriefed sortie focus points while appropriately managing student's time. Properly used training aids and reference material.	Made minor errors or omissions in briefing, debriefing, or mission critique. Incomplete use of training aids and reference material. Was occasionally unclear in analysis of events or maneuvers.	Made major errors or omissions in briefing or debriefing. Analysis of events or maneuvers was incomplete, inaccurate, or confusing. Did not use training aids or reference material effectively. Briefing or debriefing was below the caliber of that expected of instructors. Failed to define mission objectives or failed to effectively manage student's time.

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
	b. Demonstration of Maneuvers.	Performed required maneuvers within prescribed parameters. Provided concise, meaningful, in-flight commentary. Demonstrated instructor proficiency.	Performed required maneuvers with minor deviations from prescribed parameters. In-flight commentary was sometimes unclear.	Was unable to properly perform required maneuvers. Made major procedural errors. Did not provide in-flight commentary. Demonstrated below-average instructor proficiency.
	c. Instructor Knowledge.	Demonstrated in-depth knowledge of procedures; requirements; aircraft systems, performance, or characteristics; mission; and tactics beyond that expected of non-instructors.	Had deficiencies in depth of knowledge of procedures; requirements; aircraft systems, performance, or characteristics; mission; or tactics.	Was unfamiliar with procedures; requirements; aircraft systems, performance, or characteristics; mission; or tactics. A lack of knowledge in certain areas seriously detracted from instructor effectiveness.
	d. Ability To Instruct.	Was a proficient instructor. Clearly defined all mission requirements and any required additional training or corrective action. Instruction or evaluation was accurate, effective, and timely. Was completely aware of aircraft or mission situation at all times.	Problems in communication or analysis degraded effectiveness of instruction or evaluation.	Had inadequate ability to instruct or evaluate. Was unable to perform, teach, or assess techniques, procedures, systems use, or tactics. Did not remain aware of aircraft or mission situation at all times.
	e. Grading Practices.	Completed appropriate training or evaluation records accurately. Adequately assessed and recorded	Made minor errors or omissions in training or evaluation records. Comments were incomplete or slightly unclear.	Did not complete required forms or records. Comments were invalid, unclear, or did not accurately document

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
		performance. Comments were clear and pertinent.		performance.
47	Area 47. Formation Briefing (Lead).	Flight members clearly understood mission requirements. Concluded briefing in time to allow for individual crew briefs.	Briefing was hard to follow. Omitted minor mission events.	Flight members had doubts or confusion about mission requirements. Did not conclude briefing in time for individual crew briefings. Omitted major mission events.
48	Area 48. Communication/ Radio Procedures (Lead/Wing).	Interflight radio communications were clear, concise, and understood.	Interflight radio communications were sometimes unclear or confusing, but did not impact mission accomplishment or flight safety.	Unclear or confusing interflight radio communications significantly impacted mission accomplishment or flight safety.
49	Area 49. Taxi and Runup (Lead/Wing).	Taxi and engine runup were accomplished with appropriate taxi spacing, ground maneuvering, and wingman consideration.	Performed procedures with minor deviations not detrimental to safety or mission accomplishment.	Unable to accomplish taxi and engine runups as a formation which potentially compromised safety or mission accomplishment.
50	Area 50. Takeoff (Lead/Wing).	Maintained smooth aircraft control throughout takeoff. Performed according to local maneuver procedures and flight manual procedures and techniques.	Made minor procedural or technique deviations. Control was rough or erratic.	Takeoff was potentially dangerous. Failed to establish proper climb attitude. Overcontrolled the aircraft, resulting in excessive deviations from intended flightpath.
51	Area 51. Departure/Climb/ Leveloff: a. Lead.	Was smooth on controls. Gave appropriate wingman consideration. Performed according	Was occasionally rough on controls. Not unsafe, but lack of wingman consideration made it difficult for	Was rough on the controls. Did not consider wingman.

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
		to local maneuver procedures.	wingman to maintain position.	
	b. Wing.	Was smooth on controls. Performed according to local maneuver procedures.	Was occasionally rough on controls. Made minor deviations in maintaining wingman position.	Was rough on controls. Was unable to maintain wingman position.
52	Area 52. Route Formation: a. Lead.	Performed according to local maneuver procedures.	Minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations. Lack of wingman consideration made it difficult for wingman to maintain position.	Major deviations occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Did not monitor wingman's position or configuration. Potentially compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.
	b. Wing.	Performed according to local maneuver procedures.	Minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations.	Major deviations occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Potentially compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.
53	Area 53. Jump Position: a. Lead.	Performed according to local maneuver procedures.	Minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations. Lack of wingman consideration made it difficult for wingman to maintain position.	Major deviations occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Did not monitor wingman's position or configuration. Potentially compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.
	b. Wing.	Performed according to local maneuver procedures.	Minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations.	Major deviations occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Potentially

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
				compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.
54	Area 54. Crossunder: a. Lead.	Performed according to local maneuver procedures.	Minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations. Lack of wingman consideration made it difficult for wingman to maintain position.	Major deviations occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Did not monitor wingman's position or configuration. Potentially compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.
	b. Wing.	Performed according to local maneuver procedures.	Minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations.	Major deviations occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Potentially compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.
55	Area 55. Confidence Maneuvers: a. Lead.	Performed according to local maneuver procedures.	Minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations. Lack of wingman consideration made it difficult for wingman to maintain position.	Major deviations occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Did not monitor wingman's position or configuration. Potentially compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.
	b. Wing.	Performed according to local maneuver procedures.	Minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations.	Major deviations occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Potentially compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.
56	Area 56.	Lead was decisive	Lead was slow to	Excessive time was

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
	Position Change (Lead/Wing).	and clearly directed lead change, with wingman in an appropriate position according to applicable flight manuals.	position the aircraft to perform the lead change. Wingman was slow to position the aircraft to perform the lead change.	taken to accomplish lead change. Procedure was not conducted according to directives.
57	Area 57. Pitchout (Lead/Wing).	Performed according to local maneuver procedures.	Minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations.	Major deviations occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Potentially compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.
58	Area 58. Rejoin: a. Lead.	Performed according to local maneuver procedures.	Minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations. Lack of wingman consideration made it difficult for wingman to maintain position.	Major deviations occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Did not monitor wingman's position or configuration. Potentially compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.
	b. Wing.	Performed according to local maneuver procedures.	Minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations.	Major deviations occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Potentially compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.
59	Area 59. Overshoot (Wing).	Recognized the situation and took appropriate action. Performed according to local maneuver procedures.	Slow recognition and minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations.	Major deviations occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Potentially compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.

I T E M	A	B	C	D
	Grading Area	Grading Criteria		
		Q	Q-	U
60	Area 60. Breakout (Lead/Wing).	Recognized the situation and took appropriate action. Performed local maneuver procedures.	Slow recognition and minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations.	Major deviations occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Potentially compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.
61	Area 61. Lost Sight (Lead/Wing).	Recognized the situation and took appropriate action. Performed according to local maneuver procedures.	Slow recognition and minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations.	Major deviation occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Potentially compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.
62	Area 62. Lost Wingman (Lead/ Wing).	Recognized the situation and took appropriate action. Performed local maneuver procedures.	Slow recognition and minor errors occurred, but did not detract from maneuver accomplishment or safe flight operations.	Major deviation occurred. Was unable to perform maneuver. Potentially compromised safety in an attempt to complete maneuver.
63	Area 63. Descent/Pattern Entry/Landing (Lead/Wing).	Performed descent and traffic entry as published or directed and complied with all restrictions or directives.	Minor deviations in airspeed and navigation occurred during descent and traffic entry.	Failed to comply with published or directed descent and traffic entry instructions or directives.

JOSEPH T. GUASTELLA, Lt Gen, USAF
Deputy Chief of Staff, Operations

Attachment 1**GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION*****References***

AFPD 11-2, *Aircrew Operations*, 31 January 2019

AFI 11-200, *Aircrew Training, Standardization/Evaluation, and General Operations Structure*, 21 September 2018

AFI 11-202V2, *Aircrew Standardization and Evaluation Program*, 6 December 2018

DAFI 33-360, *Publications and Forms Management*, 1 December 2015

AFI 33-322, *Records Management and Information Governance Program*, 23 March 2020

AFMAN 11-202V3, *Flight Operations*, 10 June 2020

Adopted Forms

AF Form 8, *Certificate of Aircrew Qualification*

AF Form 70, *Pilot's Flight Plan and Flight Log*

AF Form 847, *Recommendation for Change of Publication*

AFTO Form 781, *Aviation Resource Management System Aircrew/Mission Flight Data Document*

Abbreviations and Acronyms

AFI—Air Force instruction

AFMAN—Air Force manual

AFPD—Air Force policy directive

DAFI—Department of the Air Force instruction

EPE—emergency procedure evaluation

FE—flight examiner

GPS—Global Positioning System

INIT—initial

INSTM—instrument (evaluation)

INSTR—instructor (evaluation)

KIAS—knots indicated airspeed

MAJCOM—major command

MDA—minimum descent altitude

MSN—mission (evaluation)

NM—nautical mile

OPR—office of primary responsibility

QUAL—qualification (evaluation)

R—required

Stan/Eval—standardization and evaluation

WDI—wind drift indicator

Terms

Additional Training—Any training recommended by the flight examiner to remedy a discrepancy identified during an evaluation that cannot be remedied during the evaluation debrief.

Aircrew Training Device (ATD)—A training platform suitable to conduct evaluations.

Emergency Procedures Evaluation (EPE)—An evaluation of an aircrew member's knowledge and skill with respect to MDS-Specific Emergency Procedures and systems.

Requisites—Requirements such as examinations, EPEs, Boldface/CAPs, etc., that must be successfully accomplished before an Aircrew Evaluation is considered complete. Requires AF Form 8/8a documentation.

SPOT—An optional evaluation of a specific event or requirement without intending to satisfy the requirements of a periodic evaluation and/or an initial instructor evaluation.