

## DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE WASHINGTON DC

AFI36-2406 AFGM2024-01

17 January 2024

## MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION C MAJCOMs/FLDCOMs/FOAs/DRUs

FROM: SAF/MR

1040 Air Force Pentagon Washington, DC 20330-1040

SUBJECT: Air Force Guidance Memorandum to Air Force Instruction 36-2406, Officer and Enlisted Evaluations Systems

By Order of the Secretary of the Air Force, this Air Force Guidance Memorandum immediately changes Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 36-2406, *Officer and Enlisted Evaluations Systems*. Compliance with this memorandum is mandatory. To the extent its directions are inconsistent with other Department of the Air Force publications, the information herein prevails in accordance with DAFI 90-160, *Publications and Forms Management* and Department of the Air Force Manual (DAFMAN) 90-161, *Publishing Processes and Procedures*. This guidance is applicable to the Regular Air Force, Air Force Reserve, and the Air National Guard.

This memorandum establishes this publication as an Air Force Instruction and updates AF Form 716, *Enlisted Performance Brief*, as a dynamic evaluation form for all United States Air Force enlisted grades. Further, the guidance in this publication no longer applies to members of the United States Space Force; policy in SpFI 36-2401, *Guardian Evaluations Systems*, prevails.

Ensure all records created as a result of processes prescribed in this publication are maintained in accordance with AFI 33-322, *Records Management and Information Governance Program*, and disposed of in accordance with the Air Force Records Disposition Schedule (RDS) located in the Air Force Records Management System.

This memorandum becomes void after one year has elapsed from the date of this memorandum, or upon incorporation by an interim change to, or rewrite of AFI 36-2406, whichever is earlier.

The point of contact for this publication is AF/A1PPP, af.a1ppp.workflow@us.af.mil.

GWENDOLYN R. DEFILIPPI Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary (Manpower and Reserve Affairs)

Attachment: Guidance Changes to AFI 36-2406

## AFI36-2406 AFGM2024-01

(Replace) 1.2.4. AF Form 707, Officer Performance Report (OPR) (Lt thru Col); AF Form 715, Officer Performance Brief; DAF Form 910, Enlisted Performance Report (AB/Spc1 thru TSgt); AF Form 911, Enlisted Performance Report (MSgt thru SMSgt); AF Form 912, Enlisted Performance Report (CMSgt); AF Form 716, Enlisted Performance Brief. Use to document performance as well as provide information for making promotion recommendations and other management decisions.

(Replace) 4.2. Enlisted Evaluation Forms. All enlisted members will use myEval to process ALQ evaluations. See Table 4.14. The AF Form 716 will be used by exception only (see paragraph 1.3.3.1).

- **4.2.1. DELETE**
- 4.2.2. DELETE
- 4.2.3. DELETE
- 4.2.3.1. DELETE
- 4.2.3.2. DELETE

# (Replace) Table 4.14. (RegAF and ARC only) Instructions for Preparing an Enlisted ALQ Evaluation

	ENLISTED PERFORMANCE BRIEF			
I	A	В	С	
T				
$\mathbf{E}$	Heading	Instructions	Example	
M				
1	Grade	Select appropriate grade. See	SrA, SSgt (S),	
		paragraph 1.4.9.	SSgt, TSgt (S),	
			TSgt, MSgt (S),	
			MSgt, SMSgt (S),	
			SMSgt, CMSgt	
			(S), CMSgt	
2	Name	Enter Last Name, First Name,	DOE,	
		Middle Initial, and any suffix	MATTHEW A.	
		(e.g., JR., SR., III). If there is no		
		middle initial, the use of "NMI"		
		is optional. Name will be in all		
		upper case.		

3	DoDID	Enter full DoDID number	1234567890
4	Duty Title	Enter the approved duty title from MilPDS as of the SCOD or in the event of a PCS or PCA, the information as of the accounting date.	Admin NCOIC
		If the duty title is abbreviated and entries are not clear text, spell them out. Consult with the CSS/MPF for any corrective actions. Ensure the duty title is commensurate with the ratee's grade, AFSC, and responsibility. Refer to the <i>Enlisted Force Structure</i> for guidance pertinent to duty titles.	
		(use format in example)	
		For personnel on a 365-day extended deployment, use the deployed duty title.	
5	DAFSC	Enter DAFSC held as of the "THRU" date of the evaluation, including prefix and suffix, if applicable, or in the event of a PCS or PCA, enter information as of the accounting date. 365-day extended deployments will use the TDY DAFSC.	3F051
6	Reason	Select the reason for evaluation.	Annual, Biennial, Directed by Commander, or Directed by HAF

<b>aragraph</b> SrA: 31 Mar 2023 – 30 Mar 2024
- 30 Mai 2024
ay of SSgt Select/SSgt:
ne SCOD 31 Jan 2023 – Jan
de. See 30 2024
iations.
TSgt Select/TSgt:
30 Nov 2023 – 29
Nov 2024
3.60
MSgt
Select/MSgt: 30 Sep 2023 – 29
Sep 2023 – 29 Sep 2024
Sep 2024
SMSgt
Select/SMSgt: 31
Jul – 30 Jul 2024
CMSgt
Select/CMSgt: 31
May 2023 – 30
May 2024
ays of 365
graph 4.8.
Non-Rated 120
dance with
f close-out   123d Fighter
a PCS or Squadron (ACC)
as of the
enclature
plicate
on notice.
nd to
S.
d inside
extended he hama
he home
v at"
, PIRR and
rmation

		111 41 4 6 4 6 4 1	T
		will be that of unit of attachment.	
11	Logation	See paragraph 1.4.7.	ID I amalass
11	Location	Enter information as of close-out date.	JB Langley- Eustis, VA
12	Duty Decement on	Comments in narrative format	Eusus, VA
12	Duty Description		Sympomyiana 2
		are mandatory.  Enter information about the	Supervises 2 Airmen. Authors
		position the ratee held in the unit	guidance on
		and the nature or level of job	performance
		responsibilities. The rater	evaluations.
		develops the information for this	Prepares lesson
		section.	plans for ALS
		section.	curriculum.
		This description must reflect the	Carricarani.
		uniqueness of each ratee's job.	
		Be specific—include level of	
		responsibility, number of people	
		supervised, dollar value of	
		resources accountable	
		for/projects managed, etc. Make	
		it clear; use plain English. Avoid	
		jargon and topical references—	
		they obscure rather than clarify	
		meaning. Only acronyms on the	
		approved acronym list are	
		authorized.	
		Previous jobs held during the	
		reporting period may be	
		mentioned only if it impacts the	
		evaluation.	
		365-day extended deployments	
		will use the TDY duty	
		description.	
	RATER ASSESSMENT		
13	Executing the Mission	Comments are mandatory; must	See paragraph
		include at least one performance	1.3.3.2.
		statement. See paragraph	
		<b>1.6.3.11.1.</b> May use "THIS	
		SECTION NOT USED" as a	
		mandatory performance	
11	Landing Paopla	statement.	Saa naraaranh
14	Leading People	Comments are mandatory; must include at least one performance	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.
		merude at reast one periormance	1.3.3.4.

		statement. See paragraph 1.6.3.11.2. May use "THIS	
		SECTION NOT USED" as a	
		mandatory performance	
		statement.	
15	Managing Resources	Comments are mandatory; must include at least one performance statement. See paragraph	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.
		<b>1.6.3.11.3</b> . May use "THIS SECTION NOT USED" as a mandatory performance	
		statement.	
16	Improving the Unit	Comments are mandatory; must include at least one performance statement. See paragraph 1.6.3.11.4. May use "THIS SECTION NOT USED" as a mandatory performance statement.	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.
17	Mandatory Comments (Housing/Voting)	If ratee has oversight of military privatized housing and or is a voting assistance officer, enter the appropriate statement(s). Rater must also include a unique performance statement(s). See paragraphs 1.8.10, and 1.11.5.  If required, enter the applicable	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.
		statement(s) "The Ratee exercised effective oversight of military privatized housing." Or "The Ratee was not effective in oversight of military privatized housing."	
		If required, enter a unique performance statement on the ratee's performance as the voting assistance officer.	
18	Rater Name, Grade, and Branch of Service	Enter rater's information as of the close-out date. However, if the ratee has a PCS, PCA, or	Sue J. Doe, Col, USAF
		departs from a 365-day extended deployment on or after the accounting date, use the rater as	Sally S. Mesaros, SES, DAF

	T	1	T
		of the SCOD from the unit as of	Jeremy R. Dice,
		the established accounting date.	GS-15, DAF
		See paragraph 5.2.1.3.	
		Multiple general officers serving	
		as general evaluators are	
		prohibited, see paragraph	
		<b>1.7.1.7</b> for exceptions.	
19	Rater Duty Title	Enter rater's information as of	Commander
	-	the close-out date. However, if	
		the ratee has a PCS, PCA, or	
		departs from a 365-day extended	
		deployment on or after the	
		accounting date, use the rater as	
		of the SCOD from the unit as of	
		the established accounting date.	
		See paragraph 5.2.1.3.	
20	Rater Organization and	Enter rater's information as of	366th Fighter
	Command	the close-out date. However, if	Squadron (ACC)
		the ratee has a PCS, PCA, or	1
		departs from a 365-day extended	
		deployment on or after the	
		accounting date, use the rater as	
		of the SCOD from the unit as of	
		the established accounting date.	
		See paragraph 5.2.1.3.	
21	Rater Signature	The evaluations have digital	
	Tutter Signature	signature capability which	
		includes a date stamp. In the rare	
		instance where digital signatures	
		cannot be used, sign in	
		reproducible blue or black ink	
		and handwrite, stamp, or type the	
		date next to the signature (DD	
		MMM YY).	
		Do not sign blank forms that do	
		not contain comments and/or	
		ratings, sign before the close-out	
		date (only on or after), or date	
		before the date the rater signed it	
		or earlier than the date of the	
		ratee's endorsement to a referral	
		letter.	
		See paragraph 1.4.12.	

	HIGHER LEVEL REVIEV	VER ASSESSMENT	
22	CMSgt Only: Higher Responsibility	Select the block that accurately describes the ratee's next level of responsibility:	Use drop down function to select level of responsibility.
		READY NOW - Select this category when CMSgts are ready to immediately assume greater responsibility in a more challenging position than currently held.	
		ON-TRACK - Select this category when CMSgts are excelling in their current position, demonstrating growth potential, and are ready to transition to a position in a related specialty, or at a different organizational level, at the first available opportunity.	
		CURRENT ASSIGNMENT – Select this category when MSgts should remain in their current assignment for one or some of the following reasons: are not forecasted to be moved in the near-term; have not been evaluated as a CMSgt in their current position; may have a specific expertise required inplace; be in pre-defined tour lengths; or be in nominative positions.	
		GROOM - Select this category when CMSgts require additional grooming in their duty position or as a CMSgt prior to being placed in a position with greater responsibilities. These CMSgts may be ready for	

		increased responsibilities in the
		future.
		<b>DO NOT RETAIN</b> – Select this
		category when CMSgts are not
		recommended for retention. Do
		not retain recommendations
		constitute a referral evaluation
		and therefore require senior rater
		comments in Section II, part 1.
		Comments that exceed one line
		will require the use of a DAF
		Form 77.
22	SrA –TSgt Only: Promotion	This section is to be
	Recommendation	completed only when the
		member is eligible for a
		promotion recommendation.
		Promote (P):
		Recommended for
		promotion based on
		=
		performance at or above
		established DAF standards
		and expectations. Performs
		with the majority of
		personnel and at a level
		commensurate with peers.
		Must Promote (MP):
		Recommended for
		accelerated promotion based
		<u> </u>
		on stellar performance well
		above established DAF
		standards and expectations.
		Designated for outstanding
		performers who perform at a
		level higher than their peers.
		RegAF personnel receiving
		a "MP" receive a distinct
		promotion advantage over
		their peers.
		Promote Now (PN):
		Recommended for
		immediate promotion based

	T	1	
		on exemplary performance	
		that far exceeds established	
		DAF standards and	
		expectations. Reserved for	
		elite performers who	
		perform well above other	
		personnel in their peer	
		group. RegAF personnel	
		receiving a "PN" receive a	
		significant promotion	
		advantage over their peers.	
		and the same of th	
		Not Ready Now (NRN):	
		Not considered ready for	
		promotion at this time based	
		on the need for additional	
		grooming in the current	
		grade, or when personnel	
		may require specific	
		attention with regard to	
		performance of established	
		DAF standards and	
		expectations. NRN	
		evaluations do not	
		necessarily constitute a	
		referral, provided the report	
		contains no negative	
		comments.	
23	MSgt – SMSgt only:	(RegAF and AFR only) Senior	
	Stratification	rater HLRs may stratify up to	
		25% of SNCOs. The top 20% of	
		SMSgts and top 10% of MSgts	
		will receive a numerator and	
		denominator stratification (#x of	
		x). An additional 5% of SMSgts	
		and 15% of MSgts will receive a	
		stratification statement of "Top	
		25% of (respective grade). For	
		units with less than the required	
		TIG/TIS eligible members to	
		start normal rounding rules, a	
		stratification/endorsement	
		statement either in a numerator	
		and denominator format or	
		percentage format (e.g., "Top	
1	İ	P = 1 = 1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	

		25%") is authorized; the use of	
		both combined is not authorized.	
		If no stratification is used, enter	
		the statement, "THIS SECTION	
		NOT USED."	
		See paragraph 4.11.2.1.	
24	Rater Assessment	Concur/non-concur with the	
24	Ratel Assessment		
		rater's assessment by making the	
	7 7 1	appropriate selection.	** 1 1
25	Future Roles	(CMSgt Only) If the senior	Use drop down
		rater marks either "Ready	functions to select
		Now, On-Track, Current	future roles.
		Assignment, or Groom" then	
		select the block that accurately	
		describes the ideal future roles	
		(no more than two roles; first	
		recommendation or "primary	
		vector" has highest	
		precedence).	
		precedence).	
		Natas Sanjar ratara may nat	
		<b>Note:</b> Senior raters may not recommend future roles for	
		those ratees considered "Do	
		Not Retain" for higher	
		responsibility.	
		<b>Note:</b> Senior raters will	
		stratify all CMSgts receiving a	
		primary vector for the current	
		year's Command Chief	
		Screening Board. (T-1)	
		CMSgts being nominated will	
		be stratified against all CMSgts	
		under the senior rater's	
		purview, not just those CMSgts	
		eligible for or nominated for	
		CCM duty. (T-1) CMSgt	
		selects may not to be included	
		in the total number of CMSgts	
		under the senior rater's	
		purview.	
		<u> </u>	

		Stratification is prohibited for those CMSgts not receiving	
		nomination for the current	
		year's Command Chief	
		Screening Board. CCM	
		nominations must be	
		accompanied by a "Ready	
		Now" recommendation.	
		CMSgts not receiving a	
		"Ready Now" recommendation	
		for higher responsibility are not	
		eligible for a primary vector	
		CCM duty nomination.	
		(RegAF Only) CMSgt ratees may	
		only be nominated for CCM duty	
		provided they meet the minimum	
		CCM TIG requirements	
		established by AF/A1LE for the	
		applicable year's Command	
26	G (()	Chief Screening Board.	
26	Comment(s)	Comments are mandatory; must include at least one performance	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.
		statement. See paragraph	1.3.3.4.
		<b>1.6.3.11.1</b> . May use "THIS	
		SECTION NOT USED" as a	
		mandatory performance	
		statement.	
27	Higher Level Reviewer Name,	Enter the HLR's information.	Sue J. Doe, Col,
	Grade, and Branch of Service	The HLR is position-based.	USAF
		HLRs assigned on or prior to the	
		close-out date, enter information	Sally S. Mesaros,
		as of the close-out date; HLRs	SES, DAF
		assigned after the close-out date,	
		enter the information as of the	Jeremy R. Dice,
		date signed.	GS-15, DAF
		Multiple general officers serving	Jacob M. Freer,
		as evaluators are prohibited; see	Col, KSANG
		paragraph 1.7.1.7 for	
28	Higher Level Reviewer Duty	exceptions. (T-1)	Commander
	Title		
29	Higher Level Reviewer		123d Operations
	Organization and Command		Group (ACC)

30	Higher Level Reviewer Signature	The evaluations have digital signature capability which includes a date stamp. In the rare instance where digital signatures	
		cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp, or type the date next to the signature (DD MMM YY).	
		Do not sign blank forms that do not contain comments and/or ratings, sign before the close-out date (only on or after), or date before the date the rater signed it or earlier than the date of the ratee's endorsement to a referral letter.	
		See paragraph 1.4.12.	
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS		
31	Comment(s)	Complete only if criteria are met for additional comments.	
		If not needed, state, "THIS SECTION NOT USED"	
32	Evaluator Name, Grade, and Branch of Service	Enter evaluator's information as of the SCOD.	
33	Role	Enter evaluator's role.	Air Force Advisor, Functional Examiner
34	Evaluator Duty Title	Enter evaluators duty title as of the SCOD.	Financial Manager
35	Evaluator Organization and Command	Enter evaluator's information as of the SCOD.	
36	Evaluator Signature	The evaluations have digital signature capability which includes a date stamp. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp, or type the date next to the signature (DD MMM YY).	

		T	<u> </u>
	REFERRAL REPORT	Do not sign blank forms that do not contain comments and/or ratings, sign before the close-out date (only on or after), or date before the date the rater signed it or earlier than the date of the ratee's endorsement to a referral letter.	
25			
37	Referral Report Comments	Complete this section for referral evaluations only. See paragraph 1.10	
38	Referring Evaluator Name,	Enter the referring evaluator's	
	Grade, and Branch of Service	information as of the SCOD.	
39	Referring Evaluator Duty Title	Enter the referring evaluator's information as of the SCOD.	
40	Referring Evaluator Signature	The evaluations have digital signature capability which includes a date stamp. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp, or type the date next to the signature (DD MMM YY).  Do not sign blank forms that do not contain comments and/or ratings, sign before the close-out date (only on or after), or date before the date the rater signed it or earlier than the date of the ratee's endorsement to a referral letter.	
41	Date	Date will auto populate when report is signed.	27 Mar 2023
42	Ratee Acknowledgement	The ratee must acknowledge receipt prior to the evaluation becoming a matter of record by signing in this block. Signing the evaluation does not imply concurrence, but acknowledgement and review of personal information on the	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.

		evaluation. If the ratee non-concurs with the evaluation, they may submit an appeal in accordance with <b>Chapter 10</b> . The rater will suspense the ratee three duty days (30 calendar days for AFR) to sign the evaluation.  Non-digital: Handwrite, date stamp or type the date. Sign on or after the close-out date.	
43	Signature of Ratee	The evaluations have digital signature capability which includes a date stamp. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp, or type the date next to the signature (DD MMM YY).	
		Do not sign blank forms that do not contain comments and/or ratings, sign before the close-out date (only on or after), or date before the date the rater signed it or earlier than the date of the ratee's endorsement to a referral letter.	
44	Date	Date will auto populate when report is signed.	27 Mar 2023

**Note:** There are minor formatting differences between the PDF version of the Enlisted Performance Brief (AF Form 716) and the system generated version completed in myEval.

## Chapter 11 (Delete)

Prescribed Forms
(Replace) AF Form 716, Enlisted Performance Brief

## BY ORDER OF THE SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE INSTRUCTION 36-2406

4 AUGUST 2023

Personnel

OFFICER AND ENLISTED EVALUATIONS SYSTEMS



## COMPLIANCE WITH THIS PUBLICATION IS MANDATORY

**ACCESSIBILITY:** Publications and forms are available on the e-Publishing website at

www.e-Publishing.af.mil for downloading or ordering.

**RELEASABILITY:** There are no releasability restrictions on this publication.

OPR: AFPC Certified by: SAF/MR

Supersedes: DAFI36-2406, 16 March 2022 Pages: 354

This publication implements Department of the Air Force Policy Directive (DAFPD) 36-24, Military Evaluations. It provides guidance and procedures for implementing the United States Air Force (USAF) and United States Space Force (USSF) Officer and Enlisted Evaluations Systems. It also describes how to prepare, submit, and manage forms. This instruction has been developed in collaboration between the Deputy Chief of Staff for Manpower, Personnel, and Services (AF/A1); Chief of the Air Force Reserve (AF/RE); Deputy Chief of Space Operations for Human Capital (SF/S1); and the Director of the Air National Guard (NGB/CF). This publication applies to the Regular Air Force (RegAF), United States Space Force, Air Force Reserve, and the Air National Guard. This instruction requires the collection and or maintenance of information protected by the Privacy Act of 1974 authorized by Department of Defense Directive (DoDD) 5400.11, DoD Privacy Program. The applicable System of Records Notices (SORN) F036 AF PC A, Effectiveness/Performance Reporting Systems and F036 AFPC T, Officer Performance Report (OPR)/Enlisted Performance Report (EPR) Appeal Case Files are available at http://dpcld.defense.gov/Privacy/SORNs/. Ensure all records generated as a result of processes prescribed in this publication adhere to Air Force Instruction (AFI) 33-322, Records Management and Information Governance Program, and are disposed in accordance with the Air Force Records Disposition Schedule, which is located in the Air Force Records Information Management System. Refer recommended changes and questions about this publication to the office of primary responsibility using the Department of the Air Force (DAF) Form 847, Recommendation for Change of Publication; route DAF Forms 847 from the field through Headquarters (HQ) Air Force Personnel Center Promotions, Evaluations and Recognitions Policy Branch (AFPC/DP3SP), 550 C, JBSA-Randolph, TX 78150 or afpc.dp3sp.workflow@us.af.mil. Field agencies will not

publish supplements that change basic policies and procedures or merely duplicate the text of these Supplements initiated at major command (MAJCOM) or field command instructions. (FLDCOM)-level or below require Military Force Policy Division (AF/A1PP), Headquarters Space Force, Force Management (SF/S1PP) and HQ AFPC/DP3SP approval before publication. Send published copies of approved supplements to AF/A1PP, SF/S1PP, HQ AFPC/DP3SP, and Headquarters Air Reserve Personnel Center Promotion Board Secretariat (HO ARPC/PB). Field agencies must get AFPC/DP3SP and Promotions, Evaluations and Recognition Policy Branch (AF/A1PPP), and SF/S1PP approval before using a locally created version of the DAF and Air Force (AF) Forms prescribed by this instruction. The authorities to waive wing, unit, delta, or base delta level requirements in this publication are identified with a Tier ("T-0, T-1, T-2, T-3") number following the compliance statement. See Department of the Air Force Manual 90-161, Publishing Processes and Procedures, for a description of the authorities associated with the tier numbers. Submit requests for waivers through the chain of command to the appropriate Tier waiver approval authority; for non-tiered items AFPC/DP3SP is the approval authority. Compliance with the attachments in this publication is mandatory.

### SUMMARY OF CHANGES

This rewrite fully implements previously approved guidance from Department of the Air Force Guidance Memorandum (DAFGM) 2023-01, by (1) updating language to be inclusive of the USSF, adding Chapter 11, Space Force Promotion Recommendation Process for Officer Promotion Boards; (2) updating rating chain requirements for health professionals; (3) adding guidance on Airman Leadership Qualities (ALQ) based performance reports for Regular Air Force (RegAF) and Air Reserve Component (ARC) members; (4) implementing mandatory performance statements for housing oversight; (5) authorizing Commandants of the Senior Noncommissioned Officer Academy (SNCOA) and Noncommissioned Officer Academy (NCOA) to serve as final evaluators; (6) updating guidance on stratifications in officer performance evaluations; (7) codifying guidance to include detachment commanders on g-series orders requiring a formal LOE and updating the DAF Form 77 to include "Det CC" in the drop-down menu as well as publishing it as a DAF form; (8) prescribing AF Form 715, Officer Performance Brief for RegAF and ARC officers only, and (9) updating instructions on completing the DAF Form 709, Promotion Recommendation for RegAF and ARC members. This rewrite also prescribes AF Form 716, Enlisted Performance Brief (CMSgt). Throughout this instruction the AF Form 715 and AF Form 716 will be referred to as the ALQ evaluation.

Chapter 1—GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS		
1.1.	Purpose	9
1.2.	Forms - Purpose and Utilization.	9
1.3.	General Guidelines.	10
1.4.	Preparing and Processing Evaluations.	11
1.5.	Evaluator Requirements.	19
1.6.	Roles and Responsibilities.	22
1.7.	Rating Chain Deviations and Evaluator Changes.	30

	1.8.	Evaluator's Mandatory Considerations
	1.9.	Disagreements
	1.10.	Referral Evaluations.
	1.11.	Mandatory Comments.
	1.12.	General Prohibited Evaluator Considerations and Comments
	1.13.	Policy Deviations and Waiver Requests.
	1.14.	Missing, Late and Removed Performance Evaluations.
	1.15.	Wartime or National Emergency Provisions.
Figure	1.1.	Example Referral Memorandum.
Figure	1.2.	Example Referral Memorandum (Continued).
Table	1.1.	Mailing Addresses for Correspondence
Table	1.2.	Missing and Late Evaluations (See Notes 1 and 2).
Chapte	er 2—P	ERFORMANCE FEEDBACK PROCESS
	2.1.	Purpose
	2.2.	Responsibilities
	2.3.	Who Requires a Performance Feedback Assessment.
	2.4.	Guidance for Conducting Performance Feedback Sessions.
	2.5.	When to Conduct Documented Performance Feedback Sessions
	2.6.	The Performance Feedback Assessment Notice.
	2.7.	Performance Feedback Assessment Forms.
	2.8.	Preparing the Performance Feedback Assessment
	2.9.	Disposition and Access.
	2.10.	Failure to Conduct or Document a Performance Feedback Assessment.
	2.11.	Tracking Performance Feedback Assessments.
Table	2.1.	Performance Feedback Assessment Requirements.
Table	2.2.	Preparing AF Form 931, Airman Comprehensive Assessment (AB thru TSgt)
Table	2.3.	Preparing AF Form 932 (MSgt - CMSgt) Airman Comprehensive Assessment
Table	2.4.	Preparing AF Form 724 (Lt thru Col) Airman Comprehensive Assessment
Table	2.5.	Preparing AF Form 724-A, Airman Comprehensive Assessment Addendum
Chapto	er 3—0	OFFICER PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS/OFFICER ALQ EVALUATIONS
	3.1.	General Guidelines.
	3.2.	Purnose

	3.3.	Who Requires an Officer Evaluation/Officer Performance Brief
	3.4.	Who is Not Authorized, Unless Stated Below, an Officer Evaluation/ALQ Evaluation
	3.5.	When to Submit an Officer Evaluation/Officer Performance Brief
	3.6.	Annual Reports
	3.7.	Change of Reporting Official Reports (including emergencies or no-notice departures).
	3.8.	Directed by HAF, HSF, NGB, or Commander (MAJCOM, FLDCOM, wing, delta, group, or squadron, as appropriate)
	3.9.	365-day Extended Deployment Officer Evaluations/Officer Performance Briefs
	3.10.	"FROM" Dates.
	3.11.	"THRU" Dates
	3.12.	Number of Days of Supervision.
	3.13.	Performance Feedback Assessment.
	3.14.	Reviewer/Higher Level Reviewer
	3.15.	Stratifications.
	3.16.	Unauthorized Evaluator Considerations and Comments.
	3.17.	Extensions of Close-out Dates.
Table	3.1.	Instructions for Preparing AF Form 707, Officer Performance Report
Table	3.2.	When to Prepare Officer Evaluations for RegAF and ARC Officers (Lieutenant thru Colonel).
Table	3.3.	When to Prepare Officer Evaluations for USSF Officers (Lieutenant thru Colonel).
Table	3.4.	Static Close-out Date Chart for RegAF, ARC and Statutory Tour Members
Table	3.5.	Accounting Dates for Static Close-out Date Evaluations.
Table	3.6.	Instructions for Preparing an Officer Performance Brief (RegAF and ARC only).
Table	3.7.	Summary of Authorized Stratification Peer Groups.
Chapt	er 4—E	NLISTED EVALUATIONS
	4.1.	General Guidelines.
	4.2.	Enlisted Evaluation Forms.
	4.3.	When to Accomplish an Enlisted Evaluation.
	4.4.	Evaluations not Authorized.
	4.5.	When to Submit an Enlisted Evaluation.
	4.6.	"FROM" Dates.

	4.7.	"THRU" Dates.
	4.8.	Number of Days of Supervision.
	4.9.	Completing Evaluations.
	4.10.	Promotion Time-In-Grade (TIG)/Time-In-Service (TIS) eligibility (DAF Form 910 only).
	4.11.	Time-In-Grade (TIG)/Senior Rater Stratification/Endorsement Eligibility (AF Form 911 only).
	4.12.	Final Evaluator's Position and Single Evaluators.
	4.13.	Performance Feedback Assessment.
	4.14.	Forced Distributor, (Section IX, DAF Form 910) Unit Commander/Military or Civilian Director/Other Authorized Reviewer, (Section VIII, AF Form 911)
	4.15.	Evaluator Considerations and Comments.
	4.16.	Inappropriate Comments Referring to Separation/Retirement, Civilian Employment, and Professional Military Education.
	4.17.	Ratee's Acknowledgement.
	4.18.	Forced Distribution (DAF Form 910 only)
Table	4.1.	Enlisted Forced Distribution Panel Scale.
Table	4.2.	When to Submit Enlisted Evaluations for RegAF, USSF, Active Guard Reserve, and Stat Tour
Table	4.3.	When to submit Enlisted Evaluations for ARC Non-AGR
Table	4.4.	Static Close-out Date Chart for RegAF, USSF, Active Guard Reserve, and Stat Tour.
Table	4.5.	Static Close-out Date Chart for ARC Non-AGR.
Table	4.6.	Accounting Dates for Static Close-out Date Evaluations.
Table	4.7.	Forced Distribution Allocation Table (SrA/Spc4).
Table	4.8.	Forced Distribution Allocation Table (SSgt/Sgt and TSgt)
Table	4.9.	Instructions for DAF Form 910, Enlisted Performance Report (AB/Spc1-TSgt)
Table	4.10.	Instructions for AF Form 911, Enlisted Performance Report (MSgt-SMSgt)
Table	4.11.	Time-in-Grade (TIG) Senior Rater Eligibility Chart.
Table	4.12.	Instructions for AF Form 912, Enlisted Performance Reports (CMSgt)
Table	4.13.	The United States Air Force Band (3N2X1) and The United States Air Force Academy Band (3N3X1) Direct Reporting from Basic Military Training and Promotion to TSgt
Table	4.14.	Instructions for Preparing an Enlisted ALQ Evaluation – CMSgt (RegAF and ARC only)

Chapt	er 5—l	DAF FORM 77, LETTER OF EVALUATION
	5.1.	Purpose
	5.2.	Types of LOEs.
	5.3.	Who Can Prepare.
	5.4.	Administrative Practices.
	5.5.	Completing DAF Form 77, Letter of Evaluation.
	5.6.	Routing, Updating and Disposition Responsibilities.
	5.7.	MPF, CSS/HR Specialist, and PERSCO Team Responsibilities
Table	5.1.	Instructions for Completing the DAF Form 77, Letter of Evaluation. See Note 5.
Table	5.2.	When to submit a Letter of Evaluation.
Chapt	er 6— <i>A</i>	AF FORM 475, EDUCATION/TRAINING REPORT
	6.1.	When to Use Training Reports (TR)
	6.2.	Who Prepares Training Reports.
	6.3.	Referral Training Reports.
	6.4.	Routing and Responsibilities.
Table	6.1.	Instructions for Completing AF Form 475, Training Report (Officers Only)
Table	6.2.	When to Prepare AF Form 475, Training Report (T-1).
Chapt	er 7—(	GENERAL OFFICER EVALUATIONS
	7.1.	Overview
	7.2.	Forms Used.
	7.3.	Reasons for Reports.
	7.4.	General Instructions.
	7.5.	Processing General Officer Evaluations.
Table	7.1.	Instructions for Completing DAF Form 78, Department of the Air Force General Officer Promotion Recommendation.
Table	7.2.	Instructions for DAF Form 77 for General Officers.
Chapt	er 8—(	REGAF AND ARC ONLY) PROMOTION RECOMMENDATION AND MANAGEMENT LEVEL REVIEW (MLR) PROCESS
	8.1.	AF Form 709 (for ADL officers).
	8.2.	AF Form 709 for RASL Officers.
	8.3.	Management Level Reviews (ADL Lieutenant Colonel and Below).
	8.4.	Special Provisions (applies to ADL officers only).

	8.5.	Correction of Promotion Recommendation Forms (PRF) (ADL Officers) ("Stop File" process)
	8.6.	Promotion Recommendations for Colonels.
	8.7.	Supplemental Management Level Reviews for Recommendation Upgrade Post- Central Selection Board, (For ADL Only).
Figure	8.1.	Officer's Right to Submit a Memorandum to the Central Selection Board or ResAF Central Selection Board (See DAFI 36-2501, Officer Promotions and Selective Continuation, for further guidance)
Table	8.1.	Instructions for Completing AF Form 709, Promotion Recommendation (for officers in the grade of lieutenant colonel and below)
Table	8.2.	What to Enter in (Group Size) on the PRF (ADL Lt Col and below only)
Table	8.3.	Senior Rater "Definitely Promote" Allocation Rate Table – Active Duty List Officers.
Table	8.4.	Instructions for Completing AF Form 709, Promotion Recommendation Form (for officers in the grade of colonel).
Chapte	er 9—A	F FORM 3538, RETENTION RECOMMENDATION FORM
	9.1.	When to Use the AF Form 3538, Retention Recommendation Form (RRF)
	9.2.	Responsibilities.
	9.3.	Retention Recommendation Form Submission.
	9.4.	Air Force or Space Force Advisor Examination.
	9.5.	Correction of a Retention Recommendation Form.
Figure	9.1.	Officer's Right to Submit a Memorandum to the Central Selection Board (central selection board)
Table	9.1.	Instructions for Completing AF Form 3538, Retention Recommendation Form
Chapte	er 10—(	CORRECTING OFFICER AND ENLISTED EVALUATIONS
	10.1.	Purpose
	10.2.	Program Elements.
	10.3.	Correcting Evaluations.
	10.4.	Responsibilities.
	10.5.	Meeting Time Limits and Expedited Requests.
	10.6.	Using Classified, Privacy Act, and Restricted Release Information:
	10.7.	Requesting Special Selection Board (SSB) or Supplemental Promotion Consideration:
	10.8.	Resubmitting an Appeal:
Table	10.1.	How to Submit Requests for Correction.

Table	10.2.	Correcting Minor Errors on Evaluations	310
Table	10.3.	Minor Corrections - Offices Authorized to Make Corrections and Disposition	312
Table	10.4.	Board Directed Corrections - Correcting and Disposition of Documents	314
Table	10.5.	Correcting DAF Form 709, Promotion Recommendation Forms	316
Table	10.6.	Instructions For AF Form 948, Application for Correction/Removal of Evaluation Reports (See paragraph 10.4.4 before completing).	317
Figure	10.1.	Sample, AF Form 948, Application for Correction/Removal of Evaluation Reports.	319
Chapte	er 11—(	(USSF ONLY) SPACE FORCE PROMOTION RECOMMENDATION PROCESS FOR OFFICER PROMOTION BOARDS	320
	11.1.	DAF Form 709.	320
	11.2.	Promotion Recommendation Process Milestones	324
	11.3.	Special Provisions.	324
	11.4.	Correction of Promotion Recommendation Forms (PRF) (Stop File process)	326
Figure	11.1.	Officer's Right to Submit a Memorandum to the Central Selection Board (See DAFI 36-2501, Officer Promotions and Selective Continuation, for further	
		guidance)	327
Table	11.1.	Instructions for Completing DAF Form 709, Promotion Recommendation Form (for officers in the grade of lieutenant colonel and below)	328
Attach	ment 1-	—GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION	330
Attach	ment 2-	—APPEAL GUIDANCE FOR APPLICANTS	347
A ttoob	mont 2	NON DATED DEDIOD MEMODANDIM	251

## Chapter 1

## **GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS**

- **1.1. Purpose.** The Officer and Enlisted Evaluation Systems have varied purposes. The first is to effectively communicate performance standards and expectations and provide meaningful feedback on how those standards and expectations are being upheld. The second is to establish a reliable, long-term, cumulative record of performance and promotion potential based on that performance. The third is to provide sound information to assist in making talent management decisions.
  - 1.1.1. To accomplish these purposes, the evaluation system focuses on performance. How well a member does their job and the qualities the individual brings to their organization are of paramount importance to the Department of the Air Force (DAF). It is also important for development of skills and leadership abilities and in determining who will be selected for advancement through assignments, promotions, and other personnel actions. The evaluation system emphasizes the importance of performance in several ways, to include the use of Airman Leadership Qualities (ALQs) (Air Force only), using periodic performance feedback as the basis for formal evaluations, and through performance-based promotion recommendations.
  - 1.1.2. Unless stated otherwise, the general guidelines outlined in this chapter apply to all officer and enlisted evaluations, training reports (TR), promotion recommendation forms (PRF), letters of evaluation (LOE), enlisted retention recommendation forms (ERRF), and retention recommendation forms (RRF).

## 1.2. Forms - Purpose and Utilization.

- 1.2.1. DAF Form 77, Letter of Evaluation (LOE), is a multipurpose evaluation form.
- 1.2.2. DAF Form 78, Department of the Air Force General Officer Promotion Recommendation (GO PRF). Use to document performance and promotion recommendations for general officers.
- 1.2.3. AF Form 475, *Education/Training Report* (TR). Use to document performance during education or formal training.
- 1.2.4. AF Form 707, Officer Performance Report (OPR) (Lt thru Col); AF Form 715, Officer Performance Brief, DAF Form 910, Enlisted Performance Report (AB/Spc1 thru TSgt); AF Form 911, Enlisted Performance Report (MSgt thru SMSgt), AF Form 912, Enlisted Performance Report (CMSgt), AF Form 716, Enlisted Performance Brief-CMSgt. Use to document performance as well as provide information for making promotion recommendations and other management decisions.
- 1.2.5. DAF Form 709, *Promotion Recommendation*. Use to assess an officer's performance-based potential and to recommend promotion to Central Selection Boards.
- 1.2.6. AF Form 724, Airman Comprehensive Assessment Worksheet (2Lt thru Col), AF Form 724-A, Airman Comprehensive Assessment Addendum, AF Form 931, Airman Comprehensive Assessment Worksheet (AB/Spc1 thru TSgt), and AF Form 932, Airman Comprehensive Assessment Worksheet (MSgt thru CMSgt). Use to document formal feedback.

1.2.7. AF Form 948, Application for Correction/Removal of Evaluation Reports. Use to substitute, correct or remove an evaluation when an applicant does not have access to the Virtual Military Personnel Flight (vMPF).

#### 1.3. General Guidelines.

- 1.3.1. Access. Evaluations are "Controlled Unclassified Information" forms and must be marked, protected, and accessed accordingly. The office with custodial responsibility is responsible for determining if a requestor's official duties require access. See Chapter 2 for access to the performance feedback assessment worksheets.
- 1.3.2. Classified Information and Security Classification. Do not enter classified information in any section of the evaluation; this includes attachments to evaluations, referral documents, and endorsements to referral documents. If an entry would result in the release of classified information, use the word "Data Masked" in place of that entry. In cases where the evaluator is assigned to a classified organization or location, enter "Data Masked" for organization nomenclature and nothing more.

#### 1.3.3. Format.

- 1.3.3.1. (RegAF and ARC only) All evaluations will be completed in myEval. The AF Form 715 and AF Form 716 will be used by exception only. Send exception to policy (ETP) requests through the wing commander or the comparative level to AFPC/DP3SP for RegAF and to ARPC/DPTS for ARC with final approval from HAF/A1PP. See paragraph 1.13.4 who, in turn, will forward the request to the appropriate office of primary responsibility listed in Table 1.1.
- 1.3.3.2. (RegAF and ARC only) Include at least one performance statement in each section of the evaluation being accomplished. (T-1) "THIS SECTION NOT USED," may be used as a performance statement. White space is authorized. A performance statement is a standalone sentence that must include two elements: 1) the behavior or action taken by an Airman; and 2) the impact, results, or outcome of that behavior or action.
- 1.3.3.3. (USSF only) Use bullet format as specified in the appropriate table for the evaluation being accomplished. Limit bullets to a maximum of two lines per bullet and white space is authorized. Main bullets shall begin at the left margin with one space after the "-".
- 1.3.4. Special Formatting. Do not underline, capitalize, or use bold print, unusual fonts or characters, multiple exclamation marks, or headings to emphasize comments, except as required to identify proper names or publication titles.
- 1.3.5. Handwritten Evaluations. Handwrite evaluations when no other means are available and authorized by Headquarters (HQ) AFPC Promotions, Evaluations and Recognitions Policy Branch (AFPC/DP3SP) or HQ Air Reserve Personnel Center (ARPC) Sustainment Division (ARPC/DPTS). The President and Vice President of the United States may handwrite evaluations.

## 1.3.6. Nicknames and Acronyms.

1.3.6.1. Nicknames that are a form of the ratee's name, to include middle names, are permitted (e.g., Bill/Will for William, Jim for James, Chris for Christopher/Christine). Call signs and code names are not authorized.

- 1.3.6.2. (USSF only) Common acronyms and abbreviations such as CGO, NCO, CONUS, TDY, org, and wg are not required to be spelled out. Uncommon acronyms are not required to be spelled out in the evaluators comment sections; they must be spelled out in the "Remarks" section. Creating a continuation sheet solely to record acronyms is not authorized.
- 1.3.6.3. **(RegAF and ARC only)** Limit the use of acronyms and abbreviations. When used, only acronyms and abbreviations on the AF Acronym and Abbreviation List located at <a href="https://www.afpc.af.mil/Career-Management/Acronyms/">https://www.afpc.af.mil/Career-Management/Acronyms/</a> are authorized, unless noted by an approved category. **(T-1)**
- 1.3.7. Waivers and Deviations. Send requests for deviations or waivers through the wing/delta commander or the comparative level to their MAJCOM/FLDCOM. The requests will then be sent to AFPC/DP3SP for RegAF and USSF and to ARPC/DPTS for ARC who, in turn, will forward the request to the appropriate office of primary responsibility listed in **Table 1.1**. Approved Tier 2, 3 and non-tiered waivers are forwarded to HQ Air Force, Directorate of Force Management Policy (AF/A1P), HQ AFPC/DP3SP, ARPC/DPTS, and/or HQ Space Force, Directorate of Military Policy and Programs (SF/S1P) in accordance with DAFI 90-160.
  - 1.3.7.1. Waiver Process. Waivers are processed in accordance with DAFI 90-160 except as noted below.
    - 1.3.7.1.1. Tier 0 waiver: The appropriate MAJCOM/A1 or FLDCOM/S1 submits the package to AFPC/DP3SP. AFPC/DP3SP submits the package to AF/A1P or SF/S1P for submission to the appropriate external agency/non-Air Force or non-Space Force authority for approval. Package results will be provided to AFPC/DP3SP and then forwarded to the appropriate MAJCOM/A1 or FLDCOM/S1.
    - 1.3.7.1.2. Tier 1 waiver: The appropriate MAJCOM/A1 or FLDCOM/S1 submits the package to AFPC/DP3SP or ARPC/DPTS for the ARC as appropriate. AFPC/DP3SP processes/submits the package to AF/A1P, SF/S1P, AF/A1, or SF/S1 for final approval. Package results will be provided to the appropriate MAJCOM/A1 or FLDCOM/S1.
  - 1.3.7.2. Waivers and the Managers Internal Control Toolset. The requesting commander/director will ensure appropriate waiver information is entered in the Management Internal Control Toolset within 7 calendar days of waiver approval notification.

## 1.4. Preparing and Processing Evaluations.

1.4.1. Career Briefs. Evaluators are permitted to review a member's career brief when writing an evaluation. For officers, the brief will be used to aid in making recommendations for command, assignments, and developmental education. For enlisted, the brief may be used as an aid in determining senior noncommissioned officer (SNCO) stratification/endorsement level eligibility or junior enlisted forced distribution promotion recommendation. **Note:** The ANG does not stratify enlisted members; therefore, stratifications are not applicable to ANG enlisted members

## 1.4.2. Suspenses.

1.4.2.1. The commander's support staff (CSS) and servicing military personnel flight (MPF) work together to manage the evaluation system and monitor suspenses. Established

suspenses should allow for the evaluation to be filed in the member's official record no later than 60 calendar days after the close-out date. Evaluations will not be signed prior to the close-out date. (T-1) Note: This does not preclude a draft copy being routed earlier.

- 1.4.2.2. Officer and Enlisted Evaluations.
  - 1.4.2.2.1. Due to the MPF no later than 30 calendar days after close-out. (T-1)
  - 1.4.2.2.2. Due to Air Reserve Personnel Center (ARPC) (when required [e.g., referrals]) or office of record no later than 45 calendar days after close-out. **(T-1)** This suspense is to allow for any corrections that may be needed at the lower level.
  - 1.4.2.2.3. Filed in the Automated Records Management System (ARMS) and Personnel Records Display Application (PRDA) no later than 60 calendar days after the close-out. (T-1)
- 1.4.2.3. Evaluations directed by Headquarters United States Air Force or Headquarters United States Space Force (DBH), or the National Guard Bureau (NGB) are due to the respective office by the suspense date established in the directing letter or message. (T-1)
- 1.4.2.4. Complete referral evaluations in accordance with **paragraph 1.10** and file into ARMS and PRDA no later than 60 calendar days for RegAF, USSF, and Active Guard Reserve (AGR) personnel and 90 calendar days for non-extended active duty (EAD) personnel, after the close-out date of the evaluation.
- 1.4.3. When an Evaluation Becomes a Matter of Record.
  - 1.4.3.1. An evaluation is considered complete when all applicable signature elements are signed or completed. Completed evaluations become a matter of record once they are uploaded into ARMS and PRDA. Evaluations are considered "working copies" until they are made a matter of record. (T-1)
  - 1.4.3.2. Correction requests made after an evaluation becomes a matter of record must be submitted through the Evaluation Reports Appeal Board (ERAB) in accordance with Chapter 10. (T-1)
- 1.4.4. Attachments to Evaluations. Attachments are considered to be part of the evaluation. Acceptable attachments are referral memorandums, rebuttals to referrals (which could include DAF Form 77s that are not part of the official record), endorsement memorandums.
- 1.4.5. Copying and Printing Evaluations.
  - 1.4.5.1. Printing. Do not alter the form, (e.g., reduce or enlarge), other than for authorized administrative corrections, (e.g., white out on a date change for "wet" signed evaluations). **(T-1)** Both sides of the form will be printed whether used or not. **(T-1)** 
    - 1.4.5.1.1. Do not reproduce copies for purposes other than those noted below without the approval of AFPC/DP3SP or ARPC/DPTSC:
      - 1.4.5.1.1.1. For official actions such as courts-martial, awards and decoration recommendations, promotion or demotion processing, discharge actions, appeal processing, and appropriate assignment actions by the Air Force Personnel Center (AFPC), Air Reserve Personnel Center (ARPC), Air Force Reserve Command (AFRC), or Individual Reservist Readiness Integration Organization (RIO); Air

- Force Colonel Management Office (AF/A1LO), Air Force General Officer Management Office (AF/A1LG), Air Force CMSgt Management Office (AF/A1LE), or Air Force Reserve Senior Leader Management Office (AF/REG), or HQ Space Force, Senior Leader Management (SF/S1L) assignment personnel. Authorized personnel will provide copies. **(T-1)**
- 1.4.5.1.1.2. On written authority of AF/A1LG for general officers; AF/A1LO for colonels on EAD; SF/S1L for Space Force general officers and colonels; AFPC/DP3SP for lieutenant colonels and below on EAD; or the ARPC/DPTSE for Air National Guard (ANG) colonels and below, Air Force Reserve (AFR) officers not on EAD, and Active Guard Reserve (AGR) or voluntary limited period of active duty officers. **(T-1)**
- 1.4.5.1.1.3. As authorized by AFI 33-332, *Air Force Privacy and Civil Liberties Program*, when requested by the ratee or their designated legal representative.
- 1.4.5.1.1.4. As required, provide copies for file in ARMS and PRDA, the officer selection record (OSR) or SNCO selection record, the officer command selection record, or adjutant general or national guard or human resource record file.
- 1.4.5.1.1.5. To replace missing or lost documents in the Master Personnel Records Group. Forms not digitally signed must be certified as a true copy. **(T-1)**
- 1.4.5.2. Corrected Copies. A corrected copy may be either a copy or an original document which contains changes from the original document. Corrections authorized by the Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR) or ERAB on "wet signature" evaluations may require a corrected copy annotation. In these cases, the following statement will be entered on the reverse bottom margin: "Corrected Copy, AFPC/DPMSPE or ARPC/DPT, XX XXX XX [date correction made], and certifying official's typed signature block and signature." (T-1)
- 1.4.5.3. Legibility. The CSS, MPF, and AFPC/DPMSPE will return copies that are difficult to read or do not comply with **paragraph 1.4.5**. (T-1)
- 1.4.6. Showing and/or providing copies to the ratee. Unless the evaluation is a referral, evaluators are not required to show or provide a copy of the evaluation to the ratee until the "Ratee's Acknowledgement" is ready for completion. **(T-1)**
- 1.4.7. Inactivated Organizations. If a unit inactivates on or after the accounting date for any evaluation static close-out date (SCOD), the inactivated unit will accomplish the evaluations, to include all forced distribution and senior rater endorsement processes. If the unit inactivates before the accounting date, the gaining unit (the unit as of the accounting date) will accomplish all evaluation-related matters. All affected units will coordinate with AFPC/DPMSPE on all actions associated with inactivating units. **(T-1)**
- 1.4.8. Duty Air Force Specialty Code (DAFSC) or Duty Space Force Specialty Code (DSFSC). The DAFSC or DSFSC is based on the unit manpower document authorization.
  - 1.4.8.1. (RegAF and ARC officers only) Use the DAFSC assigned against and approved by AFPC as of the established SCOD (see Table 3.4), as reflected within the Military Personnel Data System (MilPDS); however, if the officer has a permanent change of station (PCS) or permanent change of assignment (PCA), or departs from a 365-day extended

- deployment on or after the accounting date, use the DAFSC as of the established accounting date (see Table 3.5.).
- 1.4.8.2. **(USSF officers only)** Use the DSFSC assigned against and approved by AFPC as of the close-out date of the evaluation, as reflected within MilPDS.
- 1.4.8.3. If an officer's DAFSC or DSFSC is incorrect, initiate corrective action immediately, annotate the correct DAFSC or DSFSC on the evaluation, and attach a copy of the documentation reflecting the correction. MPF/CSS personnel must confirm the requested change was approved and that the effective date of the change was on or before the close-out date of the evaluation before forwarding the evaluation to AFPC/ARPC. (T-1) If the requested change has not been approved by the date the evaluation is ready to send to AFPC/ARPC, the DAFSC or DSFSC on the evaluation will be changed to match the DAFSC or DSFSC approved by the respective HQ AFPC officer assignment manager in MilPDS. (T-1)
- 1.4.8.4. For enlisted personnel, use the DAFSC or DSFSC as of the established SCOD. If the Airman or Guardian has a PCS or PCA or departs from a 365-day extended deployment on or after the accounting date, use the DAFSC or DSFSC as of the established accounting date. CSS/MPF personnel must ensure the correct information is reflected and/or updated in MilPDS.
- 1.4.8.5. For a 365-day extended deployment billet, use the DAFSC or DSFSC assigned to the position and/or billet that the ratee is officially filling in the deployed location.

## 1.4.9. Grade Data.

- 1.4.9.1. For RegAF officers and DAF enlisted personnel, the grade will be the actual grade the ratee will hold as of the established SCOD, unless the ratee has been selected to the next higher grade, then use the selected grade (e.g., Lt Col (S) or TSgt-select). (T-1) For ARC officers, the grade will be the actual grade the ratee will hold as of the established SCOD. (T-1) The use of the select status for FGO evaluations corresponds to the public release date of promotion to the next higher grade or once an officer's promotion nomination has been transmitted to the White House. The use of the select status for first lieutenants selected to captain corresponds to the date of AFPC or ARPC public release of the promotion list or once SecDef approves the promotion lists. The use of "select" is not utilized for lieutenant evaluations. For USSF officer grades, the grades must be the actual grade as of the close-out date of the evaluation. (T-1)
- 1.4.9.2. Frocking is the practice of authorizing members who are selected for promotion to wear the higher grade before the actual promotion date.
  - 1.4.9.2.1. If a RegAF officer has been frocked, select the member's selected grade (e.g., Col-select).
  - 1.4.9.2.2. If a USSF officer has been frocked, use the actual grade for the USSF officer versus the frocked grade.
  - 1.4.9.2.3. If a CMSgt has been frocked, the grade must be the actual grade for USSF and the select grade for RegAF (i.e., CMSgt select) as of the close-out date of the evaluation.

- 1.4.10. Fitness and Body Composition Assessments.
  - 1.4.10.1. It is the commander's discretion to annotate a non-current or failed fitness assessment and/or body composition assessment within the reporting period on an evaluation. Additionally, it is the commander's discretion to document the evaluation as a referral for a non-current or failed fitness assessment and/or body composition assessment as of the close-out date.
  - 1.4.10.2. Comments regarding unit fitness achievements are authorized for Airmen or Guardians who have a key role in the success of unit physical training programs. Comments may include performance by physical training leaders, unit fitness program managers, first sergeants, superintendents, section commanders, flight chiefs, commanders, and other members deemed integral to a particular organization's successful fitness program.
  - 1.4.10.3. Do not include fitness or body composition scores or fitness categories on an evaluation unless the individual did not meet fitness and/or body composition standards (see **paragraph 1.4.10.1**.). This does not prevent an evaluator from documenting referral comments in other areas outside of the fitness and/or body composition area when an Airman or Guardian displays a negative/inappropriate attitude regarding the member's fitness or has not demonstrated fitness improvement. In those cases, the referral comments will address the behavior. **(T-1)**
  - 1.4.10.4. Do not comment on an exemption or the reason for exemption. (T-1)
  - 1.4.10.5. Extensions to SCODs are not authorized.
- 1.4.11. Non-Rated Periods. In particular circumstances, non-rated periods may be authorized. The documentation and/or approval authority required will vary depending on the nature of the circumstances. Likewise, the duration of authorized non-rated periods may also vary depending on the circumstances and other factors. Therefore, non-rated periods must be considered individually as each Airman's or Guardian's circumstance and response are unique. Being TDY or deployed is not an example of a non-rated period. The following areas may warrant a non-rated period:
  - 1.4.11.1. Medical (physical, physiological, and/or psychological conditions; hospitalization, and/or convalescence in excess of 80 calendar days, including, but not limited to, Airmen or Guardians in Patient Status): The Airman's or Guardian's provider will initiate the recommendation for a non-rated period to the Airman's or Guardian's unit commander using AF Form 469, *Duty Limiting Condition Report*.
    - 1.4.11.1.1. Unit Commander (or equivalent) Duties and Considerations. The presumption will be in favor of the Airman or Guardian requesting the non-rated period. Counsel Airmen or Guardians directly to ensure they are fully informed regarding the reasonably foreseeable career impacts (and re-accomplish counseling prior to 60-day extensions, if applicable).
    - 1.4.11.1.2. Approval Authority. The unit commander or equivalent is the approval authority. If the approval authority recommends disapproval, they must provide justification and forward the request to the member's wing or delta commander or equivalent (delegable no lower than the vice wing or vice delta commander or

- equivalent) for final approval or disapproval. **(T-1)** This may be accomplished on the AF Form 469 or a separate memorandum.
- 1.4.11.2. Sexual Assault (unrestricted reports only). The Airman or Guardian will submit the request using memorandum format (see example in **Attachment 3**) to their unit commander/equivalent for approval. The unit commander or director will determine the length of the non-rated period. It is prohibited to include comments on any correspondence relating to or regarding the member's filing of a report of sexual assault, receiving support services, and/or participating in the investigative process and/or judicial proceedings.
  - 1.4.11.2.1. Approval Authority. See paragraph 1.4.11.1.2.
- 1.4.11.3. Military or Civilian Confinement. Non-rated periods of supervision, regardless of the number of days served, may be considered for Airmen or Guardians in confinement during the reporting period. The ratee's unit commander or equivalent will subtract periods of confinement using the total days documented on DAF Form 2098, *Duty Status Change*, from the total number days of supervision, with the exception of Directed by Commander (DBC) reports. DBC reports accomplished to capture the egregious event(s) that resulted in confinement will not subtract days of confinement from the total number of days supervision.
- 1.4.11.4. Lengthy Initial Skills and Advanced Training Courses (enlisted only). Non-rated periods are considered only for initial skills or advanced training courses more than 20 continuous weeks. The following training courses do not qualify for use of non-rated: initial skills and advanced training courses that are under 20 continuous weeks; all other 3-, 5-, or 7-level training courses under 20 continuous weeks; or other specific skills-training courses (e.g., field detachment training, flight requalification courses, pre-deployment training) for which the ratee travels TDY.
  - 1.4.11.4.1. Approval Authority. AFPC/DP3SP serves as the approval authority for RegAF and USSF members and ARPC/DPTS serves as the approval authority for ARC members for courses requesting consideration for non-rated periods of supervision. All requests must be signed/submitted by the applicable training course's administrative control (ADCON) wing or delta commander/senior rater. For AETC courses of instruction, requests will be routed through 2nd Air Force, Manpower, Personnel, and Services Directorate (2 AF/A1), who will review, consolidate, provide a recommendation, and then forward to AFPC/DP3SP for final approval.
  - 1.4.11.4.2. A minimum of one performance statement is required in the rater's and Higher-Level Reviewer's (HLR) comments sections. "THIS SECTION NOT USED," may be used as a mandatory performance statement.
  - 1.4.11.4.3. A minimum of one bullet is required in Sections III and IV of the AF Form 911, and Sections III, IV and V of the DAF Form 910. **(T-1)** Comments are optional in the remaining sections of both forms. When comments are not included, enter the statement "THIS SECTION NOT USED." **Exception:** Referral evaluations will require the applicable referral comments in Section VII, VIII and/or Section IX of the AF Form 911, and Section VIII and/or Section IX of the DAF Form 910. **Note:** Training squadrons are prohibited from replicating bullets for use across multiple

- enlisted evaluations. Comments must be unique to each trainee's accomplishments and level of performance.
- 1.4.11.5. Personal Hardships. Commanders may designate periods as non-rated if they determine an Airman or Guardian is undergoing or has undergone personal hardships during the reporting period.
- 1.4.11.6. Notification. Once the non-rated period is approved, notify the Airman's or Guardian's rater and annotate the evaluation accordingly. If additional non-rated periods are deemed necessary, notification will follow in the same manner.
- 1.4.11.7. Reporting. The rater will not consider nor comment on the Airman's or Guardian's performance (to include any misconduct) during a non-rated period. However, the rater may include significant accomplishments if requested by the ratee. For DAF enlisted, if the non-rated period covers the entire reporting period, enter the statement: "Airman/Guardian is not rated for this period: (date) through (date). No comments authorized in accordance with DAFI 36-2406" in Sections III, IV, and V in the DAF Form 910; in Sections III and IV in the AF Form 911. Enter "THIS SECTION NOT USED" in sections VIII and IX of DAF Form 910 or sections VII, VIII or IX of the AF Form 911. For USSF officers, enter the statement: "Guardian is not rated for this period: (date) through (date). No comments authorized in accordance with DAFI 36-2406," in sections IV, V, and VI of the AF Form 707. For RegAF and ARC officers, enter the statement: "Airman is not rated for this period: (date) through (date). No comments authorized in accordance with DAFI 36-2406" into all major performance areas and HLR comment section on the officer ALQ evaluation. Note: TSgt and below members who are TIG/TIS eligible will receive a forced distribution promotion recommendation.
- 1.4.12. Signatures, Signature Elements and Dates.
  - 1.4.12.1. General Signature and Date Guidelines.
    - 1.4.12.1.1. Do not sign or date before the close-out or "Thru" date. Sign on or after. **(T-1)**
    - 1.4.12.1.2. Do not sign blank forms/briefs that do not contain ratings or comments. **(T-1)**
    - 1.4.12.1.3. Do not use "auto-signature" pens or delegated Common Access Card/digital signatures. **(T-1)**
    - 1.4.12.1.4. Do not delay signing an evaluation due to pending personnel changes, promotions, or approval of a more prestigious duty title. **(T-1)**
    - 1.4.12.1.5. Do not "back date" the signature. **Exception:** If, after referring an evaluation to the ratee, the evaluation is reprinted for the purpose of including all evaluator comments or for making minor administrative corrections that do not require an additional referral to the ratee, all signature dates, up to and including the referring official(s), should reflect the date it was originally signed. This is necessary to show the dates each referral action actually occurred to ensure the evaluation was properly processed. All evaluators, subsequent to the (last) referring official will use either original signature dates or current signature dates. **(T-1)**

- 1.4.12.1.6. (USSF only) If an evaluator is the functional/acquisition examiner and the Space Force advisor, identify both positions by placing an "X" in both the examiner and the advisor blocks.
- 1.4.12.2. Digital Signatures and Dates.
  - 1.4.12.2.1. Evaluators (raters and additional raters) and reviewers/HLRs will use digital signatures to the maximum extent possible. (T-1) However, if unable to utilize digital signature, the rating chain may use a combination of a digital, a "wet" signature, or a typed signature. For the typed signature, the rating chain may use the approved typed signature in the "Signature" block located below the "Duty Title" and "Date" blocks. The approved typed signature must include: two backslashes at the front and two backslashes at the end, the word "signed," the signatory's initials, DoD ID number, and date of the typed signature. (T-1) The typed signature format is: \\signed, xxx, DoD ID #, DD Mmm YY\\. Note: When "wet" signed, print Forms 707, 715, 716, 910, 911, and 912, head to foot and handwrite or stamp the dates. Note: Typed signatures are not authorized on the DAF 709.
    - 1.4.12.2.1.1. If a signature cannot be obtained, AFPC/DP3SP will assist RegAF and USSF members and ARPC/DPTSE will assist ARC members in completing the evaluation before the next level rater/reviewer signs and forwards the evaluation to AFPC or ARPC. **(T-2)** If using the typed signature with the DoD ID number, signatories have the option to include or exclude the last four digits of their Social Security number in the "SSN" block.
    - 1.4.12.2.1.2. In all instances, the rater is responsible to provide the ratee an opportunity to view the final version of the evaluation even if the ratee is unable to sign the evaluation. **(T-2)**
    - 1.4.12.2.1.3. In the event the mitigations above are unsuccessful, AFPC/DP3SP will assist members in completing the evaluation; ARPC/DPTSE will assist ARC members in completing the evaluation. **(T-2)**.
  - 1.4.12.2.2. Evaluation forms are enabled with digital signature and auto date capability. Forms will auto-date only when a digital signature is applied.
    - 1.4.12.2.2.1. Subsequent evaluators are unable to sign before the previous evaluator due to the security features associated with the digital signature capability.
    - 1.4.12.2.2. Each evaluator's digital signature will lock their comments and ratings; additionally, it will unlock the digital signature feature for the next evaluator.
    - 1.4.12.2.2.3. The Air Force or Space Force advisor/functional examiner and forced distributor or unit commander/military or civilian director/other authorized reviewer digital signature capabilities are not locked and are independent of other evaluator signatures.

- 1.4.12.3. For Brigadier General (Brig Gen) and Major General (Maj Gen):
  - 1.4.12.3.1. For Brig Gen Selects and Maj Gen Selects. Upon Senate confirmation, selects may sign all evaluations as "Brig Gen (Sel)" or "Maj Gen (Sel)", only when serving in a senior rater/ reviewer position or assigned to an authorized Brig Gen/Maj Gen position.
  - 1.4.12.3.2. Frocked. For all evaluations, sign as "Brig Gen" or "Maj Gen".
  - 1.4.12.3.3. Upon Senate confirmation, for a Brig Gen-select who is already the designated senior rater for the lieutenants through majors in an organization, the management level must realign their senior rater identifications (SRID) and redesignate the selectee as the senior rater for the colonels and lieutenant colonels of the organization.
  - 1.4.12.3.4. There can only be one senior rater on a report; see **paragraph 1.7.1.7** for exceptions.
    - 1.4.12.3.4.1. Only one general officer or equivalent will sign an evaluation as an evaluator/reviewer. **(T-1)**
    - 1.4.12.3.4.2. Senior Executive Service (SES) and General Officer Equivalents. SES employees are typically general officer equivalents and, for some, senior rater positions. On evaluations, if an SES employee is a senior rater, then a general officer cannot sign the report. However, if an SES employee is not a senior rater and falls under a general officer who is a senior rater, then both the SES employee and general officer signatures may sign the report. There can be two SES employee signatures on an evaluation report if only one of them is designated by the management level as a senior rater and a general officer who is not a senior rater is not signing the report. An SES employee is only required to use the term "Senior Executive Service" and the level is optional in the signature element.

## 1.5. Evaluator Requirements.

- 1.5.1. Number of Evaluators.
  - 1.5.1.1. RegAF and ARC officer evaluations will have two evaluators, unless the rater is also the HLR. **(T-1)** USSF officer evaluations will have three evaluators unless the rater or additional rater is also the reviewer/senior rater. **(T-1)**
  - 1.5.1.2. Enlisted evaluations will have at least two evaluators, unless the rater qualifies as a single evaluator. **(T-1)** For ALQ evaluations there only two evaluators. **(T-1)**
  - 1.5.1.3. DAF Form 78 and AF Form 3538 will have two evaluators unless one evaluator qualifies as a single evaluator. **(T-1)**
  - 1.5.1.4. PRFs will have only one evaluator.
  - 1.5.1.5. Training reports (TRs) will have only one evaluator unless there is a disagreement (paragraph 1.9); or the evaluation is referred, and the commander is not the evaluator named in the referral document as referral reviewer (paragraph 1.10); or the reviewer is senior to the commander and refers the evaluation.
- 1.5.2. Grade Requirement for Raters and Evaluators.

- 1.5.2.1. Raters.
  - 1.5.2.1.1. For officers. The rater will be an officer of the U.S. or foreign military, or a civilian of equal or higher rank or grade than the ratee. **(T-1)**
  - 1.5.2.1.2. For enlisted. The rater will be an officer, another enlisted member of equal or higher rank or grade than the ratee, or a civilian at least GS-5/NH-II/equivalent or higher and in a position higher in the rating chain than the ratee. A senior airman (SrA) or a specialist 4 (Spc4) must complete Airman Leadership School or Space Force equivalent prior to assuming or being assigned rater responsibilities. (T-1)
  - 1.5.2.1.3. Additional Requirements for Individual Mobilization Augmentees (IMA). The rater will not normally be another IMA. When circumstances require an IMA directly supervise another IMA, the rater will be appointed by the respective unit commander/director in coordination with the IMA's assigned detachment commander. IMAs or Traditional Reservists may supervise/rate RegAF and/or USSF personnel only if on consecutive active-duty military personnel appropriation orders for a minimum of 120 calendar days. Reserve members on active-duty orders for a minimum of 120 calendar days or members on statutory tours may supervise/rate RegAF and/or USSF members under their command or operational direction. (T-1) See DAFI 51-509, Appointment to and Assumption of Command.

### 1.5.2.2. Additional Raters.

- 1.5.2.2.1. **(USSF only)** For officers. The additional rater will be an officer in the US or foreign military or a civilian serving in a grade equal to or higher than the rater, and in a grade higher than the ratee. **Exception:** An O-6 of the US or a foreign military service may be the additional rater for an O-6. **(T-1)**
- 1.5.2.2.2. For Enlisted. The additional rater will be an officer or E-7 or above in the U.S. or foreign military serving in a grade equal to or higher than the rater. When the rater's rater does not meet the grade requirements, the additional rater will be the next evaluator in the rating chain that meets the requirements. (T-1)
- 1.5.2.3. Civilian Additional Raters.
  - 1.5.2.3.1. For enlisted. A civilian additional rater must be serving in a civilian grade equal to or higher than the rater. **(T-1)**
  - 1.5.2.3.2. For TSgt and below. A civilian additional rater must be at least a GS-7/NH-II/equivalent. (**T-2**)
  - 1.5.2.3.3. For MSgt-CMSgt. A civilian additional rater must be at least a GS-11/NH-II/equivalent. (T-1)
  - 1.5.2.3.4. For Enlisted Individual Mobilization Augmentees. The additional rater is defined in the paragraphs above and must be in the RegAF rating chain. (T-1)
  - 1.5.2.3.5. For USSF officers, a civilian additional rater must be in a civilian grade equal to or higher than the rater.

- 1.5.2.4. Senior Rater.
  - 1.5.2.4.1. Senior raters are assigned to and identified by the senior rater position designated by the management level for the ratee's assigned organizational personnel accounting symbol (PAS) code. (T-2) One senior rater may be assigned to two separate senior rater positions at the same time. However, a head of management level may not serve as head of two separate management levels.
  - 1.5.2.4.2. The head of management level, normally the MAJCOM/CC or FLDCOM/CC, designates all senior rater positions. Appointment of command (G-series orders) does not automatically authorize senior rater status.
  - 1.5.2.4.3. AFRC may deviate and assign senior rater levels as appropriate for AFR unit assigned majors and below. (T-2)
- 1.5.2.5. (RegAF and ARC officers only) Higher Level Reviewer/Final Evaluator. The HLR is the final evaluator on the ALQ evaluation. The HLR is a senior leader who has direct knowledge of and visibility on the performance of the ratee within their peer group during the evaluation period. The intent is to improve Airmen's experience in receiving meaningful and actionable feedback on performance evaluations reviewed by the designated senior leader.
  - 1.5.2.5.1. For RegAF and AFR Colonels. The HLR will be the first general officer/senior executive service employee/equivalent, including selects, in the rating chain designated as a senior rater by the management level for RegAF, or for the AFR is in a designated senior rater billet. (T-1) The HLR is authorized as a single evaluator. See paragraph 1.5.2.5.5.
  - 1.5.2.5.2. For RegAF and AFR Lieutenant Colonels and Below. The HLR will be the first colonel/GS-15/equivalent, or higher, in the rating chain designated as a senior rater by the management level. (T-1) The HLR is authorized as a single evaluator. See paragraph 1.5.2.5.5.
  - 1.5.2.5.3. For ANG Colonels. The HLR will be the first general officer in the rating chain. (T-1)
  - 1.5.2.5.4. For ANG Lieutenant Colonels and Below. The HLR is the wing or group commander. **(T-1)** For a member assigned to a unit where there is no parent wing or group headquarters in-state, the state Adjutant General will establish an equivalent command-level review authority.
  - 1.5.2.5.5. Only a senior rater is authorized as a single evaluator. If the primary rater meets HLR requirements but is not a senior rater, the next rater up the rating chain must be the HLR. (T-1) See paragraph 3.14.4.1.
- 1.5.2.6. (USSF officers only) Reviewer/Final Evaluator. The reviewer is the final evaluator on the officer evaluation and must be the ratee's senior rater. (T-1) Exception: When the rater is also the senior rater, the officer evaluation will close at this level. See Tables 3.1 and 3.3.
  - 1.5.2.6.1. For USSF Colonels and Lieutenant Colonels. The reviewer/final evaluator is the first general officer/senior executive service employee/equivalent, including selects, in the rating chain designated as a senior rater management level.

1.5.2.6.2. For USSF Majors and Below. The reviewer/final evaluator is the first colonel/GS-15/NF-IV/equivalent in a delta commander, senior materiel leader (SML)-upper, FLDCOM director, or equivalent position designated as a senior rater. **(T-1)** 

# 1.6. Roles and Responsibilities.

#### 1.6.1. Commander.

- 1.6.1.1. The commander of an organization must review the records of all personnel, regardless of grade, assigned/attached under their command, to ensure the knowledge of and familiarization of the Airman's or Guardian's history, to include any sex-related offenses, nonjudicial punishment, or other punitive administrative action. (T-2) Sex-related offenses may include violations or attempted violations of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), Articles 93a, 120, 120b, 120c, 130, certain offenses under 134, or equivalent state offenses.
- 1.6.1.2. Commanders will ensure supervisors are properly trained and educated on how to write a performance evaluation. (T-3)
- 1.6.2. General Evaluator/Reviewer/Higher Level Reviewer (HLR) Responsibilities. All evaluators, reviewers, and HLRs are responsible for performing an administrative review of all evaluations and, if necessary, return them for correction/completion before forwarding to the next level to ensure:
  - 1.6.2.1. All applicable blocks are completed (marked, dated, and signed). (T-1)
  - 1.6.2.2. Evaluations contain accurate information (particularly in the ratee identification and job description sections). (T-1)
  - 1.6.2.3. Evaluations do not contain inappropriate comments or recommendations. (T-1)
  - 1.6.2.4. Evaluations are properly referred, when necessary. (T-1)
  - 1.6.2.5. When required on the evaluation form, evaluators (except civilian and foreign-service evaluators) must provide the last four numbers of SSN. (T-1). Use the SSN to verify the identity of the evaluator for research and accountability.

## 1.6.3. Rater.

- 1.6.3.1. For RegAF and ARC officer evaluations, the required minimum number of days of supervision ranges from 60 to 120 calendar days for each grade until the first SCOD for that grade is implemented (see **Table 3.4**); at the first SCOD for each grade, there will be no minimum days of supervision to prepare an evaluation. (**T-1**) For DAF enlisted evaluations, there is no minimum days of supervision to prepare an evaluation. (**T-1**) For USSF officer evaluations, the required minimum number of days of supervision ranges from 60 to 120 calendar days. (**T-1**) See **Table 3.3**.
- 1.6.3.2. Ensures the ratee is aware of who is in their rating chain. (T-1)
- 1.6.3.3. Provides a performance feedback assessment in accordance with **Chapter 2**. If geographically separated, assessments can be performed electronically or telephonically. **(T-1)**
- 1.6.3.4. Considers the contents of any Unfavorable Information File (UIF) and/or personal information file (PIF), if applicable, before preparing the performance evaluation. (T-1)

- 1.6.3.5. Assesses and documents the ratee's performance, what the ratee did, how well they did it, and the ratee's potential based on that performance, throughout the rating period. The rater differentiates ratees through an evaluation of performance. **(T-1)**
- 1.6.3.6. Receives meaningful information from the ratee and as many sources as possible (e.g., letters of evaluation (LOE) from those who previously supervised the ratee during the reporting period, the first sergeant, etc.), especially when the rater cannot observe the ratee personally. The ratee is encouraged to provide the rater with inputs on specific accomplishments; however, the ratee will not be directed to write or draft any portion of their own performance report. Air Reserve Component (ARC) members should provide information to the supervisor to assist in the preparation of the evaluation, including notable military accomplishments for end-of-tour evaluations.
- 1.6.3.7. Considers the significance and frequency of incidents (including isolated instances of poor or outstanding performance) when assessing total performance.
- 1.6.3.8. Differentiates between ratees with similar performance records, especially when making promotion, stratification, assignment, developmental education and retention recommendations when not prohibited by this DAFI or other special program specific guidance.
- 1.6.3.9. Records the ratee's performance for the rating period on the applicable form.
- 1.6.3.10. A rater's failure to perform one or more of the above responsibilities alone will not form the basis for a successful appeal.
- 1.6.3.11. (**RegAF and ARC only**) Raters will measure an Airman's performance using a whole person concept relative to the ratee's specific grade, AFSC, level of responsibility, and assigned duties throughout the entire rating period using the four major performance areas.
  - 1.6.3.11.1. Executing the Mission. Raters should consider how well the ratee effectively uses knowledge, initiative, and adaptability to produce timely, high quality/quantity results to positively impact the mission.
  - 1.6.3.11.2. Leading People. Raters should consider how well the ratee fosters cohesive teams, effectively communicates, and uses emotional intelligence to take care of people and accomplish the mission.
  - 1.6.3.11.3. Managing Resources. Raters should consider how well the ratee manages assigned resources effectively and takes responsibility for actions/behaviors to maximize organizational performance.
  - 1.6.3.11.4. Improving the Unit. Raters should consider how well the ratee demonstrates critical thinking and fosters innovation to find creative solutions and improve mission execution.
- 1.6.4. **(USSF Officers and DAF Enlisted only)** Additional Rater. **Note**: For RegAF and ARC this only applies to DAF Form 910 and AF Form 911.
  - 1.6.4.1. There is no minimum number of days supervision required.

- 1.6.4.2. Reviews the content of any UIF and/or PIF, if applicable, and returns evaluations to raters for reconsideration, if appropriate, to ensure an accurate, unbiased, and an uninflated evaluation.
- 1.6.4.3. Completes Section VIII of the DAF Form 910, and Section VII of the AF Form 911 by concurring or non-concurring with the rater and making comments.
- 1.6.4.4. Assumes the responsibilities of the rater when **paragraph 1.7** applies. **Note:** This does not include PCS, PCA, separation, or retirement of the rater.
- 1.6.5. Reviewer/Senior Rater/Final Evaluator/Higher Level Reviewer.
  - 1.6.5.1. There is no minimum number of days supervision required.
  - 1.6.5.2. Reviews the content of any UIF and/or PIF, if applicable, and returns the evaluation to the rater for reconsideration, if appropriate, to ensure an accurate, unbiased, and uninflated evaluation.
  - 1.6.5.3. Obtains additional information, if necessary, from competent sources such as the ratee's second- and third-line supervisor.
  - 1.6.5.4. Non-concurs with previous evaluators and makes comments, when applicable.
  - 1.6.5.5. (RegAF and ARC only) (Senior Rater only) Approves the unit mission descriptions for the PRF. (T-2)
  - 1.6.5.6. (USSF Officer and DAF Enlisted only) Directs the additional rater to assume rater's responsibilities when paragraph 1.7 applies. (T-2)
  - 1.6.5.7. Completes performance evaluations as required. See applicable chapters and/or references/documents cited in **paragraph 1.2**. **(T-2)**
- 1.6.6. First Sergeant.
  - 1.6.6.1. Will not assume rater/additional rater responsibilities. (T-2)
  - 1.6.6.2. Will be aware of the contents of the UIF and/or PIF if applicable, on all enlisted evaluations, regardless of grade, and returns the evaluation to the rater for reconsideration, if appropriate, to ensure an accurate, unbiased, and an uninflated evaluation. (T-2)
  - 1.6.6.3. Will review all enlisted evaluations before the commander's review and advise the commander of any quality force indicators. (T-2)
  - 1.6.6.4. SNCOs will only be designated for organizations for which no 8F000/first sergeant authorization exists. (T-2) Additional duty first sergeants will not complete evaluation reviews in-lieu of an organization's 8F000/first sergeant. Exception: Interim first sergeants, additional duty first sergeants, or designated SNCOs may complete evaluation reviews when the organization's 8F000/first sergeant is unavailable due to extended absence (e.g., deployment, lengthy training, or lengthy convalescent leave). (T-2)
- 1.6.7. Forced Distributor or Unit Commander/Military or Civilian Director/Other Authorized Reviewer Designated in Writing.
  - 1.6.7.1. Conducts the commander's review on enlisted evaluations. (T-2)

- 1.6.7.2. Reviews the content of any UIF and/or PIF, if applicable, and returns the evaluation to the rater for reconsideration, if appropriate, to ensure an accurate, unbiased, and an uninflated evaluation. (T-2)
- 1.6.7.3. The review is performed by the commander, director, or other delegated officer/official on G-series orders. Delegated members will use their assigned duty title on the enlisted evaluation-- not "Commander" or "Director." (T-2) The unit commander/military or civilian director/other authorized reviewer's review will be accomplished by the home station commander for all individuals assigned to 365-day extended deployment, regardless of the grade of the deployed rater and additional rater. (T-2)
- 1.6.7.4. Flight commanders are not authorized to sign in this area.
- 1.6.7.5. Commandants for the Senior Noncommissioned Officer Academy (SNCOA) and Noncommissioned Officer Academy (NCOA) designated in writing by the commander complete the unit commander/military or civilian director/other authorized reviewer's review on the MSgt thru SMSgt enlisted evaluation only. (T-2) Additionally, the SNCOA Commandant is designated as the final evaluator when the AF Form 911 is not endorsed/stratified by the senior rater or the SNCO is non-promotion eligible. The SNCOA Commandant is also authorized to sign Section IX of DAF Form 910 for non-promotion eligible Airmen or Guardians or if an enlisted force distribution is not warranted. Furthermore, if a ratee is not promotion eligible, or if a senior rater endorsement and/or stratification is not warranted, the SNCOA Commandant may act as the final evaluator on MSgt and SMSgt enlisted evaluations within their direct rating chain and/or scope of responsibility.
- 1.6.7.6. Manages the performance evaluation program for the organization.
- 1.6.7.7. Ensures all evaluations accurately describe performance and make realistic recommendations for advancement.
- 1.6.7.8. (**RegAF and ARC only**) Prepares and maintains the unit mission description for the PRF.
- 1.6.7.9. Determines the rating chain for assigned personnel based on Air Force or Space Force and management level policy.
  - 1.6.7.9.1. The ratee's parent management level must approve rating chains that involve evaluators from other management levels.
  - 1.6.7.9.2. For rating chain deviations see **paragraph 1.7**.
- 1.6.7.10. Ensures that no one in the rating chain is related to the member. (T-1)
- 1.6.7.11. Ensures the first sergeant (or additional duty first sergeant/designated SNCO) conducts a quality force review on all enlisted evaluations before conducting the commander's review. (T-1)
- 1.6.8. Functional Examiner, Acquisition Examiner and Air Force or Space Force Advisor.
  - 1.6.8.1. Functional/Acquisition Examiner or Air Force or Space Force Advisor Block.

- 1.6.8.1.1. For enlisted evaluations that do not include an examiner/advisor block, a DAF Form 77 may be completed.
  - 1.6.8.1.1.1. Functional/acquisition examiners or Air Force or Space Force advisors who desire to make comments may attach an DAF Form 77 for DAF enlisted and USSF officer evaluations. For RegAF and ARC officer evaluations, functional/acquisition examiners or Air Force advisors may provide comments on the officer ALQ evaluation.
  - 1.6.8.1.1.2. Comments are not mandatory; however, if used, the intent of these comments are to provide clarification and ensure the evaluation is written in accordance with DAF policy and standards in a joint environment or to clarify functional or acquisition-related considerations; not to list additional accomplishments or voice disagreement with an evaluator's assessment. Comments are limited to five lines on the DAF Form 77, or to the space available in myEval.
  - 1.6.8.1.1.3. (USSF Officers and DAF Enlisted only) The DAF Form 77 will be prepared and electronically forwarded along with the electronic evaluation. (T-1)
- 1.6.8.1.2. Functional/acquisition examiners or Air Force or Space Force advisors will not change any statement or rating on the evaluation. **(T-1)**
- 1.6.8.1.3. If the functional/acquisition examiner and the Air Force or Space Force advisor are the same person, both positions will be indicated; both the functional examiner and Air Force or Space Force advisor blocks will be marked on the evaluation. For evaluations that do not include the examiner/advisor block (i.e., Training Reports (TR)), the examiner/advisor will indicate both positions on the DAF Form 77. (T-1)
- 1.6.8.1.4. (USSF Officers and DAF Enlisted only) When the examiner and advisor are two different people on an evaluation, the person who receives the evaluation first will complete the functional examiner/Air Force or Space Force advisor block on the evaluation, and the next person will complete a DAF Form 77. For evaluations that do not include the examiner/advisor block, an DAF Form 77 will be prepared for each. (T-1)
- 1.6.8.1.5. (AF Form 707 only) When the examiner and advisor are two different people on an evaluation, the person who receives the evaluation first will complete the functional examiner/Air Force or Space Force advisor block on the evaluation, and the next person will complete an additional functional examiner/Air Force or Space Force advisor block.
- 1.6.8.2. Air Force or Space Force Advisor Program.
  - 1.6.8.2.1. When the final evaluator on an evaluation or TR is not an Air Force or Space Force military member or civilian employee, an Air Force or Space Force advisor will be designated to advise raters on matters pertaining to Air Force or Space Force evaluations. (T-2)
    - 1.6.8.2.1.1. The senior Air Force/Space Force military member on duty with the activity/agency assumes this position. The management level may designate any

Air Force/Space Force member or Department of the Air Force official meeting the grade requirement with the activity/agency to serve as advisor.

- 1.6.8.2.1.1.1. For officers, the advisor will be a colonel or above. (T-2)
- 1.6.8.2.1.1.2. For SNCOs, the advisor will be a major or above. (T-2)
- 1.6.8.2.1.1.3. For noncommissioned officers (NCO), the advisor will be a master sergeant or above. **(T-2)**
- 1.6.8.2.1.1.4. For IMAs and Participating Individual Ready Reserve (PIRR) members, the advisor is the person appointed by the management level for the active force (for IMAs this will be unit of assignment; for PIRR members this will be unit of attachment).
- 1.6.8.2.1.2. When an agency (e.g., DoD departments, non-Department of the Air Force schools/units) has only one Air Force or one Space Force member assigned, the management level for that activity appoints an advisor.
- 1.6.8.2.1.3. If the commander or designated Air Force or Space Force officer/senior official who completes the "commander's review" is senior/equal to the last evaluator (or is also the unit's designated advisor) and meets the Air Force or Space Force advisor grade requirement, the advisor statement does not need to be completed.
- 1.6.8.2.2. (AF Form 707 only) The advisor signs prior to the final evaluator regardless of grade.
- 1.6.8.2.3. An Air Force or Space Force advisor will have, or be able to obtain, knowledge of the ratee, be higher in grade than the ratee and, when feasible, be equal to or higher in grade than the HLR/reviewer (officers) or final evaluator (enlisted). Additionally, an O-6 cannot sign on another O-6. (T-1)
- 1.6.8.3. Functional Examiner. Designated to ensure functional oversight is provided for individuals in specific career fields. The examiner accomplishes the examination after the entire rating chain has completed the performance evaluation. If an Air Force or Space Force advisor review is also required, the examiner forwards the evaluation to the advisor. Otherwise, the examiner forwards the evaluation to the rater to finalize the evaluation. **Note**: The examiner will not change any statement or rating on an evaluation, nor will any comments be used for accolades or recommendations. If comments are used, the examiner is limited to five lines placed on DAF Form 77 for USSF officers and DAF enlisted. **(T-2)** For RegAF and ARC officers, if comments are provided, the examiner is limited to the space available in myEval. **(T-2)**

# 1.6.8.4. Acquisition Examiner.

1.6.8.4.1. In accordance with 10 U.S.C. § Section 1722(g), provide an opportunity for review and inclusion of comments on any performance evaluation of a person serving in an acquisition position by a person serving in an acquisition position in the same acquisition career field. In most instances, this opportunity is inherent in the completion of the performance evaluation by acquisition officers in the rating chain. However, in the event neither the rater, additional rater, nor reviewer/HLR are on acquisition-coded positions in the same acquisition position category, the ratee may

request that the performance evaluation be examined by a qualified acquisition officer from outside the rating chain (i.e., an acquisition examiner).

- 1.6.8.4.2. Review by an Acquisition Examiner.
  - 1.6.8.4.2.1. Review by an acquisition examiner is completed only when the ratee requests a review and is filling an acquisition-coded position; and neither the rater, additional rater nor reviewer/HLR are on a coded position in the same acquisition position category.
  - 1.6.8.4.2.2. (ANG only) Review by a functional/acquisitions examiner is mandatory when there are no acquisition-coded positions, in the same acquisition position category, in the rating chain.
- 1.6.8.4.3. Acquisition positions are identified on the unit manpower document and are also identified on the evaluation notice generated when an evaluation is required.
- 1.6.8.4.4. The acquisition examiner must be a person in an acquisition-coded position within the same acquisition position category as the ratee. If the management level does not have anyone who meets the criteria herein, the management level can forward the evaluation to the Air Staff or Space Staff functional to identify an acquisition examiner. The minimum grade of the examiner will be:
  - 1.6.8.4.4.1. O-6 or civilian equivalent on a critical acquisition position (for officers).
  - 1.6.8.4.4.2. O-4 or civilian equivalent (for enlisted).
- 1.6.8.4.5. The acquisition examiner accomplishes the acquisition examination after the entire rating chain has completed the performance evaluation. (T-3)
- 1.6.8.4.6. (USSF Officers and DAF Enlisted only) Comments are not mandatory, but if desired for clarification about acquisition-related considerations, the examiner prepares a DAF Form 77 according to **Table 5.1** for attachment to the enlisted evaluation. The examiner will not change any statement or rating on the evaluation, nor will a DAF Form 77 be used simply to include additional comments, accolades, recommendations, etc. If used, comments are limited to five lines. (**T-3**)
- 1.6.8.4.7. (RegAF and ARC Officers only) Comments are not mandatory, but if desired for clarification about acquisition-related considerations, the examiner prepares them on the evaluation in myEval. The examiner will not change any statement or rating on the evaluation, nor will their comments be used simply to include additional comments, accolades, recommendations, etc. If provided, comments are limited to the space available in myEval. (T-3)

## 1.6.9. Ratee.

- 1.6.9.1. The ratee is responsible for knowing the rating chain and ensuring they receive a performance feedback assessment in accordance with **Chapter 2**.
- 1.6.9.2. For officer and enlisted evaluation responsibilities see Chapters 3 and 4.
- 1.6.9.3. For PRF responsibilities see **Chapter 8** for RegAF and ARC and **Chapter 11** for USSF.

- 1.6.9.4. For appeals see Chapter 10.
- 1.6.9.5. Ratee Review. Ratees will review their evaluation prior to signing. Ratees are encouraged to check for typos, spelling, and inaccurate data and to bring any discrepancies to the rater's attention. **Note:** A performance feedback assessment is not required upon completion of the evaluation. The evaluation serves as official documentation of the feedback provided to the ratee.
- 1.6.10. Military Personnel Flight (MPF) and Commander's Support Staff (CSS).
  - 1.6.10.1. The MPF and CSS will work together in managing the Officer and Enlisted Evaluation Systems for organizations under their purview, to include geographically separated units. Managing includes reviewing all evaluations for administrative accuracy and policy compliance and updating the MilPDS. (T-2)
  - 1.6.10.2. Provide technical assistance to the commander and evaluators.
  - 1.6.10.3. For RegAF and ARC, evaluations will be routed within myEval for digitally signed evaluations; wet signature evaluations will be scanned and loaded into myEval for transmittal to AFPC or ARPC. **(T-1)** For USSF, evaluations will be transmitted to AFPC via the case management system (CMS).
  - 1.6.10.4. Coordinates referral reports with appropriate work centers in the MPF to ensure MilPDS updates are accomplished. **(T-2)**
  - 1.6.10.5. MPFs will return evaluations to be re-accomplished when they do not conform to the requirements of this instruction. (T-2)
- 1.6.11. Major Commands (MAJCOM)/Field Commands (FLDCOM). The management level and their servicing personnel activity:
  - 1.6.11.1. Designate senior rater positions and determine civilian equivalency for senior rater designations. **Note:** If the vice commander is assuming commander responsibilities and the management level wants them to have senior rater responsibilities, the management level must appoint the vice commander senior rater responsibilities in writing.
  - 1.6.11.2. Manage the performance evaluation program for their activity, and at their option, quality review PRFs and return them for correction, when necessary.
  - 1.6.11.3. Print copies of digitally signed evaluations from ARMS and PRDA.
  - 1.6.11.4. Approve evaluators to be from a different management level than that of the ratee in accordance with management level policy.
  - 1.6.11.5. Appoint Air Force and Space Force advisors in writing and ensure these individuals are current on evaluation policies and procedures.
  - 1.6.11.6. Appoint acquisition examiners and establish officer evaluation routing procedures when the examination cannot be accomplished within the existing rating chain.
- 1.6.12. Headquarters Air Force (HAF) and Headquarters Space Force (HSF).
  - 1.6.12.1. AF/A1 approves policy regarding the Air Force Officer and Enlisted Evaluation Systems. SF/S1 approves policy regarding the Space Force Officer and Enlisted Evaluations Systems.

- 1.6.12.2. AF/A1P establishes policy on Air Force Officer and Enlisted Evaluation System in collaboration with AF/REP and NGB/A1 and establishes an annual evaluation systems program review to determine if improvements or changes are needed. SF/S1P establishes policy on Space Force Officer and Enlisted Evaluations System.
- 1.6.12.3. (**RegAF only**) AF/A1 approves USAF EFDP formal board charges annually prior to the convening of the first EFDP panel of the fiscal year.
- 1.6.12.4. **(USSF only)** SF/S1 will announce prior to each accounting date if the USSF will utilize the EFDP process for Guardians. If utilized, SF/S1P approves the USSF EFDP formal board charge.
- 1.6.13. HQ Air Force Personnel Center (AFPC).
  - 1.6.13.1. AFPC/DP3SP implements and oversees execution of the Air Force/Space Force Officer and Enlisted Evaluation System program. **(T-1)**
  - 1.6.13.2. AFPC receives all RegAF evaluations via myEval and all USSF evaluations via the case management system.
  - 1.6.13.3. AFPC may review a random sampling of evaluations for compliance with policy directives and this instruction.
  - 1.6.13.4. AFPC forwards all USSF evaluations to ARMS and PRDA via CMS. (T-1)
- 1.6.14. Headquarters Air Reserve Personnel Center (ARPC).
  - 1.6.14.1. Receives all referral evaluations for ARC members via myEval. (T-1)
  - 1.6.14.2. Forwards all ARC referral evaluations to ARMS and PRDA. (T-1)
- 1.7. Rating Chain Deviations and Evaluator Changes. This paragraph does not apply to rater changes due to PCS, PCA, separation, or retirement of the rater. (T-1)
  - 1.7.1. Rating Chain Deviations.
    - 1.7.1.1. The commander determines the rating chain for assigned personnel based on Air Force or Space Force and management level policy. When necessary, commanders may deviate from the normal (supervisory) rating chain to meet grade requirements. Commanders may accommodate unique organizational structures and situations when personnel are assigned to other activities outside the ratee's assigned PAS code. The commander of the assigned billet and the commander of the outside activity must formally agree to rating chain deviations that include evaluators from outside the owning organization. (T-2)
      - 1.7.1.1.1 For officer ratees, the parent management level must approve rating chains that involve evaluators from other management levels; however, both management levels (the parent and the temporary management level) must formally agree to the rating chain deviation. (T-2)
      - 1.7.1.1.2. A rating chain deviation must be in effect for at least 12 months or longer, for the temporary rating chain or management level to be able to sign reports. **(T-2)** If there is a rating chain deviation for less than 12 months, then the parent management level must sign all reports. **(T-1)**

- 1.7.1.1.3. Upon rating chain deviation approval, the temporary management level will be responsible for writing the member's officer evaluation, PRF, LOE, decoration, etc. until the member is placed back under their parent management level. **(T-1) Example:** A major is on loan from a wing to the Numbered Air Force commander to fill an executive officer position for 12 months. Through agreement with the parent management level and temporary management level, the parent management level can approve a rating chain deviation. Once approved, the numbered Air Force commander will sign the officer's evaluation, PRF, LOE, decoration, etc.
- 1.7.1.1.4. It is prohibited to make rating chain deviations (such as skipping an evaluator) solely for reasons of convenience. **(T-1) Example:** Do not skip a rater's rater who is temporarily unavailable (on leave, TDY, etc.). Do not skip a rater's rater for the sole purpose of affording another official in the supervisory chain (e.g., the rater's rater or the senior rater) the opportunity to endorse or comment in an evaluation.
- 1.7.1.1.5. Associate Unit. A unit which integrates members or units of one component of the Air Force or Space Force with members or units of another component of the Air Force or Space Force to accomplish the United States Air Force (USAF) or United States Space Force (USSF) mission (e.g., AFR/ANG with the RegAF). In these cases, evaluation rating chains may involve different USAF or USSF components and shall normally be written by the member's day-to-day supervisor with additional rater in accordance with affected management level direction. However, evaluations must be returned to the member's administrative control commander/reviewer/HLR/senior rater to finalize the evaluation/endorsement. This allows for maximum operational integration and reporting accuracy while still meeting administrative (PAS code driven) requirements.
- 1.7.1.1.6. If a member is performing duty in an organization other than their assigned PAS code, enter the assigned information, followed by "with duty at . . ." to indicate the organization where the ratee performed duty. This includes personnel on 365-day extended deployment billets. **Example:** 341st Security Forces Squadron (AFGSC), Malmstrom AFB MT, with duty at 447 ESFS (USAFCENT), Baghdad International Airport, Baghdad, Iraq. **Note**: Do not use this to enter a second organization if the ratee is filling a dual-hatted role. **(T-1)** Instead, mention the dual-hatted role in the job description or elsewhere in the evaluation.
- 1.7.1.2. Flight Commander/Flight Chief Rating Chains. For flight commander and flight chief rating chains, when an officer leads a flight, the position is flight commander and is rated by the squadron commander. When an enlisted person or civilian leads a flight, the position is a flight chief. Applicable to both the operational and the functional communities.

# 1.7.1.3. Health Professionals.

1.7.1.3.1. The wing commander will be the rater for colonel military treatment facility (MTF) directors/market directors. **(T-1)** The HLR will be the first general officer/equivalent, including selects, in the rating chain designated as a senior rater by the management level. **Note:** Paragraph 1.4.12.3.2 prohibits multiple general officers from serving as evaluators on performance evaluations. If the wing commander is a

- general officer, then the wing commander will also be the HLR and serve as a single evaluator. See paragraph 1.5.2.5.5 and paragraph 3.14.4.1.
- 1.7.1.3.2. The management level will be the supported MAJCOM/FLDCOM commander. (T-1)
- 1.7.1.3.3. This policy applies to current and future medical units that are also defined as MTFs and configured as wings, groups, squadrons, or flights.
- 1.7.1.4. Senior Defense Official/Defense Attaché (SDO/DATT) Program.
  - 1.7.1.4.1. SDO/DATT personnel will be rated by Defense Intelligence Agency.
  - 1.7.1.4.2. (USSF only) SDO/DATT personnel will be additional rated by their respective combatant commands (CCMD).
  - 1.7.1.4.3. For individuals assigned or attached to a CCMD, normal processing procedures apply. For USSF only, the reviewer statement will read "THE RATER IS ALSO THE REVIEWER," and/or "THE ADDITIONAL RATER IS ALSO THE REVIEWER." PRFs in these cases, will be accomplished by the CCMD.
  - 1.7.1.4.4. For USSF individuals assigned or attached to DIA, reviewer statement will read "THE RATER IS ALSO THE REVIEWER" and/or "THE ADDITIONAL RATER IS ALSO THE REVIEWER" and comments will still be allowed in the additional rater block by CCMD.
- 1.7.1.5. (USSF only) If the grade of the home station senior rater is lower than the deployed rater, enter the required statement "REVIEWER'S GRADE is lower than the Previous Rater."
- 1.7.1.6. Currently paragraph 1.4.12.3.2 prohibits multiple general officers from serving as evaluators on performance evaluations. However, for members filling the MTF Director role who are rated on by the DHA director, SDO/DATT personnel, or (USSF only) for members filling an authorized 365-day deployment billet, multiple general officers are authorized. For ANG only, multiple general officer endorsements are authorized when the rater is a general officer, and the ratee has been selected for senior rater stratification/endorsement. (T-2) When applicable, enter "TWO GENERAL OFFICERS AUTHORIZED IAW DAFI 36-2406".
  - 1.7.1.6.1. Enlisted personnel at home station only (AF Form 911). Multiple general officer endorsements are authorized when the rater is a general officer but not a senior rater, and the ratee has been selected for senior rater stratification/endorsement. (T-2) In such cases the rater will complete AF Form 911, Sections III through VII. Comments are only authorized in Sections III through IV. Section VII will include the mandatory statement "THIS SECTION NOT USED" and the applicable rater's signature element and signature. The senior rater will complete Section IX, to include the applicable senior rater stratification drop-down. The officer designated as the unit commander will complete Section VIII. (T-1)
- 1.7.1.7. (USSF officers and DAF Enlisted only) In cases where the rater is a general officer (single evaluator) on an evaluation written on an individual filling an authorized 365-day deployment billet, enter the required statement "THE RATER IS ALSO THE

- REVIEWER" and/or "THE ADDITIONAL RATER IS ALSO THE REVIEWER." (T-1) **Note:** This is not required for the ALQ evaluation.
- 1.7.1.8. General officers signing referral reports. If the senior rater is a general officer, and is the evaluator who refers the evaluation, the referral document will be the senior rater's rater regardless of rank or grade. Enter the required statement "TWO GENERAL OFFICERS AUTHORIZED IAW DAFI 36-2406". (T-1)
- 1.7.2. Removal of Evaluator from Rating Chain. Evaluators are not removed from the rating chain based solely on a rating disagreement; nor are they removed from their evaluator responsibilities automatically. However, evaluators who are subject to a complaint of harassment or assault are prohibited from evaluating the complainant and will be removed from the complainant's rating chain. (T-1) Cases involving threats of reprisal or retaliation are serious allegations and have the potential to impede trust and readiness. Therefore, removing an evaluator from a rating chain for either of these reasons will be at the commander's discretion. (T-1)
  - 1.7.2.1. If it is determined that removal from evaluator responsibilities is necessary, the removing official must provide written notification of the action to the evaluator being removed, with information copies to the removed evaluator's immediate subordinate(s) and any other evaluators in the rating chain, through and including the senior rater. (T-1) The evaluator being removed must acknowledge receipt within 30 calendar days from the date, or the date of discovery, of the incident that led to the removal from evaluator responsibilities. (T-1)
  - 1.7.2.2. If the rater has died, is missing-in-action, captured or detained in captive status, incapacitated, or when directed by the reviewer/senior rater (officers) or commander (enlisted) because the rater is formally relieved from duties as an evaluator or relieved from duty for cause:
    - 1.7.2.2.1. For RegAF and ARC, the commander will assign a new rater to assume the responsibilities and acquire the number of days of supervision (for AF Form 707 only). **(T-1)**
    - 1.7.2.2.2. For USSF the additional rater assumes the responsibilities and acquires the number of days supervision (for AF Form 707 only) of the original rater. **(T-1)**
    - 1.7.2.2.3. When this occurs, a statement explaining why the rater did not prepare the evaluation must be included in the remarks section of the evaluation forms or applicable section in myEval. (T-1)
    - 1.7.2.2.4. Evaluations prepared by a rater under these circumstances which are not yet a matter of record are considered working copies and may be re-accomplished. **(T-1)**
    - 1.7.2.2.5. When the HLR (USAF officers and CMSgts) or additional rater (USAF Amn-SMSgt and all USSF) has insufficient knowledge to prepare the evaluation for the required period of supervision, they must gather knowledge of the ratee's duty performance from all available, reliable sources (first sergeant, former supervisors). (T-1)

- 1.7.2.3. In some instances, it may be more practical or desirable for another individual who has current knowledge of the ratee to assume the rater's responsibilities (**Example:** When the additional rater is physically/geographically separated from the ratee). In this case, the unit commander submits the request through the CSS/MPF to the senior rater for approval. (T-3)
- 1.7.2.4. If a rater cannot obtain sufficient knowledge of a ratee, AFPC/DP3SP, AF/A1LO, SF/S1L, AF/A1LE, AF/A1LG, ARPC/DPTSE, NGB/A1P, NGB/HR or NGB-GO (for ANG general officers, including brigadier general selects, not on extended active duty) authorizes filing an DAF Form 77 in the ratee's records stating why an evaluation could not be prepared for the period. **(T-1)**
- 1.7.2.5. (USAF Amn-SMSgt and All USSF) The next evaluator in the rating chain (the additional rater's rater) assumes the responsibilities of the additional rater when the additional rater is unable to perform evaluator duties. See paragraphs 1.7.1 and 1.7.2 for applicable reasons. (T-1) When the additional rater's rater is also the reviewer/final evaluator, they complete the additional rater's comments section and reviewer/final evaluator's comments of the applicable form and closes the evaluation. (T-1)
- 1.7.2.6. (RegAF and ARC Officers only) The next evaluator in the rating chain (the HLR's rater) assumes the responsibilities of the HLR when the HLR is unable to perform evaluator duties. (T-1) See paragraphs 1.7.1 and 1.7.2 for applicable reasons.

# 1.8. Evaluator's Mandatory Considerations.

- 1.8.1. Convictions. Any conviction for a violation of criminal law of the U.S. or of any other country must be reported, in writing, by all officers and enlisted members. (T-1) For RegAF, USSF, and ARC members in active status, members will report a conviction to their rater within 15 days of the date of the conviction. For ARC members not in active status, members will report the conviction to their wing commander/equivalent at the first drill period or within 30 calendar days of the date of the conviction, whichever is earlier. For Individual Ready Reserve, members will report the conviction to the Air Reserve Personnel Center (ARPC) within 30 calendar days of the date of the conviction. (T-0) See FY2006 National Defense Authorization Act and Public Law 109-163, §554, 119 Stat. 3136, 3264-65.
  - 1.8.1.1. For purposes of this policy, the term "conviction" includes a plea or finding of guilty, a plea of *nolo contendere* (no contest), and all other actions tantamount to a finding of guilty, including adjudication withheld, deferred prosecution, entry into adult or juvenile pretrial intervention programs, and any similar disposition of charges.
  - 1.8.1.2. For purposes of this policy, a criminal law of the U.S. includes any military, federal, state, district, commonwealth, territory/equivalent, county, parish, municipality, city, township, local subdivision, or foreign criminal law or ordinance.
- 1.8.2. Sex-related Offenses. Document substantiated offenses in the permanent record. **(T-1)** This includes any substantiated allegation of a sex-related offense that results in conviction by court-martial, nonjudicial punishment, or other punitive administrative action (e.g., letter of reprimand). Documenting sex-related offenses in an evaluation does not limit or prohibit the Airman or Guardian from challenging the placement or appealing for removal.

- 1.8.3. Equal Opportunity and Treatment. Unlawful discrimination and sexual harassment violate the very premise of what it means to be an Airman/Guardian. Evaluators must ensure compliance with DoD and Department of the Air Force directives prohibiting such behavior and document deviations on evaluations as prescribed in DAFI 36-2710, *Equal Opportunity Program.* (T-0)
- 1.8.4. Prohibited Activities. Airmen and Guardians are prohibited from actively advocating supremacist, extremist, or criminal gang doctrine, ideology, or causes, including those that advance, encourage, or advocate illegal discrimination or deprive others of their civil rights. Such behavior is incompatible with military service. Evaluators must consider a ratee's membership in these types of groups and document prohibited activity by the ratee as prescribed in AFI 51-508, *Political Activities, Free Speech and Freedom of Assembly of Air Force Personnel*.
- 1.8.5. Occupational Safety and Health. Consider how commanders, managers, and supervisors discharge their responsibilities under the Air Force Occupational and Environmental Safety, Fire Protection, and Health Program.
- 1.8.6. Security of Classified Information. Consider how well ratees who handle or have access to classified information discharge security responsibilities. When appropriate, comment on any action, behavior, or condition that is reportable under security regulations.

#### 1.8.7. When to Document.

- 1.8.7.1. If a member has been convicted by a court-martial or received an Article 15 (**Note:** applicable to both officers and enlisted) or if the senior rater decides to file adverse information in a member's officer selection record, comments relating to the ratee's behavior are mandatory on the next officer or enlisted evaluation or TR, and (RegAF and ARC only) PRF, if not already documented. (**T-1**) The evaluation becomes a referral. Comments are also required on members who have been convicted of a reportable civilian offense that: 1) is a sexual offense that is the same as, or closely related to, sex-related offenses punishable under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), or attempts to commit any of those offenses, 2) carries a possible sentence of confinement for more than one year or death, or 3) results in a sentence that includes unsuspended confinement. (**T-1**) For further guidance, supervisors and commanders will contact an attorney in the servicing Office of the Staff Judge Advocate. (**T-2**)
- 1.8.7.2. A rater is not required to comment on a conviction in a current report if the misconduct or event that ultimately resulted in a conviction was addressed on a previous evaluation. **(T-1)** For example, if a member is arrested and charged with driving under the influence (DUI) by off-base officials who decline to waive jurisdiction, and the member ultimately receives a letter of reprimand that is commented on in the next evaluation, but later (different reporting period), the downtown prosecution results in a conviction, then the rater is not required to comment on the DUI conviction because the underlying misconduct that led to the conviction was addressed in a previous evaluation.

## 1.8.8. Waiver Requests.

- 1.8.8.1. In extraordinary cases, raters may request a waiver of the mandatory requirement to document civilian convictions for good cause. The waiver request will route from the rater, through any required additional rater and the ratee's commander, to the ratee's senior rater. The senior rater may either deny the request or endorse and forward to the MAJCOM/CC or FLDCOM/CC. In the case of reports within Air Force District of Washington (AFDW), United States Air Force Academy, or any Direct Reporting Unit of AFDW or Field Operating Agency reporting to an activity on the Air Staff, requests will be forwarded to the Vice Chief of Staff of the Air Force (VCSAF) or Vice Chief of staff of Space Operations (VCSO). For the Air National Guard, requests will be forwarded to the Director, Air National Guard (DANG).
- 1.8.8.2. If the senior rater denies the waiver request, the decision is final and may not be appealed or considered further. (T-1) This does not prevent an individual from challenging any completed report in any other appropriate forums, e.g., ERAB or the AFBCMR.
- 1.8.8.3. When the senior rater endorses the waiver request, they will then forward it to the MAJCOM/CC, FLDCOM/CC, VCSAF, VCSO, or DANG for decision. The final approval authority will either approve or deny the request. (T-1)
  - 1.8.8.3.1. The MAJCOM/CC or FLDCOM/CC may delegate to the MAJCOM/CV or FLDCOM/CV, or, in the case of the AF/CV or SF/CV, to the AF/CVA or SF/DS. No further delegation beyond an Adjutant General, or equivalent, is authorized for the ANG. The decision of the approval authority is the final decision for such waiver requests and may not be appealed or considered further. This does not prevent an individual from challenging any completed report in any other appropriate forums, e.g., ERAB or the AFBCMR.
  - 1.8.8.3.2. In order to approve any waiver requests, the approval authority must issue a written finding that the mandatory comments for the specific criminal conviction are not in the best interests of the Air Force/Space Force and that the inclusion of any such comments would unduly harm the ratee. Upon final decision, forward the waiver documentation to AFPC/DPMSPE and the AFPC Military Records Section (AFPC/DP1ORM) via email. Written waiver approvals will be filed in the member's Master Personnel Records Group for the sole purpose of documenting the final approval. (T-1)

## 1.8.9. Organizational Climate.

1.8.9.1. Organizational climate is defined as the way in which members in a unit perceive and characterize their unit environment. All Airmen and Guardians are responsible for creating an organizational climate in which every member is treated with dignity and respect, and one that does not tolerate unlawful discrimination, sexual harassment, or sexual assault in any form. NCOs and officers are not only responsible for creating this environment but are also accountable for it. NCOs and officers will build a healthy organizational climate by: communicating clear direction at all levels of supervision; adhering to and enforcing standards; not tolerating and, when necessary, appropriately responding to any form of sexual harassment, sexual assault, hazing, unlawful discrimination, or any other conduct harmful to the good order and discipline of the unit;

being accountable for their actions; and cultivating an environment where teamwork, unity and cohesiveness are the standard practice. (T-0)

- 1.8.9.2. Commanders at every level have an even greater responsibility to create a healthy climate in their command. Additionally, they are responsible for ensuring adherence to Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program directives. Command climate, just like organizational climate, is the perception of a unit's environment by its members. Commanders are ultimately responsible for the good order and discipline in their unit and have unique responsibility and authority to ensure good order and discipline. Therefore, evaluators must take this special responsibility and authority into consideration when evaluating a commander's effectiveness in ensuring a healthy command climate. (T-0) A commander's evaluation shall require a statement regarding whether the commander has conducted the required command climate assessments and provided the results with remedy plan to the rater. A commander's evaluation shall also indicate the extent to which the commanding officer has or has not established a command climate in which:
  - 1.8.9.2.1. Allegations of sexual assault are properly managed and fairly evaluated. **(T-0)**
  - 1.8.9.2.2. A victim of criminal activity, including sexual assault, can report criminal activity without fear of retaliation, including ostracism and group pressure from other members of the command. (T-0)
- 1.8.9.3. All evaluators will assess their ratee(s) on what the member did to ensure a healthy organizational climate. (T-0)
- 1.8.9.4. A commander's evaluation will require a mandatory statement stating that the supervisor received the commander's annual climate assessment results and conducted the appropriate review and/or took the appropriate accountability measures with the subordinate commander after reviewing the results. **(T-1)** (USSF only) Place the mandatory statement in section IV or section V (if authorized, see **Table 3.1**) of the AF Form 707.
- 1.8.10. Command Oversight of Housing. The installation/wing, space base delta, or delta commander, installation/wing, or delta command chief, mission support group (MSG) commander (MSG/CC) (or equivalent), MSG senior enlisted leader (SEL) (or equivalent), civil engineer squadron (CES) commander (CES/CC), CES SEL, and military installation housing manager (as applicable) will be evaluated and assessed on the extent to which these individuals have or have not exercised effective oversight and leadership in the following:
  - 1.8.10.1. Improving conditions of military privatized housing. (T-0)
  - 1.8.10.2. Addressing concerns of members of the Armed Forces and their families who reside in military privatized housing on the installation. (T-0)
  - 1.8.10.3. (USSF only) place the mandatory statement in section IV or section V (if authorized, see **Table 3.1**) of the AF Form 707.

# 1.9. Disagreements.

1.9.1. A disagreement is when a subsequent evaluator nonconcurs with or makes any statement that indicates obvious difference with a previous evaluator. Disagreements are a difference in perspective and should not be viewed negatively. When disagreements occur,

they must be explained. On "wet signature" evaluations, the subsequent rater marks the non-concur block and initials the rating block that corresponds with their rating and/or provides specific comments to explain the disagreement. Digitally signed forms do not allow an evaluator to initial in a different rating block; therefore, the evaluator who disagrees must specifically state the performance factor in disagreement, the reason for the disagreement and their rating. (T-1)

- 1.9.2. Comments to support disagreements are required. **(T-1) Example:** Disagree with rater's assessment of Job Knowledge—TSgt Smith was unable to provide correct operating procedures during monthly evaluation; or Capt Rogers was unable to answer critical questions concerning the operation of his flight leading to an Operational Readiness Inspection rating of "Unsatisfactory" for his squadron.
- 1.9.3. Evaluators should discuss disagreements when preparing evaluations. Evaluators are first given an opportunity to justify their rating/comment; however, they will not do so just to satisfy the disagreement. For USAF Amn-SMSgt and all USSF members, if, after discussion, the disagreement remains, the evaluator who non-concurs should limit the comments to the space provided but can attach a DAF Form 77 if more space is required. For RegAF and ARC officers, if, after discussion, the disagreement remains, the evaluator who non-concurs should limit the comments to the space provided but can add comments to an additional comment section which will appear on a second page of the evaluation. The additional comment section will not to be used to add additional performance information.
- 1.9.4. If the forced distributor/unit commander/military or civilian director/other authorized reviewer is junior in grade to the rater/additional rater/HLR/Reviewer/Final Evaluator, they must discuss any non-concurrence with the rater/additional rater/HLR/reviewer/final evaluator prior to signing the evaluation.
- 1.9.5. Updating the Personnel Data System. When an evaluation contains different overall ratings, the final reviewer/evaluator's rating will be updated in the personnel data system. For example, on the DAF Form 910, if the additional rater disagrees with and changes the rater's overall rating, and the commander concurs with the change, the additional rater's rating will be updated. However, if the commander concurs with the rater's rating, the rater's rating will be updated.

#### 1.10. Referral Evaluations.

1.10.1. Purpose. Referral procedures are established to allow the ratee due process by giving the ratee an opportunity to respond and/or rebut any negative ratings or comments before it becomes a matter of record. Additionally, it allows evaluators to consider all the facts, including any they may not have been aware of, prior to the evaluation becoming a matter of record.

#### 1.10.2. General Information.

1.10.2.1. Vague Comments. Do not make vague comments about the member's behavior or performance. **Example:** "Due to a recent off-duty incident, Lt Jackson's potential is limited" does not state what occurred. Vague comments do not fully explain the incident or behavior, nor do they justify the referral. When doubt arises as to whether a comment is a referral comment or not, refer the evaluation. This will afford the member an

- opportunity to respond. It is better to afford the ratee the due process now while all evaluators are available, than to try and refer it later if directed by the ERAB or AFBCMR.
- 1.10.2.2. Any evaluator whose ratings or comments causes an evaluation to become a referral evaluation must give the ratee the opportunity to comment on the evaluation. (T-1)
- 1.10.2.3. A referral evaluation can be detrimental to an Airman's or Guardian's career; therefore, face-to-face interaction is required between the rater and ratee.
- 1.10.2.4. An evaluation will be referred more than once when a subsequent evaluator gives additional referral ratings or comments. **(T-1) Note:** Comments regarding the same incident or behavior will not require the evaluation to be referred more than once.
- 1.10.2.5. If, after the evaluation has been referred to the ratee, updates are made to the evaluation that add information or change the content (excluding administrative corrections such as spelling or punctuation), the ratee must be given an opportunity to respond to the updates. (T-1) Refer the evaluation again and allow 3 duty days for a response (30 calendar days for non-extended active duty). (T-1) The date of the new referral memo must be on or after the date the updated evaluation is signed. The ratee can submit a new rebuttal or attach the previously submitted rebuttal.
- 1.10.2.6. Although an evaluation may be referred several times during processing, any one evaluator will not normally refer the evaluation more than once. However, this does not include evaluations referred again in accordance with **paragraph 1.10.4.4** and **paragraph 1.10.4.5**.
- 1.10.2.7. Ensure the name of the next evaluator is included on the evaluation or in the referral memorandum (**Figure 1.1**) when referral procedures are not included on the form itself.
- 1.10.2.8. For USAF Amn-SMSgt and all USSF members, the evaluator who refers the evaluation and any subsequent evaluators may continue comments on the DAF Form 77 to explain non-concurrence or the behavior that led to the referral. **(T-1)** Comments are limited to the space on the front of the form (Section IV). Each evaluator will use a separate form. For RegAF and ARC officers, the evaluator who refers the evaluation and any subsequent evaluators may continue comments in an additional comment section within myEval, to explain non-concurrence or the behavior that led to the referral. **(T-1)** Comments are limited to the space provided in myEval. Each evaluator will complete a separate comment section.
- 1.10.2.9. All original documents will remain attached to the original evaluation. (T-1)
- 1.10.2.10. In organizations where the rating chain crosses MAJCOM/FLDCOM lines (for instance, when there is a "dual-hatted" senior rater), the evaluator named in the referral document (referral reviewer) is next official in the chain of command from the MAJCOM/FLDCOM that controls the ratee's organization of assignment, even if the senior rater's rater belongs to the other MAJCOM/FLDCOM.
- 1.10.2.11. Airmen or Guardians whose most recent or final PCS performance evaluation is or will be a referral are ineligible for PCS unless the commander submits a request to the MPF to change the assignment availability code. Requests to update the assignment

- availability code can be made any time after 120 calendar days have passed since the close out of the evaluation.
- 1.10.3. When to Refer a Performance Evaluation. Performance evaluations must be referred when:
  - 1.10.3.1. Comments in any officer or enlisted evaluation, LOE, or TR (to include attachments), regardless of the ratings, that are derogatory in nature, imply or refer to behavior incompatible with or not meeting AF/SF standards, and/or refer to disciplinary actions. (T-1) When considering the Airman's or Guardian's ability to meet standards, consider unacceptable performance as actions that are incompatible with, and/or Airmen or Guardians who have routinely (a repeated inability to meet standards that would render the aggregated performance assessment over the entire reporting period as below AF/SF standards and expectations) and/or significantly (a single instance where failure to meet standards is either egregious in nature or so far short of a standard that it impacts overall aggregated performance assessment) failed to adhere to established AF/SF standards and expectations. (T-1)
  - 1.10.3.2. When an officer fails to meet standards in any one of the listed performance factors, in Section III or Section IX of the AF Form 707, the overall evaluation will be a "Does Not Meet Standards" and must be referred. **Note:** If the evaluation is marked "Does Not Meet Standards," there must be a comment pertaining to the behavior in the referring evaluator's assessment block. Comments in the referral memorandum do not meet this requirement. **(T-1)**
  - 1.10.3.3. An evaluator marks "Does Not Meet Standards" in Section III of AF Form 707 or "Do Not Retain" in Section IV of AF Form 912. **(T-1)**
- 1.10.4. Who Refers a Performance Evaluation?
  - 1.10.4.1. Any evaluator whose rating(s) or comment(s) causes the evaluation to be a referral will refer the evaluation to the ratee. **(T-1)**
  - 1.10.4.2. If a previous evaluator did not refer an evaluation and a subsequent evaluator determines the evaluation should be referred, return the evaluation to the previous evaluator and discuss the rating and/or comment. The previous evaluator may change the rating and/or comment or the subsequent evaluator may refer the evaluation. (T-1)
  - 1.10.4.3. If there is a disagreement as to whether or not to refer an evaluation, the additional evaluator may refer the evaluation.
  - 1.10.4.4. (USAF Amn-SMSgt and all USSF Members) In cases where the referring evaluator is a MAJCOM/FLDCOM, or unified commander, the evaluator named in the referral document will be the Vice Chief of Staff of the Air Force or Vice Chief of Space Operations (SF/VCSO) who will sign an DAF Form 77. (T-1) However, in situations where the rater is a senior rater who has caused the evaluation to be referred and there is an existing evaluator within the rater's organizational chain (to include MAJCOM/FLDCOM), forward the evaluation to that evaluator for appropriate action. See paragraph 1.7.
  - 1.10.4.5. (USAF Amn-SMSgt and all USSF enlisted) On enlisted evaluations, when the additional rater refers the evaluation, the forced distributor or unit

commander/director/other authorized reviewer is the individual named in the referral document who will review the ratee's comments. **(T-1)** The forced distributor or unit commander/director/ other authorized reviewer completes their review and may place additional comments on an DAF Form 77.

1.10.4.6. When the forced distributor or unit commander/military or civilian director/other authorized reviewer refers the evaluation, the forced distributor or unit commander/military or civilian/other authorized reviewer's rater is the individual named in the referral document. **(T-1)** 

# 1.10.5. Responsibilities.

- 1.10.5.1. The Referring Evaluator Responsibilities.
  - 1.10.5.1.1. Prepares the referral document in accordance with **Figure 1.1**., **Table 4.9**., **Table 4.10** and **Table 4.12** (Enlisted), **Table 3.1** (USSF Officers), **Table 3.6** (RegAF and ARC officers), **paragraph 1.10.6.4** (Training Reports) or **Table 5.1** (Letter of Evaluation), whichever is applicable. **Note:** The date the rater signs the evaluation, and the date of the referral memorandum must be the same date.
  - 1.10.5.1.2. On or after the close-out date of the evaluation, deliver the referral memorandum to the ratee, discuss the content of the memorandum with the ratee, provide counseling (if needed), and obtain the ratee's signature and the date acknowledging receipt. (T-1) After the ratee signs the memorandum, provide a copy to the ratee and forward the original to the evaluator named in the referral document. Do not include subsequent evaluator comments on the referral evaluation until after the rebuttal is received or rebuttal period has passed. (T-1)
  - 1.10.5.1.3. For USAF Amn-SMSgt and all USSF members, if the ratee is geographically separated (including those who have passed their date of separation), send a copy of the referral document to the evaluator named in the referral document and mail the original referral document to the ratee by "certified mail return receipt requested." (T-3) For RegAF and ARC officers, if the ratee is geographically separated, send the referral memorandum electronically. For those who have passed their date of separation, send a copy of the referral document to the evaluator named in the referral document and mail the original referral document to the ratee by "certified mail return receipt requested." (T-3)
  - 1.10.5.1.4. Upon receipt of the evaluation, provide feedback to the ratee and obtain the ratee's signature. Next, forward the evaluation to the ratee's servicing MPF.

## 1.10.5.2. Ratee Responsibilities.

- 1.10.5.2.1. The ratee acknowledges receipt of the referral memorandum by signing and dating. **(T-1)** The signature only acknowledges and verifies receipt of the referral memorandum on the date indicated; it does not signify concurrence with the evaluation or indicate whether or not the ratee will provide rebuttal remarks.
- 1.10.5.2.2. If the ratee is geographically separated, they will sign the referral memorandum to acknowledge receipt and then forward the original to the evaluator named in the referral memorandum. (T-1)

- 1.10.5.2.3. The ratee will provide rebuttal comments to the referral reviewer within 3 duty days (30 calendar days for non-extended active duty) from the date of receipt (if mailed from the date of delivery), regardless of if the ratee is still on active duty. (T-1) The ratee will upload (RegAF and ARC officers only) or deliver the referral documents with all attachments. The ratee may use certified or registered mail if geographically separated. (T-1) The ratee may request more time from the evaluator named in the referral document not to exceed 45 calendar days from acknowledgement. (T-1) Additionally, the ratee:
  - 1.10.5.2.3.1. May ask the area Defense Counsel or local personnel advisor to provide guidance/assistance in preparing rebuttal comments.
  - 1.10.5.2.3.2. Must limit comments, including any pertinent attachments, to a total of 10 single-sided pages or 5 double-sided pages. (T-1) These will not reflect on the character, conduct, integrity, or motives of an evaluator unless fully substantiated and documented. All pertinent attachments become part of the evaluation filed in the personnel record; however, items that are already part of the permanent record, such as copies of previous evaluations, will be removed from the referral package prior to filing. (T-1)
  - 1.10.5.2.3.3. May have another individual prepare comments on their behalf (such as an attorney). However, when this is done, the ratee must include a statement confirming the document is to be considered as the ratee's response. **(T-1)** This statement will appear somewhere on the rebuttal document or be attached as a separate statement. **(T-1)** Note: If the ratee's statement is provided as a separate attachment, it will be considered part of the 10-page restriction. **(Example:** If the attorney submits 5 pages, the ratee can submit 5; if the attorney submits 9 pages, then the ratee can only submit 1 page and vice versa).
- 1.10.5.2.4. May choose not to comment on the referral evaluation. Once the time limit has elapsed, the evaluator named in the referral document (referral reviewer) completes the evaluation and continues normal processing (see **paragraph 1.10.5.3**.). Failure to provide comments does not prevent the ratee from later appealing the evaluation in accordance with the procedures in **Chapter 10** once the evaluation becomes a matter of record.
- 1.10.5.3. The Referral Reviewer. (The Evaluator Named in the Referral Document.)
  - 1.10.5.3.1. Must allow the ratee 3 duty days (30 calendar days for non-extended active duty) to submit a rebuttal. (T-1) If the ratee needs additional time, e.g., due to the non-availability of an Area Defense Counsel or the referral reviewer has returned the rebuttal because it is more than 10 pages, the referral reviewer may grant an extension. However, the referral reviewer will not review the evaluation until the 3 duty days (30 calendar days for non-extended active members) have passed, even if the ratee has indicated that they will not submit comments. (T-1)
  - 1.10.5.3.2. After 3 duty days (30 calendar days for non-extended active duty) have passed, the referral reviewer will:
    - 1.10.5.3.2.1. Review and consider the ratee's comments, if provided.

- 1.10.5.3.2.2. Place the applicable mandatory statement in the evaluator's comment block of the appropriate evaluation.
  - 1.10.5.3.2.2.1. If the ratee provided comments, enter the statement: "I have carefully considered (ratee's name) comments to the referral document of (date)." Ensure this date is the date of the referral memorandum, not the evaluation close-out date or the date of the ratee's rebuttal. Subsequent evaluators do not enter this statement.
  - 1.10.5.3.2.2.2. If the ratee does not forward comments within 3 duty days (30 calendar days for non-extended active duty) (plus mailing time and any approved extensions), prepare an endorsement to the evaluation and include the statement: "Comments from the ratee were requested but were not received within the required period." (T-1) Then forward the evaluation for normal processing.
- 1.10.5.3.3. Forward the evaluation with all attachments to the next evaluator. If the referral reviewer is the final evaluator, forward the evaluation to the rater so the rater can provide feedback and obtain the ratee's acknowledgement of the completed evaluation.
- 1.10.5.4. Additional/Subsequent Evaluators.
  - 1.10.5.4.1. Send the evaluation to the next evaluator in the rating chain for additional endorsement when an endorser is senior to the commander or when a commander who is senior to the endorser refers the evaluation. See paragraphs 1.10.4.4 and 1.10.4.5.
  - 1.10.5.4.2. For USAF Amn-SMSgt and all USSF members, prepare the endorsement on DAF Form 77. For RegAF and ARC officers, prepare an endorsement in the referral comment section provided on the evaluation.
  - 1.10.5.4.3. For USAF Amn-SMSgt and all USSF members, check the "supplemental sheet" block on DAF Form 77, Section IIA and enter appropriate comments in Section IV.
  - 1.10.5.4.4. For RegAF and ARC, if the evaluator on the DAF Form 77 or in the referral comments section is not an Air Force officer or Air Force NCO obtain an Air Force Advisor review. For USSF, if the evaluator on the DAF Form 77 or in the referral comments section is not a Space Force officer or Space Force NCO, obtain a Space Force advisor review.
  - 1.10.5.4.5. An additional rater or final evaluator/reviewer who decides to refer an evaluation due to a performance assessment rating or comment made by a previous evaluator refers it to the ratee before completing their portion of the evaluation. The referral document will instruct the ratee to direct and return any rebuttal comments back to them. **(T-1)** Upon receipt of the ratee's rebuttal, or when 3 duty days (30 calendar days for non-extended active duty) have elapsed, the evaluator completes their portion of the evaluation.
  - 1.10.5.4.6. If, after referral, a subsequent evaluator upgrades the ratings and/or invalidates the referral comments so the conditions defined in **paragraph 1.10.3** no longer apply, the non-concur block is marked, and comments are made in support of

the disagreement in the ratings or comments. The evaluation is no longer considered referral; however, retain all original referral documents and/or correspondence with the evaluation.

- 1.10.5.4.7. If, after referral, a subsequent evaluator upgrades ratings or comments but the conditions defined in **paragraph 1.10.3** still exist, the non-concur block is marked, and comments are made in support of the disagreement in the ratings or comments. The evaluation remains a referral. Retain original referral correspondence with the evaluation.
- 1.10.5.4.8. When the last evaluator on the evaluation has caused the evaluation to be referred, the next evaluator in the rating chain (as named in the referral document) will, upon receipt of the ratee's comments, prepare an endorsement to the evaluation on an DAF Form 77 if no comment area exists on the applicable evaluation form. If the evaluator named in the referral document does not concur with the comments or ratings of the previous evaluator, their endorsement will, in addition to the mandatory referral comments, describe the disagreement (on the first line in the comments area on the applicable evaluation or may continue comments on an DAF Form 77).
- 1.10.5.5. Deployed Evaluators. If the referring evaluator is deployed and is referring a home station evaluation, the referring evaluator will sign the referral memorandum and officer or enlisted evaluation and forward the evaluation and referral documents to the next evaluator in the rating chain. The next evaluator in the chain (the referral reviewer) will act on behalf of the referring evaluator who is deployed and issue the evaluation and referral documents to the ratee. Upon receipt of the ratee's comments, or at the expiration of the ratee's 3-duty-day-window (30 calendar days for non-extended active duty) to respond, the referral reviewer processes the evaluation and all referral documents in accordance with paragraph 1.10.5.3.

#### 1.10.6. Referral Procedures.

- 1.10.6.1. Referral Officer Evaluations. The referring evaluator will use the referral section of the evaluation and can fill in the specifics in the blank lines provided. For USSF officers, if the specific details are too long for the space allotted, the referring evaluator can attach a separate DAF Form 77 (see paragraph 1.10.2.8) and annotate "See Attachment" in the lines provided in this block. Refer to Table 3.1 for procedures on preparing the AF Form 707 and Table 3.5 for procedures on preparing the officer ALQ evaluation.
- 1.10.6.2. Referral EPRs or Education/Training Reports. Prepare a referral memorandum (DAF Forms 910/911 only) in accordance with **Figure 1.1**. All evaluators and reviewers must wet sign and date. **(T-1)**
- 1.10.6.3. Referral Letter of Evaluation. The referral process is accomplished on the form itself.
  - 1.10.6.3.1. Deployed Commander Letter of Evaluation. Complete an DAF Form 77 in accordance with **Table 5.1** and **paragraph 5.2.1.2.1**.
  - 1.10.6.3.2. All Other Letters of Evaluation.
    - 1.10.6.3.2.1. Designated Rater (Officer Only). If a LOE prepared by the officially designated rater contains referral comments, the rater prepares an officer evaluation

- in accordance with **paragraph 1.10.6.1**. The reason for the evaluation will be DBH. At least 60-calendar days of supervision is required, unless the waiver authority extends the requirement.
- 1.10.6.3.2.2. Other than Designated Rater. Complete Sections I, II, IV, V and VII in accordance with **Table 5.1**. The referral process itself is not accomplished on the DAF Form 77. **Exception:** Deployed Commander Letters of Evaluation. If someone other than the officially designated rater prepares a LOE with referral comments, forward the letter along with any rebuttal comments the ratee may want to add to the officially designated rater. **(T-1)** The rater will review the documents and decide whether or not permanent recording is warranted. If so, the letter of evaluation becomes a referral document attached to the evaluation. If the rater decides not to permanently record, they will return the LOE and any rebuttal comments to the ratee.
- 1.10.6.4. Referral Training Report (TR) (AF Form 475). Refer the TR to the ratee using the same procedures outlined in paragraphs 1.10.6.1 and 1.10.6.2. Name the commander of the Department of the Air Force school or unit of assignment as the next evaluator (determined by which organization is preparing the TR). The evaluator reviews the ratee's comments, if provided; adds the applicable mandatory comments in accordance with paragraphs 1.10.5.3.2.2.1 or 1.10.5.3.2.2.2; and endorses the TR on an DAF Form 77 using the first evaluator's block.
- **1.11. Mandatory Comments.** Specific comments or entries mandated by this DAF instruction are identified by the instruction to "enter" or "include the statement" followed by the specific comment placed within quotation marks and must be documented in myEval and/or on the evaluation as stated.
  - 1.11.1. Referral Reviewer. For a referral LOE, officer or enlisted evaluation, or TR, the evaluator named in the referral document must comment as required by **paragraph** 1.10.5.3.2.2.
  - 1.11.2. If the rater died, became incapacitated, or was relieved from duties as an evaluator, state the reason in the feedback sections of the officer evaluation (see **paragraph 1.7.2.2**) or in the remarks section of the enlisted evaluation. **(T-1)**
  - 1.11.3. If a member has been convicted by a court-martial, comments relating to the ratee's behavior are mandatory on the ratee's next officer or enlisted evaluation, TR or (RegAF and ARC only) PRF. Additionally, comments on individuals who have been found guilty, pled guilty or *nolo contendere* (no contest) of a reportable civilian offense are mandatory (see paragraph 1.8.1.2.).
  - 1.11.4. If performance feedback was not accomplished, state the reason why it was not accomplished. Rationale must be placed in myEval when completing ALQ evaluations and the "Remarks Section" on forms 707, 910, 911, and 912. **(T-1)** The reason must be honest, plausible, and specific, such as "Midterm feedback assessment not conducted due to only 58 calendar days supervision between initial feedback assessment and the evaluation close-out date," or "Rater was unable to conduct feedback assessment (state specific reason)." Non-receipt of a feedback notice and "administrative oversight" are not acceptable reasons.

- 1.11.5. If a member has been assigned to serve as a voting assistance officer, a comment relating to the performance of the member in these duties is required. **(T-0)** See 10 U.S.C. § 1566.
- **1.12. General Prohibited Evaluator Considerations and Comments.** Certain items are prohibited for consideration and will not be commented upon on any officer evaluation system or enlisted evaluation system form/brief. Except as authorized in this instruction, do not consider, refer to, or include comments regarding:
  - 1.12.1. Sensitive Information.
    - 1.12.1.1. Classified Information. Do not enter classified information in any section of the form. **(T-1)**
    - 1.12.1.2. Confidential Statements. Confidential statements, testimony, or data obtained by, or presented to, boards under DAFI 91-204, *Safety Investigations and Reports*.
    - 1.12.1.3. Appeal Agencies Outside Rating Chain. Actions taken by an individual outside the normal chain of command that represent guaranteed rights of appeal. **Example:** Inspector general, AFBCMR, equal opportunity and treatment/military equal opportunity complaints, congressional inquiries.
    - 1.12.1.4. Drug or Alcohol Abuse Rehabilitation Programs. Focus on the behavior, conduct, or performance resulting from alcohol or drug use versus the actual consumption of alcohol or drugs or participation in a rehabilitation program. Only competent medical authorities can diagnose alcoholism or drug addiction, and the diagnosis is prohibited on evaluations.
    - 1.12.1.5. Temporary or Permanent Disqualification under DoDM5210.42\_AFMAN 13-501, *Nuclear Weapons Personnel Reliability Program (PRP)*. The behavior of the ratee that resulted in the action may be referenced; however, it may not be mentioned that the ratee was disqualified.
    - 1.12.1.6. Medical Information. Only authorized medical officials are in a position to make comments on medical conditions. Evaluators must focus evaluation comments on the behavior and duty performance of the individual. Comments pertaining to the medical condition, treatment, or diagnosis are prohibited.
  - 1.12.2. Potential Discriminatory Factors and/or Information.
    - 1.12.2.1. Race, Ethnic Origin, Gender, Age, Religion, Sexual Orientation or Political Affiliation of the Ratee. Do not refer to these items in such a way that others could interpret the comments as reflecting favorably or unfavorably on the person. This is not meant to prohibit evaluators from commenting on involvement in cultural or church activities, but cautions against the use of specific religious denominations, etc. **Example:** "Capt Doe is the first female pilot ever selected for training in the F-16", is an inappropriate reference to gender. Pronouns reflecting gender (e.g., he, she, him, her, his, they, their, etc.) may be used. "Wing Point of Contact for African American Heritage Committee" or "Arranged a blood drive at the Baptist Memorial Hospital" are acceptable comments.
    - 1.12.2.2. Family Activities or Marital Status. Do not consider or include information (either positive or negative) regarding the member's marital status or the employment,

- education, or volunteer service activities (on or off the military installation) of the member's family. (T-1)
- 1.12.2.3. Officer/Enlisted Club Membership. Comments regarding a ratee's club membership is prohibited. (T-1)
- 1.12.2.4. Court-Martial Panel Membership. Do not consider performance as a member of a court-martial panel or render a less than favorable evaluation because of the zeal in which the ratee served as a defense or respondent's counsel (see Article 37, UCMJ). **(T-1)** This is not intended to inhibit an accurate portrayal of a counsel's competence in the representation of clients.
- 1.12.3. Duty History or Performance Outside the Reporting Period.
  - 1.12.3.1. Do not comment on duty history or performance outside the current reporting period, except as permitted by paragraphs 1.12.3.3 and 1.12.3.4. (T-1).
  - 1.12.3.2. Previous Evaluations or Ratings. Comments from previous evaluations or ratings are prohibited (e.g., do not include comments from an AF Form 475 on an officer ALQ evaluation or AF Form 707; or comments from a deployed commander LOE on an officer ALQ evaluation or AF Form 707, except in conjunction with performance feedback sessions and as outlined in **Chapter 8** for promotion recommendation forms. **(T-1) Note:** Evaluators may review previous evaluations to prevent repeating prior accomplishments and making inappropriate recommendations.
  - 1.12.3.3. Prior Events. Events that occurred in a previous reporting period that add significantly to the evaluation, were not known to and considered by the previous evaluators and were not already reflected in a previous evaluation in the permanent record (this includes officer and enlisted evaluations, LOEs, and TRs) can be included in a subsequent evaluation. (T-1) Example: An event (positive or negative) which came to light after an evaluation became a matter of record, but which occurred during the period of that evaluation, could be mentioned in the ratee's next evaluation because the incident was not previously reported. In rare cases, serious offenses (such as those punishable by courts-martial) may not come to light or be substantiated for several years. In such cases, inclusion of that information may be appropriate even though the incident and/or behavior occurred prior to the last reporting period. Additionally, negative incidents from previous reporting periods involving the character, conduct, or integrity of the ratee that continue to influence the performance or utilization of the ratee may be commented upon in that context only. Commanders and senior raters make the determination of what constitutes a significant addition. If a commander has considered and decided not to comment on a known adverse action, an incumbent commander may not overturn a previous commander's decision by requesting the adverse action be added after the evaluation has been made a matter of record, nor may the incumbent commander include it in the next evaluation. (T-1) However, if the behavior has continued into the next rating period, an evaluator may comment on the specific behavior for that rating period.
  - 1.12.3.4. **(USSF officers only)** Events That Occur After the Close-Out Date. If an incident or event occurs between the time an evaluation closes out and when it becomes a matter of record that warrants inclusion in that evaluation, the commander may request an extension of the close-out date (see **paragraph 3.18**.). This includes completion of an

investigation begun prior to the close-out date or confirmation of behavior that was only alleged as of the close-out date. For fitness, an extension may be requested to authorize a member to test again to meet the standard if justification is warranted. An extension to document a failure for fitness is not authorized.

- 1.12.4. Derogatory Information and Disciplinary Actions.
  - 1.12.4.1. Conduct Based on Unreliable Information.
    - 1.12.4.1.1. Raters must ensure that information used to document performance, especially derogatory information relating to unsatisfactory behavior or misconduct, is reliable and supported by a preponderance of the evidence. (T-1)
    - 1.12.4.1.2. The rater should consult with the servicing Staff Judge Advocate whenever there are questions as to whether this standard has been met.
    - 1.12.4.1.3. Raters should be particularly cautious about referring to charges preferred, investigations, or boards of inquiry (such as accident investigation boards); or using information obtained from those sources, or any similar actions related to a member, that are not complete as of the close-out date of the evaluation.
    - 1.12.4.1.4. When it is determined that such conduct is appropriate for comment, refer to the underlying performance, behavior or misconduct itself and not merely to the fact that the conduct may have resulted in a punitive or administrative action taken against the member (such as a letter of reprimand, Article 15, court-martial conviction). **Example**: An evaluator should say: "SSgt Johnson engaged in drunk and disorderly conduct and drove while intoxicated," rather than "SSgt Johnson got an Article 15 for violations of Article 92 and 134."
    - 1.12.4.1.5. (USSF officers only) If an extension to the close-out date might be warranted to determine if reliable information of unsatisfactory performance or misconduct has been established, refer to paragraph 3.18.
  - 1.12.4.2. Acquittals or Similar Results.
    - 1.12.4.2.1. Do not reference any criminal action against an individual that resulted in acquittal or recommended personnel action that was denied by the approval authority. **(T-1)**. For example, an evaluator cannot say: "SSgt Johnson was acquitted of assault charges," or "SrA Smith's involuntary separation action was unsuccessful."
    - 1.12.4.2.2. Evaluators may mention the underlying conduct that formed the basis for the action.
    - 1.12.4.2.3. Do not reference any punitive or administrative action taken against the individual in response to the conduct for which the member was acquitted or where the action was not actually taken.
  - 1.12.4.3. Punishment. Punishment received as a result of administrative or judicial action is prohibited. Restrict comments to the conduct and/or behavior that resulted in the punishment, and the type of administrative or judicial action taken (e.g., Article 15, letter of reprimand, letter of counseling, etc.).
    - 1.12.4.3.1. Acceptable statements: "Drove while intoxicated, received an Article 15" and "Failed to report to duty, received a Letter of Reprimand," etc.

- 1.12.4.3.2. Prohibited statements: "Sentenced to 6 months confinement," "Reduced to the grade of", "Forfeiture of pay", "5 days extra duty".
- 1.12.4.4. Disciplinary Actions.
  - 1.12.4.4.1. Must be reasonably specific, clearly outlining the event and/or behavior. Comments such as "conduct unbecoming" or "an error in judgment led to an off-duty incident" are too vague.
  - 1.12.4.4.2. Advise ratees specifically on why they are considered substandard in order to avoid speculation and assist them in responding appropriately. (T-1)
  - 1.12.4.4.3. An evaluation should not simply contain the comment that "MSgt Smith received an Article 15 during this period." Instead, the underlying conduct should be specifically cited with the resulting action included, such as: "During this reporting period, Lieutenant Jones sexually harassed a female subordinate for which he received an Article 15," or "MSgt Jones drove while under the influence, for which he received an Article 15."
  - 1.12.4.4.4. In any case, the focus of the comment should be on the conduct or behavior. Evaluators should consult the servicing Staff Judge Advocate or local personnel advisors for questions regarding the appropriateness of including comments about misconduct and/or the resulting actions on a performance evaluation.
- 1.12.5. A Recommendation for Decoration. Only include those decorations approved or presented during the reporting period. The term "decorations," as used here, applies to those in which a medal is awarded and worn on the Air Force uniform, such as an Air Force Achievement Medal. Other awards or nominations for honors and awards such as "Outstanding Maintenance Officer" or "Twelve Outstanding Airmen of the Year" may be mentioned.
- 1.12.6. Meeting Goals for/Results of the Combined Federal Campaign. Comments pertaining to met/exceeded goals or collected dollar amount (**Example:** 100% contact, \$15K raised, 500 contacted) are prohibited.
- 1.12.7. Weighted Airman Promotion System Data. Score data on the Weighted Airman Promotion System Data score notice or SNCO promotion score notice, board scores, test scores, relative standings among peers etc. are prohibited.
- 1.12.8. Performance Feedback Assessment. Evaluators do not refer to performance feedback sessions in any area of the performance evaluation except in the performance feedback certification block or the remarks section of Forms 910/911/912.
- 1.12.9. Matrices, fact sheets, background sheets or other documents unless specifically authorized in this instruction. Evaluators will use performance and duty related information from official source documents in the assessment of performance and potential. Demographic diversity information identifying inherent or socially defined personal characteristics such as age, race/ethnicity, religion, gender, socioeconomic status, family status, disability, and geographic origin will not be considered. (T-1)
- 1.12.10. Do not establish panels or boards to review and collectively score, rate, rank, or tally records and/or generate a priority list for determining promotion recommendations, level of endorsement or stratification, except as authorized in this instruction. (T-1)

- 1.12.11. Awards are recognitions based on a given set of criteria and are standalone achievements. Accordingly, stratification statements based on awards are not authorized.
- **1.13. Policy Deviations and Waiver Requests.** See **Table 1.1** for the offices of primary responsibility mailing addresses. Send requests for deviations or waivers through the wing or delta commander or the comparative level to AFPC/DPMSPE (or appropriate ANG/AFR) who, in turn, will forward the request to appropriate office of primary responsibility.
  - 1.13.1. Requests will be in memorandum format with all the appropriate endorsements and detail the reason for the request with full justification. If the request is applicable to a specific organization or individual, it must include the name of the unit or the name and grade of the individual.
  - 1.13.2. All deviation requests pertaining to SRID issues require coordination through the respective management level and must be signed by the head of the management level. (T-1)
  - 1.13.3. Signed requests will be mailed or emailed to the AFPC/DPMSPE or appropriate ANG/AFR office stated in **Table 1.1**.
  - 1.13.4. All waiver requests to use the AF Form 715 and AF Form 716 will require coordination through the wing commander/equivalent and AFPC/DP3SP for RegAF and to ARPC/DPTS for ARC with final approval from HAF/A1PP. If authorized, enter the following statement in the "Mandatory Comments" block: "Use of the AF Form 715/AF Form 716 is authorized IAW DAFI 36-2406."
- **1.14. Missing, Late and Removed Performance Evaluations.** When an evaluation is missing and all attempts to locate are exhausted and unsuccessful, consider re-accomplishing the report. However, before doing so, evaluators should consider such things as: how long it has been since the report closed out; are all the evaluators readily available; is there a draft of the original still available; does the ratee or any of the evaluators have a copy of the original report; can the evaluators now give a fair and accurate report based on the timeframe? (See **Table 1.2**.). **Note:** Do not re-accomplish evaluations more than 18 months past the close-out date.
  - 1.14.1. Missing Evaluations on RegAF and USSF Officers and Senior Noncommissioned Officers. The CSS, MPF, AFPC, and/or Air Reserve Personnel Center (ARPC) initiates action to try and locate the missing report.
    - 1.14.1.1. If the report is located or is able to be re-accomplished (must be the original evaluators at the time of the close-out), place the original evaluation in the permanent record or send the original to AF/A1LO of SF/S1L for colonels and colonel selects, AF/A1LE or SF/S1L for CMSgts and CMSgt selects, and forward a copy to AFPC/DP1ORM for file into ARMS and PRDA.
    - 1.14.1.2. If the report is not located, or cannot be re-accomplished, the CSS, MPF, AFPC, or ARPC will prepare an DAF Form 77 according to **Table 5.1** and insert the original into the OSR/National Security Agency, or send the original to AF/A1LO or SF/S1L for colonel and colonel selects, AF/A1LE for CMSgts and CMSgt selects, and forward a copy to AFPC/DP1ORM for file in ARMS and PRDA.
  - 1.14.2. Missing Evaluations on RegAF and USSF Enlisted TSgts and Below. The MPF, initiates action to locate the missing report.

- 1.14.2.1. If the report is located, forward the original evaluation to AFPC/DP1SSP or ARPC for file in ARMS and PRDA.
- 1.14.2.2. If a report is not located or cannot be re-accomplished, the MPF prepares as DAF Form 77 in accordance with **Table 5.1** and forwards to AFPC/DP1ORM for file in ARMS and PRDA.
- 1.14.3. Missing Evaluations for AFR. The OSR custodian, the ARPC commander, or office as prescribed by the commander concerned, initiates action to locate the missing report.
  - 1.14.3.1. If the report is located, place the original evaluation in the OSR and forward a copy to ARPC/DPTS for filing in ARMS and PRDA.
  - 1.14.3.2. If the report is not located or unable to be re-accomplished, the MPF will prepare an DAF Form 77 in accordance with **Table 5.1** and forward to ARPC/DPTS for filing in ARM and PRDA.
- 1.14.4. Missing Evaluations for ANG only. The CSS, force support squadron (FSS), or human resource (HR) specialist will initiate action to locate missing reports for Active Guard/Reserve (AGR) or DSG personnel, and NGB/HR for statutory tour personnel.
  - 1.14.4.1. If the report is located, forward the original evaluation to ARPC/DPTAR for filing in ARMS and PRDA.
  - 1.14.4.2. If the report is not located or unable to be re-accomplished, the CSS, FSS, or HR specialist will prepare DAF Form 77 in accordance with **Table 5.1** and forward to ARPC/DPTAR for filing in ARMS and PRDA. **(T-1)** ARPC/DPTAR will update the personnel system.
- 1.14.5. Evaluations Removed From Records Under Chapter 10 or under DAFI 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records*. Prepare an DAF Form 77 in accordance with Table 5.1.

## 1.15. Wartime or National Emergency Provisions.

- 1.15.1. During wartime a national emergency, HAF, HSF, AFPC, or MAJCOMs/FLDCOMs, when delegated, may make changes to evaluation policies and procedures to reduce the associated workload while ensuring performance is documented. MAJCOMs/FLDCOMs may implement these procedures totally or in part depending on the nature and scope of the situation. In implementing wartime provisions, a MAJCOM/FLDCOM may implement HAF/HSF/AFPC procedures totally or in part. When implementing in part, MAJCOMs/FLDCOMs must provide specific instructions regarding completing and routing evaluations. (T-1)
- 1.15.2. In implementing wartime provisions, AFPC/DP3SP, in coordination with AF/REP and NGB/A1P, will provide specific instructions regarding completion of evaluations, routing evaluations once completed, and any other appropriate actions. AFPC/DPMSPE or ARPC/PB will announce officer promotion recommendation form (PRF) procedures (see **Chapter 8**). AF/A1PP, SF/S1PP, and AFPC/DP3SP will determine whether to restrict provisions for the performance evaluations to certain theaters or organizations and whether to implement them in part, totally, or incrementally. They may make performance feedbacks optional. Commands must implement the provisions outlined below or as AFPC/DP3SP directs.

- 1.15.3. When to Submit Performance Evaluations.
  - 1.15.3.1. Evaluations that are due prior to a deployment.
  - 1.15.3.2. A deployment does not change the requirement to prepare annual/biennial evaluations.

Figure 1.1. Example Referral Memorandum.

Date

MEMORANDUM FOR SRA JOHN SMITH, 123 MDSS/MDSO. Rates 's Grade, Name

FROM: 123 MDSS/MDSO 1122 Main Street

Any base AFB ST 77777-7777 Referring evaluator's unit address

SUBJECT: Referral Enlisted Performance Report or Education/Training Report

- This enlisted evaluation <u>OR</u> education/training report is being referred to you in accordance
  with DAFI 36-2406, para 1.10 because it contains negative comment(s)/derogatory information.
  Specifically, the following comment(s):\_\_firsert exact statement(s) that make the report a
  referral cause(s) this evaluation to be referred.
- 2. Acknowledge receipt of this memorandum by signing and dating in reproducible blue or black ink. Be advised that your signature does not imply acceptance of or agreement with the ratings or comments on the evaluation. Once this memo is signed, you will receive a copy. To rebut the evaluation or address any concerns, submit your rebuttal package to (name and address of next evaluator) no later than 3 duty days (30 calendar days for npn-extended active duty: members) from the date of this memorandum. If you need additional time, request an extension from (name of next evaluator). Your rebuttal package is limited to a total of 10 single-side or 5 double-sided pages and must directly relate to the reason the evaluation is referred. Documents included in your rebuttal package that are not maintained elsewhere in your official records will remain attached to the referral report for filing in your official records. Copies of previous evaluations or fitness reports will be removed prior to filing the referral report since these documents are maintained elsewhere in your official records. Rebuttal packages that contain any reflection on the character, conduct, integrity, or motives of the evaluator must be fully substantiated and documented. Contact your supervisor, first sergeant, commander support staff, or MPF if you need assistance with preparing your rebuttal.
- 3. It is important for you to be aware that receiving a referral report may affect your eligibility for personnel related action (e.g., assignments, promotion). In addition, if you are eligible for promotion, a referral report will result in a promotion recommendation of "Not Ready Now" or "Do Not Promote." Consult those in your rating chain, starting with your supervisor, for more information on this subject. If you believe this report is inaccurate, unjust, or unfairly prejudicial to your career, you may apply for a review IAW DAFI 36-2406, Chapter 10, Correction of Officer and Enlisted Evaluation Reports, once the report becomes a matter of record.

Signature of referring evaluator JAMES JONES, TSgt, USAF NCOIC, C Flight

Figure 1.2. Example Referral Memorandum (Continued).

Attachment: DAF Form 910, 31 Mar 23 date	DAF Form 910/AI	F Form 911/912/475, as	appropriate, close-out
Cc: Maj Kerry Brown, 123 N Symbol	MDSS/MDSO N	ext evaluator's Grade, N	lame, Unit/Office
1st Ind, SrA John Smith	Ratee's Grade, Name	e	
MEMORANDUM FOR MA	J KERRY BROWN,	123 MDSS/MDSO	
Receipt acknowledged at	(time)	on(da	ate).
		Signature of ratee JOHN SMITH, SrA	, USAF

**Table 1.1. Mailing Addresses for Correspondence.** 

R	Α	В
U L E	Address	OPR
1	HQ AFPC/DP3SP 550 C Street West Joint Base San Antonio- Randolph TX 78150 (Note: All processing of evaluations is completed by AFPC/DP1SSP via CMS or myEval).	Manages the Officer and Enlisted Evaluation Systems, including evaluation appeals, for all RegAF airman basic through lieutenant colonel and all USSF specialist 1 through lieutenant colonel following direction provided by AF/A1P or SF/S1P.
2	HQ AFPC/DPMSPE 550 C Street West Joint Base San Antonio-Randolph TX 78150	Manages the student management level review (MLR) and all promotion recommendation form actions with direction from AFPC/DP3SP and AF/A1P.
3	HQ AFPC/DPMSPE 550 C Street West Joint Base San Antonio Randolph TX 78150	Evaluation Appeals. Administers the ERAB. Training reports.
4	AF/A1LG 1040 Air Force Pentagon Washington District of Columbia 20330-1040	Air Force General Matters Office.  Manages Officer Evaluation System for, and maintains all evaluations on, general officers and brig gen selects on extended active duty. <b>Note:</b> All wet signature evaluations on active duty GOs are sent to this address. See <b>Note 2</b> .
5	USAF/REG 1150 Air Force Pentagon Washington District of Columbia 20330-1040	AFR General Officer Matters Office. Manages Officer Evaluation System for Reserve general officers (and brig gen selects). See Note 2.

	T	T
6	AF/A1LO 1040 Air Force Pentagon Washington District of Columbia 20330-1040	Air Force Colonel Management Office. Manages Officer Evaluation System for and maintains all evaluations on, colonels (except brig gen selects) and col selects on the Active Duty List (ADL).  Note: All wet signature evaluations on RegAF cols are sent to this address. See Note 1.
7	AF/A1LE 1040 Air Force Pentagon Washington District of Columbia 20330-1040	Air Force Chief Matters Office.  Maintains all evaluations on RegAF  CMSgts and CMSgt selects. Note: All wet signature evaluations on RegAF  CMSgts are sent to this address. See  Note 1.
8	SF/S1L (4D284) 2020 Air Force Pentagon Washington DC 20330-2020	Space Force Senior Leader Management Office. Manages officer evaluation system for, and maintains all evaluations on, USSF general officers (to include brigadier general selects), colonels, and colonel selects. Additionally, maintains all evaluations on USSF chief master sergeants and chief master sergeant selects. <b>Note:</b> All wet signature evaluations are sent to this address. See <b>Notes 1</b> and <b>2</b> .
9	HQ ARPC/DPTS 18420 E. Silver Creek Ave Bldg 390 MS 68 Buckley SFB CO 80011	Records and Board Support Division. Manages the Officer Evaluation System for ARC officers not on the ADL and the Enlisted Evaluation System for ARC enlisted personnel following policy provided by HAF/A1P, HAF/RE and NGB/A1PP. <b>Note:</b> All wet signature evaluations on ARC personnel are sent to this office, except general officers.
10	HQ AFPC/DP1ORM 550 C Street West Joint Base San Antonio-Randolph TX 78150	Maintains the ARMS and PRDA on all RegAF personnel.
11	HQ ARPC/DPTS 18420 E. Silver Creek Ave Bldg 390 MS 68 Buckley SFB CO 80011	(Reserve/Guard ARMS) Maintains the ARMS on all ARC personnel. See <b>Note 2</b> .

12	AF/RE 1150 Air Force Pentagon Washington District of Columbia 20330-1150	Provides AFR Officer Evaluation System and Enlisted Evaluation System policy with collaboration with AF/A1P and AFPC/DP3SP.
13	HQ AFPC/DPMN 550 C Street West Joint Base San Antonio-Randolph TX 78150- 4727	Medical Service Officer Management. Provides advice on reporting policy for officers within the health professions, in conjunction with AF/SG1, Medical Force Development Directorate, Office of the Surgeon General, AF/SG.
14	AFRC/A1 155 Richard Bay Blvd Robins AFB GA 31098-5000	Responsible for effective management and operation of all AFRC Manpower, Personnel and Services programs, plans, policies and procedures.
15	AFRC/A1K 155 Richard Bay Blvd Robins AFB GA 31098-5000	Promotions, Retention and Customer Service Branch. Provides AF Officer Evaluation System and Enlisted Evaluation System policy and guidance following policy provided by AF/A1PP or AF/RE.
16	AFRC/A1L 555 Robins Pkwy Ste 210 Blvd Robins AFB GA 31098-5000	Senior Leader (Colonel) Management Division for AFRC.
17	NGB-GOMO Bldg 2 111 South George Mason Drive Arlington VA 22204	National Guard General Officer Management Office. Responsible for promotions and evaluations for all National Guard brig gen and above.

18	NGB/A1P 3500 Fetchet Ave.	Force Management Division.
	Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762	NGB/A1PO - Responsible for Officer Programs and Policy for colonels and below.
		NGB/A1PP - Responsible for enlisted evaluations and enlisted promotions with collaboration with AF/A1P and AFPC/DPSID.
19	Professional Development Directorate 1420 Air Force Pentagon, Suite 5D140 Washington District of Columbia 20330-1420	The Judge Advocate General's Corps Professional Development Directorate. Provides advice on reporting policy for judge advocates.

# Note:

- 1. All digitally signed evaluations (colonels and below) must be submitted through myEval or CMS. **(T-1).**
- 2. All digitally signed GO evaluations must be submitted through Right Now Technology.

Table 1.2. Missing and Late Evaluations (See Notes 1 and 2).

R	A	В	C
	The report was located or successfully reaccomplishment:	and the system contains the overall rating and close-out date:	Then:
1	No		When authorized by AFPC/ARPC the CSS/MPF/HR specialist or the Joint Forces Headquarters (Human Resource Office) or NGB/HR who discovers the discrepancy prepares DAF Form 77. See <b>Table 5.1</b> .
2		No	When authorized by AFPC/ARPC the CSS/MPF/HR specialist prepares DAF Form 77. See <b>Table 5.1</b> .
3	Yes		File form according to <b>paragraph 1.14.1.1</b> . and update the system, if appropriate.

#### Notes:

- 1. The gaining CSS/MPF/HR specialist, the Joint Forces Headquarters (Human Resource Office) or NGB/HR tracks missing or late evaluations. Do not re-accomplish evaluations more than 18 months past the close-out date. DAF Form 77s are prepared by the CSS/MPF/HR specialist.
- 2. When all attempts to find the missing evaluation fail, the HR specialist sends an inquiry to AFPC/DPMSPE or ARPC/DPTSE (officers/SNCOs), requesting that AFPC/DPMSPE or HQ ARPC/DPTS search the history files for the enlisted evaluation rating. Include in the request:
- a. All known information that may assist in identifying the missing evaluation.
- b. An account of all actions taken to find the missing evaluation. For personnel with prior service, do not send a request to AFPC/DPMSPE or ARPC/DPTSE for missing evaluations earlier than 120 calendar days after the date the ratee reentered to duty. The CSS/MPF/HR specialist provides this information when requesting a search for missing evaluations on personnel with prior service:

name, grade, social security number, grade at separation, date of separation, whether a DAF Form 1613, *Statement of Service*, might exist.

**Note:** If AFPC/DPMSPE or finds the rating in the history files, complete an DAF Form 77 according to **Table 5.1**. When more than one evaluation is involved, the MPF/CSS/HR specialist may prepare one DAF Form 77 according to **Table 5.1**, if no gaps exist in the period of the missing evaluations. However, if the military personnel flight (MPF)/commander's support staff (CSS)/human resource (HR) specialist later receives one or more of the missing evaluations, the MPF/CSS/HR specialist prepares one or more AF Forms 77, as required, so that periods of time in the performance record remain consecutive. If the rating is not available, comply with **Table 5.1**.

## Chapter 2

#### PERFORMANCE FEEDBACK PROCESS

**2.1. Purpose.** A performance feedback assessment is a formal, two-way communication between a rater and ratee to discuss standards, responsibilities, expectations, and goals. Raters document the feedback session to assess or discuss the objectives, standards, behavior, and performance with the ratee. Providing this information helps an individual improve communication and performance, while growing professionally. The following information applies to all military personnel.

## 2.2. Responsibilities.

- 2.2.1. The ratee will:
  - 2.2.1.1. Know when formal feedback sessions are due. (T-3)
  - 2.2.1.2. When needed, request a "Ratee Requested" feedback session from the rater. If a ratee requests a feedback session, the rater will provide one within 30 calendar days of the request. (T-3)
  - 2.2.1.3. Provide timely notification to the rater and, if necessary, the rater's rater, when required or requested feedback did not take place. (T-3)
  - 2.2.1.4. Complete Section III on their own and review Section VII (AF Form 724), Section IX (AF Form 931), or VIII (AF Form 932) in preparation for the feedback session. **(T-3)**
  - 2.2.1.5. Sign the feedback indicating the date the supervisor conducted the feedback. **(T-3)**
- 2.2.2. The rater will:
  - 2.2.2.1. Know when formal feedbacks are due and provide them, at a minimum, as required by this instruction. **(T-3)**
  - 2.2.2.2. Use this instruction to assist in preparing for, scheduling, and conducting feedback sessions. See Tables 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4. (T-3)
  - 2.2.2.3. Understand, demonstrate, and communicate Air Force or Space Force standards and expectations such as those outlined in the *Enlisted Force Structure*, when providing feedback assessments to personnel. **(T-3)**
  - 2.2.2.4. Provide effective assessments by being realistic, honest, and timely. This will help the ratee improve performance and grow professionally and personally. Effective assessments may differ for each Airman or Guardian but can include in-depth discussions with the ratee and written comments on the assessment. (T-3)
  - 2.2.2.5. Provide the original completed and signed assessment to the ratee. (T-3)
  - 2.2.2.6. Retain a copy of the signed and dated assessment. The midterm formal feedback is required to be routed with the evaluation but will not be part the official record. See **paragraph 2.9.3** for individuals authorized to view the assessment. **Exception:** Extremely rare circumstances may exist where a documented midterm assessment is not available to be routed with the evaluation (e.g., the rater has been removed from supervisory/rater duties). (T-3)

- 2.2.2.7. Feedback sessions are a communication tool and are not to be used to discover or document behavior which may result in administrative or judicial action. (T-3) Document behavior that deviates from DAF standards through a letter of reprimand, letter of counseling, letter of admonishment, or memorandum for record. (T-3)
- 2.2.2.8. Provide the ratee the most current "Benefits Fact Sheet" (available on AF Portal). **(T-3)**
- 2.2.2.9. Include expectations to ratees for contributing to a healthy organizational climate for Airmen or Guardians up to the grade of SrA or Spc4. (T-1) Raters will also ensure that NCOs and officers are accountable for creating a healthy organizational climate. (T-1) Raters will ensure that every commander knows they are responsible for, and will be held accountable for, ensuring their unit has a healthy command climate. (T-0)
- 2.2.3. **(USSF only)** The additional rater will:
  - 2.2.3.1. Ensure raters properly conduct timely feedback sessions. (T-3)
  - 2.2.3.2. Conduct feedback sessions when the rater is not available due to unusual circumstances or when officially assuming the rater's responsibilities. (T-3)
- 2.2.4. The unit commander will:
  - 2.2.4.1. Oversee the performance feedback program. (T-2)
  - 2.2.4.2. Consider disciplining and removing supervisory responsibilities for raters who fail to conduct proper and timely feedback sessions. **(T-2)**
- 2.2.5. The Military Personnel Flight (MPF) will:
  - 2.2.5.1. Provide guidance on the performance feedback program and assist CSSs when needed. (T-3)
  - 2.2.5.2. Not be required to maintain a repository for performance feedback assessments for personnel assigned.
- 2.2.6. Raters are responsible for maintaining copies of formal feedbacks on their assigned ratees.
- **2.3. Who Requires a Performance Feedback Assessment.** Performance feedback assessments are mandatory for all RegAF and ARC Airmen, airman basic through colonel, and USSF Guardians, specialist 1 through colonel. Performance feedback assessments are not prepared when a ratee is in a captive, patient, prisoner, or absent without leave status. For officers receiving an AF Form 475 and enlisted in approved initial or advanced skills training courses, performance feedback assessments may be completed at the discretion of the commander of the school. For performance evaluations completed on non-rated initial skills training or advanced skills training course students, academic progress reports will serve in lieu of the mandatory mid-term performance feedback session. **(T-3)**
- **2.4.** Guidance for Conducting Performance Feedback Sessions. Conduct sessions face-to-face (may include video conferencing). (T-3). Exception: When this is not feasible, sessions may be conducted by telephone. In these cases, after the performance feedback session is complete, the rater will forward the finalized form to the ratee within 10 calendar days. (T-3).
- 2.5. When to Conduct Documented Performance Feedback Sessions. See Table 2.1.

## 2.6. The Performance Feedback Assessment Notice.

- 2.6.1. The rater should receive a computer-generated notice 30 calendar days after supervision begins and again halfway between the time supervision began and the projected performance report close-out date. The notice serves to remind the rater that a performance feedback session is due. However, failing to receive a notice does not justify failing to or negate the rater's responsibility to conduct a required session.
- 2.6.2. For ANG officers, the MPF will send the performance feedback notice to the rater concurrently with the officer evaluation notice or upon initial assignment of the ratee. Conduct the performance feedback session no later than 60 calendar days after the officer evaluation close-out date or initial assignment date.
- 2.6.3. Since the ratee shares the responsibility to ensure performance feedback sessions are conducted, the notice is also sent to the ratee, 30 calendar days after sending the notice to the rater (for officers) or concurrently with the notice sent to the rater (for enlisted).
- 2.6.4. For IMAs, the performance feedback notice is sent to the supervisor's RegAF MPF for forwarding to the supervisor.
- 2.6.5. ANG does not currently have a standardized, automated process to create airman comprehensive assessment (ACA) notices for raters and ratees. ANG MPFs may not be able to provide raters and ratees with a computer-generated ACA notice. If computer-generated notices are not available, MPFs should use alternate forms of communication to notify raters and ratees. Mass communication from MPF to wing personnel is acceptable. Signed notices are not required for ANG personnel.

## 2.7. Performance Feedback Assessment Forms.

- 2.7.1. For second lieutenant through colonel, use AF Form 724. See **Table 2.4** for instructions.
- 2.7.2. For MSgt (including selects) through CMSgt, use AF Form 932. See **Table 2.3** for instructions.
- 2.7.3. For AB/Spc1 through TSgt, use AF Form 931. See Table 2.2 for instructions.
- 2.7.4. For SNCOs, raters have the option to use the AF Form 724-A as an informal guiding document to supplement performance feedback. For RegAF and ARC officers in the grade of second lieutenant through colonel, raters will use the AF Form 724-A in addition to the AF Form 724.
  - 2.7.4.1. The AF Form 724-A is designed to guide raters and facilitate discussion when providing constructive feedback to their ratees. The addendum should be used in conjunction with the primary AF Form 724 and AF Form 932, not in lieu of it.
  - 2.7.4.2. This addendum highlights four major performance areas, each with certain ALQs for Airmen to focus on.
  - 2.7.4.3. For officers only, when the AF Form 724-A replaces Section VI "PERFORMANCE FEEDBACK" items 1 6 on the AF Form 724.

- 2.7.4.4. A rater should use their best judgement when determining the proficiency level of their ratee, bearing in mind that each definition should be relative to the ratee's specific grade, AFSC, and assigned duties.
- 2.7.4.5. See **Table 2.5** for additional instructions.

## 2.8. Preparing the Performance Feedback Assessment.

- 2.8.1. The performance feedback assessment should outline the issues discussed during the feedback session; however, it is primarily a guide for conducting the assessment session, not a transcript. Therefore, omission of an issue from the form does not, by itself, constitute proof that the issue was not discussed.
- 2.8.2. The assessment may be handwritten or typed by the rater providing the assessment.

## 2.9. Disposition and Access.

- 2.9.1. Do not make the performance feedback assessment an official part of any personnel record (including personal information files) or use it in any personnel action except for paragraph 2.9.3. (T-1) Note: At a minimum, the rater will maintain a copy of the feedback until the evaluation becomes a matter of record. (T-3)
- 2.9.2. The ratee may grant access to the completed forms at their discretion.
- 2.9.3. The forms will not be reviewed by anyone other than the rater, ratee and authorized personnel as outlined in the following paragraphs, specifically for the purposes of completing performance evaluations. (T-1) Neither form will be introduced in any other personnel action unless the ratee first introduces them or alleges either a performance feedback session was not conducted, or the sessions were inadequate. (T-1)
  - 2.9.3.1. For enlisted, the additional rater or HLR, rater's rater (when the additional rater is not also the rater's rater), CSS, first sergeant, squadron/group superintendents or equivalent, squadron/group/wing/delta commanders or equivalent, forced distributor, MPF personnel, command chief, final evaluator, and functional examiner/Air Force or Space Force advisor (when applicable) are authorized access to the performance feedback assessment specifically for the purpose of completing and processing performance evaluations. (T-1)
  - 2.9.3.2. For officers, the CSS, first sergeant, squadron/group/wing/delta commanders or equivalent, reviewer/HLR, functional examiner/Air Force or Space Force advisor (when applicable), and MPF personnel are authorized access to the performance feedback assessment specifically for the purpose of completing and processing performance evaluations. (T-1)
- 2.9.4. Temporary Duty (TDY) supervisors may conduct assessments and complete a feedback assessment. However, it will not be sent to the home station rater. (T-1). A memo will be sent to the home station rater if there are any issues the temporary supervisor may wish to address. (T-1) Exception: If the TDY rater has been officially designated as the ratee's reporting official, a feedback assessment is required.

- **2.10.** Failure to Conduct or Document a Performance Feedback Assessment. While documented feedback sessions are required by this instruction, they do not replace informal day-to-day communication and feedback. A rater's failure to conduct a required or requested feedback session or failure to document the session, will not, in and of itself, invalidate any subsequent evaluation or PRF.
- **2.11.** Tracking Performance Feedback Assessments. Unit commanders may establish procedures beyond those provided in this instruction to validate feedback completion compliance provided those procedures do not violate paragraph 2.9.3.

Table 2.1. Performance Feedback Assessment Requirements.

R	A	В
L E	If the ratee is	then the ratee requires the following feedback
1	a CMSgt or a Col	Initial (See Notes 1 & 4)
2	a MSgt or SMSgt, Maj or Lt Col	Initial (See Notes 1 & 4) Midterm (See Notes 2 & 4) End-of-reporting period (See Note 3)
3	an AB/Spc1, Amn/Spc2 or A1C/Spc3 (who has already received an enlisted evaluation), a SrA/Spc4 through TSgt, a Lt through Capt (see <b>Notes 6</b> )	Initial (See Notes 1 & 4) Midterm (See Notes 2 & 4) End-of-reporting period (See Note 3)
4	an AB/Spc1, Amn/Spc2 or A1C/Spc3 (with less than 20 months total active federal military service or less than 20 months Date Initial Entry Uniformed Services for ARC)	Initial (See Note 1) Midterm (See Note 5)
5	an AB/Spc1 through Col	Requested by Ratee (See Note 7)
6	an AB/Spc1 through Col	When determined necessary by the rater

#### **Notes:**

- 1. The rater must conduct the initial feedback session within the first 60 calendar days they initially begin supervision. This will be the ratee's only initial feedback until they have a change of reporting official. For CMSgts and cols, this is the only feedback required.
- 2. The rater must conduct the midterm feedback session midway between the date supervision begins and the projected close-out date of the next evaluation.
- 3. The rater conducts an end-of reporting period feedback session when an evaluation has been accomplished. This session must be conducted within 60 calendar days of the close-out of the evaluation and serves two distinct purposes. The first purpose is to review and discuss with the ratee the previous reporting period and resulting evaluation. The second purpose is to establish expectations for the new reporting period. This feedback may be accomplished using an evaluation that just closed or a new AF Form 724 or AF Form 931.
- 4. ARC personnel are not required to complete an Airman Comprehensive Assessment for a member who is pending separation or discharge under DAFI 36-3211, *Military Separations*.
- 5. After the initial feedback session is conducted, conduct a (midterm) feedback session every 180 calendar days until the rater writes an enlisted evaluation or a change of reporting official occurs.
- 6. If the ratee is due an annual evaluation and the period of supervision is less than 150 days, the rater conducts the feedback session approximately 60 calendar days before the projected evaluation close-out date.
- 7. When a ratee requests a feedback session, the rater must conduct a session within 30 calendar days of the ratee's request if at least 60 calendar days have passed (at the rater's discretion) since the last feedback session.

Table 2.2. Preparing AF Form 931, Airman Comprehensive Assessment (AB thru TSgt).

	<b>Note:</b> Air Force terminology applies to the Space Force equivalent (e.g., Airman applies to Guardian, Air Force Core Values applies to Space Force Core Values, etc.).	
	SECTION I. RATEE	IDENTIFICATION DATA (to be completed by rater)
I T	A	В
E M	Heading	Instructions
1	Name	In all upper case, enter last name, first name, middle initial, and any suffix (i.e., JR., SR, III). If there is no middle initial, the use of No Middle Name "NMI" is optional.
2	Grade (Rank)	Self-explanatory
3	Unit	Enter information as of the ACA completion date. The goal is an accurate description of what unit the ratee belongs. For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR Cat E, information will be that of unit of attachment.
	SECTION II. TYPE	OF ASSESSMENT (to be completed by rater)
I T E	A	В
M	Heading	Instructions
4	Type of Assessment	Indicate whether the assessment is initial, midterm, follow-up, ratee requested, or rater directed. Sections VI, VII and VIII will not be completed during initial feedback sessions.  Once Section II is completed the rater forwards the ACA form to the ratee for a self-assessment. The information captured during the self-assessment will assist the rater when
		accomplishing the remaining areas of the overall assessment.
	SECTION III. SELF-	
I T E	SECTION III. SELF-A Heading	accomplishing the remaining areas of the overall assessment.

5	Responsibility,	Ratee will place a "Y" in the block indicating they understand
	Accountability, Air Force	the importance of the self-assessment area or a "N" to indicate
	Culture, and Self	they need more information from the rater in order to make a
		self-assessment in that area.
		After the ratee completes the self-assessment, they will return
		the ACA form to the rater.
	SECTION IV AIRMAN	'S CRITICAL ROLE IN SUPPORT OF THE MISSION
	(to be completed by rater	
I	A	В
T		
E		
M	Heading	Instructions
6	Airman's Critical Role in	Completed by the rater to identify the ratee's critical role in
	Support of the Mission	achieving mission success.
	SECTION V. INDIVIDU	JAL READINESS INDEX (to be completed by rater)
ī	A	В
T		
E		
M	Heading	Instructions
7	Individual Readiness	Rater consults the unit deployment manager to identify the
	Index	ratee's current deployment status and AEF indicator.
		Rater will place an "R" in the first box indicating the ratee's
		readiness status as currently not deployable or "G" if the
		ratee's current readiness status is deployable.
8	AEF Indicator	Rater will identify the AEF indicator in the second box.
	SECTION VI. PERFOR	MANCE: LEADERSHIP/PRIMARY
	DUTIES/FOLLOWERSHIP/TRAINING (to be completed by rater)	
I	A	В
T		
E	Heading	Instructions
M	8	
9	Task	Consider the quality, quantity, results, and impact of the
	Knowledge/Proficiency	Airman's knowledge and ability to accomplish tasks. See
		Note.
10	Initiative/Motivation	Describes the degree of willingness to execute duties, motivate
		team members, and develop innovative new processes. See
		Note.

11	Skill Level Upgrade Training	Consider skill level awarding course, CDC timeliness and/or completion, course exam results, and completion of core task training. Mark "N/A" for Airmen or Guardians who possess required skill level/training. See <b>Note</b> .
12	Duty Position Requirements, qualifications, and certifications	Consider duty position qualifications, career field certifications (if applicable), and readiness requirements. Mark "N/A" for Airmen or Guardians who possess training commensurate with grade prior to reporting period. See <b>Note</b> .
13	Training of others	Consider the Airman's effort and impact made by training others. Mark "N/A" for Airmen or Guardians who have no valid opportunity to train. See <b>Note</b> .
14	Comments	Provide specific comments tailored to those areas assessed in Section VI.
	SECTION VII. FOLLO	WERSHIP/LEADERSHIP (to be completed by rater)
I T E	A	В
M	Heading	Instructions
15		Consider how effectively the Airman utilizes resources to accomplish the mission. See <b>Note</b> .
16	Comply with/enforce standards	Consider personal adherence and enforcement of fitness standards, dress and personal appearance, customs and courtesies, and professional conduct. See <b>Note</b> .
17	Communication skills	Describes how well the Airman receives and relays information, thoughts, and ideas up and down the chain of command (includes listening, reading, speaking, and writing skills); fosters an environment for open dialogue. See <b>Note</b> .
18	Caring, respectful and dignified environment (teamwork)	Rate how well the Airman selflessly considers others, values diversity, and sets the stage for an environment of dignity and respect, to include promoting a healthy organizational climate. See <b>Note</b> .
19	Comments	Provide specific comments tailored to those areas assessed in Section VII.
	SECTION VIII. WHOLE	E AIRMAN CONCEPT (to be completed by rater)
I T E	A	В
M	Heading	Instructions

Air Force Core Values	Consider how well the Airman adopts, internalizes, and demonstrates our Air Force Core Values. See <b>Note</b> .
Personal and Professional Development	Consider the amount of effort the Airman devoted to improving themselves and their work center/unit through education and involvement. See <b>Note</b> .
Esprit de corps and community relations	Consider how well Airman promotes camaraderie, embraces esprit de corps, and acts as an Air Force ambassador. See <b>Note</b> .
Comments	Provide specific comments tailored to those areas assessed in Section VIII.
	NG YOUR AIRMAN (to be completed during formal
	,
	В
Heading	Instructions
Questions 1-7	Completed during Airman Comprehensive Assessment session discussion. Provides questions designed to facilitate open communication between the ratee/rater and may trigger areas and/or specific items which need to be probed in more depth. These questions are not intended to be all encompassing. The purpose is to help start the conversation on the particular item, not make it an interrogation. Items 6 and 7 are designed to receive feedback from the ratee and to set specific expectations for the ratee's growth.
A	В
Heading	Instructions
Ratee/Rater Signature and Date	In the instance where digital signatures are not used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite or date stamp the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the Airman Comprehensive Assessment completion date (only on the date of completion). The forms have digital capability; the use of digital signatures is optional.
	Personal and Professional Development  Esprit de corps and community relations  Comments  SECTION IX. KNOWIN feedback between rater a A  Heading  Questions 1-7

**Note:** Use the appropriate word picture/rating assigned to each area on the performance assessment when filling out the Airman Comprehensive Assessment.

Table 2.3. Preparing AF Form 932 (MSgt - CMSgt) Airman Comprehensive Assessment.

		ology applies to the Space Force equivalent (e.g., Airman applies Core Values applies to Space Force Core Values, etc.).
	SECTION I. RATEE	IDENTIFICATION DATA (to be completed by rater)
I T E	A	В
M	Heading	Instructions
1	Name	In all upper case, enter last name, first name, middle initial, and any suffix (i.e., JR., SR, III). If there is no middle initial, the use of "NMI" is optional.
2	Grade (Rank)	Self-explanatory
3	Unit	Enter information as of Airman Comprehensive Assessment completion date. The goal is an accurate description of what unit the ratee belongs.  For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR Cat E, information will be that of unit of attachment.  Information will be in all upper/lower case.
	SECTION II. TYPE C	OF ASSESSMENT (to be completed by rater)
I T E	A	В
M	Heading	Instructions
4	Type of Assessment	Indicate whether the assessment is initial, mid-term, ratee requested, or rater directed (Sections VI and VII will not be completed during initial feedback sessions).
		Once Section II is completed the rater forwards the Airman Comprehensive Assessments to the ratee for a self-assessment. The information captured during the self-assessment will assist the rater when accomplishing the remaining areas of the overall assessment.

SECTION III. SELF ASSESSMENT (to be completed by ratee)	
A	В
Heading	Instructions
Responsibility, Accountability, Air Force Culture, and Self	Ratee will place a "Y" in the block indicating they understand the importance of the self-assessment area, or a "N" to indicate they need more information from the rater in order to make a self-assessment in that area.
	After the ratee completes the self- assessment, they will return the Airman Comprehensive Assessment to the rater.
	N'S CRITICAL ROLE IN SUPPORT OF THE MISSION (r)
A	В
Heading	Instructions
Airman's Critical Role in	Completed by the rater to identify the ratee's critical role in achieving mission success.
Support of the Mission	actileving inission success.
SECTION V. INDIVIDU	AL READINESS INDEX (to be completed by rater)
A	В
Heading	Instructions
Individual Readiness Index	Rater consults the unit deployment manager to identify ratee's current deployment status and AEF indicator.
	Rater will place an "R" in the first box indicating the ratee's readiness status as currently non-deployable or "G" if the ratee's current readiness status is deployable.
AEF Indicator	Rater will identify the AEF indicator in the second box.
SECTION VI. PERFORMANCE: LEADERSHIP/PRIMARYDUTIES/ FOLLOWERSHIP/TRAINING (to be completed by rater)	
A	В
Heading	Instructions
Mission Accomplishment	Consider the Airman's ability to lead and produce timely, high quality/quantity, mission-oriented results. See <b>Note</b> .
	Responsibility, Accountability, Air Force Culture, and Self  SECTION IV. AIRMAN (to be completed by rate A  Heading Airman's Critical Role in Support of the Mission  SECTION V. INDIVIDU A  Heading Individual Readiness Index  AEF Indicator SECTION VI. PERFOR FOLLOWERSHIP/TRA A  Heading

10	Resource Utilization (e.g., time management, equipment, manpower and budget)	Consider how effectively the Airman leads their team to utilize their resources to accomplish the mission. See <b>Note</b> .
11	Team Building	Consider the amount of innovation, initiative and motivation displayed by the Airman and their subordinates (collaboration). See <b>Note</b> .
12	Mentorship	Consider how well the Airman knows their subordinates, accepts personal responsibility for them, and is accountable for their professional development. See <b>Note</b> .
13	Communication Skills	Describes how well the Airman communicates (includes listening, reading, speaking and writing skills) in various mediums, translates superiors' direction into specific tasks and responsibilities, fosters an environment for open dialogue and enhances communication skills of subordinates. See <b>Note</b> .
14	Complies with/enforces standards	Consider personal adherence and how the Airman fosters an environment where everyone enforces fitness standards, dress and personal appearance, customs and courtesies, and professional conduct. See <b>Note</b> .
15	Duty Environments	Rate how well the Airman establishes and maintains caring, respectful, and dignified environments while valuing diversity, to include promoting a healthy organizational climate. See <b>Note</b> .
16	Training	Describes how well the Airman and the Airman's team complies with upgrade, duty position, and certification requirements. See <b>Note</b> .
17	Comments	Provide specific comments tailored to those areas assessed in Section VI.
	SECTION VII. WHOLE AIRMAN CONCEPT (to be completed by rater)	
I T E M	A	В
	Heading	Instructions
18	Air Force Core Values	Consider how well the Airman adopts, internalizes, demonstrates and insists on adherence of our Air Force Core Values of Integrity First, Service Before Self and Excellence in All We Do. See <b>Note</b> .
19	Personal and Professional Development	Consider the effort the Airman devoted to improving their subordinates, their work center/unit and themselves.  See <b>Note</b> .

20	Esprit de corps and community relations	Consider how well the Airman promotes camaraderie, enhances esprit de corps, and develops Air Force ambassadors. See <b>Note</b> .
21	Comments	Provide specific comments tailored to those areas assessed in Section VII.
	SECTION VIII. KNOW feedback)	ING YOUR AIRMAN (to be completed during formal
I T E	A	В
M	Heading	Instructions
22	Questions 1-7	Completed during the Airman Comprehensive Assessment session discussion. Provides questions designed to facilitate open communication between the ratee and rater and may trigger areas and/or specific items which need to be probed in more depth. These questions are not intended to be all encompassing. The purpose is to help start the conversation on the particular item, not make it an interrogation. Items 6 and 7 are designed to receive feedback from the ratee and to set specific expectations for the ratee's growth.
23 No.	Date	In the instance where digital signatures are not used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite or date stamp the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the Airman Comprehensive Assessments completion date (only on the date of completion). The forms have digital capability; the use of digital signatures is optional.

**Note:** Use the appropriate word picture/rating assigned to each area on the performance assessment when filling out the Airman Comprehensive Assessment.

Table 2.4. Preparing AF Form 724 (Lt thru Col) Airman Comprehensive Assessment.

T .	
<b>Note:</b> Air Force terminology applies to the Space Force equivalent (e.g., Airman applies to Guardian, Air Force Core Values applies to Space Force Core Values, etc.).	
	DENTIFICATION DATA (to be completed by rater)
	B
A	D
Heading	Instructions
Name	In all upper case, enter last name, first name, middle initial, and any suffix (i.e., JR., SR, III). If there is no middle initial, the use of "NMI" is optional.
Rank	Self-explanatory
Unit	Enter information as of Airman Comprehensive Assessment completion date. The goal is an accurate description of what unit the ratee belongs. For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR Cat E, information will be that of unit of attachment.
SECTION II. TYPE O	F ASSESSMENT (to be completed by rater)
A	В
Heading	Instructions
Type of Assessment	Indicate whether the assessment is initial, mid-term, follow-up, ratee requested, or rater directed (Section VI and will not be completed during initial feedback sessions).  Once Section II is complete the rater forwards the Airman Comprehensive Assessment to the ratee for a self-assessment. The information captured during the self-assessment will assist the rater when accomplishing the remaining areas of the overall assessment.
	to Guardian, Air Force Consection I. RATEE IIIAA  Heading  Name  Rank  Unit  SECTION II. TYPE OF A  Heading

	SECTION III. SELF AS	SSESSMENT (to be completed by ratee)
I T	A	В
E M	Heading	Instructions
5	Responsibility, Accountability, Air Force Culture, and Self	Ratee will place a "Y" in the block indicating they understand the importance of the self-assessment area, or a "N" to indicate they need more information from the rater in order to make a self-assessment in that area.
		After the ratee completes the self- assessment, they will return the Airman Comprehensive Assessment to the rater.
	SECTION IV. AIRMAN (to be completed by rate	'S CRITICAL ROLE IN SUPPORT OF THE MISSION
I T	A	В
E M	Heading	Instructions
6	Airman's Critical Role in Support of the Mission	Completed by the rater to identify the ratee's critical role in achieving mission success.
	SECTION V. INDIVID	UAL READINESS INDEX (to be completed by rater)
I T	A	В
E M	Heading	Instructions
7	Individual Readiness Index	Rater consults the unit deployment manager to identify ratee's current deployment status and AEF indicator. Rater will place an "R" in the first box indicating the ratee's readiness status as currently non-deployable or "G" if the ratee's current readiness status is deployable.
8	AEF Indicator	Rater will identify the AEF indicator in the second box.

	Self-explanatory SECTION VII. KNOWING YOUR AIRMAN (to be completed during for feedback between rater and ratee)	
	A	В
I T	Heading	Instructions
9	Questions 1 – 7	Completed during an Airman Comprehensive Assessment session discussion. Provides questions designed to facilitate open communication between the ratee and rater and may trigger areas and/or specific items which need to be probed in more depth. These questions are not intended to be all encompassing. The purpose is to help start the conversation on the particular item, not make it an interrogation. Items 6 and 7 are designed to receive feedback from the ratee and to set specific expectations for the ratee's growth.
10	Ratee/Rater Signature and Date	In the instance where digital signatures are not used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite or date stamp the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the Airman Comprehensive Assessment completion date (only on the date of completion). The forms have digital capability; the use of digital signatures is optional.

**Note:** Use the appropriate word picture/rating assigned to each area on the performance assessment when filling out the Airman Comprehensive Assessment.

Table 2.5. Preparing AF Form 724-A, Airman Comprehensive Assessment Addendum.

	SECTION I: EX	ECUTING THE MISSION
I T	A	В
E M	Heading	Instructions
1	Job Proficiency	Using the rubric, determine how well the Airman demonstrates knowledge and professional skill in assigned duties, achieving positive results and impact in support of the mission.
2	Initiative	Using the rubric, determine how well the Airman assesses and takes independent or directed action to complete a task or mission that influences the mission or organization.
3	Adaptability	Using the rubric, determine how well the Airman adjusts to changing conditions, to include plans, information, processes, requirements, and obstacles in accomplishing the mission.
	SECTION II: LE	EADING PEOPLE
I T	A	В
E M	Heading	Instructions
1	Inclusion & Teamwork	Using the rubric, determine how well the Airman collaborates effectively with others to achieve an inclusive climate in pursuit of a common goal or to complete a task or mission.
2	Emotional Intelligence	Using the rubric, determine how well the Airman exercises self-awareness, manages their own emotions effectively, demonstrates an understanding of others' emotions, and appropriately manages relationships.
3	Communication	Using the rubric, determine how well the Airman articulates information in a clear and timely manner, both verbally and non-verbally, through active listening and messaging tailored to the appropriate audience.
	SECTION III: M	IANAGING RESOURCES
I T	A	В
E M	Heading	Instructions
1	Stewardship	Using the rubric, determine how well the Airman demonstrates responsible management of assigned resources, which may include time, equipment, people, funds, and/or facilities.
2	Accountability	Using the rubric, determine how well the Airman takes responsibility for the actions and behaviors of self and/or team; demonstrates reliability and transparency.
_	SECTION IV: IMPROVING THE UNIT	
I T	A	В
E M	Heading	Instructions
1	Decision Making	Using the rubric, determine how well the Airman makes well-informed, effective, and timely decisions under one's control that weigh constraints, risks, and benefits.
2	Innovation	Using the rubric, determine how well the Airman thinks creatively about different ways to solve problems, implements improvements, and demonstrates calculated risk-taking.

## Chapter 3

## OFFICER PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS/OFFICER ALQ EVALUATIONS

**3.1. General Guidelines.** See **Chapter 1** for general processing guidance applicable to all evaluations.

## 3.2. Purpose.

- 3.2.1. Evaluation ratings are used to document performance and potential as well as provide information for making a promotion recommendation, selection, or propriety action; selective continuation; involuntary separation; selective early retirement; assignment; school nomination and selection; and other management decisions. Therefore, evaluators at all levels must use caution to prevent inflation; it is important to distinguish performance among peers and is a disservice to all officers when evaluation ratings are inflated. **Note:** Commanders are held responsible for the command climate (refer to **paragraph 1.8.9.2**) and overall readiness of their unit and are ultimately accountable for its performance. As such, overall command climate, readiness and performance shall be a major contributing factor when assessing a commander's performance. (T-0)
- 3.2.2. Marking Ratings (wet signatures only). When electronic ratings are not used, enter hand-marked ratings after signing the evaluation to prevent erroneous entry of ratings by other personnel. When hand-marking, use only reproducible dark blue or black ink.

## 3.3. Who Requires an Officer Evaluation/Officer Performance Brief.

- 3.3.1. All RegAF and ARC colonels (except brigadier general selects) and below not being evaluated using an AF Form 475 (see paragraph 6.1), or as specified in paragraph 3.4 will receive an evaluation as of the established SCOD for their current or select grade (see Table 3.4 and Table 3.5). (T-1)
- 3.3.2. Any officer being released from RegAF to the ARC (participating or non-participating) if there have been 60 calendar days or more since the close out of the last officer evaluation. Reason for the report is DBH.
- 3.3.3. (USSF Only) Any officer being separated from Space Force to transfer to a Sister Service's regular or reserve component if there have been 60 calendar days or more since the close out of the last officer evaluation. Reason for the report is DBH.
- 3.3.4. Officers placed in prisoner status, appellate leave, or who are in absent without leave status.
- 3.3.5. Officers whose separation or retirement is withdrawn. An evaluation is due if the officer's separation or retirement is withdrawn or cancelled.
  - 3.3.5.1. (RegAF and ARC only) If the original SCOD has not passed, then it will remain the same. (T-1) If the original SCOD has passed, an evaluation must be accomplished within 60 days of when the withdrawn or cancelled action is complete. (T-1) The SCOD remains the same and the reason will remain annual/biennial. (T-1)
  - 3.3.5.2. (USSF only) If the original projected close-out date has not passed, then it will remain the same. (T-1) If the original projected close-out date has passed, the close-out date will be the date of the official withdrawal, cancellation, or as soon as the rater has 120

calendar days of supervision, whichever occurs first. (T-1) The reason for the evaluation is annual.

3.3.6. (USSF only) Officers filling an authorized 365-day extended deployment billet who have at least 120 calendar days of supervision prior to departing for the deployment. See paragraph 3.9.

# 3.4. Who is Not Authorized, Unless Stated Below, an Officer Evaluation/ALQ Evaluation

- 3.4.1. Deployed commanders. Use a DAF Form 77.
- 3.4.2. Brigadier General selects. See Chapter 7.
- 3.4.3. AFR officers in a non-pay status PAS Code: S7XXXXX).
- 3.4.4. Officers who are in full-time student (functional category: L) or patient status.
- 3.4.5. Officers in the Wounded Warrior or Career Intermission Programs.
- 3.4.6. Individuals who died on active duty; however, if the death occurred on or after the close-out date of an evaluation that was already being processed, it becomes an optional evaluation.
- 3.4.7. Separation or Retirement.
  - 3.4.7.1. (**RegAF and ARC only**) Annual evaluations are optional for officers with an approved separation or retirement date that is within one year after the SCOD. If an officer is promotion eligible (in-the-promotion zone (IPZ) and first time above-the-promotion zone (APZ)), then an evaluation is required. (T-1) See paragraph 3.4.7.3.
  - 3.4.7.2. **(USSF only)** When the criteria under **paragraph 3.4.8** (retirement) or **3.4.9** (separation) are met, an annual evaluation becomes optional. The rater may opt to write an evaluation, and the ratee may request an evaluation be written.
  - 3.4.7.3. Supervisors will consult with separating or retiring officers regarding the option to complete a final evaluation. (T-3) Leadership shall consider the member's preference when deciding whether to accomplish their final evaluation. (T-3) After consulting with the individual, and the individual opts not to complete a final evaluation, the supervisor will annotate the evaluation with:
    - 3.4.7.3.1. (RegAF and ARC only) "FINAL REPORT NOT REQUIRED AND/OR IS NOT MANDATED TO BE RENDERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH DAFI 36-2406, PARA 1.8," in the first rater's assessment block ("Executing the Mission") and "THIS SECTION NOT USED," in the remaining rater and HLR assessment blocks; process the evaluation through the rater and HLR for signature. (T-1)
    - 3.4.7.3.2. **(USSF)** "FINAL REPORT NOT REQUIRED OR RENDERED AND/OR IS NOT MANDATED TO BE RENDERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH DAFI 36-2406, PARA 1.8," in the rater's assessment section of the AF Form 707; process the evaluation to the lowest level commander for signature. **(T-1)**
  - 3.4.7.4. Members are encouraged to complete a final evaluation for future purposes (e.g., employment, transfer into another AF component, or Sister Service). An evaluation will not be accomplished after a member has officially separated or retired. (T-1)

- 3.4.8. Officers with an approved retirement date, provided all the following criteria below are met:
  - 3.4.8.1. The approved retirement date is within one year of the projected SCOD, annual, or biennial close-out date of the evaluation. **Example:** If the approved retirement date is 1 Jun 20, and if the close-out date is 1 Jun 19 or later, no evaluation is required. However, if the close-out date is 31 May 19 or earlier, then an evaluation is required.
  - 3.4.8.2. The retirement application was approved prior to the projected SCOD, annual, or biennial close-out date. **Example:** If the close-out date is 1 Jun 19, and the retirement application was approved on 1 June 19 or earlier, no evaluation is required. However, if the retirement application was not approved until 2 Jun 19 or later, then an evaluation is required.
  - 3.4.8.3. The officer will not be considered for promotion, selective continuation, or selective early retirement by a HAF or HSF central selection board or a Reserve of the Air Force (ResAF) selection board before retirement.
- 3.4.9. Officers with an approved separation date, provided the following criteria below are met:
  - 3.4.9.1. The officer voluntarily resigns their commission, has fulfilled their military service obligation, and is not requesting or accepting a ResAF commission (RegAF officers) or retaining a ResAF commission (Reserve officers) or transferring to another service. Reminder—A DAF Form 77 is mandatory for anyone being released from RegAF to the ANG or AFR under the PALACE CHASE or PALACE FRONT Programs. If necessary, one bullet stating, "No report due to transition from DATE to DATE (inclusive period)," may be used.
  - 3.4.9.2. The officer is RegAF or USSF and voluntarily resigns their commission, or is a Reserve officer, and is granted release from RegAF in lieu of action under DAFI 36-3211, or court-martial. **Note:** The evaluation is mandatory following court-martial conviction.
  - 3.4.9.3. The officer is involuntarily discharged or released from active duty under DAFI 36-3211 unless transferring to the ANG/AFR, or another service, e.g., Force Management.
- 3.4.10. (**RegAF and ARC only**) Officers attending formal education and training, provided one of the following criteria is met:
  - 3.4.10.1. An officer who receives an AF Form 475 from a formal training or education course that was 20 weeks or more, and the form "to" date is within 120 days of the SCOD. The officer will receive a report on the next SCOD for the appropriate grade.
  - 3.4.10.2. Officers attending formal training or education over 20 weeks at the SCOD for the officer's grade. The AF Form 475 will be completed at course completion and an evaluation will be required at the next SCOD.

## 3.5. When to Submit an Officer Evaluation/Officer Performance Brief.

- 3.5.1. For RegAF and ARC officers, see **Table 3.2**.
- 3.5.2. For USSF officers, see **Table 3.3**.
- 3.5.3. For general officer evaluations (RegAF, ARC, and USSF), see Chapter 7.

## 3.6. Annual Reports .

- 3.6.1. (RegAF and ARC only) Officers' reports will close out on the appropriate SCOD for the officers' grades. (T-1) For an officer who enters active duty, the first evaluation will be required at the next SCOD for their respective grade, given there are at least 180 days between the EAD and the SCOD. (T-1) For officers who receive an AF Form 475, see paragraph 3.4.10.
- 3.6.2. (USSF only) Officers' reports will close out one year from the close-out date of the last evaluation. (T-1) The first evaluation will close out one year minus one day from the extended active duty date. (T-1) For example, if the officer's extended active duty date is 15 Jun 18, then the close-out date would be 14 Jun 19.

# 3.7. Change of Reporting Official Reports (including emergencies or no-notice departures).

- 3.7.1. (RegAF and ARC only) Change of Reporting Official (CRO) reports are not authorized for any grade.
- 3.7.2. (USSF only) Use the day before the effective date of the change for the close-out date.
- 3.7.3. (USSF only) When the rater or ratee is pending separation, retirement, or PCS, the close-out date will be 30 calendar days before the projected departure date, unless:
  - 3.7.3.1. **(USSF only)** The 30-day rule will cause a ratee to be ineligible for an evaluation due to a lack of supervision. **(T-1)** Then the close-out date must be adjusted to the date on which the rater achieves the required number of days of supervision, but no later than one day before the departure date. **(T-1)** If the rater does not have the required supervision by the day before the departure date, a report is not required.
  - 3.7.3.2. **(USSF only)** Approved by the commander, to record significant events. Then adjust the close-out date accordingly. Significant events are things such as DAF or Space Force-level awards or derogatory information resulting in a referral evaluation, not simply additional daily achievements. However, the adjusted close-out date must be before the projected departure date, and this only applies to change of reporting official reports. **(T-1)**
- 3.7.4. (USSF only) Change of reporting official evaluations resulting from a ratee's, or rater's, deployment are waived provided the ratee has received an evaluation within 180 calendar days of the deployment date and the ratee's performance is not of a referral nature.

# 3.8. Directed by HAF, HSF, NGB, or Commander (MAJCOM, FLDCOM, wing, delta, group, or squadron, as appropriate).

- 3.8.1. Message-Directed. Use the date specified in the message directing the evaluation.
- 3.8.2. Missing-in-Action/Captured/Detained. Use the date the ratee was placed in missing-in-action, captured, or detained in captive status.
- 3.8.3. Control Roster Placement. Use one day before being placed on the control roster if the evaluation is directed as a result of placement on the control roster.
- 3.8.4. Control Roster Removal. Use one day before expiration and/or removal from the control roster if directed as a result of being removed or upon completion of the control roster observation period.

- 3.8.5. Otherwise Directed. Use the date as otherwise directed by the commander. See Tables 3.2 and 3.3.
- 3.8.6. (**RegAF and ARC only**) Directed by Commander (DBC). The close-out date will be established by the unit commander that directed the evaluation. (**T-1**) DBC evaluations provide flexibility to commanders to document substandard performance between SCODs as an embedded report at the SCODs and will only contain comments and/or ratings regarding the reason(s) for the evaluation. (**T-1**) All other comments, specifically those that are positive, and promotion recommendations are not authorized and will be documented on the next SCOD evaluation. (**T-1**)
- **3.9. 365-day** Extended Deployment Officer Evaluations/Officer Performance Briefs. Note: These instructions apply only to members selected to fill an official Extended Deployment requirement. Do not use these instructions for members filling other requirements, even though they may be extended to or beyond 365 calendar days.
  - 3.9.1. (For RegAF and ARC only) A change of reporting official to the deployment location will occur. (T-1) The deployed rating chain will complete evaluations on their ratees at the SCOD if the ratee is assigned to the deployed location as of the established accounting date. (T-1) See paragraph 3.9.4.3. Note: The senior rater matched to the ratee's home station PAS code must perform senior rater duties for PRFs. (T-1)
  - 3.9.2. Home Station Rating Chain Responsibilities:
    - 3.9.2.1. Prior to Departure:
      - 3.9.2.1.1. (USSF only) If there has been at least 120 calendar days of supervision, the home station CSS/HR specialist will generate a change of reporting official evaluation. (T-1). If there has been less than 120 calendar days of supervision, but it has been more than one year since the member's last evaluation, only 60 calendar days of supervision will trigger and annual evaluation. (T-1)
      - 3.9.2.1.2. (RegAF and ARC) The home station rater should provide input to the deployed rater on the ratee's performance at home station during the reporting period prior to the ratee's departure. The deployed rater may use the information when preparing the annual evaluation, but it is not required.
      - 3.9.2.1.3. If the deployed rater is known prior to departure, the CSS/HR specialist will update the deployed rater. (T-1) When the rater is unknown, use the home station commander as a temporary rater. This will facilitate a direct line of communication between home station and deployed commanders to ensure the rating chain is established. **Example:** If the data is not updated immediately, a feedback notification will generate within 30 calendar days, and that should remind the commander that the deployed data needs to be updated. (T-1)
    - 3.9.2.2. Upon Arrival in the Area of Responsibility. The home station CSS/HR specialist will coordinate with the deployed PERSCO team and update MilPDS to reflect the member's deployed duty title and DAFSC or DFSCS effective the date the member arrives in the area of responsibility. (T-1) They will also update the deployed rater if the rater was unknown prior to departure. (T-1)

- 3.9.2.2.1. Duty Title Format. All extended deployment personnel duty titles will be standardized to reflect the extended deployment "duty title/country" assigned. **(T-1)** If space allows, include the unit assigned. **Example:** "Commander, 442 ECS/Iraq" or "Comm Mentor, Geographically Separated Unit/Afghanistan."
- 3.9.2.2.2. When determining the deployed rating chain, the rater should be the person who directly supervises the member's day-to-day activities. The unit that owns the unit line number will determine the rating chain. (T-3) Raters may be in any United States or foreign military service or a civilian in a supervisory position and must be in a grade equal to or higher than the ratee. (T-1) In accordance with 10 USC § 9013, Secretary of the Air Force, DAFI 51-509, and Joint Publication 1, Doctrine for the Armed Forces of the United States, the Secretary of the Air Force (SecAF) is responsible for the administrative control (ADCON) and support of DAF forces assigned or attached to combatant commands. (T-0) ADCON is the authority necessary to fulfill SecAF's statutory responsibilities for administration and support. In joint environments, an Air Force or Space Force unit will be designated to have ADCON responsibilities over Airmen and Guardians. (T-1) ADCON responsibility does not necessarily extend to writing the evaluations on those attached to the Air Force or Space Force unit for ADCON purposes.
- 3.9.2.3. **(USSF only)** Upon Return from the Area of Responsibility. The home station senior rater/commander will continue to complete the commander's review/reviewer's (senior rater) portion of all evaluations, including those completed by the deployed rating chain. **(T-1)**
- 3.9.2.4. Senior Rater Responsibilities. Home station senior raters will prepare a PRF for promotion-eligible officers (officers will be on the home station senior rater's master eligibility list [MEL] and [RegAF only] will meet respective MLR). (T-1)
- 3.9.2.5. Interrogators Training Report (TR). Officers who attend the Interrogator training program will receive a TR upon graduation from the course. (T-1) The 314 TRS/CC will sign all TRs. (T-1) These TRs (officer and enlisted) will be updated in MilPDS. (T-1) The start date will be based upon the previous evaluation close-out date, and the end date will be based upon the graduation date. (T-1)
- 3.9.3. PERSCO Team Responsibilities. The owning PERSCO team will be responsible for tracking the evaluations on all deployed personnel filling extended deployment billets. **(T-1)**
- 3.9.4. Deployed Rating Chain Responsibilities.
  - 3.9.4.1. MilPDS Updates. Ensure the home station has updated MilPDS to reflect DAFSC or DSFSC, duty title and deployed rater.
  - 3.9.4.2. Performance Feedback. Perform initial and mid-term feedback in accordance with **Chapter 2**.
  - 3.9.4.3. Evaluations. The deployed rater (and subsequent evaluator[s]) will render an evaluation on an officer, under the following circumstances:
    - 3.9.4.3.1. (**RegAF and ARC only**) On the ratee's established SCOD if the member is assigned to the deployed location as of the SCOD accounting date. See **paragraph** 1.4.8.1.

- 3.9.4.3.2. (**AFR only**) Raters will submit biennial evaluations at the appropriate SCOD if two years have passed since the close-out date of the last evaluation (see **Table 3.2**.).
- 3.9.4.3.3. (USSF only) If an annual evaluation becomes due while deployed and the deployed rater has had at least 120 calendar days of supervision. (T-1). If the deployed rater has not had 120 calendar days of supervision, the close-out date will be extended out to where there will be 120 calendar days of supervision. (T-1). If an annual evaluation was accomplished earlier in the deployment, and there has been at least 60 calendar days but less than 120 calendar days of supervision by the time the member departs, an informal Letter of Evaluation will be prepared. (T-1). Home station and deployed commanders will ensure a direct line of communication to the deployed rating chain is established to preclude evaluations not being completed at the deployed location. (T-1)
- 3.9.4.3.4. (USSF only) If the deployed rater changes after 120 calendar days of supervision, a change of reporting official evaluation must be completed. (T-1) Note: Multiple evaluations may result and are authorized under these circumstances.
- 3.9.4.3.5. (USSF only) If the ratee is returned early or the deployed rater changes prior to completing 120 calendar days of supervision, an informal LOE is required. 60 calendar days minimum of supervision is required. (T-1)
- 3.9.4.3.6. (USSF only) If the individual is an officer filling a commander's billet, an officer evaluation versus the formal deployed commander LOE will be required. (T-1)
- 3.9.4.3.7. ANG and AFR officers ordered to extended active duty under 10 U.S.C. § 12304 (other than during war or national emergency) or under 10 U.S.C. § 12302, continue to receive officer evaluations according to **Table 3.2** and **Table 3.6**. Officers ordered to extended active duty under 10 U.S.C. § 12301(a) (war or national emergency) receive evaluations under the RegAF list provisions in this instruction.
- 3.9.4.4. Evaluation Form. For instructions on completing the AF Form 707, see **Table 3.1** or officer ALQ evaluation, see **Table 3.6**.
  - 3.9.4.4.1. (**RegAF and ARC only**) The deployed rating chain is responsible for completing the evaluation, to include the deployed HLR.
  - 3.9.4.4.2. (USSF only) The deployed rating chain is responsible for completing the evaluation through the additional rater. Provide recommended comments for the reviewer when applicable.
  - 3.9.4.4.3. (USSF only) Forward the evaluation to the home station rating chain for completion.
- 3.9.4.5. (USSF only) Two General Officers in Rating Chain. Currently paragraph 1.4.12.3.4.1 prohibits multiple general officers from serving as evaluators on performance evaluations. See paragraph 1.7.1.7 for exceptions.
  - 3.9.4.5.1. Deployed General Officer Raters. Evaluation will qualify for a single evaluator, and no additional rater or HLR will be required. **(T-1)** (USSF only) Complete rater block and forward evaluation to the home station senior rater.

- 3.9.4.5.2. (USSF Only) Home Station Rating Chain. If one of the following situations apply, enter the applicable mandatory statement in the feedback comment section of the evaluation.
  - 3.9.4.5.2.1. **(USSF only)** Evaluations signed by a deployed general officer and the home station senior rater is a general officer. See **paragraph 1.7.1.7**.
  - 3.9.4.5.2.2. (USSF only) Evaluations signed by a deployed officer who outranks the home station senior rater. See paragraph 1.7.1.6.
- 3.9.4.5.3. (USSF only) Deployed General Officer Additional Raters.
  - 3.9.4.5.3.1. Provide recommended comments for the reviewer (senior rater) when applicable.
  - 3.9.4.5.3.2. Complete the additional rater block and forward to the home station senior rater/unit commander.
- 3.9.5. Evaluations rendered in the combat zone or at noncombat ports and MPFs. All provisions of this instruction remain in effect, except:
  - 3.9.5.1. (USSF only) Authorities waive change of reporting official evaluations resulting from the deployment to the combat zone, provided the ratee has received an evaluation within 180 calendar days of the deployment date and the ratee's performance meets minimum standards.
  - 3.9.5.2. (USSF only) For ratees not meeting minimum standards, prepare a referral evaluation and process it according to paragraph 1.10.
- 3.9.6. Evaluator Requirements and Procedures for Officer Evaluations.
  - 3.9.6.1. Minimum grade requirements for senior raters, reviewers, and HLRs remain unchanged. See paragraph 1.5.
  - 3.9.6.2. Rater. See **paragraph 1.5**. The rater cannot be substituted for any reason other than those outlined in **paragraph 1.7**.
  - 3.9.6.3. (**RegAF and ARC only**) Higher Level Reviewer. The HLR for members on 365-day deployments will be deployed HLRs who meet criteria in **paragraph 1.5.2.5**. (**T-1**) Air Expeditionary Wing commanders in 365-day extended deployment status are authorized as HLRs for officers on 365-day extended deployments to the respective AEW. (**T-1**)
  - 3.9.6.4. Single Evaluator.
    - 3.9.6.4.1. (**RegAF and ARC only**) Air Expeditionary Wing commanders/equivalents in 365-day extended deployment status are authorized as single evaluators if they are the primary rater and HLR. If a rater meets the HLR requirements in **paragraph** 3.9.6.3, but is not an AEW/CC, the rater's rater must be the HLR. (**T-1**)
    - 3.9.6.4.2. **(USSF only)** If the rater is also the reviewer, leave Section V, Additional Rater's Overall Assessment, blank and include the following statement in Section VI, Reviewer's comments block: "THE RATER IS ALSO THE REVIEWER." The rater digitally signs the rater, additional rater, and reviewer blocks (signature elements are optional). If the officer evaluation additional rater is also the reviewer, enter the

additional rater's comments in Section V, Additional Rater Overall Assessment, and include the following statement in Section VI, Reviewer's comments block: "THE ADDITIONAL RATER IS ALSO THE REVIEWER." The additional rater signs both the additional rater and reviewer block.

## 3.9.6.5. (USSF only) "In-place" Additional Rater.

- 3.9.6.5.1. Commanders may authorize the next evaluator in the rating chain (the additional rater's rater) or "in-place" additional rater to assume the responsibilities of the additional rater when the additional rater is unable to perform evaluator duties due to deployment. When this occurs, Section V must include a statement explaining why the original additional rater did not prepare the evaluation (**Example:** additional rater deployed as of close-out date). (**T-1**) Note: The "in-place" additional rater is defined as the person responsible for the original additional rater's normal day-to-day duties. To endorse the evaluation, this individual must still meet additional rater grade requirements as defined in **paragraph 1.5.2.2.** (**T-1**)
- 3.9.6.5.2. When the squadron or group commander is deployed and is the additional rater or completes the commander review, the temporary commander (on G-series orders) may serve as the additional rater or complete the commander review. An officer cannot serve as an "acting commander" and/or be identified or described as an "acting commander" on an evaluation. Either the officer is a commander on G-Series orders, or the officer is not a commander (whether by title or description). In order to document an officer filling the position in the commander's absence, use examples such as "served as commander for three separate weeks" or "assumed commander duties for 6 months" or "filled in as commander five separate weeks."
- 3.9.6.6. **(USSF only)** For deployed Senior Raters. Vice wing or vice delta commanders may assume the responsibilities of a deployed senior rater/wing/delta commander for Officer Evaluation System forms only when placed on G-series orders and designated by the management level as the senior rater.
- 3.9.6.7. Comments are mandatory when there is significant disagreement with the previous evaluator. Evaluators must make specific comments to justify referral ratings.
- 3.9.7. Referral Evaluation Procedures. Use referral procedures in **paragraph 1.10**. When the ratee is deployed in support of a contingency operation, ratee comments on the referral evaluation must reach the next evaluator no later than 30 calendar days after receipt of the referral letter. **(T-1)** Type, handwrite, or print referral correspondence in dark blue or black ink.

## 3.9.8. Routing Evaluations.

- 3.9.8.1. Performance evaluations are due to the servicing MPF or personnel activity 30 calendar days after close-out, and to the office of record 60 calendar days after close-out.
- 3.9.8.2. Forward evaluations directed under **Table 3.2**. or **Table 3.3** to arrive at HQ AFPC or HQ ARPC (as appropriate) by the suspense date provided in the directing letter.
- 3.9.8.3. Forward evaluations in a sealed envelope clearly marked, OFFICER PERFORMANCE EVALUATION DATA--TO BE OPENED BY ADDRESSEE ONLY.

- 3.9.8.4. Alternate Routing Procedures. Some crisis conditions may result in temporary changes to routing procedures. If this occurs, units will receive specific instructions. (T-1)
- 3.9.9. Quality Control Review. Quality control of the appearance of performance evaluations may relax, but the content and data contained must be accurate. **(T-1)** Evaluations prepared under wartime provisions may be handwritten.
- **3.10. "FROM" Dates.** Use the "FROM" date on the evaluation notice, but if different or incorrect, use the information below to establish the "FROM" date. If the officer is:
  - 3.10.1. On extended active duty, and it is the first evaluation: use the extended active duty date; or the day following the close-out date of a TR from a school that is 20 weeks or more.
  - 3.10.2. An ANG officer not on extended active duty and it is an initial evaluation: use the effective date of federal recognition in ANG or the day following the close-out of a TR from a school of 20 weeks or more. **Note:** Use DAF Form 77 to cover any gap from the officer's entry into non-extended active duty status to the "FROM" date of the first evaluation received in non-extended active duty status in accordance with **paragraph 1.14** and DAFI 36-2608, *Military Personnel Records System*.
  - 3.10.3. An ANG officer not on extended active duty and was assigned to an ANG unit from ARPC, use the date of the latest federal recognition. Complete an DAF Form 77 to cover a gap caused by insufficient supervision in accordance with **paragraph 1.14** and DAFI 36-2608.
  - 3.10.4. For an ANG officer not on extended active duty and was assigned to an ANG unit from another state: use the date of the latest federal recognition (the losing state will complete an DAF Form 77 to cover a gap caused by insufficient supervision in accordance with **paragraph 1.14** and DAFI 36-2608).
  - 3.10.5. An AFR officer not on extended active duty and it is an initial evaluation, or the officer has been reassigned from the IRR: use the date of assignment.
  - 3.10.6. An AFR officer not on extended active duty but previously on extended active duty and concurrently assigned to training category A, B, or E on release from active duty: use the day following the close-out of the last evaluation received while on extended active duty. (Applies only to the first non-extended active duty-status evaluation.)
  - 3.10.7. An AFR officer not on extended active duty but previously on active duty as RegAF and did not accept an AFR commission concurrently with release from active duty: use the effective date of appointment in non-extended active duty status. (Applies only to the first non-extended active duty-status evaluation.) Use DAF Form 77 to cover any gap from the officer's entry into non-extended active duty status to the "FROM" date of the first evaluation received in non-extended active duty status in accordance with **paragraph 1.14** and DAFI 36-2608.
  - 3.10.8. (**RegAF and ARC only**) If an officer received a TR for a school that is 20 weeks or more, use the day following the close-out day of the TR. This may result in an evaluation over 12 months.

## 3.11. "THRU" Dates.

- 3.11.1. (RegAF and ANG only) The "THRU" date will be the appropriate SCOD unless the reason for the report falls under paragraph 3.8. (T-1)
- 3.11.2. (AFR only) The "thru" date for an annual report will be the appropriate SCOD as long as the member earns at least 16 points. (T-1) If the officer does not earn 16 points by the SCOD, submit an administrative LOE for a gap report.
- 3.11.3. (USSF only) Never close out an evaluation on or after the actual departure, retirement, or separation date of the rater or ratee. If a departure, separation, or retirement date changes after establishment of the "THRU" date of evaluation, it is not necessary to adjust the close-out date if it is no more than 30 calendar days before the actual departure date. Evaluations prepared and made a matter of record under the change of reporting official rule remain valid even if the condition is later canceled.

## 3.12. Number of Days of Supervision.

- 3.12.1. Enter the number of days the rater supervised the ratee during the reporting period. To compute, use the "supervision began date" through the "close-out date" to determine the number of days of supervision.
- 3.12.2. Deduct the number of days during non-rated periods authorized in accordance with **paragraph 1.4.11**. Do not deduct any periods of leave, TDY, absences or periods loaned out to other organizations unless they occur during an unauthorized non-rated period.
- 3.12.3. If, while on extended active duty an officer evaluation is being written by the rater's rater per **paragraph 1.7**, then enter the number of days that the evaluator had personal or written knowledge of the ratee's duty performance during the reporting period.
- 3.12.4. If a non-extended active duty ANG officer's ALQ evaluation is being written by another rater per **paragraph 1.7**, then enter the number of days the evaluator had personal or written knowledge of the ratee's duty performance during the reporting period. The number of days of supervision for a ratee assigned to a rater for a calendar year is 365, not the sum of unit training assembly and field training days.
- 3.12.5. If a non-extended active duty AFR officer, then enter the number of days of supervision under the rater during the reporting period. Deduct from the period of supervision tours of active duty under other than the designated rater for which there is a LOE. **Example:** If preparing an officer ALQ evaluation to cover the period from 1 July to 31 December and the rater was first so designated on 1 September and served in this capacity without a break to 31 December, and the ratee reported for training and duty for a total of 27 days between 1 September and 31 December, then the period of supervision is 122 days, not 27 days. The rater is responsible for the accuracy of the number of days of supervision entry.

## 3.13. Performance Feedback Assessment.

- 3.13.1. Performance feedback assessments will be accomplished in accordance with **Chapter 2**.
- 3.13.2. The rater certifies the performance feedback assessment in myEval (RegAF and ARC) by acknowledging whether feedback was conducted, or in Section III of the AF Form 707 (USSF) by entering the date the performance feedback assessment was provided during the rating period. This includes the midterm feedback, or any subsequent feedback sessions requested by the ratee. If the performance feedback assessment was not accomplished, an explanation must be provided in myEval (RegAF and ARC) or on the AF Form 707 (USSF).

## 3.14. Reviewer/Higher Level Reviewer.

- 3.14.1. The reviewer/HLR is the highest-level endorser in the ratee's rating chain. For USSF, the senior rater must be in the grade of at least a colonel or civilian equivalent (GS-15/NH-IV) or higher, serving as a wing/delta commander or equivalent and designated by the management level. For RegAF and ARC, see **paragraph 1.5.2.5** for HLR requirements. For USSF, see **paragraph 1.5.2.6** for reviewer requirements.
- 3.14.2. The reviewer/HLR will concur or non-concur by making the appropriate selection. See paragraph 1.9 for disagreements.
- 3.14.3. (USSF only) The reviewer may comment only under the following circumstances:
  - 3.14.3.1. If the reviewer disagrees with the evaluation. The rater and additional rater are first given an opportunity to change the evaluation; however, they will not change their evaluation just to satisfy the reviewer. If the evaluation remains unchanged and the reviewer still disagrees, the reviewer marks the non-concur block and provides rationale in the space provided. A DAF Form 77 can be added if additional space is required. See paragraph 1.9.
  - 3.14.3.2. If the evaluation is a referral, and the reviewer is the evaluator named in Section XI of the AF Form 707, or the reviewer refers the evaluation. See paragraph 1.10.
  - 3.14.3.3. If the ratee is a colonel or colonel select. When the reviewer is not also the rater or additional rater, they may make, if desired and appropriate, command and/or assignment recommendations in Section VI, Reviewer's Comments Block, without non-concurring with the evaluation. Promotion recommendations and other comments are not allowed.
  - 3.14.3.4. If the reviewer is also the rater or additional rater. See **paragraph 3.9.6.3**, mandatory comments.
- 3.14.4. Single Evaluator only.
  - 3.14.4.1. **(RegAF and ARC officer)** Only officers who are designated as a senior rater by the management level may serve as both the rater and the HLR. If the primary rater meets HLR requirements but is not a senior rater, the next rater up the rating chain must be the HLR. **(T-1)**
  - 3.14.4.2. **(USSF only)** An evaluator must be a colonel or GS-15/NH-IV (or equivalent). If the rater is a senior rater, the evaluation must close-out at this level unless it is a referral evaluation. The evaluator must meet both grade requirements and evaluator requirements for each section of the applicable evaluation form.

- **3.15. Stratifications.** Stratifications serve to provide clear feedback to ratees on their overall performance in relation to a relevant peer group with similar knowledge, skills, experience, and scope of work and responsibility, and to document that performance assessment for future unit-level and enterprise-level talent management decisions (e.g., special application boards, hiring authorities, assignment and development teams, promotion boards).
  - 3.15.1. Stratification Accountability. It is the responsibility of evaluators at all levels to maintain integrity and keep intact the purpose, clarity, and validity of officer stratifications. (USSF only) Evaluators should only use stratification numerators approximately once per 12-month period. Stratification denominators will fluctuate with manning changes. Evaluators using the same stratification statements (e.g., numerator, authorized peer group) for different officers within a 6-12 month period should be rare and only done under exceptional circumstances.
  - 3.15.2. Statements outside the Scope of Responsibility. Stratification and broad statements outside the scope of the evaluator's responsibility or knowledge are prohibited. (T-1) A broad statement is one which implies knowledge of Air Force or Space Force members not in the everyday chain of accountability, both mission and personal. Evaluators can only stratify personnel within the confines of their direct rating chain and/or scope of rating responsibility (e.g., within the SRID; AEW/CCs without a SRID may still stratify within their entire wing). As an example of inappropriate and prohibited scope, an evaluator may not include in their stratification pools (denominators) personnel who provide mission support via a crossfunctional team, or are on temporary duty status supporting a mission, but are permanently assigned to another unit (PASCODE) since these personnel do not officially report in the Personnel deployed in designated 365-day extended evaluator's chain. **Exception:** deployment billets as of the SCOD accounting date will be included in their deployed rating chain's stratification pool; these members will not be included in their home unit's stratification pool since the deployed unit is completing their evaluation.
  - 3.15.3. Stratification statements, when authorized, are not mandatory and are limited to the scope of the rating period (start date to end date). Accordingly, evaluators may review past evaluations; however, evaluators may not reference past evaluations in any way, and also may not use past evaluations as context or determinant for any current rating period stratification(s) or content in performance statements or HLR/reviewer comments. The omission of stratifications does not constitute an error or injustice. **Note:** An evaluator may remove or change a stratification at any point during the process of an evaluation.
  - 3.15.4. (RegAF and ARC only) Stratification statements are only authorized within the designated stratification sections in myEval and the AF Form 715 (use of this form is only allowable when authorized by waiver as provided at paragraph 1.13.4. (T-1) Evaluators are prohibited from placing any form of stratification statement(s) in either an ALQ performance statement section or HLR assessment comment section, to include stratifications from other evaluators (e.g., deployed stratifications) and veiled stratifications (see paragraph 3.15.6.2). (T-1) Stratifications and all deployed/TDY performance is authorized for the evaluator consideration in overall assessment and home station stratification. Stratifications provided on an AF Form 77, may be used by the rater for consideration when completing the ALQ evaluation, but may not be quoted or otherwise included.

- 3.15.5. (**RegAF and ARC only**) Single Evaluator Stratifications. Raters serving as a single evaluator are prohibited from entering a stratification in the rater's stratification block and must select "This Section Not Used." Authorized stratifications may be entered in the HLR's stratification block of the officer evaluation and must comply with **paragraph 3.15.7.4.** (T-1)
- 3.15.6. Unauthorized Stratifications.
  - 3.15.6.1. Company Grade Officers (CGOs) and/or Field Grade Officers (FGOs) are not authorized peer groups for primary or secondary stratification purposes. (T-1)
  - 3.15.6.2. Veiled stratifications are not authorized. These are statements which imply a stratification but do not conform to the guidance within paragraphs 3.15 and 3.16 (e.g. "#1 CAG Advisor..." This is an inappropriate evaluator comment because it is a veiled stratification with no denominator).
  - 3.15.6.3. Stratification statements based on awards are not authorized, as awards are recognition based on a given set of criteria (e.g., "#1/50 as Sq CGO of the Quarter" is prohibited). (T-1)
  - 3.15.6.4. Stratification statements for second lieutenants (O-1s) are prohibited. (T-1) While this quantitative comparison against a peer group is prohibited, evaluators should provide these officers with clear feedback regarding their performance in relation to Air Force or Space Force standards and (RegAF and ARC only) major performance areas (i.e., executing the mission, leading people, managing resources, improving the unit).
  - 3.15.6.5. (AFR Only) It is strictly prohibited to place a stratification referencing a member's placement on a key personnel list and other Development Team vectors on an evaluation.
  - 3.15.6.6. Promotion "Selects." (RegAF and AFR only) A primary stratification is not authorized for officers on a promotion select list. Officers on a promotion select list may be considered in denominator pools for grade stratifications on the SCOD of the lower (current) grade. See the exception at **paragraph 3.15.7.3.1**. (T-1) (ANG only) Officers on a promotion select list will be stratified against other officers in their current grade (e.g., a lieutenant colonel select will be stratified against all other majors). (T-1) (USSF only) Once a USSF officer is selected for promotion, they will only be stratified against others selected for promotion to the same grade (e.g., a major select will only be stratified against other major selects). (T-1)
  - 3.15.6.7. Stratification Quotes. The use of stratification statements from anyone other than the evaluator is prohibited, unless (RegAF and ARC only) they are between the rater and the HLR in the rating scope of responsibility (e.g., a wing commander may not quote a NAF commander's stratification; however, a wing commander may quote a group commander's stratification if the group commander is not the rater). (T-1) USSF Exceptions: (1) Senior rater/senior leader stratification may be quoted if they are a signatory on the officer evaluation and do not have a requirement to provide comments (e.g., reviewer), (2) an evaluator (must be a signatory) may stratify at a level below, as long as it is within their scope of rating responsibility, (3) stratification from a deployed wing or delta commander/equivalent or higher level evaluator who is not a signatory on the evaluation and the evaluation is signed by the deployed rater, additional rater, and home station senior rater is authorized, and (4), optional deployed LOE stratifications may be

- quoted in future evaluations as long as stratification meets the criteria described in this guidance and is not previously documented in the permanent record.
- 3.15.6.8. When stratifying officers on officer evaluations, evaluators will not consider completion/non-completion of non-resident developmental education or officer professional military education (OPME) if the officer is on the school select list or select/candidate status (because the ratee will attend in-residence). Relative ranking among officers rated by the rating chain should be based on overall performance. This paragraph does not preclude raters from making appropriate assignment and developmental education or OPME recommendations on officer evaluations and retention recommendation forms. See paragraph 3.16.3.
- 3.15.7. Authorized Stratifications. When used, stratification statements must be written in whole number quantitative terms (numerator over denominator) based on authorized peer groups and must remain within the evaluator's scope of authority. (T-1) Use of percentages in the numerator are prohibited (e.g., cannot use "Top 5%/50"). Note: Stratification of officers between components (RegAF, Reserve, Guard) is authorized within an evaluator's scope of authority as long as the stratification is within an authorized peer group. Authorized peer groups are limited to the following categories (See Table 3.7.):
  - 3.15.7.1. Primary Stratification. Evaluators may stratify officers by grade. Grade stratifications will only include officers in the same grade (e.g., first lieutenants, captains, majors, lieutenant colonels, and colonels). (T-1) Do not stratify officers against civilian grades or include civilian "equivalents" in the denominator pool. (T-1) Primary stratifications must include all military officers in that grade under the evaluator's scope of rating responsibility and may not include officers who are assigned within another HLR's (USAF) or reviewer's (USSF) scope of rating responsibility.
    - 3.15.7.1.1. United States Air Force or United States Space Force Officers. The primary stratification for an officer assigned to a position in which only USAF or only USSF officers are within an evaluator's scope of rating authority will simply have the grade as the descriptor (e.g., "#2/25 Lt Cols"). (T-1)
    - 3.15.7.1.2. DAF Officers. The primary stratification for an officer assigned to a unit in which both, and only, USAF and USSF officers of the same grade are within an evaluator's scope of rating authority must have "DAF" with the grade as the descriptor (e.g., "#1/7 DAF Lt Cols"). (T-1) "Joint" as a stratification category is not authorized among only USAF and USSF officers. (T-1)
    - 3.15.7.1.3. Joint Officers. The primary stratification for an officer permanently assigned to a position on a joint manning document in which at least one other non-DAF officer is within an evaluator's scope of rating authority must have "Joint" with the grade as the descriptor (e.g., "#1/5 Joint Majs"). (T-1) Raters with USAF officers and other sister service officers in the same grade, except those from USSF, are not authorized to use any other stratification category than "Joint" as a primary stratification (e.g., not authorized to state, "#1/4 USAF Lt Cols" to stratify just Air Force), or to specify specific services (e.g., not authorized to state, "#1/6 USAF/DA Lt Cols" to stratify just Air Force and Army, or "#2/5 USAF/USMC Majs" to stratify just Air Force and Marines) even if there is only one other sister service represented in addition to the USAF officers. Similarly, raters with USSF officers and other sister

- service officers in the same grade, except those from USAF, are not authorized to use any other stratification category than "Joint," as a primary stratification (e.g., not authorized to state, "#1/4 USSF Lt Cols" to stratify just Space Force), or to specify specific services (e.g., not authorized to state "#1/6 USSF/DA Lt Cols" to stratify just Space Force and Army, or "#2/5 USSF/USMC Majs" to stratify just Space Force and Marines) even if there is only one other sister service represented in addition to the USSF officers.
- 3.15.7.1.4. Service Component. The primary stratification for officers may have service component (RegAF, Reserve, Guard) with the grade as a descriptor and must be within the evaluator's scope of authority (e.g., "#1/4 ANG Majs").
- 3.15.7.1.5. Reserve Participation Category. The primary stratification for reserve officers may have a Reserve Participation category (i.e., IMA, AGR, VLPAD, LEAD, or EAD) with the grade as a descriptor and must be within the evaluator's scope of authority (e.g., "#1/6 IMA O-6s"; "#2/25 VLPAD Majs"). (T-1) Evaluators are prohibited from stratifying using the participation category of ART, as these officers are considered Traditional Reservists when evaluating their officer performance. (T-1)
- 3.15.7.1.6. (RegAF and USSF only) Frocked Officers. Frocked or temporarily promoted officers will be stratified amongst the officers in the grade they have been frocked or temporarily promoted to (e.g., a major that has been temporarily promoted to lieutenant colonel will only be stratified amongst other lieutenant colonels; a lieutenant colonel frocked to colonel will only be stratified against other colonels). (T-1)
- 3.15.7.2. Secondary Stratification. In order to use a secondary stratification, the officer must first earn a primary stratification in accordance with **paragraph 3.15.7.1** on their evaluation to ground the secondary stratification statement and communicate the clearest depiction of where an officer stands for all future evaluation readers. Tertiary stratifications and beyond are not authorized. An evaluator may use one of the following peer groups as a secondary stratification:
  - 3.15.7.2.1. Developmental Category. This refers to the officer's developmental category for promotion. Raters may use a developmental category stratification as a secondary stratification to any primary grade stratification and must be used among officers in the same grade (e.g., "#3/17 Capts, 1/12 LAF-C Capts;" "#5/16 Majs; #2/8 NC Majs;" "#2/25 Lt Cols, #1/10 LSF-O Lt Cols"). **Exception:** Developmental category stratifications are not authorized for USSF colonels.
  - 3.15.7.2.2. United States Air Force Grade or United States Space Force Grade. Raters may use a USAF or USSF grade stratification as a secondary stratification to a Joint or DAF primary stratification and must be used among officers in the same grade (e.g., "#2/14 Joint Majs, #1/6 USAF Majs;" or "#3/16 DAF Lt Cols, #1/4 USSF Lt Cols").
  - 3.15.7.2.3. (RegAF and ARC only) Subordinate Echelon Grade. This refers to an officer's standing at established echelons (unit levels) organizationally subordinate to the HLR, but organizationally senior to the rater within the HLR's SRID, when the subordinate echelon is not a signatory on the evaluation. Use of this a subordinate echelon stratification is limited to grade within the subordinate echelon. As an

- example, a wing commander may elect to stratify an officer amongst their peers in a group subordinate to the wing (e.g., "#16/50 Majs, #4/22 MDG Majs;" "#23/90 Majs, #6/25 WSA Majs"). (T-1)
- 3.15.7.2.4. Duty Position. This refers to the officer's duty position type, level, and scope of responsibility (e.g., commander, wing commander, section chiefs, flight commanders, operations officers, branch chiefs, action officers, analysts, instructors, combat systems officers, etc.). Officers may be stratified against civilian personnel in equivalent duty positions (e.g., "#1/1 Capts, #1/40 Analysts"; "#2/6 Majs, #3/41 Flt CCs"). Duty position stratifications by grade are not authorized (e.g., "#5/40 Majs, #1/20 Maj Flight Commanders"), except for command position stratifications. Command position stratifications by grade are authorized, if desired (e.g., "#4/35 Majs, #2/6 Maj Sq/CCs;" "#3/60 Lt/Cols, #1/3 Lt Col Sq/CCs"). "Non-" duty position stratifications and overly broad categorizations that obscure the differences in grade and duty positions inherent within the stratification are not authorized (e.g., "#15/60, #1/6 non-command Lt Cols;" "#20/90 Majs, #1/136 officers"). (T-1)
- 3.15.7.2.5. Air Force Reserve or Air National Guard Components. Raters may use AFR or ANG grade as a secondary stratification to an authorized primary grade stratification within an evaluator's scope of authority and must be used among officers in the same grade (e.g., #23/118 Lt Cols; #1/8 ANG Lt Cols). Raters may also use AFR or ANG as a descriptor to a secondary stratification within an evaluator's scope of authority as long as the stratification is within an authorized peer group and must be used among officers in the same grade (e.g., "#3/7 Majs; #1/3 AFR Analysts").
- 3.15.7.3. (RegAF and AFR only) Authorized Exception to Primary and Secondary Stratifications for Promotion "Selects." Officers on a promotion select list may be stratified using the secondary duty position stratification only without first using a primary stratification without grade or select grade reference (e.g., "#1/8 Branch Chiefs;" "#3/7 Sq/CCs). Promotion "selects" may be considered in denominator pools for grade stratifications on the SCOD of the lower (current) grade.
- 3.15.7.4. (RegAF and ARC only) Exceptions for Higher Level Reviewer Stratifications.
  - 3.15.7.4.1. (RegAF and ARC only) HLR Stratification Scoping. The primary and secondary stratification denominators for the HLR may not exceed the number of evaluations signed by the HLR on that specific SCOD; however, if an HLR chooses to include promotion selects to the next higher grade within their stratification pool for the lower grade, their denominator may exceed the number of evaluations they sign for that specific SCOD by the number added by the inclusion of promotion selects. Neither primary nor secondary stratification denominators shall include all officers within an HLR's scope of responsibility unless the HLR is a signatory on the evaluations of all officers within that scope. HLRs can only stratify personnel within the confines of their scope of responsibility (e.g., SRID). Exception: For HLRs also evaluating non-USAF officers (e.g., USSF, or any Joint officers), the HLR's primary and secondary stratification denominators may exceed the number of USAF officers at the SCOD but still may not exceed the number of evaluations signed by the HLR for all their officers of the same grade during their annual evaluation cycle.

- 3.15.7.4.2. (RegAF and ARC Only) When Ratee is Same Grade as Rater. When the ratee is the same grade as the rater, the HLR has the option to stratify the ratee using the secondary duty position stratification only, without first using a primary stratification. This option offers some discretion to HLRs assessing performance of all officers in a grade at the same time, particularly when a peer group includes officers with varying scopes of responsibility (e.g., when a squadron commander and director of operations (DO) are the same grade, the HLR has the option to stratify the ratee as "#1/6 DOs" without using a primary stratification). (T-1)
- **3.16.** Unauthorized Evaluator Considerations and Comments. Certain items are prohibited for consideration in the performance evaluation process and will not be commented upon on any Officer Evaluation System form (see Chapter 8 for RegAF and ARC members and Chapter 11 for USSF members for the PRF). Refer to **3.15.6** for unauthorized stratifications. Except as authorized in the following paragraphs, do not consider, refer to, or include comments regarding:
  - 3.16.1. Promotion statements or reference to grades and/or positions higher than the ratee holds are prohibited.
    - 3.16.1.1. Promotion statements that are pushes to the next higher grade are prohibited. **Exception:** Statements of fact (e.g., "filled a Lt Col billet") are authorized if the ratee was assigned to the UMD position. Additionally, while promotion statements are prohibited, an evaluator may make recommendations to select officers for a particular assignment, developmental education, augmentation, continuation, or conditional reserve status.
    - 3.16.1.2. Any reference, direct or indirect, to an officer's order of merit, line number, position sequence, etc. on any boarded selection is unauthorized. **Exception:** Statements acknowledging an officer's selection for promotion during the reporting period are acceptable.
    - 3.16.1.3. The term "Senior" on officer evaluations is prohibited. This term is commonly understood as a euphemism for colonels and above, or to refer to members holding a higher grade than the ratee, and therefore constitutes an implied promotions statement and is prohibited in officer evaluations. **Exception:** (RegAF and ARC only) On PRFs for lieutenant colonels being promoted to colonel, the term "Senior" may be used.
    - 3.16.1.4. Referring to a major as the "Senior Chaplain" is authorized; however, referring to a major as "Performing senior leadership duties" is prohibited.
  - 3.16.2. Comments on officer evaluations regarding completion of, or enrollment in, Developmental Education (DE)/OPME (in residence or non-residence) and Advanced Academic Degree (AAD) education are prohibited.
    - 3.16.2.1. Performance and special recognition comments on officers attending inresidence education and/or training will be documented appropriately on the AF Form 475 (see **Chapter 6**). **Exception:** When preparing officer evaluations and PRFs (RegAF and ARC only), evaluators may comment on Air War College non-residential program Outstanding Graduates; unlike resident students, non-resident students do not receive a training report to document this achievement.
    - 3.16.2.2. For officer evaluations only: Evaluators may comment on an officer's competitive assignment selection to programs that fall outside of the Developmental

- Education Designation Board (DEDB) (RegAF and ARC officers) or the USSF ILE & SLE Board (USSF officers), to include but not limited to Olmstead, Fulbright, Rhodes, School of Advanced Air and Space Studies, and the School of Advanced Warfighting Studies.
- 3.16.2.3. Evaluators will not comment on an officer's status on the school's list, selection for DE/OPME, and/or specific schools (e.g., ACSC, AWC, Joint) but will limit their remarks to "PDE", "IDE", or "SDE" only (RegAF and ARC officers) and "PLE," "ILE," or "SLE" (for USSF officers). **Note:** An assignment recommendation for Air Force Institute of Technology Master's or Doctoral degree program is authorized.
- 3.16.3. Assignment and DE/OPME Recommendations. Assignment and developmental DE/OPME recommendations on officer evaluations that are inconsistent with an officer's current grade are prohibited. The intent and philosophy of the Officer Evaluation System is to recommend an officer for assignments or positions and resident level of developmental education/OPME that reflect the ratee's potential.
  - 3.16.3.1. There is a fine line between an assignment recommendation and an overt, implied, or veiled promotion statement. When making an assignment recommendation on an officer evaluation, there will be no reference to a higher grade, and it must be consistent with the officer's appropriate progression of their professional development.
  - 3.16.3.2. Evaluators may make one or more assignment recommendations in an officer's evaluation provided the recommendations are both appropriate and realistically achievable for the officer's current grade or current grade plus one. The assignment recommendation may involve the current grade plus one if the officer has completed or is currently completing the last reasonable career development for the current grade. **Example:** "Highly recommend for Air Force Institute of Technology—then Joint Duty." **Note:** Air Force Institute of Technology can be used for an assignment push, however, it cannot be used as a developmental education/OPME push.
  - 3.16.3.3. The intent is to focus on what job or DE/OPME assignment the officer should be doing immediately after their current assignment. Anything beyond the next assignment would be mapping out a career or making an implied promotion statement. Both instances are contrary to the spirit and intent of the Officer Evaluation System.
  - 3.16.3.4. In addition to assignment recommendations, evaluators may also make recommendations for the appropriate level of in-residence developmental education/OPME on officer evaluations and LOEs. DE/OPME pushes are not authorized on training reports.
    - 3.16.3.4.1. Evaluators determine the appropriate level recommendation by considering the highest level of in-residence DE/OPME the officer has already completed along with the eligibility criteria for each level of in-residence DE/OPME. (Squadron Officer School is the appropriate level of primary developmental education (PDE) for Air Force officers and primary level education (PLE) for Space Force officers).
    - 3.16.3.4.2. For Air Force lieutenant through captain, a PDE recommendation is appropriate until the officer has completed PDE in-residence. For Space Force lieutenants through captain, a PLE recommendation is appropriate until the officer has completed PLE in-residence.

- 3.16.3.4.3. For an Air Force captain, once the officer completes PDE, an intermediate developmental education (IDE) recommendation is appropriate. For a Space Force captain, once the officer completes PLE, an Intermediate Level Education (ILE) recommendation is appropriate.
- 3.16.3.4.4. For an Air Force major, if as of the close-out date of the evaluation, the officer has not already completed IDE in-residence and is still eligible for consideration, an IDE recommendation is appropriate. However, once the major completes IDE in-residence or when the officer is no longer eligible for consideration, then a senior developmental education (SDE) recommendation is appropriate. For a Space Force major, if as of the close-out date of the evaluation, the officer has not already completed ILE in-residence and is still eligible for consideration, an ILE recommendation is appropriate. However, once the major completes ILE in-residence or when the officer is no longer eligible for consideration, then a senior level education (SLE) recommendation is appropriate.
- 3.16.3.4.5. Raters cannot recommend officers for specific schools, including "Joint DE." Only the terms "PDE," "IDE," and "SDE" for Air Force officers or "PLE," "ILE," and "SLE" for Space Force officers are authorized. The appropriate venue for a specific school recommendation is through the annual DE/OPME process.
- 3.16.3.5. Examples of Acceptable Assignment DE/OPME Recommendations.
  - 3.16.3.5.1. "Make Capt Cousins an MPF Chief." (Appropriate next level of progression).
  - 3.16.3.5.2. On a Lt Col OPR, "Make him an Ops Group Commander." (Appropriate next level of progression).
  - 3.16.3.5.3. For Air Force officers: "Send Major Smith to Intermediate Developmental Education." For Space Force officers: "Send Major Smith to Intermediate Level Education." (Appropriate DE/OPME progression).
  - 3.16.3.5.4. For Air Force Officers: "After Intermediate Developmental Education, assign to Air Staff." For Space Force Officers: "After Intermediate Level Education, assign to Space Staff." (Appropriate DE/OPME with follow-on assignment).
  - 3.16.3.5.5. For a major who has completed Air Command & Staff College inresidence, or who is out of the eligibility window, recommendations for SDE (Air Force) or SLE (Space Force) would be appropriate, "Send to Senior Developmental Education," (Air Force) or "Send to Senior Level Education," (Space Force).
  - 3.16.3.5.6. For a captain who has completed PDE (Air Force) or PLE (Space Force) in-residence, or who is beyond the window of eligibility, an appropriate recommendation would be "In-resident Intermediate Developmental Education a Must" (Air Force) or "Intermediate Level Education a Must," (Space Force).
- 3.16.3.6. Examples of Prohibited Assignment and DE/OPME Recommendations.
  - 3.16.3.6.1. "Make Lt Triska an FSS Commander". Inappropriate next level of progression.

- 3.16.3.6.2. "Send Capt Brown to Intermediate Developmental Education after selection to major." (Reference to Intermediate Developmental Education is appropriate, but the comment "after selection to major" is an implied promotion statement).
- 3.16.3.6.3. "Intermediate Developmental Education in 2023, Group Commander in 2028, and Wing Commander in 2031." (Goes beyond the scope of the next assignment).
- 3.16.3.6.4. "Capt Phelps is ready to be a flying Sq/CC" and "Make Maj Knisley a group commander." (In both cases, the recommendations are clearly beyond the officer's next assignment and are viewed as veiled promotion statements).
- 3.16.4. Officer Bonuses. Comments on an officer's decision to accept or decline retention bonus pay (e.g., aviation bonus, officer retention bonus) is prohibited.
- 3.16.5. Separation or Retirement Status. Comments referring to separation, retirement, or transfer to reserve status are prohibited. However, comments may be warranted when an officer displays a reluctance to accept responsibility, a negative attitude toward the job, and/or exhibits a decrease in performance that can be reasonably attributed to a pending separation or retirement. Comments are limited to the behavior and not the fact the member is separating, retiring, or transferring to a reserve status. **Note:** Although comments are mandatory, an evaluator may use the minimum bullets (AF Form 707) or performance statements (ALQ Evaluation) required in accordance with **Table 3.1** (USSF officers) or **Table 3.6** (RegAF and ARC officers) as applicable.
- 3.16.6. Civilian Employment. Comments about civil service jobs or other civilian occupations are prohibited unless it directly relates to the military position and their military performance. Recommendations for civilian employment are prohibited.
- **3.17. Extensions of Close-out Dates.** Extensions of close-out dates are only authorized for general officer and USSF lieutenant through colonel evaluations.
  - 3.17.1. The authority to extend the close-out date for general officer evaluations are AF/A1LG (for RegAF and AFR extended active duty general officers), NGB-GO (for non-extended active duty ANG general officers), and SF/S1L (for USSF general officers). For USSF lieutenant through colonel evaluations, the authority to extend the close-out date is retained by HQ AFPC/DPMSPE. **Exception:** In the event a CRO occurs prior to the annual close-out date of an evaluation, and 60 calendar days of supervision has not been obtained as of the annual close-out date, MPF/CSS personnel will adjust the close-out to the date on which the rater achieves 60 days of supervision. See **Table 3.3.**, **Note 4**.
  - 3.17.2. Events that occur after the close-out date. Extensions are only granted to allow evaluators to document negative behavior (e.g., court-martial actions, investigations, etc.). Extensions are not granted to document awards, achievements or completion/non-completion of any training. Extensions on DBH evaluations are not authorized. Extensions must be requested prior to but no later than 30 calendar days after the close-out date of the evaluation.
    - 3.17.2.1. Pending Administrative Actions. If an incident or event occurs that reflects a departure from standards or derogatory in nature between the time an annual or initial evaluation closes out and the time it becomes a matter of record that is of such serious

significance that inclusion in that evaluation is warranted, an extension of the close-out date may be requested by the unit commander. This includes completion of an investigation begun prior to the close-out date or confirmation of behavior that was only alleged as of the close-out date. Commanders may request officer evaluation close-out date extensions to ensure resolution of any pending administrative actions or other significant issues. Extensions will be granted to cover only the time necessary to complete actions, not to exceed 59 days.

- 3.17.3. (USSF only) Send request for extensions to HQ AFPC/DPMSPE via the servicing personnel office, who in turn will forward the request to the appropriate office of primary responsibility listed in Table 1.1. (T-3) This must be done in a timely manner, and a commander-initiated email is acceptable. (T-3) The request must include the following information: Name, Grade, and social security number of ratee, evaluation "FROM" and "THRU" dates, desired close-out date (not to exceed 59 days), and a complete rationale as to why the extension is needed. (T-1) Include all applicable pertinent information including dates of investigations during the reporting period and/or deployment dates (if applicable). Incomplete requests will be returned without action. (T-3)
- 3.17.4. (USSF only) Approved extensions must be documented by placing the following statement in the feedback section of the AF Form 707: "Close-out date was extended in accordance with DAFI 36-2406, paragraph 3.18." (T-1)
- 3.17.5. When the approving authority grants an extension, only one extension, not to exceed 59 days will be granted. **(T-1)** If the action cannot be finalized by, or event occurs after, the extended close-out date, the evaluation will be completed using the original close-out date. **(T-1)** If desired, the commander can then direct another evaluation be rendered at the 120-day point (60-day point for referral evaluations) to capture the incident.

Table 3.1. Instructions for Preparing AF Form 707, Officer Performance Report.

	<b>Note:</b> Air Force terminology on the AF Form 707 applies to the equivalent Space Force				
	terminology (e.g., Airman applies to Guardian, Duty Air Force Specialty Code applies to				
	Duty Space Force Spec	ialty Code).			
	SECTION I. RATE	E IDENTIFICATION DATA			
I	A	В	C		
T	Heading	Instructions	Example		
E					
M					
1	Name	Enter Last Name, First Name, Middle	DOE, JOHN E. JR.		
		Initial and any suffix (e.g., JR., SR., III).			
		If there is no middle initial, the use of			
	"NMI" is optional. Name will be in all				
	upper case.				
2	SSN	Enter full social security number.	123-45-6789		
3	Grade (Rank)	Enter appropriate grade. See paragraph	2LT, 1LT, CAPT,		
	·	<b>1.4.9</b> .	MAJ, LT COL, COL		

	1		1
4	DAFSC/DSFSC	Enter the DAFSC/DSFSC held as of the "THRU" date of the evaluation, including prefix and suffix, if applicable. 365-day extended deployments will use the TDY DAFSC/DSFSC. See paragraph 1.4.8.	38F4
5	Reason for Report	Enter reason for report from officer evaluation notice and as determined by <b>Tables 3.2</b> or <b>3.3</b> .	Annual, CRO, Directed by HQ USAF/USSF, Directed by CC
6	PAS Code	Enter PAS code of ratee's unit of assignment as of the close-out date. Those assigned to a 365-day extended deployment billet, use the home station PAS code.  AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR Category E, use unit of attachment's PAS code.	TE1CFYRZ
7	Organization, Command, Location	Enter information as of close-out date. Nomenclature does not necessarily duplicate what is on the officer evaluation notice. The goal is an accurate description of where and to whom the ratee belongs. Command will be listed inside parentheses. 365-day extended deployments will use the home station unit, "with duty at"  AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR Category E, information will be that of	964th Airborne Air Control Squadron (ACC), Tinker AFB OK  124th Airborne Air Control Squadron (ACC), Tinker AFB OK  341st Security Forces Group (AFSPC),
		unit of attachment. See paragraph 1.4.7.	Malmstrom AFB MT, with duty at 447 ESFS (USAFCENT), Baghdad International Airport, Baghdad, Iraq
8	Period of Report	FROM Date: Enter the day following the last evaluation's close-out date. See paragraph 3.10.  THRU Date: Use the date on the OPR notice or see paragraph 3.11 to	12 Jan 2015 thru 11 Jan 2016
9	Number Days Supervision and Number of Days Non-	Enter number of days ratee was supervised by rater during the reporting period. See paragraph 3.12.	365 120

	Rated		
	Rated	Enter number of days non-rated (if	
		applicable) in accordance with	
		paragraph 1.4.11	
10	SRID	Enter the SRID for the ratee's unit of	1S341
		assignment as of the close-out date.	
			See paragraph
		AFR only: For IMAs and PIRR	1.3.2 for classified
		Category E, SRID is that of unit of	locations
		attachment.	
		365-day extended deployments will use	
		the home station SRID.	
_	SECTION II. JOB D	Τ _	
I	A	B	С
T	Heading	Instructions	Example
E M			
11	Duty Title	Enter the approved duty title as of the	Flight Commander
11	Duty Title	close-out date in upper/lower case. If the	1 light Commander
		duty title on the notice is abbreviated and	
		entries are not clear, spell them out. If	
		wrong, enter the correct duty title and	
		take appropriate actions to update the	
		personnel data system.	
		Corrective actions should be initiated	
		upon receipt of the officer evaluation	
		notice. Ensure the duty title is	
		commensurate with the ratee's grade,	
		AFSC/SFSC, and responsibility.	
		365-day extended deployments will use	
		the deployed duty title.	
12	Job Description	Comments in bullet format are	- Commands, directs
14	Jou Description	mandatory. Limit text to four lines.	and leads 50 AWACS
		Enter information about the position the	aircrew members
		ratee held in the unit and the nature or	and on monitoris
		level of job responsibilities. The rater	- Responsible for
		develops the information for this section.	
		1	- Supervises 9
		This description must reflect the	NCOs
		uniqueness of each ratee's job. Be	
		specificinclude level of responsibility,	- 89 RegAF, 65 Air
		number of people supervised, dollar	National Guard and 55
		value of resources accountable	AFR

SECTION III. PERFO A Heading  Job Knowledge, Leadership Skills, Professional Qualities (includes adherence to standards), Organizational Skills, Judgment &	Commander's job description will include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line description of the unit's mission may be included in the job description if it is necessary to better explain the ratee's duties.  DRMANCE FACTORS  B Instructions  Enter an "X" in the appropriate box.  All six performance factors are consolidated in this block. Specific performance factors are listed on the reverse side of the form.	C Example
Heading  Job Knowledge, Leadership Skills, Professional Qualities (includes adherence to standards), Organizational Skills,	include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line description of the unit's mission may be included in the job description if it is necessary to better explain the ratee's duties.  DRMANCE FACTORS  B Instructions  Enter an "X" in the appropriate box.  All six performance factors are consolidated in this block. Specific performance factors are listed on the	Example
Heading  Job Knowledge, Leadership Skills, Professional Qualities (includes adherence to	include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line description of the unit's mission may be included in the job description if it is necessary to better explain the ratee's duties.  DRMANCE FACTORS  B Instructions  Enter an "X" in the appropriate box.  All six performance factors are consolidated in this block. Specific	Example
Heading  Job Knowledge, Leadership Skills, Professional Qualities	include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line description of the unit's mission may be included in the job description if it is necessary to better explain the ratee's duties.  DRMANCE FACTORS  B Instructions  Enter an "X" in the appropriate box.  All six performance factors are	Example
Heading  Job Knowledge, Leadership Skills,	include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line description of the unit's mission may be included in the job description if it is necessary to better explain the ratee's duties.  DRMANCE FACTORS  B Instructions  Enter an "X" in the appropriate box.	Example
A Heading Job Knowledge,	include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line description of the unit's mission may be included in the job description if it is necessary to better explain the ratee's duties.  DRMANCE FACTORS  B Instructions	Example
A	include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line description of the unit's mission may be included in the job description if it is necessary to better explain the ratee's duties.  DRMANCE FACTORS  B Instructions	Example
A	include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line description of the unit's mission may be included in the job description if it is necessary to better explain the ratee's duties.  DRMANCE FACTORS  B	
A	include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line description of the unit's mission may be included in the job description if it is necessary to better explain the ratee's duties.  DRMANCE FACTORS  B	
	include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line description of the unit's mission may be included in the job description if it is necessary to better explain the ratee's duties.  DRMANCE FACTORS	C
SECTION HI DEDEC	include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line description of the unit's mission may be included in the job description if it is necessary to better explain the ratee's duties.	
	include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line description of the unit's mission may be included in the job description if it is necessary to better explain the ratee's	
	include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line description of the unit's mission may be included in the job description if it is	
	include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line description of the unit's mission may be	
	include the total force (RegAF, ANG, and AFR) assigned. A short one-line	
	include the total force (RegAF, ANG,	
	Commander's job description will	
	significant additional duties.	
	evaluation, reserve the final bullet for	
	deployments that do not warrant an	
	the TDY job description. For	
	365-day extended deployments will use	
	impacts the evaluation.	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		use plain English. Avoid jargon, acronyms, and topical references—they obscure rather than clarify meaning. Previous jobs held during the reporting period may be mentioned only if it impacts the evaluation.

	Aggaggmant	bullet format and include at least one	- Performed
	Assessment	bullet. This section allows evaluators to	- Performed
		comment on the ratee's overall	- LCu
		performance and performance-based	
		*	
		potential as compared to others in the	
		same grade known by the evaluators. If	
		the "THE RATER IS ALSO THE	
		REVIEWER" comment is required in	
		Section VI, the rater will digitally sign	
		the rater, additional rater, and reviewer	
		signature blocks; leave Section V	
		comments area blank.	
		Organizational Climate: See	
		paragraph 1.8.9	
		Oversight of Housing: See paragraph	
		1.8.10.	
		For AFR colonels in GO billets, include	
		a mandatory statement that the officer	
		"continues in" or "leave" the general	
		officer position. See paragraph 1.9 for	
		disagreements. See paragraph 1.10 for	
		referrals.	
16	Last Performance	Raters certify performance feedback in	15 Jan 2015
	Feedback Date	this area by entering the date the most	
		recent feedback was provided. Enter	Or
		date as DD MMM YYYY. If feedback	
		was not accomplished, state reason why.	Feedback was not
		There is no excuse for not completing	accomplished due
		this requirement. If feedback was not	to
		required, enter "N/A." Do not use the	
		date feedback was provided in	
		conjunction with completion of the	
		evaluation. See Chapter 2.	
17	Rater's Name, Grade,	Enter Rater's signature block as of the	SUE J. DOE, Col,
	Branch of Service,	close-out date. See paragraph 1.4.12.	USAF
	Organization,		20th Dental Squadron
	Command & Location	For ANG, the use of component ID (e.g.,	(ACC) Shaw AFB SC
		XXANG) may be used in the signature	IOE C DUICCH CC
		block.	JOE C. BUSCH, GS-
			09, DAF
			27th Special
			Operations Wing

		T	(4.50.0)
			(AFSOC)
			Cannon AFB NM
			JACOB M. FREER,
			Col, KSANG
			184th Force Support
			Squadron (ACC)
			McConnell AFB KS
18	Duty Title	Enter duty title in upper/lower case	Commander
		letters as of the close-out date of the	
		officer evaluation.	
19	SSN	Enter the last four digits of the social	1234
	~~1	security number.	120 .
20	Date & Signature	The forms have digital signature and	Digital or wet
	Date & Signature	auto-date capability. In the rare instance	signatures. A
		where digital signatures cannot be used,	combination of both is
		sign in reproducible blue or black ink	authorized.
			aumorized.
		and handwrite, stamp or type the date.	
		Do not sign blank forms that do not	
		contain ratings, sign before the close-out	
		date (only on or after), or date before the	
		date the rater signed it or earlier than the	
		date of the ratee's endorsement to a	
		referral letter. Additional rater	
		assessment block will be locked, and	
		reviewer signature capability unlocked	
		with the additional rater's digital	
		signature. See paragraph 1.4.12.	
	SECTION V. ADDIT	TIONAL RATER OVERALL ASSESSMI	ENT
			T
I	A	В	С
T	Heading	Instructions	Example
E			
M	G N G	DI ((XZM ' .d . ' . 1	37
21	Concur/Non- Concur	Place an "X" in the appropriate box	X
		indicating concurrence/non-concurrence	
		of the rater's assessment. If non-	
		concurring, comments are required. See	
		paragraph 1.9 for disagreements.	
22	Additional Rater	Comments are mandatory. Must contain	- Spearheaded
	Overall Assessment	at least 1 bullet, a maximum of 4 lines.	- Integrated
		Must be in bullet format.	- Enabled
		Use this section to support rating	
		decision and allow evaluators to	
		comment on the ratee's overall	
1		1 John Marie on the lates of Chall	i .

		performance and performance-based potential as compared to others in the same grade known by the evaluators. See paragraph 1.12 for inappropriate comments. See paragraph 1.9 for disagreements. See paragraph 1.10 for referrals.  Organizational Climate: See paragraph 1.8.9.  Oversight of Housing: See paragraph 1.8.10.	
23	Additional Rater Name, Grade, Branch of Service, Organization, Command & Location	Enter the additional rater's information. Additional raters assigned on or prior to close-out date, enter information as of the close-out date; additional raters assigned after the close-out date, enter the information as of the date signed.  Multiple general officers serving as evaluators are prohibited, see paragraph 1.7.1.7 for exceptions.  For ANG, the use of component ID (e.g., XXANG) may be used in the signature block.	BILL R. REED, JR., Col, USAF 20th Operations Group (ACC) Shaw AFB SC  JAYMES E. JONES, GS-12, DAF 35th Fighter Wing (PACAF) Misawa AB, Japan  JACOB M. FREER, Col, KSANG 184th Force Support Squadron (ACC) McConnell AFB KS
24	Duty Title	Enter duty title as of the close-out date of the officer evaluation.  (use format in example)	Commander
25	SSN	Enter the last four digits of the social security number.	1234
26	Date & Signature	The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date.  Do not sign blank forms that do not contain ratings, sign before the close-out date (only on or after), or date before the	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.

		date the rater signed it or earlier than the date of the ratee's endorsement to a referral letter. Additional rater assessment block will be locked, and reviewer signature capability unlocked with the additional rater's digital signature.  See paragraph 1.4.12.	
	SECTION VI. REVII	T	
I	A	В	С
T E M	Heading	Instructions	Example
27	Concur/Non- Concur	The reviewer will place an "X" in the appropriate box indicating concurrence or non-concurrence of the additional rater's assessment. See <b>paragraph 1.9</b> for disagreements.	X
28	Reviewer Comments	The reviewer is the primary quality control level and guards against inaccuracy and exaggeration.	"THE ADDITIONAL RATER IS ALSO THE REVIEWER"
29	Reviewer's Name, Grade, Branch of Service, Organization, Command & Location	Enter reviewer's signature block. Reviewers assigned on or prior to close- out date, enter information as of the close- out date; if assigned after the close-out date, enter the information as of the date signed.	JOHN H. DOE, Col, USAF 20th Fighter Wing (ACC) Shaw AFB SC JACOB M. FREER,
		Multiple GOs as evaluators are prohibited see <b>paragraph</b> 1.7.1.7 for exceptions.  For ANG, the use of component ID (e.g., XXANG) may be used in the signature block.	Col, KSANG 184th Force Support Squadron (ACC) McConnell AFB KS
30	Duty Title	Enter the duty title as of the close-out date of the OPR.  (use format in example)	Commander
31	SSN	Enter the last four digits of the social security number.	2345
32	Date & Signature	The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used,	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is

		sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date.  Do not sign blank forms that do not contain ratings, sign before the close-out date (only on or after), or date before the date the rater signed it or earlier than the date of the ratee's endorsement to a referral letter. Additional rater assessment block will be locked, and reviewer signature capability unlocked with the additional rater's digital signature.  See paragraph 1.4.12.	authorized.
		CTIONAL EXAMINER/AIR FORCE AD	
I T	A Heading	B Instructions	C Example
E M	Treating	That uctions	Ехапри
33	Functional Examiner or AF/SF Advisor	When applicable, place an "X" in the appropriate box. See <b>paragraph 1.6.8</b> .	X
34	Name, Grade, Branch of Service, Organization & Location	Enter advisor/functional examiner's information as of the close-out date. See paragraph 1.4.12.	JACK C. DOE, Col, USAF 20th Fighter Wing (ACC) Shaw AFB SC JACOB M. FREER, Col, KSANG 184th Force Support Squadron (ACC) McConnell AFB KS
35	Duty Title	Enter advisor/examiner's duty title.  (use format in example)	Command Financial Manager
36	SSN	Enter the last four digits of the social security number.	1122
37	Date & Signature	The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date.  Do not sign blank forms that do not	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.

		contain ratings, sign before the close-out date (only on or after), or date before the date the rater signed it or earlier than the date of the ratee's endorsement to a referral letter. Additional rater assessment block will be locked, and reviewer signature capability unlocked with the additional rater's digital signature.  See paragraph 1.4.12.	
	SECTION VIII. RAT	EE'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
Ι	A	В	С
T E M	Heading	Instructions	Example
38	Ratee Acknowledgement.  I understand my signature does not constitute agreement or disagreement. I acknowledge all required feedback was accomplished during the reporting period and upon receipt of this report.	After reviewing evaluation, the ratee will read the acknowledgement statement and place an "X" in the appropriate box indicating Yes or No.	X
39	Date & Signature	The ratee must acknowledge receipt prior to the evaluation becoming a matter of record by signing in this block.  Signing the evaluation does not imply concurrence, but acknowledgement and review of personal information on the form. If ratee non-concurs with the evaluation, they may submit an appeal in accordance with Chapter 10.  The rater will suspense the ratee three duty days (30 calendar days for ARC) to sign the evaluation.  Non-digital: Handwrite, date stamp or type the date. Sign on or after the close-out date. Select the appropriate choice	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.

		from drop down menu: Blank – member concurs and digitally signs evaluation.  "Member unable to sign" – use when member is incapacitated or unavailable to sign; rater or any higher evaluator on the form in the chain (digitally) signs.  "Member declined to sign" – use when member refuses to sign the form; rater or	
		any higher evaluator on the form in the chain (digitally) signs. See paragraph 3.19	
_		ORMANCE FACTORS	
I T	A Heading	B Instructions	C Example
E M	Heading	Thstructions	Ехатре
40	Job Knowledge	If ratee meets standards, leave blank. If ratee does not meet standards in any of the listed areas, place an "X" in the "Does Not Meet Standards" block for Job Knowledge.  See paragraph 1.10 for referrals.	X or leave blank
41	Leadership Skills	If ratee meets standards, leave blank. If ratee does not meet standards in any of the listed areas, place an "X" in the "Does Not Meet Standards" block for Leadership Skills.  See paragraph 1.10 for referrals.	X or leave blank
42	Professional Qualities	If ratee meets standards (including fitness), leave blank. If ratee does not meet standards in any of the listed areas, place an "X" in the "Does Not Meet Standards" block for Professional Qualities.  See paragraph 1.10 for referrals.	X or leave blank
43	Organizational Skills	If ratee meets standards, leave blank. If ratee does not meet standards in any of the listed areas, place an "X" in the "Does Not Meet Standards" block for Organizational Skills.  See paragraph 1.10 for referrals.  Organizational Climate: See paragraph 1.8.9	X or leave blank

44	Judgment And Decisions	If ratee meets standards, leave blank. If ratee does not meet standards in any of the listed areas, place an "X" in the "Does Not Meet Standards" block for Judgment and Decisions.  See paragraph 1.10 for referrals.	X or leave blank
45	Communication Skills	If ratee meets standards, leave blank. If ratee does not meet standards in any of the listed areas, place an "X" in the "Does Not Meet Standards" block for Communication Skills.  See paragraph 1.10 for referrals.	X or leave blank
	SECTION X. REMA	RKS	
I	A	В	C
T E M	Heading	Instructions	Example
46	Acronyms	Due to limited space on the front of the form, evaluators may spell out acronyms in this block. They will be listed alphabetically and separated by a semicolon (;).	Personnel Support for Contingency Operations (PERSCO); HAF
47	Approved Close-Out Extensions (USSF only)	If the commander has obtained an approved extension of the close-out date in accordance with <b>paragraph 3.18</b> , enter the statement from column C.	"Close-out date was extended in accordance with paragraph 3.18."
48	DG or TG Award	If ratee was awarded a DG or TG from a training course for which no TR was required, the rater may enter the criteria for the award in Section X, Remarks.	- Top 10%, awarded DG
49	Other Comments	There will be instances where DAFI 36-2406 requires additional remarks. The placement of comments not specified in this DAFI may be placed here. Contact AFPC/DPMSPE for clarification.	e.g., paragraph 1.7, when rater died, MIA, POW, incapacitated, formally relieved from duty, the additional rater becomes the rater.
50	Mandatory Statements	Enter mandatory statement(s) prior to listing the acronyms.	e.g., "Reviewer's grade is lower than the Previous Rater", "Two GOs authorized in accordance with paragraph 1.7"

	SECTION XI. REFERRAL EVALUATIONS			
I	A B C			
T	Heading	Instructions	Example	
E	_		_	
M				
51	Referral Report	Complete this section for referral	See paragraph 1.10	
	_	evaluations only.		

Table 3.2. When to Prepare Officer Evaluations for RegAF and ARC Officers (Lieutenant thru Colonel).

R	A	В
U	If	Then write evaluation and
L	(See Notes 1 and 2)	enter reason as
E		(See Note 10)
1	subsequent evaluations will close out on the SCOD	Annual
	(based on grade). (T-1). See Note 3 and Note 4.	
2	the ratee's performance or conduct is unsatisfactory or	DBC
	marginal and a special evaluation is appropriate, and	
	the supervision period was 60 calendar days. See <b>Note</b>	
	5.	
3	the ratee has been declared missing-in-action, captured,	DBH
	or detained in captive status. See <b>Note 6</b> .	
4	a special evaluation is directed by HAF (See Note 7	DBH
	and Note 8), or NGB for ANG officers.	
5	the ratee is placed into record status 6, deserter. See	DBC
	Note 9.	
6	any sentence of confinement as the result of a court-	DBC
	martial.	

#### **Notes:**

- 1. Colonels selected for promotion to brigadier general receive evaluations IAW Chapter 7.
- 2. (For RegAF and ANG only) If the officer evaluation is already a matter of record, and the event or circumstances that brought about the evaluation changes or no longer exists, take no action. The officer evaluation is a valid evaluation and remains in the ratee's records.
- 3. See **Table 3.4** for appropriate SCODs. (RegAF only) Evaluations for officers selected for promotion will have a close-out date on the SCOD of the projected grade. (**T-1**) (AFR only) An officer must have at least 16 points and 120 calendar days of duty performance outside of a training report to receive an ALQ evaluation; if the officer does not meet this requirement by the SCOD, submit an administrative LOE for a gap report.
- 4. For an officer who enters active duty, the first evaluation will be required at the next SCOD for their respective grade, given there is at least 180 days between the EAD and the SCOD. (T-1) For AFR officers, the first evaluation will be required at the next SCOD for the ratee's respective grade, given there is at least 180 days between the EAD and the SCOD and a minimum of 16 points (do not include Extension Course Institute or membership points); if the ratee had not earned the required number of points, the officer will receive a gap report utilizing an administrative LOE. (T-1)
- 5. This includes placement on or removal from the control roster (Director, NGB; Office of

Adjutant General; MAJCOM; wing, group, squadron).

- 6. Do not prepare evaluations for periods of missing-in-action, captured, or detained in captive status of less than 15 calendar days. If the ratee remains in one of these categories for 15 calendar days or more, prepare an evaluation under this rule without regard to the number of days of supervision. Close the evaluation on the day the ratee was placed in missing-in-action, captured, or detained in captive status. These evaluations are as directed by HQ AFPC/DP3SP or HQ ARPC/DPTSE.
- 7. (RegAF and ANG only) HQ AFPC/DP3SP, HQ AFPC/DPMSPE, and USAF/A1LO retain the authority to direct evaluations under this rule. Special evaluations covering outstanding duty performance are not permitted under this rule.
- 8. (AFR only) HAF/REP retains the authority to direct evaluations under this rule. If HAF/RE requires special evaluations on certain officers for selection board use, HQ ARPC/DPTSE furnishes ratee names to the MAJCOM along with appropriate suspense dates and directs submission of evaluations under this rule. Special evaluations covering outstanding duty performance are not permitted under this rule.
- 9. The close-out date of the evaluation is the effective date the ratee is placed in record status 6, deserter, and may only comment on the negative behavior.
- 10. (AFR only) For Individual Mobilization Augmentees (IMAs), Participating Individual Ready Reserve (PIRR) and Participating Individual Ready Reserve Category E (PIRR Category E), the unit of assignment is responsible for completing the officer evaluation.

Table 3.3. When to Prepare Officer Evaluations for USSF Officers (Lieutenant thru Colonel).

R	A	В	С
U		and	then write evaluation and
L		supervision	enter reason as
E	If	period covers	
	(See Notes 1, 2, and 3)	at least	
1	the ratee has not had an evaluation, or	120 calendar	Annual
	one year has passed since the close-	days	See Note 4.
	out date of last performance OPR or		
	training from school of 20 weeks or		
	more.		
2	the rater changes, officer departs	120 calendar	Change of Reporting
	PCS/PCA to school, or officer is	days	Official (CRO)
	separating. See Note 5 and Note 6.		See Note 7.
3	the ratee or rater departs TDY for	120 calendar	CRO
	more than 120 calendar days for	days	
	other than formal training or normal		
	contingency (deployed) operations.		
	See Note 5 and Note 6.		
4	ratee's performance or conduct is	60 calendar	Directed by Commander
	unsatisfactory or marginal and a	days	
	special evaluation is appropriate.	See Note 8.	
5	the ratee has been declared missing in	See Note 9.	Directed by Headquarters
	action, captured, or detained in		Space Force (HSF)

	captive status.		
6	a special evaluation is directed by	As directed	Directed by HSF
	HSF. See Note 10.		
7	a referral LOE has been written or	60 calendar	
	would contain referral comments, if	days	
	written. See Note 11.	-	
8	the ratee is placed into Record Status	60 calendar	Directed by Commander
	6, Deserter.	days	
		See Note 12.	
9	an evaluation is prepared to	120 calendar	
	document significant improvement in	days	
	duty performance.	See Note 13.	
10	any sentence of confinement as the	No minimum	
	result of a court-martial.	days required	

### Notes:

- 1. If the ratee is attending training or education. See **Chapter 6**.
- 2. Colonels selected for promotion to brigadier general receive evaluations IAW **Chapter** 7.
- 3. If the OPR is already a matter of record and the event or circumstances that brought about the evaluation changes or no longer exists, take no action. The OPR is a valid evaluation and remains in the ratee's records. **Exception:** The CSS/MPF/HR Specialist updates referral OPRs that are prepared as a result of a PCS and files them in the ratee's records regardless of whether or not the evaluation was a matter of record at the time authorities canceled or delayed an assignment.
- 4. If a CRO occurs:
- a. prior to the annual close-out date, and 120-days of supervision is not able to be obtained on the annual close-out date, the evaluation is closed out on the annual close-out date provided at least 60 calendar days of supervision have been obtained. If 60 calendar days of supervision has not been obtained as of the annual close-out date adjust the close-out date to the date on which the rater achieves 60 days of supervision.
- b. after the original annual date has passed but before the 120-day supervision period ends, the evaluation is closed out the day prior to the rater change, provided at least 60 calendar days of supervision have been obtained. The reason for the evaluation remains "Annual."
- 5. Do not confuse CRO with change of supervisor. The home station commander may authorize a change of reporting official to the TDY location if **ALL** the following conditions are met:

**Notes:** The senior rater matched to the ratee's home station PAS code must perform senior rater duties. (T-1)

- a. Someone at the TDY location can perform normal rater duties.
- b. The rater's rater meets the requirements of paragraph 1.5.
- c. The home station and TDY unit commanders have approved the change (the Management Level must approve inter-command changes). **(T-1)**
- d. The home station commander assigns a new rater when the TDY ends.
- 6. If the ratee is selected to fill a 365-day extended deployment billet, a CRO evaluation must be accomplished provided there has been at least 120 calendar days of supervision.

# (T-1)

- 7. A CRO includes separation from Extended Active Duty. However, no evaluation is required when the criterion in **paragraph 3.4** applies.
- 8. This includes placement on or removal from the control roster (FLDCOM; space base delta, delta).
- 9. Do not prepare evaluations for periods of Missing in Action, captured, or detained in captive status of less than 15 calendar days. If the ratee remains in one of these categories for 15 calendar days or more, prepare an evaluation under this rule without regard to the number of days of supervision. Close the evaluation on the day the ratee was placed in Missing in Action, captured, or detained in captive status. These evaluations are as directed by HQ AFPC/DP3SP.
- 10. HQ AFPC/DP3SP, HQ AFPC/DPMSPE, and SF/S1L retain the authority to direct evaluations under this rule. Special evaluations covering outstanding duty performance are not permitted under this rule.
- 11. If the current rater does not consider the referral comments in a letter of evaluation to be serious enough to warrant permanent recording, an OPR will not be prepared. **(T-1)**
- 12. The close-out date of the evaluation is the effective date the ratee is placed in record status 6, Deserter, and may only comment on the negative behavior.
- 13. The commander may direct an evaluation for significant duty improvement only if the previous evaluation was referred due to substandard duty performance.

Table 3.4. Static Close-out Date Chart for RegAF, ARC and Statutory Tour Members.

Grade	SCOD
2d Lt and 1st Lt	31 Oct
Capt	31 Aug
Maj and Lt Col	31 May
Col	28 Feb. See Note.

**Note:** In a leap year, the SCOD will remain 28 Feb, and 29 Feb will be the start of the next reporting period.

**Table 3.5.** Accounting Dates for Static Close-out Date Evaluations.

<b>Grade (includes selectees)</b>	<b>Static Close-out Date</b>	Accounting Date
2d Lt and 1st Lt	31 Oct	3 Jul
Capt	31 Aug	3 May
Maj and Lt Col	31 May	3 Feb
Col	28 Feb	3 Nov

**Note:** Accounting dates are approximately 120 calendar days prior to each SCOD and are established as the 3rd of the month for consistency.

Table 3.6. Instructions for Preparing an Officer Performance Brief (RegAF and ARC only).

	OFFICER PERFORMANCE BRIEF			
I	A	В	С	
T				
E M	Heading	Instructions	Example	
1	Grade	Enter appropriate grade. See paragraph 1.4.9.	2Lt, 1Lt, Capt, Maj, Lt Col, Col, Lt Col (S), Col (T)	
		Use "(S)" when using the select grade and "(T)" when using the temporarily promoted grade.		
2	Name	Enter Last Name, First Name, Middle Initial, and any suffix (e.g., JR., SR., III). If there is no middle initial, the use of "NMI" is optional. Name will be in all upper case.	DOE, JOHN E. JR.	
3	DoDID	Enter full DoDID number	1234567890	
4	Duty Title	Review and ensure the approved duty title is entered as of the SCOD, unless the member has a PCS, PCA, or departs from a 365-day extended deployment then enter the duty title as of the accounting date. If the duty title is abbreviated and entries are not clear, spell them out. If wrong, enter the correct duty title and take appropriate actions to update the personnel data system.	Assistant Director of Operations	
		Corrective actions should be initiated upon receipt of the evaluation notice. Ensure the duty title is commensurate with the		

			<u></u>
		ratee's grade, AFSC, and	
		responsibility. 365-day	
		extended deployments	
		will use the deployed	
		duty title.	
5	DAFSC	Enter the DAFSC	12F3F
		including prefix and	
		suffix, if applicable as of	
		the SCOD; however, if	
		the officer has a PCS,	
		PCA, or departs from a	
		365-day extended	
		deployment on or after	
		the accounting date, use	
		the DAFSC as of the	
		established accounting	
		date. Officers on a 365-	
		day extended	
		deployments will use the	
		TDY DAFSC. See	
	7	paragraph 1.4.8.	1 7 11 110
6	Reason	Enter reason for report	Annual, Directed by HQ
		from OPR notice and as	USAF, Directed by CC
		determined by <b>Table 3.2</b> .	
7	Period	FROM Date: Enter the	1 June 23 thru 31 May 24
		day following the last	
		evaluation's close-out	
		date. See paragraph	
		3.10.	
		THRU Date: Use the	
		date on the OPR notice	
		or see paragraph 3.11 to	
		determine the close-out	
0	D C 1	date.	265
8	Days Supervised	Enter number of days	365
		ratee was supervised by	
		the rater during the	
		reporting period. See	
		paragraph 3.12.	100
9	Days Non-Rated	Enter number of days	120
		Non-Rated (if applicable)	
		in accordance with	
		paragraph 1.4.11.	
10			
10	Ratee Acknowledgement	The ratee must	Digital or wet signatures. A

		prior to the evaluation becoming a matter of record by signing in this block. Signing the evaluation does not imply concurrence, but acknowledgement and review of personal information on the evaluation. If the ratee non-concurs with the evaluation, they may submit an appeal in accordance with Chapter 10.  The rater will suspense the ratee three duty days (30 calendar days for ARC) to sign the evaluation.  Non-digital: Handwrite, date stamp or type the date. Sign on or after the close-out date.	authorized.
11	Organization and Command	Enter information as of close-out date unless the member has a PCS, PCA, or departs from a 365-day extended deployment then enter the information as of the accounting date.  Nomenclature does not necessarily duplicate what is on the evaluation notice. The goal is an accurate description of where and to whom the ratee belongs. Command will be listed inside parentheses. 365-day extended deployments will use the home station	123d Fighter Squadron (ACC)

		unit, "with duty at"	
		AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR Category E, information will be that of unit of attachment. See paragraph 1.4.7.	123d Fighter Squadron (ACC) (Non-EAD)
		For Non-EAD members, use this section to annotate "(Non-EAD)" or "(ANG)."	
12	Location	Enter information as of the close-out date unless the member has a PCS, PCA, or departs from a 365-day extended deployment then enter the information as of the accounting date.	JB Langley-Eustis, VA
13	Duty Description	Comments in narrative format are mandatory and are limited to the space provided. Enter information about the position the ratee held in the unit and the nature or level of job responsibilities. The rater develops the information for this section.  This description must reflect the uniqueness of each ratee's job. Be specific—include level of responsibility, number of people supervised, dollar value of resources accountable for/projects managed, etc. Make it clear; use plain English. Avoid jargon and topical references—they obscure	Combat ready, worldwide deployable Lead Weapons System Officer ready to execute every mission set of the multi-role F-15E. Leads commander's priority programs, to include standardization and evaluation, safety, security, and unit morale. Assists in execution of the daily flying operations for 75 aircrew, 20 support personnel, and 25 aircraft worth \$1.4B. Executes large force integration of joint and multinational forces, ensures 24-hr operations.

rather than clarify meaning. Only acronyms on the approved acronym list are authorized.

Previous jobs held during the reporting period may be mentioned only if it impacts the evaluation.

365-day extended deployments will use the TDY duty description.

Commander's duty description will include the total force (RegAF, ANG, AFR, and USSF) assigned. A short description of the unit's missions may be included in the job description if it is necessary to better explain the ratee's duties.

Commands an 80-person combat-coded F-15E squadron, manages and executes a \$107M flying hour program with 3.1K sorties & 5.1K hours and responsible for \$98K annual budget. Implements combatant command's operational plans and requirements; responsible for readiness and execution of daily flying operations for 60 aircrew, 20 support personnel, and 25 aircrafts worth \$1.4B. Combat fighter pilot qualified to evaluate and lead all F-15E mission sets.

## RATER ASSESSMENT

14 Stratification If stratifying ratee, enter stratification here. See paragraphs 3.15. If no stratification is used, enter the statement, "THIS SECTION NOT USED". If rater is also the HLR, enter the statement, "THIS SECTION NOT USED". Rater will enter a stratification in the HLR stratification block, if used.

#3/7 Lt Cols, #2/5 Sq/CCs

15	Executing the Mission –	Comments are	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.
	Job proficiency,	mandatory and limited to	
	Initiative, Adaptability	the space provided; must	
	)T	include at least one	
		performance statement.	
		See paragraph	
		1.6.3.11.1. May use	
		"THIS SECTION NOT	
		USED" as a mandatory	
		performance statement.	
16	Leading People –	Comments are	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.
10	Inclusion/Teamwork,	mandatory and limited to	geo paragrapa news.
	Emotional Intelligence,	the space provided; must	
	Communication	include at least one	
	Communication	performance statement.	
		See paragraph	
		1.6.3.11.2. May use	
		"THIS SECTION NOT	
		USED" as a mandatory	
		performance statement.	
		performance statement.	
		For AFR colonels in GO	
		billets, include a	
		mandatory statement that	
		the officer "continues in"	
		or "leave" the general	
		officer position. (T-1)	
		See paragraph 1.9 for	
		Disagreements. See	
		paragraph 1.10 for	
		Referrals.	
17	Managing Resources –	Comments are	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.
•	Stewardship,	mandatory and limited to	~ Paragraph riviva.
	Accountability	the space provided; must	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	include at least one	
		performance statement.	
		See paragraph	
		1.6.3.11.3. May use	
		"THIS SECTION NOT	
		USED" as a mandatory	
		performance statement.	
18	Improving the Unit –	Comments are	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.
	Decision Making,	mandatory and limited to	F
	Innovation	the space provided; must	
		include at least one	
		performance statement.	
		11	I .

		Can mana	
		See paragraph	
		<b>1.6.3.11.4</b> . May use	
		"THIS SECTION NOT	
		USED" as a mandatory	
		performance statement.	
19	Mandatory Comments	If ratee is a commander,	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.
	•	voting assistance officer,	
		and/or has command	
		oversight of privatized	
		military housing, enter	
		_	
		the appropriate	
		statement(s). Rater must	
		also include a unique	
		performance	
		statement(s). See	
		paragraphs 1.8.9,	
		<b>1.8.10</b> , and <b>1.11.5</b> .	
		If required, enter the	
		applicable statement(s)	
		11	
		"Ratee met all command	
		climate requirements."	
		Or "Ratee did not meet	
		all command climate	
		requirements."	
		If required, enter the	
		applicable statement(s)	
		"The Ratee exercised	
		effective oversight of	
		military privatized	
		housing." Or "The Ratee	
		was not effective in	
		oversight of military	
		privatized housing."	
		If required, enter a	
		unique performance	
		statement on the ratee's	
		performance as the	
		voting assistance officer.	
20	Rater Name, Grade, and	Enter rater's information	SUE J. DOE, Col, USAF
	Branch of Service	as of the close-out date.	
		However, if the officer	SALLY S. MESAROS, SES,
		has a PCS, PCA, or	DAF
		departs from a 365-day	

		extended deployment on or after the accountability date, use the rater as of the established accounting date. See paragraph 1.4.12.  For ANG, the use of component ID (e.g., XXANG may be used.	JEREMEY R. DICE, GS-15, DAF JACOB M. FREER, Col, KSANG
21	Rater Duty Title	Enter rater's information as of the close-out date. However, if the officer has a PCS, PCA, or departs from a 365-day extended deployment on or after the accountability date, use the rater as of the established accounting date. See paragraph 1.4.12.	Deputy Commander
22	Rater Organization and Command	Enter rater's information as of the close-out date. However, if the officer has a PCS, PCA, or departs from a 365-day extended deployment on or after the accountability date, use the rater as of the established accounting date. See paragraph 1.4.12.	366th Fighter Squadron (ACC)
23	Rater Signature	The evaluations have digital signature capability which includes a date stamp. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp, or type the date next to the signature (DD MMM YY).	

		Do not sign blank forms		
		that do not contain		
		comments and/or ratings,		
		sign before the close-out		
		date (only on or after), or		
		date before the date the		
		rater signed it or earlier		
		than the date of the		
		ratee's endorsement to a		
	WGWED I EVEL DEW	referral letter.		
	HIGHER LEVEL REVI		WE/26 T : G 1 WA/21 G /GG	
24	Stratification	If stratifying ratee, enter	#5/36 Lt Cols, #4/21 Sq/CCs	
		stratification here. See		
		paragraphs 3.15. If no		
		stratification is used,		
		enter the statement,		
		"THIS SECTION NOT		
		USED"		
25	Rater Assessment	The reviewer will select	X	
		the appropriate box		
		indicating concurrence or		
		non-concurrence of the		
		additional rater's		
		assessment. See		
		paragraph 1.9 for		
		disagreements.		
26	Performance	Comments are	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.	
	Statement(s)	mandatory and limited to	graph and	
	2	the space provided; must		
		contain at least one		
		performance statement.		
		See paragraph 1.12 for		
		inappropriate comments.		
		See paragraph 1.10 for		
		referrals. May use		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		"THIS SECTION NOT		
		USED" as a mandatory		
27	III.d I D '	performance statement.	CHE I DOE C 1 HGAE	
27	Higher Level Reviewer	Enter the HLR's	SUE J. DOE, Col, USAF	
	Name, Grade and Branch	information. HLRs		
	of Service (For ANG, the	assigned on or prior to	SALLY S. MESAROS, SES,	
	use of component ID	the close-out date, enter	DAF	
	[e.g., XXANG] may be	information as of the		
	used.)	close-out date; HLRs	JEREMEY R. DICE, GS-15,	
		assigned after the close-	DAF	
		out date, enter the		

		information as of the date	IACOD M. EDEED. Cal	
			JACOB M. FREER, Col,	
		signed.	KSANG	
20	TT' 1 T 1D '	M-14:-11 - 60:		
28	Higher Level Reviewer	Multiple general officers	Commander	
	Duty Title	serving as evaluators are		
29	Higher Level Reviewer	prohibited; see	123d Operations Group	
	Organization and	paragraph 1.7.1.7 for	(ACC)	
	Command	exceptions. (T-1)		
30	Higher Level Reviewer	The evaluations have		
	Signature	digital signature		
		capability which includes		
		a date stamp. In the rare		
		instance where digital		
		signatures cannot be		
		used, sign in		
		reproducible blue or		
		black ink and handwrite,		
		stamp, or type the date		
		next to the signature (DD		
		MMM YY).		
		•		
		Do not sign blank forms		
		that do not contain		
		comments and/or ratings,		
		sign before the close-out		
		date (only on or after), or		
		date before the date the		
		rater signed it or earlier		
		than the date of the		
		ratee's endorsement to a		
		referral letter.		
	Functional Examiner/Air	Force Advisor		
30	Functional Examiner	When applicable, place	X	
	and/or Air Force Advisor	an "X" in the appropriate		
		box(es) See paragraph		
		1.6.8.		
		Select "No comments" or		
		"Comments" as		
		applicable.		

32	Functional Examiner and/or Air Force Advisor Comments  Functional Examiner and/or Air Force Advisor Name, Grade, Branch of Service	The comments block(s) will appear if "Functional Examiner" and/or "Air Force Advisor boxes are marked. If used, comments are limited to the space provided. Enter the functional examiner/advisor's information as of the close-out date.	Sue J. Doe, Col, USAF  SALLY S. MESAROS, SES, DAF  JEREMEY R. DICE, GS-15, DAF  JACOB M. FREER, Col, KSANG
33	Functional Examiner and/or Air Force Advisor Duty title	Enter the functional examiner/advisor's duty title.	Command Financial Manager
34	Functional Examiner and/or Air Force Advisor Signature	The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date.  Do not sign blank forms that do not contain ratings, sign before the close-out date (only on or after), or date before the date the rater signed it or earlier than the date of the ratee's endorsement to a referral letter.  Additional rater assessment block will be locked, and reviewer signature capability unlocked with the additional rater's digital signature.	

		See paragraph 1.4.12.			
	Referral Report				
35	Referral Report Comments	Complete this section for referral evaluations only. See <b>paragraph 1.10</b> .			
36	Referring Evaluator Name, Grade, and Branch of Service	Enter the referring evaluator's information as of the SCOD. However, if the officer has a PCS or PCA on or after the accountability date, use the rater as of the established accounting date.	SUE J. DOE, Col, USAF  SALLY S. MESAROS, SES, DAF  JEREMEY R. DICE, GS-15, DAF  JACOB M. FREER, Col, KSANG		
37	Referring Evaluator Duty Title	Enter the referring evaluator's information as of the SCOD. However, if the officer has a PCS or PCA on or after the accountability date, use the rater as of the established accounting date.	Deputy Commander		
38	Referring Evaluator Signature	The evaluations have digital signature capability which includes a date stamp. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp, or type the date next to the signature (DD MMM YY).			
		Do not sign blank forms that do not contain comments and/or ratings, sign before the close-out date (only on or after), or date before the date the rater signed it or earlier than the date of the ratee's endorsement to a			

		referral letter.	
39	Date	Date will auto populate when report is signed. 27 Mar 2023	
40	Signature of Ratee	The evaluations have	
		digital signature	
		capability which includes	
		a date stamp. In the rare	
		instance where digital	
		signatures cannot be	
		used, sign in reproducible	
		blue or black ink and	
		handwrite, stamp, or type	
		the date next to the	
		signature (DD MMM	
		YY).	
		Do not sign blank forms	
		that do not contain	
		comments and/or ratings,	
		sign before the close-out	
		date (only on or after), or	
		date before the date the	
		rater signed it or earlier	
		than the date of the	
		ratee's endorsement to a	
		referral letter.	
41	Date	Date will auto populate	27 Mar 2023
		when report is signed.	
<del></del>			

**Note:** There are minor formatting differences between the PDF version (AF Form 715) of the ALQ evaluation and the system generated version completed in myEval.

**Table 3.7. Summary of Authorized Stratification Peer Groups.** 

R	A		В	C
U L E	If an evaluator		then the ratee's primary stratification is (See Note 1)	and the secondary stratification may be either
2	has under their scope of responsibility	USAF officer(s) only USSF officer(s) only	grade without descriptor (e.g., #1/40 Lt Cols) (See <b>Note 3</b> ) grade without descriptor	- Duty position (e.g., #1/6 Flight Commanders)
			(e.g., #1/40 Lt Cols) (See <b>Note 3</b> )	(See Note 4), or  Developmental category grade
				(e.g., #1/7 LAF-C Lt Cols), or - Subordinate echelon grade
				(e.g., #6/25 WSA Capts) (HLR only)
				paragraph 3.15.7.2.
3		DAF officer(s) (only USAF and USSF)	"DAF" grade (e.g., #1/24 DAF Lt Cols)	- USAF or USSF grade
4		Joint officer(s) (USAF and/or USSF plus at least one officer from another	"Joint" Grade (e.g., #1/7 Jt Majs)	(e.g., #1/7 USAF Majs), or
		military service)		- Duty position (e.g., #1/6 Flight Commanders) (See <b>Note 4</b> ), or
				Developmental category grade (e.g., #1/7

				LAF-C Lt
				Cols), or
				- Subordinate
				echelon grade
				(e.g., #6/25
				WSA Capts)
				(HLR only)
				See
				paragraph 3.15.7.2.
5		AFR officers in a	Participation category	- Duty position
		participation	grade	(e.g., #1/6
		category of IMA,	(e.g., #1/8 IMA Majs)	Flight
		VLPAD, LEAD, or EAD	Saa naraaranh	Commanders),
		EAD	See paragraph 3.15.7.1.4.	or
			J.1J./.1.7.	_
				Developmental
				category grade
				(e.g., #1/7
				LAF-C Lt
				Cols), or
				- Subordinate
				echelon grade
				(e.g., #6/25
				WSA Capts)
				(HLR only)
				See
				paragraph 3.15.7.2.
6		FR only) has a ratee	not authorized	- Duty position
	who is a promo	otion "select"		(e.g., #1/6
			(See Note 3)	Flight
				Commanders)
				(See Note 4)
				See
				paragraphs
				<b>3.15.6.6</b> and
				3.15.7.3.1.

7	(RegAF and ARC only) is the same	(See <b>Note 2</b> ) optional for	- Duty position
	grade as the ratee, (See Note 2)	use by the HLR in	(e.g., #1/6
		accordance with	Flight
		paragraph <b>3.15.7.4.2</b> .	Commanders),
			(See Note 4)
			See
			paragraph
			3.15.7.2.

## **Notes:**

- 1. A primary stratification must be used to use a secondary stratification. See **paragraphs** 3.15.7.3 and 3.15.7.4 for authorized exceptions. (RegAF and ARC only) The primary and secondary stratification denominators for the HLR may not exceed the number of evaluations signed by the HLR on that specific SCOD, except for DAF and Joint stratifications as detailed at **paragraph** 3.15.7.4.1; neither the primary nor the secondary stratification denominators shall include all officers within an HLR's scope of responsibility (e.g., SRID) unless the HLR is a signatory on the evaluations of all officers within that scope. See **paragraph** 3.15.7.4.1.
- 2. (RegAF and ARC only) Optional use of a primary stratification when the rater and ratee are the same grade is only authorized for the HLR. Duty position is the only authorized secondary stratification. See paragraph 3.15.7.4.2.
- 3. Promotion "selects" may be considered in denominator pools for grade stratifications on the SCOD of the lower (current) grade.
- 4. Duty position is the only category that stratification denominators may include civilians who are in a grade equivalent to the officer.

#### Chapter 4

#### **ENLISTED EVALUATIONS**

#### 4.1. General Guidelines.

- 4.1.1. See Chapter 1 for general processing guidance applicable to all evaluations.
- 4.1.2. Evaluations are used to determine selections for promotions, job and school recommendations, career job reservations, reenlistments, retraining, and assignments. Therefore, evaluators at all levels must use caution to prevent inflation. It is important to distinguish performance among peers and is a disservice when ratings are inflated or inaccurate.
- 4.1.3. Marking Ratings, When Used, on Wet Signature Evaluations. When electronic ratings are not used, do not enter hand-marked ratings until signing the evaluation to prevent erroneous entry of ratings by other personnel. When hand-marking, use only reproducible dark blue or black ink.

#### 4.2. Enlisted Evaluation Forms.

- 4.2.1. For AB/Spc1 through TSgt, use DAF Form 910. See **Table 4.9**.
- 4.2.2. For MSgt (including selects) through SMSgt, use AF Form 911. See **Table 4.10**.
- 4.2.3. For CMSgt (including selects).
  - 4.2.3.1. For RegAF and ARC, use myEval to process the ALQ evaluation for CMSgts. See **Table 4.14**.
  - 4.2.3.2. For USSF, use AF Form 912. See **Table 4.12**.

## 4.3. When to Accomplish an Enlisted Evaluation.

- 4.3.1. All enlisted personnel in the grade of SrA/Spc4 through CMSgt will receive an evaluation as of the appropriate SCOD for their grade. ABs/Spc1s, Amn/Spc2s, and A1Cs/Spc3s will receive an evaluation upon completing a minimum of 36 months time in service (TIS) as of the SrA/Spc4 SCOD, 31 March.
- 4.3.2. See Table 4.13 for Premier Band Airmen enlisted evaluation guidance.
- 4.3.3. The Chief of Staff of the Air Force retains discretionary authority to render evaluations on an optional basis on the Chief Master Sergeant of the Air Force. The Chief of Space Operations retains discretionary authority to render evaluations on an optional basis on the Chief Master Sergeant of the Space Force.
- 4.3.4. Military/Civilian Confinement. HQ AFPC will complete a DAF Form 77 for Airmen or Guardians who choose to remain in the Air Force or Space Force following overturn of a sentence adjudged at a court-martial by a subsequent appeals court. The inclusive dates will be the day after the close-out date of the ratee's last evaluation through the day the ratee was returned present for duty status or the date the sentence is overturned, whichever is earlier. The unit to which the Airman or Guardian transfers following the return to present for duty will take over performance evaluation responsibilities, beginning the day following DAF Form 77 completion through to the applicable annual SCOD.

- 4.3.5. Separation/Retirement. Annual evaluations are optional for members with an approved effective date of separation or retirement that is prior to the next SCOD. If an Airman or Guardian is promotion eligible, then a report is required. (T-1) Supervisors will consult with separating or retiring Airmen or Guardians regarding the option to complete a final evaluation. (T-3) Leadership shall consider the member's preference when deciding whether or not to accomplish their final evaluation. (T-3) After consulting with the individual, and the individual opts not to complete a final evaluation, the supervisor will annotate the DAF Form 910, AF Form 911, AF Form 912, and ALQ evaluation accordingly and process the evaluation to the lowest level commander for signature. (T-1) Airmen and Guardians are encouraged to complete a final evaluation for future considerations (e.g., employment, transfer into another DAF component, or sister service). An evaluation will not be accomplished after a member has officially separated/retired. (T-1)
  - 4.3.5.1. Complete a final evaluation when requested by the ratee, decided by the rater, commander, or senior rater, or mandated in accordance with **paragraph 1.8**. Supervisors and commanders are responsible for completing mandatory evaluations before members final out-process or officially separate/retire. (T-1)
  - 4.3.5.2. When a final report will not be rendered, for administrative and tracking purposes, complete the appropriate evaluation form as follows:
    - 4.3.5.2.1. (For RegAF and ARC only) Include "FINAL REPORT NOT REQUIRED AND/OR IS NOT MANDATED TO BE RENDERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH DAFI 36-2406, PARA 1.8," the first rater's assessment block, "Executing the Mission" on the CMSgt ALQ evaluation. Include "THIS SECTION NOT USED," in the remaining rater's and HLR's assessment blocks on the CMSgt ALQ evaluation.
    - 4.3.5.2.2. (USSF only) Include "FINAL REPORT NOT REQUIRED AND/OR IS NOT MANDATED TO BE RENDERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH DAFI 36-2406, PARA 1.8," in Sections III, IV, and V of DAF Form 910, Sections III and IV of AF Form 911, Section II of AF Form 912. Performance assessment ratings are not required.
    - 4.3.5.2.3. (**RegAF and ARC only**) The member, rater, HLR will endorse the report no earlier than 30 calendars days before the member's final-out process, or before the member officially separates/retires. (T-1) (USSF only) The member and the lowest level commander will endorse the report no earlier than 30 calendar days before the member's final out-process or before the member officially separates/retires. (T-1)
- **4.4. Evaluations not Authorized.** Performance evaluations will not be accomplished on the following:
  - 4.4.1. RegAF and USSF personnel in the grade of AB/Spc1-A1C/Spc3 with less than 36 months total active federal military service as of the SrA/Spc4 SCOD and ARC personnel in the grades of AB-A1C if they have not already received an evaluation. See **paragraph** 4.7.3.1.4 and **Table 4.2** for exceptions.
  - 4.4.2. Members who die while on active duty. **Exception:** If the death occurred on or after the close-out date of an evaluation that was already being processed, it becomes an optional evaluation.

- 4.4.3. Commissioning Program. Airmen or Guardians who are enrolled in a commissioning program as of the SCOD. **Note:** If an Airman or Guardian does not complete a program and is returned to enlisted service, complete a DBH enlisted evaluation, effective the date of removal by the commissioning program, documenting the performance that resulted in removal from the program.
- 4.4.4. Airmen or Guardians in prisoner or confinement status as a result of a court-martial conviction, who have PCS'd and are gained to a long-term confinement facility managed by the Air Force Security Forces Center. **Note:** Airmen or Guardians awaiting publication of a sentence adjudged at a court-martial will remain the administrative responsibility of the losing unit commander/director until such time as the sentence adjudged at a court-martial is published and the member is officially transferred to an Air Force Security Forces Center managed correctional facility. These Airmen or Guardians will still require SCOD evaluations (as applicable), completed by the losing commander/director.
- 4.4.5. Airmen or Guardians undergoing appellate review leave and awaiting an appeals court decision and still permanently assigned to an Air Force Security Forces Center-managed confinement facility.

#### 4.5. When to Submit an Enlisted Evaluation.

- 4.5.1. See **Table 4.2** for RegAF Airmen, ARC Airmen on AGR or Stat Tour, and USSF Guardians.
- 4.5.2. See **Table 4.3** for part-time ARC Airmen.

#### **4.6. "FROM" Dates.** Establish the "FROM" date if the member:

- 4.6.1. Has a previous evaluation on file, use the day after the close-out date of the previous evaluation.
- 4.6.2. For RegAF Airmen and USSF Guardians who have not had a previous evaluation, the "FROM" date equals the total active federal military service date.
- 4.6.3. For United States Air Force Academy Airmen or Guardians removed from cadet status and returned to enlisted grade the "FROM" date equals the extended active duty date.
- 4.6.4. For AFR members who have not had a previous evaluation, use the member's date of assignment to the ARC. For SrA and below use the date initial entry uniformed services.
- 4.6.5. For ANG SrA and below who have not had a previous evaluation, the "FROM" date equals the date initial entry uniformed services. SSgt through CMSgt who are transferred from any branch or component, the "FROM" date equals date arrive station.

### 4.7. "THRU" Dates.

- 4.7.1. Initial Reports.
  - 4.7.1.1. For RegAF and USSF the close-out date will be the first SCOD after the Airman or Guardian attains the grade of SrA/Spc4 or reaches 36 months time in service as of the SCOD (whichever occurs first).
  - 4.7.1.2. For ARC the close-out date will be the first SCOD reached as a SrA.

- 4.7.2. Annual/Biennial Reports.
  - 4.7.2.1. Reports for RegAF and USSF Members. Reports will close-out on the next appropriate SCOD unless selected for promotion. Those on a select list will have their evaluation close-out on the appropriate SCOD for their promotion selected grade. **Example:** The SSgt/Sgt SCOD is 31 Jan; therefore, SSgt/Sgt evaluations will close-out on that date. However, TSgt selects (SSgts/Sgts with a line number) will have their evaluations close-out on the TSgt SCOD on 30 Nov.
  - 4.7.2.2. Reports for ARC Members. Reports will close-out on the appropriate SCOD. If a promotion, demotion or transfer out of inactive/active occurs and there is more than 24 months (12 months for AGR) from the last evaluation and the SCOD for the new grade, a DBH report is required. The close out is the day prior to when the status occurred. **Example:** An AGR MSgt is promoted to SMSgt effective 1 Sep 23. A DBH report will be required to close out 31 Aug 23 because the member will have more than 12 months from the last evaluation and the new static close-out date for the new grade.
- 4.7.3. For Directed by Headquarters, NGB, or Commander (MAJCOM/FLDCOM, wing/delta, group, or squadron, as appropriate) reports, the "THRU" date will be established by the following:
  - 4.7.3.1. Message Directed. Use the date specified in the message directing the evaluation.
    - 4.7.3.1.1. Missing-in-Action/Captured/Detained. Use the date the ratee was placed in missing-in-action, captured, or detained in captive status.
    - 4.7.3.1.2. Stripes for Exceptional Performers or supplemental promotions. If an Airman or Guardian is Stripes for Exceptional Performers-promoted or selected for supplemental promotion to the next higher grade, and if completing an evaluation on the next SCOD in the new grade will create a reporting period of longer than one year, then a DBH enlisted evaluation must be completed with a close-out date effective the date of Stripes for Exceptional Performers promotion or the date which the results of the supplemental were released. **Examples:** 
      - 4.7.3.1.2.1. SSgt/Sgt McDaniel was selected for supplemental promotion or Stripes for Exceptional Performers promoted to TSgt on 15 Apr 23 and SSgt/Sgt McDaniel had an enlisted evaluation on the SSgt/Sgt SCOD date of 31 Jan 23, then no enlisted evaluation is required as TSgt (or TSgt select) McDaniel will receive a performance evaluation on 30 Nov 23 (TSgt SCOD).
      - 4.7.3.1.2.2. SSgt/Sgt Snowden was selected for supplemental promotion or Stripes for Exceptional Performers promoted to TSgt on 10 Jan 23. TSgt (or TSgt select) Snowden's last evaluation was completed on the 31 Jan 22 (SSgt SCOD) and the next projected enlisted evaluation is the 30 Nov 23 (TSgt SCOD). Since this creates a rating period of longer than one year, a DBH enlisted evaluation is required with a close-out date effective the date of the supplemental release/Stripes for Exceptional Performers promotion date.
    - 4.7.3.1.3. If an Airman or Guardian is demoted after the SCOD of the grade held prior to demotion, an enlisted evaluation will be completed as of the previous grade's SCOD and, subsequently, as of the SCOD of the new grade. **Example:** TSgt Smith is

- demoted to SSgt/Sgt effective 5 Dec 23. The now-SSgt/Sgt Smith will receive an evaluation on the TSgt SCOD of 30 Nov 23 and, subsequently, on the SSgt/Sgt SCOD of 31 Jan 24.
- 4.7.3.1.4. Directed by Commander (DBC). The close-out date will be established by the unit commander that directed the evaluation. (T-1) DBC evaluations provide flexibility to commanders to document substandard performance between SCODs as an embedded report at the SCODs and will only contain comments and ratings regarding the reason(s) for the evaluation. (T-1) All other comments, specifically those that are positive, and promotion recommendations are not authorized and will be documented on the next SCOD evaluation. (T-1) Note: A1C/Spc3 or below with less than 36 months total active federal military service (or date initial entry uniformed services for ARC) do not receive an enlisted evaluation unless the member has a minimum of 20 months TIS.
- 4.7.4. 365-day Extended Deployment Enlisted Evaluations. **Note**: These instructions apply only to those individuals who are selected to fill an official extended deployment requirement. **(T-1)** These instructions will not be used for individuals filling other requirements, even though they may be extended to, or beyond 365-days. **(T-1)** 
  - 4.7.4.1. Home Station Rating Chain Responsibilities Prior to Departure. If the deployed rater is known prior to departure, the CSS/HR specialist will update the deployed rater. (T-1) In most cases, however, the deployed rater will not be known until the member arrives to the deployed location. (T-1) In that case, use the home station commander as a temporary rater. This will facilitate home station and deployed commander's direct line of communication to ensure the rating chain is established and updated in a timely manner. Example: If the data is not updated immediately, a feedback notification report on individual personnel will be produced within 30 days, and that alone should act as a reminder to the commander that the deployed data needs to be updated. (T-1)
  - 4.7.4.2. Upon Arrival in the Area of Responsibility (AOR). The home station CSS/HR specialist will coordinate with the deployed PERSCO team and update MilPDS to reflect the member's deployed duty title and DAFSC/DSFSC effective the date the member arrives in the AOR. (T-1) They will also update the deployed rater if the rater was unknown prior to departure. (T-1) All updates should be completed as soon as possible but no later than 30 days after the member arrives in the AOR.
    - 4.7.4.2.1. Duty Title Format. All extended deployment personnel duty titles will be standardized to reflect the extended deployment "duty title/country" assigned. **(T-1)** If space allows, include the unit assigned. **Example**: "Senior Enlisted Leader, 442 ECS/Iraq" or "Comm Specialist, GSU/Afghanistan."
    - 4.7.4.2.2. When determining the deployed rater, the rater should typically be the person who directly supervises the individual's day-to-day activities. The unit that owns the unit line number (ULN) will determine the rater. (T-1) Raters may be in any United States or foreign military service or a civilian in a supervisory position and must be in a grade equal to or higher than the ratee. (T-1) In accordance with 10 USC 9013, DAFI 51-509 and Joint Publication 1, SecAF is responsible for the ADCON, and support of DAF forces assigned or attached to combatant commands. (T-0) ADCON is the authority necessary to fulfill SecAF's statutory responsibilities for administration

and support. In joint environments, an Air Force or Space Force unit will be designated to have ADCON responsibilities over Airmen or Guardians. (T-1) ADCON responsibilities include personnel management. With regard to evaluations, this involves managing the evaluation program, ensuring evaluations are accomplished on individuals on extended deployments as well as decorations and informal LOEs processed per local and air component command or MAJCOM/FLDCOM direction. ADCON responsibility does not necessarily extend to writing the evaluations on those attached to the Air Force or Space Force unit for ADCON purposes.

## 4.7.4.3. Upon Return from the AOR:

- 4.7.4.3.1. The home station CSS/HR specialist will change the member's rater, DAFSC/DSFSC, and duty title in MilPDS to reflect home station (post-deployment) information. (T-1)
- 4.7.4.3.2. The home station forced distributor will continue to complete the commander's review/reviewer's (senior rater) portion of all evaluations, including those completed by the deployed rating chain. **(T-1)**
- 4.7.4.4. Forced Distributor/Senior Rater Responsibilities. The forced distributor/senior rater matched to the ratee's home station PAS code must perform forced distributor/senior rater duties (enlisted personnel will be on the home station forced distributor/senior rater's MEL). **(T-1)**

## 4.8. Number of Days of Supervision.

- 4.8.1. Enter the number of days the rater supervised the ratee during the reporting period. To compute, use the "supervision began date" through the "close-out date" to determine the number of days of supervision.
- 4.8.2. Do not deduct any periods of leave, TDY, absences or periods loaned out to other organizations. **Exception:** Non-rated periods authorized in accordance with **paragraph** 1.4.11.
- 4.8.3. When the rater's rater prepares an enlisted evaluation in accordance with **paragraph** 1.7, enter number of days for which the evaluator had personal or written knowledge of the ratee's duty performance during the reporting period.
- **4.9. Completing Evaluations.** The rater will evaluate how well the ratee performed during the rating period by completing the rater assessment section of the CMSgt ALQ evaluation and Forms 910/911/912; however, the additional evaluators will review evaluations to ensure ratings accurately describe performance and comments are compatible with/support the performance assessment rating. They must return evaluations with unsupported statements for additional information or reconsideration of ratings (see **paragraph 1.9** for disagreements); however, no evaluator may coerce another into changing their comments or ratings unless they are missing mandatory comments (**paragraph 1.11**), or the evaluation includes prohibited comments (**paragraph 1.12**). (T-1)
- 4.10. Promotion Time-In-Grade (TIG)/Time-In-Service (TIS) eligibility (DAF Form 910 only).
  - 4.10.1. This block is based on TIG/TIS eligibility not promotion eligibility.

- 4.10.2. TIG/TIS is based on promotion requirements as of the SCOD. The rater completes this portion of the DAF Form 910 and marks the block "YES" or "NO" based on eligibility.
- 4.10.3. Stratification statements are prohibited on DAF Form 910.

## 4.11. Time-In-Grade (TIG)/Senior Rater Stratification/Endorsement Eligibility (AF Form 911 only).

- 4.11.1. Senior rater stratification/endorsement is not automatic or mandatory. The decision to forward the evaluation for senior rater stratification/endorsement is determined by the evaluator who is eligible to close-out the evaluation and each level thereafter, without necessarily going to the senior rater. (USSF only) Do not utilize senior rater stratification/endorsement; the SF/S1 will notify the USSF senior raters when they are authorized to utilize senior rater stratification/endorsement process and procedures prior to each accounting date.
  - 4.11.1.1. The commander or director of the organization in which the ratee is assigned, who meets the grade requirements to close-out the report, determines if a report will be forwarded for stratification consideration. If the report is not forwarded to the senior rater for stratification, the commander or director will close out (sign) the report.
  - 4.11.1.2. When a senior rater determines senior rater stratification is warranted, they will close out the report by completing Section IX. Numerical indications of how an individual member compares to their peers (typically known as "stratification statements," e.g., #1/10) may be included in Section VIII, but are not required. If senior rater endorsement/stratification is not warranted, the report will be returned for close-out (sign) by a major or GS-12/NH-03 or higher. (T-1)
  - 4.11.1.3. Stratification statements by anyone other than the senior rater are prohibited, to include all lower-level stratification on evaluations endorsed by the senior rater. Stratification statements based on percentage, career field, or functional community are prohibited. **Example:** It is not appropriate to use "#1 SNCO" or "#1 First Sergeant."
- 4.11.2. Senior raters can stratify up to 10% of TIG/TIS-eligible MSgts and up to 20% of TIG/TIS-eligible SMSgts within their SRID and by component. **Note:** RegAF Airmen with an approved high year of tenure retirement date prior to the first day of the month promotion increments begin will not be factored into senior rater allocations. When determining the quota, normal rounding rules apply (.49 rounds down to the whole number and .50 rounds up to the whole number). The ratee must meet all of the following minimum requirements as of the close-out date of the evaluation (except as authorized by **paragraph 4.12.4.2** due to forced endorsements):
  - 4.11.2.1. Meet the TIG eligibility requirements outlined in **Table 4.11**.
  - 4.11.2.2. Successfully completed an Associate's or higher-level degree from a nationally or regionally accredited academic institution in any discipline or specialty. The degree must be conferred (awarded) as of the close-out date of the evaluation. Completing the last required course, College Level Examination Program, or Defense Activity for Non-Traditional Education Services is not sufficient.

- 4.11.3. On the AF Form 911, if a senior rater is stratifying a SNCO as the top 10% of promotion eligible MSgts or top 20% of promotion eligible SMSgts, Block B, then they may include a written stratification statement in Section IX, *Final Evaluator's Comments*.
  - 4.11.3.1. When a stratification statement is used, it must include a numerator and denominator designation stating where the SNCO falls (numerator) within the senior rater's pool of TIG/TIS promotion eligible SNCOs (denominator), by grade. **Example:** "My #1/25 MSgts." In joint organizations, the stratification statement may include joint members of the same grade. If a senior rater does not provide a stratification in Section IX, Block B, they may not provide a stratification statement. **(T-3)**
  - 4.11.3.2. If used, joint stratification statements must reference the joint population. **Example:** #1/20 joint E-7s or #2/10 joint E-8s. When a rater has both, and only, USAF and USSF SNCOs of the same grade subordinate to them, the use of "DAF" in lieu of "Joint" as a stratification category is authorized since Joint is not permissible among only USAF and USSF SNCOs. However, "DAF" may not be used as a stratification category if there are any other sister service SNCOs in the same grade and subordinate to the same rater.
  - 4.11.3.3. Raters with USAF and USSF SNCOs in the same grade as other sister services are not authorized to use "DAF" to stratify just the USAF and USSF SNCOs of the same grade within their scope of supervision. These raters may only use "Joint" as a stratification category and must include all SNCOs in the same grade from all sister services under their scope of supervision. (T-1) See paragraph 1.12 for prohibited evaluator considerations and comments.
- 4.11.4. A senior rater will endorse a non-TIG/TIS-eligible evaluation only when one of the following apply:
  - 4.11.4.1. When the senior rater is the rater. In this case, the senior rater will mark the "Forced Endorsement" box on the AF Form 911. **(T-2)**
  - 4.11.4.2. When the senior rater is the evaluator named in a referral memorandum. (T-2)
- 4.11.5. If the member is not TIG/TIS-eligible for a senior rater stratification/endorsement, the commander/director or major or GS-12/NH-03 or higher will close out (sign) the report.
- 4.11.6. Determine TIG/TIS eligibility for senior rater stratification/endorsement using the formulas below. See the TIG Eligibility Chart, **Table 4.11**.
  - 4.11.6.1. For MSgt ratees (RegAF and USSF only).
    - 4.11.6.1.1. If the close-out date is on or before 30 Sep, determine the number of months TIG from date of rank (DOR) to 1 Mar of the next year following the evaluation close-out date. If less than 20 months, then TIG eligibility is "NO." If greater than or equal to 20 months, then TIG eligibility is "YES." All Airmen meeting a promotion board are required to have an enlisted evaluation on file closed out within 12 months of the promotion eligibility cutoff date.
    - 4.11.6.1.2. If the close-out date is after 30 Sep, determine the number of months TIG from the date of rank to 1 Mar two years following the evaluation close-out date. If less than 20 months, TIG eligibility is "NO." If greater than or equal to 20 months, TIG eligibility is "YES." All Airmen meeting a promotion board are required to have

an enlisted evaluation on file closed out within 12 months of the promotion eligibility cutoff date.

- 4.11.6.2. For SMSgt Ratees (RegAF and USSF only).
  - 4.11.6.2.1. If the close-out date is on or before 31 Jul, determine the number of months TIG from the date of rank to 1 Dec. If less than 21 months, then promotion TIG/TIS eligibility is "NO." If greater than or equal to 21 months, then promotion TIG/TIS eligibility is "YES."
  - 4.11.6.2.2. If the close-out date is after 31 Jul, determine the number of months TIG from the date of rank to 1 Dec of the year following the evaluation close-out date. If less than 21 months, promotion TIG/TIS eligibility is "NO." If greater than or equal to 21 months, promotion TIG/TIS eligibility is "YES." All Airmen and Guardians meeting a promotion board are required to have an enlisted evaluation on file closed out within 12 months of the promotion eligibility cutoff date. **(T-1)**
- 4.11.6.3. For SMSgt promotion selects (RegAF and USSF only). Promotion TIG/TIS eligibility is based upon the SCOD of the enlisted evaluation. If the SCOD falls on the day of or day after the promotion public release date (to include supplemental promotions), individuals on the selectee list are not eligible for senior rater endorsement on that evaluation. Conversely, if the SCOD enlisted evaluation closed out prior to the promotion public release date, the member is eligible for senior rater endorsement because they were still a MSgt as of the SCOD and not officially a SMSgt promotion selectee.
- 4.11.6.4. Senior raters must either use the following approved panel process (paragraph 4.11.6.4.1) to determine senior rater stratification/endorsement or develop and disseminate their own guidance within their organization no later than the accounting date of each evaluation cycle. (T-1)
  - 4.11.6.4.1. Review the last five evaluations, all awards and decorations, the current myFitness individual report, and career data brief (CDB). (T-1) Panel members will include the senior raters' command chief or senior enlisted advisor, as well as the commander/director who submitted the evaluation for senior rater stratification/endorsement consideration. (T-1)
  - 4.11.6.4.2. RegAF Airmen and USSF Guardians with an approved high year of tenure retirement date prior to the first day of the month promotion increments begin are no longer considered eligible for senior rater endorsement and will not be factored into senior rater endorsement allocations. (T-1)
- 4.11.6.5. CMSgt and CMSgt-selects. The senior rater must endorse all CMSgt ALQ evaluations (USAF) or AF Form 912s (USSF). (T-2)

#### 4.12. Final Evaluator's Position and Single Evaluators.

4.12.1. The minimum grade of a final evaluator must be an O-4 or GS-12/NH-03 or higher, but no higher in organization than the senior rater. **(T-1)** When the report has a single evaluator, then the final evaluator must be the senior rater and may not be delegated to a lower-level evaluator. **(T-1)** Exception: The SNCOA Commandant is designated as the final evaluator when the AF Form 911 is not endorsed/stratified by the senior rater or the SNCO is non-promotion eligible. The SNCOA Commandant is also authorized to sign Section IX of

DAF Form 910 for non-promotion eligible Airmen or if an enlisted force distribution is not warranted. Furthermore, if a ratee is not promotion eligible, or if a senior rater endorsement and/or stratification is not warranted, the SNCOA Commandant may act as the final evaluator on MSgt and SMSgt enlisted evaluations within their direct rating chain and/or scope of responsibility. **Note:** For ANG members, the final evaluator must be at a minimum the full-time unit commander. **(T-1)** If there is no full-time unit commander, the final endorser will be the senior full-time officer serving in the grade of O-4/GS-12/NH-03 or higher, but no higher in organization than the senior rater. **(T-1)** For reviewers assigned on or prior to the close-out date, enter information as of the close-out date; if assigned after the close-out date, enter the information as of the date signed. **Exception:** The CMSAF or CMSSF may endorse enlisted evaluations as a senior rater and may also serve as the final evaluator.

- 4.12.2. Single Evaluator Only. An evaluator must be an O-6 or GS-15/equivalent. (T-1) If the rater is a senior rater, the evaluation must close out at this level unless it is a referral evaluation. (T-1) The evaluator must meet both grade and evaluator requirements for each section of the applicable evaluation form and must be a commander/director/other authorized reviewer. (T-1) An O-6/equivalent may serve as a final evaluator on the AF Form 911, and/or as a final evaluator/senior rater on the AF Forms 911 and 912 and the CMSgt ALQ evaluation if they are designated as a senior rater. They must also meet the necessary requirements as a commander/director/other authorized reviewer to sign the entire evaluation as a single evaluator. (T-1) Single evaluators will enter "THIS SECTION NOT USED" in the additional rater comment section and sign each section.
- 4.12.3. An additional rater who meets the minimum grade requirement may close out the evaluation. However, an official higher in the rating chain than the additional rater may serve as the reviewer/final evaluator, if authorized. The reviewer/final evaluator may not be higher in the organizational structure than the senior rater. **(T-1)**

## 4.12.4. Determining the Final Evaluator:

- 4.12.4.1. Commander/Director. The individual in the ratee's rating chain who meets the grade requirement to complete the final endorsement on the enlisted evaluation. For MSgt SMSgt, a civilian final evaluator must be at least a GS-12/NH-03. (T-1) Example: Unit Commanders not in the grade of O-6/civilian equivalent; MAJCOM/FLDCOM section chiefs below the division which are not in the grade of O-6/civilian equivalent. The SNCOA Commandant is designated as the final evaluator when the AF Form 911 is not endorsed/stratified by the senior rater or the SNCO is non-promotion eligible. The SNCOA Commandant is also authorized to sign Section IX of DAF Form 910 for non-promotion eligible Airmen or Guardians or if an enlisted force distribution is not warranted. Furthermore, if a ratee is not promotion eligible, or if a senior rater endorsement and/or stratification is not warranted, the SNCOA Commandant may act as the final evaluator on MSgt and SMSgt evaluations within their direct rating chain and/or scope of responsibility.
  - 4.12.4.1.1. (Forms 910, 911, and 912 only) When the rater, additional rater, and/or unit commander/military or civilian director/other authorized reviewer is also the final evaluator, or qualifies as a final evaluator, and closes out the evaluation, they will complete Section VIII, Unit Commander/Military or Civilian Director/Other Authorized Reviewer's Comments, and Section IX, Final Evaluator's Comments, to

- include allowing placement of the optional bullet, in each corresponding section if they decide not to include performance comments.
- 4.12.4.1.2. **(Forms 910, 911, and 912 only)** When the rater is the unit commander/equivalent, does not qualify as a single evaluator, and works directly for the senior rater, they will complete both the rater's and commander's areas. The senior rater will complete the additional rater's and final evaluator's areas.
- 4.12.4.2. Senior Rater. Used when the final evaluator is the highest-level endorser in the ratee's rating chain. The senior rater must be at least an O-6/GS-15/NH-04 or higher, serving as a wing commander or equivalent, and designated by the management level.
- 4.12.4.3. Senior Rater Forced Endorsement. This block will be marked when the senior rater must complete Section IX, Final Evaluator's Comments, of the AF Form 911, whether or not the ratee is TIG/TIS promotion-eligible or has completed the minimum requirements for senior rater stratification/endorsement, due to rating chain or final evaluator requirements.
- 4.12.5. The final evaluator ensures the correct final evaluator's position block is marked prior to signing the enlisted evaluation. (T-1)
- 4.12.6. Evaluators with Dual or Multiple Roles. When an evaluator serves in multiple roles on Forms 910, 911, 912, or CMSgt ALQ evaluation, or when the additional rater is also the commander/director, consider each section of the evaluation independently. The evaluator may include written comments in each separate section of the evaluation. When an evaluator chooses not to include performance comments in a section, they will enter "THIS SECTION NOT USED" in the applicable section and sign. (T-1) Signature elements, to include the signature, are required in all sections of the evaluation regardless of whether there are performance comments included, or the evaluator has entered "THIS SECTION NOT USED." Note: For single evaluators, refer to paragraph 4.12.2..

## 4.13. Performance Feedback Assessment.

- 4.13.1. Performance feedback assessments will be accomplished in accordance with **Chapter 2**.
- 4.13.2. In Section VII (DAF Form 910), Section VI (AF Form 911), and Section III (AF Form 912) the rater certifies that the required performance feedback assessment was conducted during the reporting period by signing. If the feedback assessment was not accomplished, an explanation must be provided in the remarks block (Forms 910/911/912). For the CMSgt ALQ evaluation, performance feedback assessments are certified in myEval.

## 4.14. Forced Distributor, (Section IX, DAF Form 910) Unit Commander/Military or Civilian Director/Other Authorized Reviewer, (Section VIII, AF Form 911).

4.14.1. The review is performed by the commander/director of the organization. In the commander's/director's absence, the officer on G-series orders or a senior official within the commander's jurisdiction, may review. Members designated to complete this section may not use the title "Commander" or "Director." They will use their assigned duty title on the enlisted evaluation. Home station commanders will complete this section for members on a 365-day extended deployment, regardless of the grade of the deployed rater and additional rater. Additionally, forced distributors may delegate, in writing, the final signature authority to the

operations officer or squadron section commander (equivalents) for Airmen and Guardians who are not TIG/TIS eligible for promotion during the current evaluation cycle.

- 4.14.1.1. The forced distributor as of the SCOD will sign all DAF Form 910s assigned to their Forced Distributor Identification for TIG/TIS eligible Airmen (see paragraph 4.12.1 and paragraph 4.12.4.1 for exceptions regarding SNCOA commandants). If the forced distributor appointed another officer/civilian to represent them at the Enlisted Forced Distribution Panel, the signature authority is still the forced distributor. Exception: In joint agencies, the AFELM/CC on G-series orders is authorized to sign DAF Form 910s in lieu of the forced distributor when the forced distributor signs the MEL.
- 4.14.2. The commander reviews evaluations to ensure ratings accurately describe performance and comments and are compatible with and support ratings. They must return evaluations with unsupported statements for additional information or reconsideration of ratings. (T-1) However, commanders may not coerce an evaluator to make changes.
- 4.14.3. The commander or designated representative will mark the "concur" or "non-concur" block. See paragraph 1.9 for disagreements.
- 4.14.4. Forced Distributors or Commanders/Directors may have multiple roles. The two signatures serve separate purposes: one as an evaluator regarding duty performance, and one as a commander regarding quality review. If the forced distributor/unit commander/director qualifies as a single evaluator, enter "THIS SECTION NOT USED" in the additional rater comment section. Signature elements, to include the signature, are required in all sections of the evaluation regardless of whether there are performance comments included, or the evaluator has entered "THIS SECTION NOT USED". (T-1)
- **4.15. Evaluator Considerations and Comments.** Certain items are prohibited for consideration in the performance evaluation process and will not be commented upon on any Enlisted Evaluation System form. Except as authorized in the following paragraphs, do not consider, refer to, or include comments regarding:
  - 4.15.1. (AF Form 911) Promotion Statements and Assignment Recommendations. Promotion statements are only allowed when a senior noncommissioned officer is TIG/TIS promotion-eligible and may only be made by the final evaluator in Section IX, *Final Evaluator's Comments*. (T-1) When the rater qualifies as a single evaluator, they may include a promotion statement in Section IX, *Final Evaluator's Comments*. Promotion statements on promotion selectee evaluations are prohibited. (T-1) Promotion statements must refer to the ratee's next higher grade. Assignment recommendations are authorized regardless of TIG/TIS eligibility. Authorized examples include:
    - 4.15.1.1. For a TIG/TIS promotion eligible MSgt, the final evaluator may state, "promote to SMSgt, then select for Flight Chief" as it states the next eligible grade and assignment.
    - 4.15.1.2. For a MSgt not TIG/TIS promotion eligible, the final evaluator may not state, "promote to SMSgt, future Command Chief," as the ratee is not TIG/TIS eligible and the assignment recommendation is a CMSgt position. (T-1)
    - 4.15.1.3. Final evaluators may also provide assignment recommendations in their comments. Similar to promotion statements, assignment recommendations may only be made by the final evaluator and may only refer to the positions in the ratee's current grade

- if not promotion eligible. **(T-1)** If the ratee is promotion eligible or a selectee, assignment recommendations may be made for positions in the current and selected grade.
- 4.15.2. (**DAF Form 910**) Promotion Statements in Section IX, Item 1, that are statements of fact (e.g., "selected for promotion Below-the-Promotion Zone" or "STEP promoted to TSgt") are authorized. Additionally, recommendations of "pushes" to commissioning sources are also authorized (e.g., Selected for Officer Training School). **Note**: Promotion pushes to the next higher grade are prohibited.
- 4.15.3. Performance comments regarding an Airman or Guardian serving in a ceremonial/event-related position that has a "title" higher than the grade the Airman or Guardian currently holds is acceptable. **Examples:** An Honor Guard SrA/Spc4 serving as Noncommissioned Officer-in-Charge, Firing Team or Noncommissioned Officer-in-Charge, Colors during a ceremony. A SSgt/Sgt serving as the First Sergeant of the Mess at a formal Order of the Sword Ceremony.

# 4.16. Inappropriate Comments Referring to Separation/Retirement, Civilian Employment, and Professional Military Education.

- 4.16.1. Separation or retirement status. Comments referring to separation, retirement, or transfer to reserve status are prohibited. (T-1) However, comments may be warranted when an Airman or Guardian displays a reluctance to accept responsibility, a negative attitude toward the job, and/or exhibits a decrease in performance that can be reasonably attributed to a pending separation or retirement. Comments are limited to the behavior and not the fact the Airman or Guardian is separating, retiring or transferring to a reserve status. **Note:** Although comments are mandatory, the minimum bullets required in accordance with Tables 4.2, 4.6, or 4.9 may be used.
- 4.16.2. Civilian Employment. Comments about civil service jobs or other civilian occupations are prohibited unless it directly relates to the military position and their military performance. Recommendations for civilian employment are prohibited. (T-1)
- 4.16.3. Enlisted Professional Military Education Comments in Enlisted Evaluations.
  - 4.16.3.1. The only permissible professional military education comments in enlisted evaluations will be those referencing selections for an official professional military education award or completion of Senior Enlisted Joint Professional Military Education I/II web-based courses. All other comments, to include recommendation for any other professional military education and selection for any other professional military education attendance are prohibited. Comments referencing Air Force or Space Force prerequisite professional military education (or sister service equivalent) selection, attendance and/or completion are prohibited, to include implied comments.

#### 4.17. Ratee's Acknowledgement.

4.17.1. The rater is required to conduct face-to-face (end-of-reporting period) feedback in conjunction with presenting the evaluation to the ratee. (T-1) The enlisted evaluation serves as the feedback form. A performance feedback assessment form is not required. Electronic routing of the form does not excuse the rater from providing face-to-face feedback. Only in situations where face-to-face feedback is not feasible will feedback be conducted either by telephone or electronically. (T-2) The rater should first attempt to call the ratee and conduct

the feedback via telephone. If that option is not available, the rater may provide clear, detailed feedback to the ratee via email, using a read receipt to verify the feedback was received and read.

- 4.17.2. The ratee's signature in the acknowledgment block does not constitute concurrence or non-concurrence of the content and/or rating of the evaluation. The signature is to acknowledge receipt of the evaluation and to certify the ratee reviewed the personal information on the form.
- 4.17.3. The ratee will sign after all other evaluators have signed. In cases where an Air Force/Space Force advisor or acquisition/functional examiner is required to sign, the ratee's acknowledgment will occur after the advisor or examiner review.
- 4.17.4. The ratee must acknowledge receipt of the evaluation prior to the evaluation becoming a matter of record unless the ratee refuses or is unable to sign. The ratee will review and verify all dates, markings, and comments on the form. Significant discrepancies and administrative errors can be addressed at this time, and corrected if agreed by all parties before the evaluation becomes a matter of record. This is not to be interpreted to mean the ratee can refuse to sign if they disagree with the evaluation. If evaluators do not agree to change the evaluation and the ratee wishes to dispute it, the ratee should pursue the established appeal/correction avenues available to them as outlined in **Chapter 10** once the evaluation is a matter of record.
- 4.17.5. The rater will suspense the ratee three duty days (30 calendar days for ARC) to sign the evaluation. **(T-1)**
- 4.17.6. In cases where the ratee refuses to sign, any evaluator signing the evaluation is authorized to select "Ratee refused" from the drop-down menu in the ratee's acknowledgment and sign the evaluation in the ratee's acknowledgement block.
- 4.17.7. In cases where the ratee is unable to sign, any evaluator will select "Not available" from the drop-down menu in the ratee's acknowledgment block and sign the evaluation in the ratee's acknowledgement block.
- 4.17.8. For the purpose of signing evaluations, the terms "Unavailable" or "Unable to Sign" indicate that the member does not have access to a common access card-enabled computer (e.g., convalescent leave, TDY to a contractor facility without government computer access, deployed to a location without computer access, no longer have digital signature capability, in absent without leave or deserter status, etc.).
- 4.17.9. "Wet Signature Evaluations Only." Evaluators can type, handwrite or use the drop-down option to annotate the evaluation when the ratee is unable or declines to sign.

## 4.18. Forced Distribution (DAF Form 910 only).

- 4.18.1. Terms and Definitions.
  - 4.18.1.1. Forced Distribution. The allocation of the top two promotion recommendations, "Promote Now" and "Must Promote," from a force distributor on DAF Form 910 for promotion eligible SrA, Spc4s, SSgts, Sgts, and TSgts.
    - 4.18.1.1.1. **(USSF only)** For Spc4s, Sgts, and TSgts only, utilize promotion recommendations of "Not Read Now" (NRN) or "Promote" (P); do not utilize promotion recommendations—"Promote Now" (PN) and "Must Promote" (MP).

- 4.18.1.1.2. **(USSF only)** FDs for Guardians will discontinue the use of the EFDP process and procedures. The SF/S1 directs the USSF when to utilize the EFDP process and procedures prior to each accounting date.
- 4.18.1.2. Forced Distributor (FD). For wing/delta/space base delta/group/squadron-level organizational structures, the FD will be the G-series orders commander or civilian director (delegable to section commander or equivalent only for non-TIG/TIS eligible members). For wings or deltas, the FD is the vice commander, delegable to the director of staff. Within MAJCOMs, FLDCOMs, CCMDs, FOAs, DRUs, NAFs, and centers, the FD will be the military or civilian director. For MAJCOM, FLDCOM, and CCMD commanders, the FD will be the vice commander.
- 4.18.1.3. Forced Distributor Identification (FDID). A nine-digit code annotated on the DAF Form 910. It is assigned to a position/PAS codes and identifies the FD.
- 4.18.1.4. Enlisted Forced Distribution Panel (EFDP). The EFDP is comprised of the EFDP president, command chief or Air Force senior enlisted leader (SEL), FDs of small units (flight chiefs/designated representatives for large units), and recorder.
- 4.18.1.5. Master Eligibility Listing (MEL). Identifies all Airmen or Guardians with an enlisted evaluation scheduled to close out on the applicable SCOD as well as Airmen or Guardians who are and are not TIG/TIS-eligible. The listing also reflects the number of promotion allocations earned.
- 4.18.1.6. Accounting Date. The date approximately 120 calendar days before the SCOD. This date is used as a file freeze in order to account for the actual number of eligible TIG/TIS promotion-eligible Airmen or Guardians for each FD's PAS code(s). No changes will be made to the number of allocations on or after the SCOD unless specifically authorized by HQ AFPC/DP3SP as an exception. (T-1) See Table 4.6.
- 4.18.1.7. Static Close-out Date (SCOD). This is the fixed annual date that all enlisted evaluations will close out for a specific grade. It is used to determine the final TIG/TIS-eligible pool for forced distribution allocations. Enlisted evaluations cannot be signed before this date. (T-1) See Tables 4.7 and 4.8.
- 4.18.1.8. Large Unit. Any organizational structure with 11 or more TIG/TIS-eligible Airmen or Guardians (by grade) as of the SCOD.
- 4.18.1.9. Small Unit. Any organizational structure with 10 or less TIG/TIS-eligible Airmen or Guardians (by grade) as of the SCOD.
  - 4.18.1.9.1. Under a wing-level construct, squadrons, group staffs and wing staff agencies could be classified as small units. Under a direct reporting unit or field operating agency level construct, squadrons, group staffs, and directorates could be classified as small units.
  - 4.18.1.9.2. Under a SAF/HAF/HSF/CCMD/MAJCOM/FLDCOM management level construct, subordinate directorates with military or civilian directors that are senior raters could be classified as small units.

- 4.18.2. EFDP Member Roles and Responsibilities.
  - 4.18.2.1. Panel President. A voting and scoring panel member. They must be the senior rater assigned to the SRID or management level (assigned as the head of the management level); for combatant commands (CCMDs) this will be the Air Force element commander (the Air Force officer designated by the CCMD/CC as the AFELM/CC).
    - 4.18.2.1.1. Responsibilities. Design and document procedures for their respective EFDP and perform administrative duties in connection with the proceedings.
    - 4.18.2.1.2. Ensures all members understand discussions regarding individual records or award recommendations. Discussions between panel members are not to be shared outside of the panel process. However, at the completion of the panel process and the release of the promotion recommendations, panel members will out brief eligible members to provide feedback and increased transparency of the panel process.
    - 4.18.2.1.3. Ensure the consideration of all Airmen nominated to the EFDP without prejudice or partiality in a consistent, fair, and equitable manner.
    - 4.18.2.1.4. Administer EFDP charge to all panel members prior to board convening. USSF panel President will administer the AF EFDP charges when presiding over an AF EFDP.
  - 4.18.2.2. Command Chief or Air Force Senior Enlisted Leader. Serves as an advisor to the panel. **(T-3)**
  - 4.18.2.3. Forced Distributors. A voting and scoring panel member.
    - 4.18.2.3.1. Represent Airmen and/or Guardians nominated from their particular small unit.
  - 4.18.2.4. Recorders. A non-voting and non-scoring member. Recorders will not serve on a panel for which they are being considered. They will also not assume the role or responsibilities of a voter, scorer, or advisor for the same panel.
    - 4.18.2.4.1. Assists the EFDP president with ensuring panel proceedings meet all requirements.
    - 4.18.2.4.2. Advises all panel members on the EFDP process and other administrative matters.
- 4.18.3. Delegation of Roles and Responsibilities.
  - 4.18.3.1. EFDP President. Only under extraordinary circumstances may EFDP president responsibilities be delegated to the next senior Air Force or Space Force officer/civilian (normally the vice commander). If applicable, the vice commander, etc., will delegate the FD authority for the small unit to the next senior Air Force or Space Force officer/civilian. (T-2) Example: If the MAJCOM/CV or FLDCOM/CV is appointed EFDP president by the MAJCOM/CC or FLDCOM/CC, the next senior Air Force or Space Force officer/civilian will be appointed FD for the MAJCOM's or FLDCOM's small unit FD.
    - 4.18.3.1.1. Numbered Air Force/Center/Wing/Delta/Direct Reporting Unit/Field Operating Agency. The vice wing commander, field operating agency or direct reporting unit vice commander or director of staff, will serve as the "small unit

- commander" only when there are eligible Airmen or Guardians assigned to those respective staff agencies, under the direct authority of the commander (senior rater). Senior raters will not serve in a dual-hatted capacity, where they act as both the small unit commander and EFDP president. **(T-1)** Allowing the vice wing commander or director of staff to represent eligible staff agency Airmen and/or Guardians at the EFDP as a panel member gives the senior rater impartiality as the EFDP president.
- 4.18.3.1.2. If the vice commander or director of staff has been appointed as the EFDP president, they cannot be dual-hatted and also serve as a panel member. **(T-1)** The next senior Air Force or Space Force officer/civilian will serve as the FD (panel member).
- 4.18.3.1.3. Numbered Air Forces/centers will hold EFDPs at the numbered Air Force/center level and not roll up to the management level. The numbered Air Force/center commander/director as the president (unless delegated).
- 4.18.3.1.4. Headquarters Air Force (HAF) or Headquarters Space Force (HSF) Staff/Major Commands (MAJCOM) or Field Commands (FLDCOM). Management level commanders may delegate management level EFDP president responsibilities no lower than the vice commander/deputy. (T-1) When EFDP president responsibilities are delegated, the next senior Air Force or Space Force officer/civilian (e.g., director of staff) will serve as the "small unit commander" when there are eligible Airmen or Guardians assigned. Management levels or appointees, when management level EFDP president responsibilities have been delegated, will not serve in a dual-hatted capacity. Allowing the vice commander or appointee to represent promotion eligible Airmen or Guardians at the EFDP gives the management level impartiality as the EFDP president. Exception: If the vice commander is unavailable due to deployment or TDY, EFDP president responsibilities may be further delegated to the next highest ranking Air Force or Space Force officer or civilian equivalent (no lower than colonel).
- 4.18.3.1.5. Combatant Commands (CCMD). The Air Force or Space Force element commander (AFELM/CC) will assume EFDP president responsibilities with a CCMD, unless the CCMD's commander is Air Force or Space Force and requests to chair the EFDP proceedings. **(T-1)** If the AFELM/CC is unavailable due to a prolonged deployment or TDY, EFDP president responsibilities may be delegated to the next highest senior Air Force or Space Force officer. This delegation will be for the current EFPD only, not on a permanent basis. Short absences (leave, routine TDY) do not qualify as a reason to delegate responsibilities below the AFELM/CC.
- 4.18.3.1.6. For joint organizations, such as United States Military Entrance Processing Command, which may not have an Air Force or Space Force general officer or Air Force or Space Force colonel assigned, an exception to policy may be submitted to HQ AFPC/DP3SP. The request must include the organizations proposed EFDP process.
- 4.18.3.1.7. For joint organizations, the FD can request to designate the next senior Air Force or Space Force officer/civilian (no lower than Lt Col/civilian equivalent) to attend the EFDP. This request must be approved by the EFDP president and documented in writing. (T-1)
- 4.18.3.2. Command Chief and SELs. When circumstances warrant, the interim command chief or SEL will serve as the advisor for the EFDP.

- 4.18.3.3. Force Distributor (FD) Authorities. When circumstances warrant, requests can be made to the EFDP president to designate the next senior Air Force or Space Force officer/civilian (no lower than major or civilian equivalent) to represent them on the panel. (T-3) If the next senior officer/civilian does not meet the grade requirement, another FD within the senior rater's purview (e.g., another squadron commander, group deputy) may represent the organization. All requests must be approved by the EFDP president and documented in writing. The FD authority will maintain all other responsibilities such as signing enlisted evaluations and MELs.
- 4.18.4. Allocations and Notification.
  - 4.18.4.1. Allocations. AF/A1 or SF/S1 determines forced distribution promotion allocations.
  - 4.18.4.2. Allocations are based on 5% of the total TIG/TIS promotion-eligible SrA, Spc4, SSgt, Sgt, and TSgt population for "Promote Now" allocations, 10% of the total TIG/TIS promotion-eligible SSgt, Sgt, and TSgt population for "Must Promote" allocations, and 15% of the total TIG/TIS promotion-eligible SrA or Spc4 population for "Must Promote" allocations. In accordance with the aforementioned allocation rates, AFPC provides the actual number of "Promote Now" and "Must Promote" allocations to each FD authority via the final MEL. See Tables 4.10 and 4.11. The tables are subject to change, therefore FDs and EFDPs will utilize the allocations provided on the final MEL.
    - 4.18.4.2.1. Large units (11 or more TIG/TIS eligible Airmen or Guardians) will receive their own forced distribution promotion allocations, and large unit FD authorities will award their allocations at the unit level. (T-1) Large unit commanders (FD authorities) cannot exceed the promotion allocations listed on the final MEL.
    - 4.18.4.2.2. Small units (10 or less TIG/TIS eligible Airmen or Guardians) roll-up, compete at and receive promotion recommendation allocations via the senior rater or management level (whichever is applicable) EFDP. (T-1)
  - 4.18.4.3. In cases where after aggregation there are not enough eligible Airmen or Guardians from the small units to earn "Promote Now" and "Must Promote" promotion allocations, the senior rater or management level EFDP (whichever is applicable) will receive an outright allocation of one "Promote Now" and "Must Promote." (T-1)
  - 4.18.4.4. When there is only one eligible out of the senior rater or management level's total promotion eligible population, the senior rater or management level (whichever is applicable) will receive an outright allocation of one "Promote Now" and one "Must Promote." (T-1) The senior rater or management level (whichever is applicable) will determine if the promotion-eligible member's record of performance warrants allocation of either a "Promote Now" or "Must Promote" promotion recommendation and will award the appropriate promotion recommendation.
  - 4.18.4.5. Allocations Not Used. Management levels, senior raters, and FDs are not required to use all allocations if they believe the performance quality and promotion potential of Airmen or Guardians in their unit does not warrant the full share of allocations. Additionally, redistribution or carry-over of allocations is strictly prohibited. **(T-1)**

- 4.18.4.6. Forced Distribution of Students or Patients. FDs have a separate FDID for inutilization permanent party students. FDs will receive a separate allocation for their TIG/TIS promotion-eligible student or patient populations. See **paragraph 4.18.6.1**. **(T-1) Note:** Airmen or Guardians TDY to school less than 20 weeks will fall under their home station FDID.
- 4.18.5. Identifying and Notifying Organizations.
  - 4.18.5.1. Identifying Organizations. AFPC will provide MELs identifying TIG/TIS-eligible and non-TIG/TIS-eligible Airmen or Guardians assigned as of the accounting date. **(T-1)** The MEL identifies all Airmen or Guardians with an enlisted evaluation scheduled to close out on the applicable SCOD, regardless of an Airman's or Guardian's promotion ineligibility condition(s) (e.g., on the control roster, primary AFSC/SFSC skill level too low, undergoing Article 15 suspended reduction). See **Table 4.6** for accounting dates.
  - 4.18.5.2. Notifying Organizations. Organizations will receive an initial MEL identifying if they are a large or small unit no later than the accounting date associated with each grade's SCOD. A final MEL will be forwarded following the applicable SCOD. Units should adjudicate each MEL to ensure all unit promotion-eligible Airmen or Guardians are accurately captured. **(T-1)**
- 4.18.6. Eligibility and Nominations.
  - 4.18.6.1. Verifying Eligibility. Using the organization's MEL, FD authorities verify the eligibility of each Airman or Guardian to ensure they meets the TIG/TIS requirements for promotion. Only verify the TIG/TIS requirements and do not consider normal individual promotion ineligibility conditions. (T-1) This will ensure only those meeting the TIG/TIS requirements are considered, and the FD authority receives the correct number of forced distribution promotion allocations. **Note:** FD authorities with SrA, Spc4, SSgt, Sgt, or TSgt promotion-eligible students (student squadrons) or patients (patient squadrons) will receive forced distribution promotion allocations for their TIG/TIS promotion-eligible student or patient populations separate from the forced distribution allocations for their TIG/TIS promotion-eligible SrA, Spc4, SSgt, Sgt, or TSgt permanent party populations.
  - 4.18.6.2. Nominations. Large or small unit FDs are responsible for considering all individuals appearing on the unit's final MEL. (T-1) FDs will consider all individuals meeting TIG/TIS requirements.
    - 4.18.6.2.1. Small unit promotion-eligible Airmen or Guardians are nominated by the unit FD authority to compete for award of a forced distribution promotion allocation at the senior rater or management level EFDP (whichever is applicable). The maximum number of "Promote Now" and "Must Promote" allocations the EFDP may award is based on the combined total number of TIG/TIS promotion-eligible Airmen or Guardians from each small unit, by grade.
    - 4.18.6.2.2. Each unit may nominate up to the maximum number of available allocations. **Example:** If the total combined number of SSgt or Sgt promotion eligible Airmen or Guardians from all small units is 28, the total promotion allocations the EFDP may award is four (one "Promote Now" allocation and three "Must Promote" allocations) based on a 5% "Promote Now" allocation and 10% "Must Promote"

allocation. Therefore, a small unit FD may nominate no more than four eligible SSgts or Sgts.

4.18.6.2.3. If a small unit does not nominate an eligible Airman or Guardian, the FD will annotate the MEL accordingly and sign.

## 4.18.7. EFDP Nomination Folders.

- 4.18.7.1. To assist in ensuring the information being considered for all promotion-eligible Airmen or Guardians nominated to the EFDP is consistent, fair, and equitable, the nomination folder will only include the Airman's or Guardian's: career brief, decorations, and last three enlisted evaluations (this includes the enlisted evaluation being considered for forced distribution). Commanders may also submit a push-note when the panel proceedings are held virtually or when nominee packages will be sent to panel members in advance of the physical panel. Push-notes will only convey the nominee's relative standing amongst all other Airmen or Guardians nominated by the commander.
- 4.18.7.2. Enlisted evaluations being considered for forced distribution must be signed by the rater and additional rater prior to the EFDP proceedings. **(T-1)** Additionally, enlisted evaluations meeting the EFDP cannot be awarded "Promote Now" or "Must Promote" allocations or be signed by the FD prior to the panel. **(T-1)**
- 4.18.7.3. Performance assessment changes made after panel proceedings are limited to significant quality force indicators negative or positive, that were not previously known. **(T-1)**

#### 4.18.8. EFDP Procedures.

- 4.18.8.1. EFDP proceedings may not commence, and promotion allocation selections may not be made any earlier than the day following each applicable grade's SCOD. (T-1) Any and all notional or pre-forced distribution proceedings, ahead of the completion of each grade's entire reporting period (e.g., prior to 1159 hours on the applicable grade's SCOD) are prohibited. (T-1)
- 4.18.8.2. Physical or Virtual Panel. It is up to the EFDP president to determine how to hold the EFDP based upon the nature of the organization's structure. When the EFDP president chooses to hold a physical panel (i.e., in person), nominee records may be provided for review in advance of the physical proceedings. In such cases, the EFDP recorder will ensure all records are available to all panel members to allow ample time to review prior to the physical panel.
- 4.18.8.3. Small Units. Small unit TIG/TIS promotion eligible Airmen or Guardians aggregate up to compete at the senior rater or management level EFDP. HAF/HSF/SAF/CCMD/MAJCOM/FLDCOM FDs with 10 or less TIG/TIS eligible Airmen or Guardians aggregate from the senior rater up to the management level EFDP. When a commander has promotion authority over two or more units, the eligible Airmen or Guardians are not combined. Each unit will comply with the large or small unit.
  - 4.18.8.3.1. Small unit FDs nominate eligible Airmen or Guardians to compete at the EFDP. Nomination folders will include the Airmen's or Guardians' career briefs, decorations, and last three enlisted evaluations (this includes the enlisted evaluation being considered for forced distribution). A push-note may also be included.

- 4.18.8.4. Large units. Large unit FDs are authorized to utilize the small unit EFDP process (but not participate in small unit panels) or develop their own process. If the large unit develops a process, the FD must disseminate the forced distribution procedures within their organization that will be utilized no later than the accounting date for each applicable evaluation cycle. **(T-1)**
- 4.18.8.5. Once selections are made, the FDID authority annotates and signs the applicable MEL, identifying those selected to receive "Promote Now" and "Must Promote" allocations. The FDID authority will then return all evaluations to the owning small unit FD for application of the awarded allocation as well as enlisted evaluation signature by the responsible unit commander/director/other authorized reviewer. Individual senior raters/FDID authorities or management levels will not sign evaluations in-lieu of the FD.
- 4.18.8.6. If an egregious event or negative information transpires and is substantiated during the reporting period and is discovered after the SCOD and after promotion recommendations are allocated, the FDID authority, senior rater, or management level (whichever is applicable), may remove or downgrade the promotion recommendation from the ratee's evaluation. (T-3) In such a case, the applicable forced distribution promotion allocation will not be reallocated. (T-1)

## 4.18.9. Scoring.

- 4.18.9.1. Records are scored on a best-qualified basis. EFDP members will ensure that Airmen or Guardians selected to receive forced distribution promotion allocations are fully qualified to assume the next higher grade.
- 4.18.9.2. The senior rater or management level (whichever is applicable) may use either:
  - 4.18.9.2.1. A "rack-n-stack" process by which each panel member rank orders all records from highest to lowest and all rankings are combined to develop an order of merit.
  - 4.18.9.2.2. A panel or MLR scoring process by which EFDP records are scored in 6-to-10 point increments.
- 4.18.9.3. Scoring is based on documents in each eligible's EFDP nomination folder only. **(T-1)**
- 4.18.9.4. Panel members will assign each eligible a score (6-to-10 point) or ranking, reflecting their assessment of relative performance, leadership/followership, and the potential to serve at the next higher grade.
- 4.18.9.5. Panel members may score nomination folders in advance on the EFDP when authorized by the EFDP president.
- 4.18.9.6. If a panel member identifies a record-based matter that causes concern, they will bring the matter to the other panel members, the panel recorder, or directly with the panel president, so that the matter has the attention of the other panel members.
- 4.18.9.7. Panel members are encouraged to discuss their own knowledge and evaluation of the professional qualifications of their respective promotion-eligible Airman or Guardian.

- 4.18.9.8. Panel members may not discuss or disclose the opinion of any person not a member of the panel concerning the member.
- 4.18.9.9. Scoring Scale. See **Table 4.1**.
  - 4.18.9.9.1. Defining "Splits." A "split" is a significant disagreement between EFDP members about the score of a record. A "split" is considered a difference in a score of 2 or more points between any two panel members.
  - 4.18.9.9.2. Resolving "Splits." All scoring stops and all voting EFDP members must be present (physically or virtually) to discuss the records involved in a "split." Only EFDP members with split scores may change their scores in the process of resolving a split. A "split" is resolved when there is a difference in a score of 1.5 or less points between any two panel members.
  - 4.18.9.9.3. Resolving "Ties." If two or more records tie, and there are insufficient numbers of "Promote Now"/"Must Promote" recommendations to award one to each, the EFPD president will determine an appropriate method for breaking the tie. (T-1)

## 4.18.10. EFDP Report.

- 4.18.10.1. The panel report should contain a list of panel members, panel recorder, order of merit (identifying total score, if/when applicable), and forced distribution promotion recommendation status based on the available number of "Promote Now" and "Must Promote" allocations, and cutoff score.
- 4.18.10.2. The report should be approved and signed by the senior rater or management level as the panel president and by the panel recorder.
- 4.18.10.3. Supplemental EFDP consideration will not be given for the following reasons:
  - 4.18.10.3.1. Incorrect data reflected on the career brief.
  - 4.18.10.3.2. Denied EFDP nomination due to incorrect data reflected on the FDID output products or in the career brief.
  - 4.18.10.3.3. MELs not returned to the MPF, or individual was "overlooked" on the listing.
  - 4.18.10.3.4. EFDP nomination packages not completed/turned in/approved in time to meet the board.

Table 4.1. Enlisted Forced Distribution Panel Scale.

Score	Potential
10.0	Absolutely superior
9.5	Outstanding
9.0	Few could be better
8.5	Strong
8.0	Slightly above average
7.5	Average
7.0	Slightly below average
6.5	Well below average
6.0	Lowest

Table 4.2. When to Submit Enlisted Evaluations for RegAF, USSF, Active Guard Reserve, and Stat Tour.

R	A	В
U L E		then the reason for the evaluation is
1	RegAF ONLY: The ratee is a SrA as of the 31 March SCOD.	Initial
2	RegAF ONLY: The ratee is an A1C or below, with 36 or more months total active federal military service as of the 31 March SCOD. See <b>Note 1</b> .	Initial
3	ARC ONLY: The ratee is a SrA or above as of the SCOD of the evaluation and has not had an evaluation.	Initial
4	ARC ONLY: The ratee is a SSgt or above and has not had an evaluation for at least one year.	Annual
5	USSF ONLY: The ratee is a Spc3 or below, with 36 or more months total active federal military service as of the 31 January SCOD. See <b>Note 1</b> .	Initial
6	USSF ONLY: The ratee is a Spc4 as of the 31 January SCOD.	Annual
7	RegAF and USSF ONLY: Subsequent evaluations will close-out on the SCOD (based on grade). See <b>Note 2</b> .	Annual
8	The ratee requires an enlisted evaluation due to placement on a control roster. See <b>Notes 1, 3, and 10</b> .	Directed by Commander (DBC)

9	An evaluation is necessary to document substandard performance or conduct. See <b>Notes 1</b> and <b>10</b> .	DBC
10	The ratee is placed into record status 6, deserter. See <b>Notes</b> 3, 4, and 10.	DBC
11	The member needs an evaluation following a discharge action per DAFI 36-3211. See <b>Notes 1 and 5</b> .	DBH
12	Authorities place the ratee in evaluating identifier 9A100 or 9A000. See <b>Notes 6 and 7</b> .	DBH
13	Personnel have declared the ratee missing-in-action, captured, or detained in captive status. See <b>Notes 1 and 7</b> .	DBH
14	HAF directs a special evaluation. See <b>Note 8</b> .	DBH
15	The ratee is a CMSgt.	Annual
16	The ratee needs an evaluation in conjunction with involuntary removal from ANG AGR or Statutory Tour.	Directed by Full-time unit commander, TAG or NGB/CF
17	ANG unit commander, The Adjutant General (TAG) or NGB/CF directs a special evaluation.	Directed by Full-time unit commander, TAG or NGB/CF
18	A1C who enlisted under the National Call to Service program. See <b>Note 9</b> .	Initial
19	Any sentence of confinement as the result of a court-martial. See <b>Note 1</b> .	DBC
20	ARC ONLY: In cases where a promotion or demotion has occurred, and a member will have more than 24 months from the close-out date of their last evaluation and the new established static close-out date for their new grade.	DBH
21	AGR ONLY: In cases where a promotion or demotion has occurred, and a member will have more than 24 months from the close-out date of their last evaluation and the new established SCOD for their new grade. AGR personnel will require annual evaluations. A DBH report is required in cases where a promotion or demotion has occurred, and a member will have more than 12 months from the close-out date of their last evaluation and the new established SCOD for their new grade.	DВН

#### Notes:

- 1. For ARC refer to **paragraph 4.7.1.2** for close-out date.
- 2. The close-out date is on the SCOD for the applicable grade (for example, a SSgt/Sgt will have their evaluation close out on 31 Jan (SSgt/Sgt SCOD)). (T-1) Exception: Airmen and Guardians selected for promotion or Airmen and Guardians who are demoted will have their evaluation close out on the SCOD of their projected or received grade and in some cases, may exceed a year. (T-1) Example: (RegAF and ARC only) A SSgt selected for TSgt will now have their evaluation close out on 30 Nov. A SSgt demoted to SrA will have their evaluation close out on 31 March. (USSF only) A TSgt selected for MSgt will now have their evaluation close out on 31 Jul. A MSgt demoted to TSgt will have their evaluation close out on 31 Jan.
- 3. The close-out date of the evaluation prepared when placing a member on a control roster is the day before the date of placement on the control roster.
- 4. The close-out date is the effective date the ratee is placed in record status 6, deserter.
- 5. When a member is undergoing an involuntary separation due to substandard performance, a commander will complete a DBC evaluation and may only comment on the negative behavior. (T-1) This applies to TSgts and below and the commander will close out the evaluation one day before the written notice of the proposed action to the Airman or Guardian. (T-1). If a member is being involuntarily separated for reasons other than substandard performance, then a DBC evaluation is not required.
- 6. The evaluation's close-out date is the day before the date that authorities place the ratee in reporting identifier 9A100 or 9A000.
- 7. Do not prepare enlisted evaluations for periods of missing-in-action, captured, or interned status of less than 15 calendar days. For 15 calendar days or more, prepare an enlisted evaluation as AFPC/DP3SP directs.
- 8. AFPC/DP3SP (or AFPC/DPMSP if the evaluation is necessary for promotion consideration) directs evaluations under this rule.
- 9. A1Cs who enlisted under the National Call to Service program will receive their initial enlisted evaluation upon completion of 16 months total active federal military service minus 1 day. (T-1)
- 10. A1C/Spc3 or below with less than 36 months total active federal military service (or date initial entry uniformed services for ARC) do not receive an enlisted evaluation unless the member has a minimum of 20 months TIS.

Table 4.3. When to submit Enlisted Evaluations for ARC Non-AGR.

R	A	В
U	If (see Notes 1 and 8)	Then the reason for the
L		evaluation is
E		
1	Close-out date will be first SrA SCOD, refer to	Initial
	paragraph 4.7.1.2.	
2	The ratee is a SSgt or above and has not had a	Biennial
	report for at least two years. See <b>Note 3</b> .	
3	The commander directs an evaluation.	DBC (see Note 9)
4	The commander directs an evaluation to document	
	substandard performance or conduct.	
5	The ratee is placed into record status 6, deserter	
	status. See Note 6.	
6	HAF, AF/RE, ARPC or NGB directs a special	DBH
	evaluation. See <b>Note 4</b> .	
7	The ratee needs an evaluation in conjunction with	DBH
	discharge.	
8	The ratee is declared missing-in-action, captured,	DBH
	or detained in captive status See Note 5.	
9	The ratee is a CMSgt. See <b>Note 3</b> .	Annual for AFR; Biennial for
		ANG.
10	ARC ONLY: In cases where a promotion or	DBH
	demotion has occurred, and a member will have	
	more than 24 months from the close-out date of	
	their last evaluation and the new established	
	SCOD for their new grade.	
11	AGR ONLY: In cases where a promotion or	DBH
	demotion has occurred, and a member will have	
	more than 24 months from the close-out date of	
	their last evaluation and the new established	
	SCOD for their new grade. AGR personnel will	
	require annual evaluations. A DBH report is	
	required in cases where a promotion or demotion	
	has occurred, and a member will have more than	
	12 months from the close-out date of their last	
	evaluation and the new established SCOD for their	
	new grade.	

## **Notes:**

- 1. For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR Category E, the unit of attachment is responsible for completing the evaluation.
- 2. Refer to paragraph 4.7.1.2.
- 3. If the ratee did not participate during the period, the report must state this information. **(T-1).**

- 4. HAF/REP directs enlisted evaluations under this rule for AFR; NGB/A1P for ANG.
- 5. Do not prepare evaluations for periods of missing-in-action, captured, or detained in captive status of less than 15 calendar days. If the ratee remains in one of these categories for 15 calendar days or more, prepare an evaluation under this rule without regard to the number of days of supervision. Close the evaluation on the day the ratee was placed in missing-in-action, captured, or detained in captive status. These evaluations are as directed by HQ AFPC/DP3SP or HQ ARPC/DPTSE.
- 6. The close-out date of the evaluation is the effective date the ratee is placed in record status 6, deserter.
- 7. Initial evaluation implementation for ANG Non-AGR SrA and above who have no previous report; refer to **paragraph 4.5.**
- 8. Only one day is required for raters to close out an evaluation.
- 9. Only negative behavior and/or substandard performance is documented. Positive behavior and/or performance will be documented on the next SCOD enlisted evaluation. (T-1)

Table 4.4. Static Close-out Date Chart for RegAF, USSF, Active Guard Reserve, and Stat Tour.

Grade	SCOD
SrA and Below	31 Mar
Spc1 – Spc4;	31 Jan
SSgt/Sgt and SSgt/Sgt selects;	
USSF only: TSgt and TSgt selects	
RegAF, AGR, and Stat Tour only: TSgt and TSgt selects	30 Nov
RegAF, AGR, and Stat Tour only: MSgt and MSgts selects	30 Sep
USSF only: MSgt and MSgt selects;	31 Jul
SMSgt and SMSgt selects	
CMSgt and CMSgt selects	31 May

Table 4.5. Static Close-out Date Chart for ARC Non-AGR.

Grade	SCOD
SrA and Below	31 Mar (Even years)
SSgt	31 Jan (Odd years)
TSgt	30 Nov (Even years)
MSgt	30 Sep (Odd years)
SMSgt	31 Jul (Even years)
CMSgt	31 May (Annual for AFR, Odd years for
	ANG)

**Table 4.6. Accounting Dates for Static Close-out Date Evaluations.** 

<b>Grade (includes selectees)</b>	<b>Static Close-out Date</b>	<b>Accounting Date</b>
SrA and below	31 Mar	3 Dec
Spc1 – Spc4;		
SSgt/Sgt;		
USSF only: TSgt	31 Jan	3 Oct
RegAF and ARC only: TSgt	30 Nov	3 Aug
RegAF and ARC only: MSgt	30 Sep	3 Jun
USSF only: MSgt;		
SMSgt	31 Jul	3 Apr
CMSgt	31 May	3 Feb

**Note:** Accounting dates are approximately 120 calendar days prior to each static close-out date and are established as the 3rd of the month for consistency.

Table 4.7. Forced Distribution Allocation Table (SrA/Spc4).

Total Eligible	Tota 1 PN	Tot al MP	Total Eligible	Tota 1 PN	Tota 1 MP	Total Eligible	Tota 1 PN	Total MP
11 - 12	1	1	178 - 182	9	27	343 - 347	17	52
13 - 17	1	2	183 - 187	9	28	348 - 349	17	53
18 - 22	1	3	188 - 189	9	29	350 - 357	18	53
23 - 27	1	4	190 - 197	10	29	358 - 362	18	54
28 - 29	1	5	198 - 202	10	30	363 - 369	18	56
30 - 37	2	5	203 - 207	10	31	370 - 377	19	56
38 - 42	2	6	208 - 209	10	32	378 - 382	19	57
43 - 47	2	7	210 - 217	11	32	383 - 387	19	58
48 - 49	2	8	218 - 222	11	33	388 - 389	19	59
50 - 57	3	8	223 - 227	11	34	390 - 397	20	59
58 - 62	3	9	228 - 229	11	35	398 - 402	20	60
63 - 67	3	10	230 - 237	12	35	403 - 407	20	61
68 - 69	3	11	238 - 242	12	36	408 - 409	20	62
70 - 77	4	11	243 - 247	12	37	410 - 417	21	62
78 - 82	4	12	248 - 249	12	38	418 - 422	21	63
83 - 87	4	13	250 - 257	13	38	423 - 427	21	64
88 - 89	4	14	258 - 262	13	39	428 - 429	21	65
90 - 97	5	14	263 - 267	13	40	430 - 437	22	65
98 - 102	5	15	268 - 269	13	41	438 - 442	22	66
103 – 107	5	16	270 - 277	14	41	443 - 447	22	67
108 - 109	5	17	278 - 282	14	42	448 - 449	22	68
110 – 117	6	17	283 - 287	14	43	450 - 457	23	68
118 – 122	6	18	288 - 289	14	44	458 - 462	23	69
123 – 127	6	19	290 - 297	15	44	463 - 467	23	70
128 – 129	6	20	298 - 302	15	45	468 - 469	23	71
130 – 137	7	20	303 - 307	15	46	470 - 477	24	71
138 – 142	7	21	308 - 309	15	47	478 - 482	24	72
143 - 147	7	22	310 - 317	16	47	483 - 487	24	73
148 - 149	7	23	318 - 322	16	48	488 - 489	24	74
150 - 157	8	23	323 - 327	16	49	490 - 497	25	74

158 -	8	24	328 - 329	16	50	498 - 500	25	75
162								
163 -	8	25	330 - 337	17	50			
167								
168 -	9	26	338 - 342	17	51			
177								

**Note:** Table is subject to change. Utilize allocations on the final MEL(s).

Table 4.8. Forced Distribution Allocation Table (SSgt/Sgt and TSgt).

	Tota	Tot		Total	Tota	Tota		Total	Tota	Total
Total	1 PN	al		Eligible	1 PN	1 MP		Eligible	1 PN	MP
Eligible		MP		Lingibit		11111				1,11
11 - 16	1	1		177 - 183	9	18		344 - 349	17	35
17 - 23	1	2		184 - 189	9	19		350 - 356	18	35
24 - 29	1	3		190 - 196	10	19		357 - 363	18	36
30 - 36	2	3		197 - 203	10	20		364 - 369	18	37
37 - 43	2	4		204 - 209	10	21		370 - 376	19	37
44 - 49	2	5		210 - 216	11	21		377 - 383	19	38
50 - 56	3	5		217 - 223	11	22		384 - 389	19	39
57 - 63	3	6		224 - 229	11	23		390 - 396	20	39
64 - 69	3	7		230 - 236	12	23		397 - 403	20	40
70 - 76	4	7		237 - 243	12	24		404 - 409	20	41
77 - 83	4	8		244 - 249	12	25		410 - 416	21	41
84 - 89	4	9		250 - 256	13	25		417 - 423	21	42
90 - 96	5	9		257 - 263	13	26		424 - 429	21	43
97 - 103	5	10		264 - 269	13	27		430 - 436	22	43
104 -	5	11		270 - 276	14	27		437 - 443	22	44
109										
110 -	6	11		277 - 283	14	28		444 - 449	22	45
116										
117 -	6	12		284 - 289	14	29		450 - 456	23	45
123										
124 -	6	13		290 - 296	15	29		457 - 463	23	46
129										
130 -	7	13		297 - 303	15	30		464 - 469	23	47
136										
137 -	7	14		304 - 309	15	31		470 - 476	24	47
143										
144 -	7	15		310 - 316	16	31		477 - 483	24	48
149	_									
150 -	8	15		317 - 323	16	32		484 - 489	24	49
156		1.6		224 222	1.5	2.2		100 100		4.0
157 -	8	16		324 - 329	16	33		490 - 496	25	49
163		1.7		220 226	1.7	22		407 500	2.5	50
164 -	8	17		330 - 336	17	33		497 - 500	25	50
169		1.7		227 242	1.7	2.4			L	
170 -	9	17		337 - 343	17	34				
176	11 :	1 .		1 77.141	11			1 6 1365	( )	
<b>Note:</b> Table is subject to change. Utilize allocations on the final MEL(s).										

Table 4.9. Instructions for DAF Form 910, Enlisted Performance Report (AB/Spc1-TSgt).

	SECTION I. RA		
I	A	В	C
T E M	Heading	Instructions	Example
1	Name	Enter Last Name, First Name Middle Initial, and any suffix (e.g., JR., SR., III). If there is no middle initial, the use of "NMI" is optional. Name will be in all upper case (see example).	
2	SSN	Enter full social security number.	123-45-6789
3	Grade		AB, Amn, A1C, SrA, SSgt Select, SSgt, TSgt Select, TSgt, Specialist 1 – 4, Sgt Select, Sgt
4	DAFSC/DSFSC	Enter DAFSC/DSFSC held as of the "THRU" date of the evaluation, including prefix and suffix, if applicable, or in the event of PCS or PCA, or if a member departs from a 365-day extended deployment, use the information as of the accounting date. 365-day extended deployments will use the TDY DAFSC/DSFSC.	3F151

5	Command and Location	accounting date. Nomenclature does not necessarily duplicate what is on the enlisted evaluation notice. The goal is an accurate description of what unit, location and command the ratee belongs.  Command will be listed inside parentheses. 365-day extended deployments will use the home station unit, "with duty at"  AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR, and PIRR Category E, information will be that of unit of attachment.  For Airmen who support Space Force, the command	Support Squadron (ACC), Mountain Home AFB ID  902nd Security Forces Squadron (AETC), Joint Base San Antonio- Randolph TX, with duty at 447 ESFS (USAFCENT),
			Command, Joint Base Langley-
6		Enter PAS code for ratee's unit of assignment as of the SCOD or in the event of PCS or PCA, information as of the accounting date. For those assigned to 365-day extended deployment billet, use the home station PAS code.  AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR Category E, use unit of attachment's PAS code.	TEICFYRZ

7	FDID	Enter Force Distributor ID (FDID) for ratee's unit of assignment (PAS code) as of the SCOD or in the event of PCS or PCA, information as of the accounting date. For those assigned to a 365-day extended deployment, use the home station FDID.  AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR Category E, SRID is that of unit of attachment.  ANG: The ANG does not use forced distribution; this field should reflect the member's PAS Code if ANG.	DP11MFN99
8	Period of Report	FROM DATE: See paragraph 4.6  THRU DATE: This is the SCOD for the appropriate grade, except for 20-month Initial EPRs not closing on the SrA SCOD. See paragraph 4.7 for variations.	01 Dec 2023 30 Nov 2024
9	Number of Days Non-Rated	Enter the number of days non-rated from the authorized documentation, if applicable. See <b>paragraph 4.8</b> for guidance on what circumstances qualify for non-rated.	120
10	Number of Days Supervision	Enter the number of days of supervision. See paragraph 4.8	365 (deduct only the authorized number of days "non-rated")
11	Reason for Report	Select the reason for evaluation.	Annual

	SECTION II. JOB DESCRIPTION				
Γ	A	В	C		
E <b>V</b> I	Heading	Instructions	Example		
12	Duty Title	Enter the approved duty title from the MilPDS as of the SCOD or in the event of PCS or PCA, information as of the accounting date.  If the duty title is abbreviated and entries are not clear text, spell them out. Consult with your CSS/MPF for any corrective actions. Ensure the duty title is commensurate with the ratee's grade, AFSC/SFSC, and responsibility. Refer to <i>Enlisted Force Structure</i> for guidance pertaining to duty titles.	NCOIC, Force Management		
		(use format in example)  For personnel on a 365-day extended deployment, use the deployed duty title.			
13	Key Duties, Tasks and Responsibilities	Comments in bullet format are mandatory. Limit text to four lines. Enter information about the position the ratee held in the unit as of the SCOD or in the event of a PCS or PCA, information as of the accounting date and the nature or level of job responsibilities. The rater develops the information for this section.  This description must reflect the uniqueness of each ratee's job. Be specificinclude level of responsibility, number of people supervised, dollar value of resources accountable for, projects managed, etc. Make it clear; use plain English. Avoid jargon, acronyms, and topical references-they obscure rather than clarify meaning. Previous jobs held during the reporting period may be mentioned only if it impacts the evaluation.	Authors guidance on performance evaluations  Prepares lesson plans for ALS curriculum  Supervises 2 Airmen		

REQUIREMENTS

SECTION IV. FOLLOWERSHIP/LEADERSHIP

SECTION V. WHOLE AIRMAN/GUARDIAN CONCEPT. Note: If an Airman or Guardian is marked "Met some but not all expectations" in Section III or Section IV then this block will not be completed.

I T E	A	В	С
	Heading	Instructions	Example
M 14	Heading Assessment Areas Listed on DAF Form 910	Select the block that accurately describes the ratee's performance during the assessment period.  Not Rated: See paragraph 4.8.  Organizational Climate: See paragraph 1.8.9.  Met some but not all expectations: Performs	The Rater (and subsequent evaluators) will not consider, nor comment on, the member's performance during an approved non-rated period (in accordance with
		Exceed most, if not all expectations: Performs at a higher level than peers, far exceeds DAF standards and expectations, unique performer.	

15		Comments are mandatory (minimum of one line), must be in bullet format, must support the assessment, and in Section III comments are limited to 6 lines and Section IV and V comments are limited to 2 lines. May use "THIS LINE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK" as a mandatory line.  ERALL PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT	
I		B	C
T E M			Example
16	Performance Assessment	performance during the assessment period.  See item 14 of this table for definitions of performance assessment markings.  Not Rated: See paragraph 4.8.  Organizational Climate: See paragraph 1.8.9	The Rater (and subsequent evaluators) will not consider, nor comment on, the member's performance during an approved nonrated period (in accordance with paragraph 4.8). (T-1)
	SECTION VII. RA	ATER INFORMATION	
I T	A	В	C
T E M	Heading	Instructions	Example

17	Grade, Branch of	Enter rater's information as of the SCOD. However, if the airman or guardian has a Permanent Change of	SMSgt, USAF
	Organization, Command, and	(PCA), or if a member departs from a 365-day extended deployment on or after the accounting date,	39th Force Support Squadron (AFR) Incirlik AB TU
		1 /	For ANG: MARISSA LLAMAS, SMSgt, FLANG 125th Medical Group (ACC) Jacksonville ANGB FL
18		Enter rater's duty title as of the SCOD. However, if the airman has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or after the accounting date, use the information as of the established accounting date.	
19	SSN	(use format in example)  Enter the last four digits of the social security	6789
20		number.	D: 1, 1
20		signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after).	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.
	SECTION VIII. A	ADDITIONAL RATER'S COMMENTS	
I T E	A	В	С
M	Heading	Instructions	Example
21	Concur/non-concur	Place an "X" in only one of the blocks.	X

22	Comments	Must be in bullet format. A comment is mandatory when the report is a referral; otherwise, comments are optional. If comments are not used, insert, "THIS LINE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK." Limited to two lines. If comments are not authorized state: "RATER IS ALSO THE ADDITIONAL RATER". (T-1)  Organizational Climate: See paragraph 1.8.9.	- Restructured Enlisted Force Policy
23	Additional Rater's Name, Grade, Branch of Service, Organization, Command, and Location	Additional raters assigned on or prior to SCOD, enter information as of the SCOD; additional raters assigned after the SCOD, enter the information as of the date signed. However, if the airman or guardian has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or after the accounting date, use the additional rater as of the established accounting date.  Multiple general officers serving as evaluators are prohibited, see paragraph 1.7.1.7 for exceptions. (T-1).  (use format in example)	Squadron (PACAF) Andersen ABW
24	Duty Title	Enter Additional Rater's Duty Title as of the SCOD. However, if the Airman or Guardian has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or after the accounting date, use the information as of the established accounting date. (refer to item 23).  (use format in example)	Operations Flight Commander
25	SSN	Enter the last four digits of the social security number.	9876

26	Date & Signature	The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after).	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.
		IT COMMANDER/MILITARY OR CIVILIAN ER AUTHORIZED REVIEWER'S COMMENTS	
I T E	A	В	C
M	Heading	Instructions	Example
27	Concur/non-concur	Place an "X" in only one of the blocks.	X
28	Comments	Must be in bullet format. A comment is mandatory when the report is a referral; otherwise, comments are optional. If comments are not used, insert, "THIS LINE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK." Limited to one line.  Organizational Climate: See paragraph 1.8.9	- Restructured Enlisted Force Policy
29		Recommend up to three roles/assignments that best serve the Department of the Air Force and continue the member's development. Future roles may not serve as veiled promotion statements, i.e., you may ONLY recommend personnel for a future role that they are eligible for based on current or projected grade and/or the grade that they are TIG/TIS eligible for promotion to, as of the evaluation SCOD.  Example: A SSgt or Sgt may not be recommended for Section Superintendent duties as that constitutes a veiled promotion statement to MSgt.	
30	Promotion Eligibility	As of the SCOD of the evaluation, indicate whether the ratee is TIG/TIS promotion eligible. See paragraph 4.10	Yes or No (drop down block)
31	This is a Referral Report	Indicate whether the report contains negative comments or derogatory information.	Yes or No (drop down block)

32	Quality Force Review	Indicates the ratee's personnel record has been reviewed for quality force indicators during the reporting period.	Yes or No (drop down block)
33		reviewed for quality force indicators during the	` -
		expectations.  Designated for outstanding performers who perform at a level higher than their peers. RegAF personnel receiving a "MP" receive a distinct promotion advantage over their peers.  Promote Now (PN): Recommended for immediate promotion based on exemplary performance that far exceeds established DAF standards and expectations. Reserved for elite performers who perform well above other personnel in their peer group. RegAF and USSF personnel receiving a "PN" receive a significant promotion advantage over their peers.	

34	Unit Commander	Enter Unit Commander's information as of the	IOIN I DOE
<b>54</b>	Name, Grade, Branch of Service, Organization, Command, and Location	close-out date. However, if the Airman or Guardian has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or after	JOHN J. DOE, Lt Col, USAF 9th Force Support Squadron (ACC) Beale AFB CA
35	Duty Title	Enter Duty Title as of the SCOD. However, if the airman has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or after the accounting date, use the information as of the established accounting date.  (use format in example)	Commander
36	SSN	Enter the last four digits of the social security number.	1111
37	Date & Signature	The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after).	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.
	SECTION X. FU	NCTIONAL EXAMINER/AIR FORCE ADVISOR	R
I T E	A	В	C
M	Heading	Instructions	Example
38	Functional Examiner, AF and/or SF Advisor	When applicable, place an "X" in the appropriate box.	X
39	Name, Grade, Branch of Service, Organization, Command & Location	Enter Functional Examiner or Air Force/Space Force Advisor signature block as of the SCOD.  (use format in example)	JANE R. DOE, Lt Gen, USAF 18th Air Force (AMC) Scott AFB IL
40	Duty Title		Command Financial Manager

41	SSN	Enter the last four digits of the social security	0001		
71	DOIN	number.	0001		
42	Date & Signature	The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after).	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.		
	SECTION XI. RI		T		
I T E	A	В	C		
M	Heading	Instructions	Example		
43	Acronyms	Use this section to spell out uncommon acronyms in alphabetical order. Separate acronyms with a semicolon.	AFPC; Casualty Report (CASREP)		
	SECTION XII. RATEE'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT				
I T	A	В	C		
E M	Heading	Instructions	Example		
44	Ratee's Acknowledgement and Date & Signature	The ratee must acknowledge receipt prior to the evaluation becoming a matter of record by signing in this block. Signing the evaluation does not imply concurrence, but acknowledgement. If ratee nonconcurs with the evaluation, they may submit an appeal in accordance with <b>Chapter 10</b> . Non-digital: Handwrite, date stamp or type the date. Sign after the SCOD. Select appropriate choice from drop down menu: Blank – member concurs and signs evaluation. "Not available to sign" – use when member is incapacitated or unavailable to sign; rater or any higher evaluator in the rating chain signs. "Ratee refused to sign" – use when member refuses to sign the form; rater or any higher evaluator in the assessment chain signs.	combination of both is authorized.		

Table 4.10. Instructions for AF Form 911, Enlisted Performance Report (MSgt-SMSgt).

	Note: Air Force terminology on the AFI Form 911 applies to the equivalent Space Force terminology (e.g., Airman applies to Guardian, Duty Air Force Specialty Code applies to Duty Space Force Specialty Code).  SECTION I. RATEE IDENTIFICATION DATA		
T E M	A Haading		C
	<b>Heading</b> Name	Enter Last Name, First Name Middle Initial, and any suffix (e.g., JR., SR., III). If there is no middle initial, the use of "NMI" is optional. Name will be in all upper case.	Example DOE, JOHN D.
2	SSN	Enter full social security number.	123-45-6789
3	Grade		MSgt Select, MSgt, SMSgt Select, SMSgt
4	DAFSC/DSFSC	Enter DAFSC/DSFSC held as of the "THRU" date of the evaluation, including prefix and suffix, if applicable or in the event of PCS or PCA, or if a member departs from a 365-day extended deployment, use the information as of the accounting date. 365-day extended deployments will use the TDY DAFSC/DSFSC.	3F071

For Airmen who support Space Force, the command will be annotated as "Air Force Material Command (AFMC)".  (use format in example)  Enter PAS code for ratee's unit of assignment as of the SCOD or in the event of PCS or PCA, information as of the accounting date. For those assigned to 365-day extended deployment billet, use the home station PAS code.  AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR Category E, use unit of attachment's PAS code.  7 SRID Enter SRID for ratee's unit of assignment (PAS code) as of SCOD or in the event of PCS or PCA, information as of the accounting date. For those assigned to a 365-day extended deployment, use the home station SRID.  AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR, and PIRR Category E, use unit of assignment (PAS code) as of SCOD or in the event of PCS or PCA, information as of the accounting date. For those assigned to a 365-day extended deployment, use the home station SRID.  AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR, and PIRR Category E, SRID is that of unit of attachment.  8 Period of Report FROM date: See paragraph 4.6  THRU date: This is the SCOD for the appropriate grade. See paragraph 4.7 for variations.  9 Number of Days Enter the number of days non-rated. See  96				·
FROM date: See paragraph 4.6  Period of Report  FROM date: See paragraph 4.7 for variations.  Number of Days  Enter SRID for ratee's unit of assignment (PAS code) as of SCOD or in the event of PCS or PCA, information as of the accounting date. For those assigned to a 365-day extended deployment, use the home station SRID.  AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR, and PIRR Category E, SRID is that of unit of attachment.  1 Aug 2015  THRU date: This is the SCOD for the appropriate grade. See paragraph 4.7 for variations.		Command, Location, and Component	of PCS or PCA, or if a member departs from a 365-day extended deployment, use the information as of the accounting date.  Nomenclature does not necessarily duplicate what is on the notice. The goal is an accurate description of what unit, location and command the ratee belongs. Command will be listed inside parentheses. 365-day extended deployments will use the home station unit, "with duty at"  AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR Category E, information will be that of unit of attachment.  For Airmen who support Space Force, the command will be annotated as "Air Force Material Command (AFMC)".  (use format in example)  Enter PAS code for ratee's unit of assignment as of the SCOD or in the event of PCS or PCA, information as of the accounting date. For those assigned to 365-day extended deployment billet, use the home station PAS code.  AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR Category	Squadron (ACC), Mountain Home AFB ID  902nd Security Forces Squadron (AETC), Joint Base San Antonio- Randolph TX, with duty at 447 ESFS (USAFCENT), Baghdad International Airport, Baghdad, Iraq  HQ Air Combat Command, Joint Base Langley-Eustis VA TE1CFYRZ
THRU date: This is the SCOD for the appropriate grade. See paragraph 4.7 for variations.  9 Number of Days Enter the number of days non-rated. See 96	7	SRID	code) as of SCOD or in the event of PCS or PCA, information as of the accounting date. For those assigned to a 365-day extended deployment, use the home station SRID.  AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR, and PIRR	0D107
appropriate grade. See <b>paragraph 4.7</b> for variations.  9 Number of Days Enter the number of days non-rated. See 96	8	Period of Report	FROM date: See paragraph 4.6	1 Aug 2015
			THRU date: This is the SCOD for the appropriate grade. See <b>paragraph 4.7</b> for	
r ng nr	9	Number of Days Non-Rated	Enter the number of days non-rated. See paragraph 4.8	96

10	Number of Days Supervision	Enter the number of days of supervision. See paragraph 4.8	365 (deduct only the authorized number of days "non-rated" in accordance with paragraph 4.8)
11	Reason for Report	Select the reason for evaluation.	Annual
	SECTION II. JOH	BESCRIPTION	
I T E M	A	В	С
	Heading	Instructions	Example
12	Duty Title	Enter the approved duty title from the PDS as of the SCOD or in the event of PCS or PCA, information as of the accounting date.  If the duty title is abbreviated and entries are not clear text, spell them out. Consult with your CSS/MPF for any corrective actions. Ensure the duty title is commensurate with the ratee's grade, AFSC/SFSC, and responsibility. Refer to <i>The Enlisted Force Structure</i> for guidance pertaining to duty titles.  For personnel on a 365-day extended deployment, use the deployed duty title.  (use format in example)	

13		Comments in bullet format are mandatory. Limit	- Authors guidance
	and Responsibilities	text to four lines. Enter information about the	on performance
		position the ratee held in the unit as of the SCOD	evaluations
		or in the event of PCS or PCA to a new PAS	
		code, information as of the accounting date and	- Prepares lesson
		the nature or level of job responsibilities. The	plans for ALS
			curriculum
		This description must reflect the uniqueness of	
		each ratee's job. Be specificinclude level of	- Supervises 2
		responsibility, number of people supervised,	Airmen
		dollar value of resources accountable for,	
		projects managed, etc. Make it clear; use plain	
		English.	
		Avoid jargon, acronyms, and topical references	
		as they obscure rather than clarify meaning.	
		Previous jobs held during the reporting period	
		may be mentioned only if it impacts the	
		evaluation.	
	CECTION III DE		
		RFORMANCE IN LEADERSHIP/PRIMARY	
		VERSHIP/TRAINING REQUIREMENTS IOLE AIRMAN/GUARDIAN CONCEPT. Not	ta. If an Airman ar
	Guardian is marke		ie: II an Airman or
			will not be
		all expectations" in Section III then this block	will not be
	completed.	L-	la
I	A	В	C
E M	Hadina	To show of one	Evamela
	Heading	Instructions	Example

Assessment Areas 911

Select the block that accurately describes the Listed on AF Form ratee's performance during the assessment period.

Not Rated: See paragraph 4.8.

Organizational Climate: See paragraph 1.8.9.

## Met some but not all expectations:

Performs below established DAF standards and expectations, requires improvement. Routine or significant unacceptable performance, (T-1) actions that are incompatible with, and personnel who have failed to adhere to established standards and expectations.

Performs routinely or significantly at an unacceptable level. Routinely means a repeated inability to meet standards that would render the aggregated performance assessment over the entire reporting period as below DAF standards or expectations. Significantly means a single instance where failure to meet standards is either egregious in nature or so far short of a standard that it impacts the overall aggregated performance assessment.

**Met all expectations:** Meets established DAF standards and expectations.

# Exceeded some, but not all expectations:

Performs beyond most established DAF standards and expectations.

**Exceed most, if not all expectations:** Performs at a higher level than peers, far exceeds DAF standards and expectations, unique performer.

The rater (and subsequent evaluators) will not consider, nor comment on, the member's performance during an approved nonrated period (in accordance with paragraph 4.8)

15	Comments	Comments are mandatory (minimum of one line), must be in bullet format, must support the assessment, and in Section III comments are limited to 8 lines and Section IV comments are limited to 2 lines. May use "THIS LINE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK" as a mandatory line.  Organizational Climate: See paragraph 1.8.9	
	SECTION V. O	VERALL PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT	
I T E M	A	В	C
	Heading	Instructions	Example
	Rater's Overall Performance Assessment	Select the block that accurately describes the ratee's performance during the assessment period.  The rater (and subsequent evaluators) will not consider, nor comment on, the Airman's or Guardian's performance during an approved non-rated period (in accordance with paragraph 4.8) (T-1)  Organizational Climate: See paragraph 1.8.9	See definitions of performance assessments in item 14 of this table.
	SECTION VI. I	RATER INFORMATION	
I T E M	A	В	C
-	Heading	Instructions	Example

	Rater's Name, Grade, Branch of Service, Organization, Command, and Location	Enter rater's information as of the close-out date. However, if the airman has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA), or if a member departs from a 365-day extended deployment on or after the accounting date, use the rater as of the established accounting date.  (use format in example)	
18	Duty Title	Enter rater's duty title as of the SCOD. However, if the airman or guardian has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or after the accounting date, use the information as of the established accounting date.	Operations Flight Chief
19	SSN	Enter the last four digits of the social security number.	6789
20	Date & Signature	The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after). Rater assessment and feedback block will be locked, and additional rater signature capability unlocked with rater digital signature.	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.
	SECTION VII. AI	DDITIONAL RATER'S COMMENTS	
I T E M	A	В	C
	Heading	Instructions	Example
21	Concur/non- concur	Place an "X" in only one of the blocks	X

22	Comments	Must be in bullet format. A comment is mandatory when the report is a referral; otherwise, they are optional. If comments are not used insert "THIS LINE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK". Limited to two lines. If comments are not authorized state: "RATER IS ALSO THE ADDITIONAL RATER".  Organizational Climate: See paragraph 1.8.9	- Restructured Enlisted Force Policy
23	Additional Rater's Name, Grade, Branch of Service, Organization, Command, and Location	enter information as of the SCOD; additional raters assigned after the SCOD, enter the information as of the date signed. However, if the airman or guardian has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or after the accounting date, use the additional rater as of the established accounting date.	JOHN J. DOE, Capt, USAF 72d Force Support Squadron (AFMC) Tinker AFB OK  For ANG: LATRISHA M. LEE, Lt Col, MAANG 102d Intelligence Wing (ACC) Otis ANGB MA
24	Duty Title	Enter additional rater's duty title. However, if the airman or guardian has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or after the accounting date, use the rater as of the established accounting date.  (refer to item 23) (use format in example)	Operations Flight Commander
25	SSN	Enter the last four digits of the social security number.	9876

26	Date & Signature	The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after). Rater assessment and feedback block will be locked, and additional rater signature capability unlocked with rater digital signature.	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.
		I NIT COMMANDER/MILITARY OR CTOR/OTHER AUTHORIZED REVIEWER'S	COMMENTS
I T E M	A	В	С
	Heading	Instructions	Example
27	Concur/non-concur	Place an "X" in only one of the blocks	X
28	Comments	Must be in bullet format. A comment is mandatory when the report is a referral; otherwise, they are optional. If comments are not used insert "THIS LINE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK". Limited to one line.  Organizational Climate: See paragraph 1.8.9	- Restructured Enlisted Force Policy
20	E . D 1		1 0 1 01 0
29	Future Roles (Optional)	Air Force/Space Force and continues the	<ol> <li>Section Chief</li> <li>Flight Chief</li> <li>First Sergeant</li> </ol>

30	Education	As of the SCOD of the evaluation, indicate whether the ratee has had a CCAF completed and conferred (Yes or No) in any discipline/specialty. Also indicate whether the ratee completed professional military education (SNCOA or equivalent sister-service academy, via in-residence or correspondence).	Yes or No (drop down block)
31	Promotion Eligibility	As of the SCOD of the evaluation, indicate whether the ratee is TIG/TIS promotion eligible. See paragraph 4.11	Yes or No (drop down block)
32	This Is A Referral Report	Indicate whether the report contains negative comments or derogatory information.	Yes or No (drop down block)
33	Quality Force Review	Indicates the ratee's personnel record has been reviewed for quality force indicators during the reporting period.	Yes or No (drop down block)
34	Unit Commander / Military or Civilian Director / Other Authorized Reviewer Name, Grade, Branch of Service, Organization, Command, and Location.	Enter rater's information as of the close-out date. However, if the airman has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or after the accounting date, use the unit commander as of the established accounting date.  (use format in example)	JOHN J. DOE, Lt Col, USAF 56th Force Support Squadron (ACC) Luke AFB AZ
35	Duty Title	Enter duty title as of the SCOD. However, if the airman or guardian has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or after the accounting date, use the rater as of the established accounting date.  (use format in example)	Commander
36	SSN	Enter the last four digits of the social security number.	0001
37	Date & Signature	The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after).	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.
	SECTION IX. FIN	AL EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS	

I T E M	A	В	C
	Heading	Instructions	Example
38	Concur/non- concur	Place an "X" in only one of the blocks.	X
39	Final Evaluator's Comments	are not provided state: "THIS LINE INTENTIONALLY LEFT	- My #1 of 20 promotion eligible MSgts - Outstanding leader and mentor
40	Final Evaluator Position	This is the final evaluator's position (see paragraph 4.12)	Senior Rater
41	Senior Rater Stratification	This is the senior rater stratification and is limited to the senior rater's top 10% TIG/TIS promotion eligible MSgts and the top 20% of TIG/TIS promotion eligible SMSgt as of the SCOD. See paragraph 4.11.2	Top 10% of MSgts; Top 20% of SMSgts
42	Final Evaluator's Name, Grade, Branch of Service, Organization, Command & Location	Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or	JANE M. DOE, Col, USAF 56th Fighter Wing (ACC) Luke AFB AZ
43	Duty Title		Wing Commander
44	SSN	Enter the last four digits of the social security number.	1111

45	Date & Signature	The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after). Rater assessment and feedback block will be locked, and additional rater signature capability unlocked with rater digital signature.	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.
	SECTION X. FUI ADVISOR	NCTIONAL EXAMINER/AIR FORCE OR SP	ACE FORCE
I T E M	A	В	С
l .	Heading	Instructions	Example
46	Functional Examiner or AF/SF Advisor	When applicable, place an "X" in the appropriate box.	X
47	Name, Grade, Branch of Service, Organization, Command & Location	Enter functional examiner or Air Force/Space Force advisor signature block as of the SCOD.  (use format in example)	JOHN R. DOE Lt Col, USAF 16th Air Force (USAFE) Ramstein AB GE
48	Duty Title	Enter advisor/examiner's duty title as of the SCOD.  (use format in example)	Command Financial Manager
49	SSN	Enter the last four digits of the social security number.	1111
	Date & Signature	The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after).	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.
	SECTION XI. RE		1
I T E M	A	В	C
	Heading	Instructions	Example

51	Acronyms	Use this section to spell out uncommon acronyms alphabetically. Separate acronyms with a semicolon.	AFPC; Casualty Report (CASREP)
	SECTION XII. RA	TEE'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
I T E M	A	В	С
	Heading	Instructions	Example
52	Ratee's Acknowledgement and Date & Signature	The ratee must acknowledge receipt prior to the evaluation becoming a matter of record by signing this block. Signing does not imply concurrence, but acknowledgement. If ratee non-concurs with the evaluation, they may submit an appeal in accordance with <b>Chapter 10</b> . Non-digital: Sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign before the SCOD (only on or after). Select appropriate choice from drop down menu: Blank – ratee concurs and digitally signs evaluation.  "Not Available to Sign" – use when the ratee is incapacitated or unavailable to sign; rater or any higher evaluator in the rating chain (digitally) signs.  "Ratee Refused to Sign" – use when member refuses to sign the form; rater or any higher evaluator in the rating chain (digitally) signs.	

Table 4.11. Time-in-Grade (TIG) Senior Rater Eligibility Chart.

MSGT CHART				
If ratee is:	and EPR c/o date is:	and date of rank is:	TIG Eligible	
MSgt	1 Jan 16 - 30 Sep 16	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 15		
MSgt	1 Jan 16 - 30 Sep 16	after 1 Jul 15	NO	
MSgt	1 Oct 16 - 31 Dec 16	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 16	YES	
MSgt	1 Oct 16 - 31 Dec 16	after 1 Jul 16	NO	
MSgt	1 Jan 17 - 30 Sep 17	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 16	YES	
MSgt	1 Jan 17 - 30 Sep 17	after 1 Jul 16	NO	
MSgt	1 Oct 17 - 31 Dec 17	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 17	YES	
MSgt	1 Oct 17 - 31 Dec 17	after 1 Jul 17	NO	
MSgt	1 Jan 18 - 30 Sep 18	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 17	YES	
MSgt	1 Jan 18 - 30 Sep 18	after 1 Jul 17	NO	
MSgt	1 Oct 18 - 31 Dec 18	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 18	YES	
MSgt	1 Oct 18 - 31 Dec 18	after 1 Jul 18	NO	
MSgt	1 Jan 19 - 30 Sep 19	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 18	YES	
MSgt	1 Jan 19 - 30 Sep 19	after 1 Jul 18	NO	
MSgt	1 Oct 19 - 31 Dec 19	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 19	YES	
MSgt	1 Oct 19 - 31 Dec 19	after 1 Jul 19	NO	
MSgt	1 Jan 20 - 30 Sep 20	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 19	YES	
MSgt	1 Jan 20 - 30 Sep 20	after 1 Jul 19	NO	
MSgt	1 Oct 20 - 31 Dec 20	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 20	YES	
MSgt	1 Oct 20 - 31 Dec 20	after 1 Jul 20	NO	
MSgt	1 Jan 21 - 30 Sep 21	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 20	YES	
MSgt	1 Jan 21 - 30 Sep 21	after 1 Jul 20	NO	
MSgt	1 Oct 21 - 31 Dec 21	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 21	YES	
MSgt	1 Oct 21 - 31 Dec 21	after 1 Jul 21	NO	
MSgt	1 Jan 22 - 30 Sep 22	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 21	YES	
MSgt	1 Jan 22 - 30 Sep 22	after 1 Jul 21	NO	
MSgt	1 Oct 22 - 31 Dec 22	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 22	YES	
MSgt	1 Oct 22 - 31 Dec 22	after 1 Jul 22	NO	
MSgt	1 Jan 23 - 30 Sep 23	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 22	YES	
MSgt	1 Jan 23 - 30 Sep 23	after 1 Jul 22	NO	
MSgt	1 Oct 23 - 31 Dec 23	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 23	YES	
MSgt	1 Oct 23 - 31 Dec 23	after 1 Jul 23	NO	
MSgt	1 Jan 24 - 30 Sep 24	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 23	YES	
MSgt	1 Jan 24 - 30 Sep 24	after 1 Jul 23	NO	
MSgt	1 Oct 24 - 31 Dec 24	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 24	YES	
MSgt	1 Oct 24 - 31 Dec 24	after 1 Jul 24	NO	
MSgt	1 Jan 25 - 30 Sep 25	prior to or equal to 1 Jul 24	YES	
MSgt	1 Jan 25 - 30 Sep 25	after 1 Jul 24	NO	
SMSGT CH				
If ratee is:	and EPR c/o date is:	and date of rank is:	TIG Eligible	
SMSgt	1 Jan 16 - 31 Jul 16	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 15	YES	

SMSgt	1 Jan 16 - 31 Jul 16	after 1 Mar 15	NO
SMSgt	1 Aug 16 - 31 Dec 16	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 16	YES
SMSgt	1 Aug 16 - 31 Dec 16	after 1 Mar 16	NO
SMSgt	1 Jan 17 - 31 Jul 17	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 16	YES
SMSgt	1 Jan 17 - 31 Jul 17	after 1 Mar 16	NO
SMSgt	1 Aug 17 - 31 Dec 17	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 17	YES
SMSgt	1 Aug 17 - 31 Dec 17	after 1 Mar 17	NO
SMSgt	1 Jan 18 - 31 Jul 18	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 17	YES
SMSgt	1 Jan 18 - 31 Jul 18	after 1 Mar 17	NO
SMSgt	1 Aug 18 - 31 Dec 18	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 18	YES
SMSgt	1 Aug 18 - 31 Dec 18	after 1 Mar 18	NO
SMSgt	1 Jan 19 - 31 Jul 19	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 18	YES
SMSgt	1 Jan 19 - 31 Jul 19	after 1 Mar 18	NO
SMSgt	1 Aug 19 - 31 Dec 19	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 19	YES
SMSgt	1 Aug 19 - 31 Dec 19	after 1 Mar 19	NO
SMSgt	1 Jan 20 - 31 Jul 20	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 19	YES
SMSgt	1 Jan 20 - 31 Jul 20	after 1 Mar 19	NO
SMSgt	1 Aug 20 - 31 Dec 20	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 20	YES
SMSgt	1 Aug 20 - 31 Dec 20	after 1 Mar 20	NO
SMSgt	1 Jan 21 - 31 Jul 21	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 20	YES
SMSgt	1 Jan 21 - 31 Jul 21	after 1 Mar 20	NO
SMSgt	1 Aug 21 - 31 Dec 21	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 21	YES
SMSgt	1 Aug 21 - 31 Dec 21	after 1 Mar 21	NO
SMSgt	1 Jan 22 - 31 Jul 22	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 21	YES
SMSgt	1 Jan 22 - 31 Jul 22	after 1 Mar 21	NO
SMSgt	1 Aug 22 - 31 Dec 22	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 22	YES
SMSgt	1 Aug 22 - 31 Dec 22	after 1 Mar 22	NO
SMSgt	1 Jan 23 - 31 Jul 23	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 22	YES
SMSgt	1 Jan 23 - 31 Jul 23	after 1 Mar 22	NO
SMSgt	1 Aug 23 - 31 Dec 23	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 23	YES
SMSgt	1 Aug 23 - 31 Dec 23	after 1 Mar 23	NO
SMSgt	1 Jan 24 - 31 Jul 24	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 23	YES
SMSgt	1 Jan 24 - 31 Jul 24	after 1 Mar 23	NO
SMSgt	1 Aug 24 - 31 Dec 24	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 24	YES
SMSgt	1 Aug 24 - 31 Dec 24	after 1 Mar 24	NO
SMSgt	1 Jan 25 - 31 Jul 25	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 24	YES
SMSgt	1 Jan 25 - 31 Jul 25	after 1 Mar 24	NO
SMSgt	1 Aug 25 - 31 Dec 25	prior to or equal to 1 Mar 25	YES
SMSgt	1 Aug 25 - 31 Dec 25	after 1 Mar 25	NO
N.T. ( CD1 *	. 1 1 1 1 0 1	1	1 5 1

**Note:** This table is used for static close-out date and out-of-cycle EPRs such as Directed by Headquarters, DBC, etc.

Table 4.12. Instructions for AF Form 912, Enlisted Performance Reports (CMSgt).

	<b>Note:</b> Air Force terminology on the AF Form 912 applies to the equivalent Space Force terminology (e.g., Airman applies to Guardian, Duty Air Force Specialty Code applies to Duty Space Force Specialty Code).				
	SECTION I.	SECTION I. RATEE IDENTIFICATION DATA			
I T E	A	В	C		
M	Heading	Instructions	Example		
1	Name	Enter Last Name, First Name Middle Initial, and any suffix (e.g., JR., SR., III). If there is no middle initial, the use of "NMI" is optional. Name will be in all upper case.	DOE, JOHN L.		
2	SSN	Enter full social security number.	123456789		
3	Grade	Enter appropriate grade.	CMSgt Select, CMSgt		
4	DAFSC	Enter DAFSC held as of the "THRU" date of the evaluation, including prefix and suffix, if applicable or in the event of PCS or PCA, or if a member departs from a 365-day extended deployment, use the information as of the accounting date. 365-day extended deployments will use the TDY DAFSC.	9E000		

_	lo : .:	E	2661 E
5	Organization,	Enter information as of the SCOD or in the	366th Force
	Command and	event of PCS or PCA, or if a member departs	Support Squadron
	Location	from a 365-day extended deployment, use the	(ACC), Mountain
		information as of the accounting date.	Home AFB ID
		Nomenclature does not necessarily duplicate	002 10
			902nd Security
		goal is an accurate description of what unit,	Forces Squadron
		location and command the ratee belongs.	(AETC), Joint
		Command will be listed inside parentheses.	Base San Antonio-
		365-day extended deployments will use home station unit, "with duty at"	Randolph TX, with duty at 447 ESFS
			(USAFCENT),
		AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR	Baghdad
		Category E, information will be that of unit of	International
		attachment. Information will be in all	Airport, Baghdad,
		upper/lower case (use format in examples).	Iraq
		appende wer case (ase remain in examples).	1144
		For Airmen who support Space Force, the	HQ Air Combat
		command will be annotated as "Air Force	Command, Joint
		Material Command (AFMC)".	Base Langley-
6	PAS Code	Enter PAS code for ratee's unit of assignment as	
		of the SCOD or in the event of PCS or PCA,	
		information as of the accounting date. For those	
		assigned to a 365-day extended deployment	
		billet, use the home station PAS code.	
		AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR	
		Category E, use unit of attachment's PAS code.	
7	SRID	Enter SRID for ratee's unit of assignment as of	1LPCC
		the SCOD or in the event of PCS or PCA,	
		information as of the accounting date. For those	
		assigned to a 365-day extended deployment, use	
		the home station SRID.	
		AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR	
		Category E, SRID is that of unit of attachment.	
		<i>5 - , - , </i>	
8	Reason for Report	Select the reason for evaluation.	Annual, Biennial,
			Directed By
			Commander, or
			Directed by HAF

9	TAFMSD (RegAF) / Pay Date (ARC)	The date the member entered military service. Use date format in example.	4 Dec 2006
10	Period of Report	FROM DATE: See paragraph 4.6.  THRU DATE: 31 May of current year. This is the SCOD for the appropriate grade. See paragraph 4.7 for variations.	See paragraph 4.7 for variations.
11	Number of Days Supervision	Enter the number of days of supervision. See paragraph 4.8	365 (deduct only the authorized number of days "non-rated" in accordance with paragraph 4.8)
12	НҮТ	Use date format in example. For the ANG, enter the date the ratee turns 60 or the end date of the ratee's approved HYT waiver if obtained in accordance with AFI 36-2606, Reenlistment and Extension of Enlistment in the United States Air Force.	1 Jan 2027

I T E	SECTION III.	RATER INFORMATION B	C
			To.
			1
		Organizational Climate: See paragraph 1.8.9	
		mandatory line.	
		recommendation. May use "THIS LINE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK" as	
		encouraged when making current year Command Chief Master Sergeant (CCM)	DAFMAN 36- 2102
14	Comments	Minimum 1 line; if a referral, minimum 2 lines. Must be bullet format. Four lines highly	rewrite of
M 1 4	Heading	Instructions  Minimum 1 lines if a meformal minimum 2 lines	Example
T E			
I	A	В	C
	SECTION II.	RATER'S PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT	
		For personnel on a 365-day extended deployment, use the deployed duty title.	
		(use format in example)	
		pertaining to duty titles.	
		grade, AFSC/SFSC, and responsibility. Refer to <i>The Enlisted Force Structure</i> for guidance	
		the duty title is commensurate with the ratee's	
		If the duty title is abbreviated and entries are no clear text, spell them out. Consult with your CSS/MPF for any corrective actions. Ensure	t
		information as of the accounting date.	
		Enter the approved duty title from MilPDS as o the SCOD or in the event of PCS or PCA,	Enlisted Leader

19	Concur/non-concur	Place an "X" in only one of the blocks.	X
M	Heading	Instructions	Example
I T E	A	В	С
	SECTION IV. SEN	ENT	
18	Date & Signature	The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after).	
17	SSN	Enter the last four digits of the social security number.	2678
16	Duty Title	Enter rater's duty title as of the SCOD. However, if the airman or guardian has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or after the accounting date, use the information as of the established accounting date.  (use format in example)	Commander
15	Rater's Name, Grade, Branch of Service, Organization, Command and Location	Enter rater's information as of the close-out date. However, if the airman or guardian has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA), or if a member departs from a 365-day extended deployment on or after the accounting date, use the rater as of the established accounting date.  (use format in example)  Multiple general officers serving as evaluators are prohibited, see paragraph  1.7.1.7 for exceptions. (T-1)	JOHN J. DOE, Col, USAF 36th Air Base Wing (PACAF) For ANG: CYNTHIA A. HOLMAN, Lt Col, NCANG 145th Comptroller Flight (AMC) Charlotte ANGB NC

20	Comments	Must be bullet format. Comments are	- Restructured
		mandatory when the report is a referral or "Do	work order
		Not Retain" recommendation; otherwise, they	schedule
		are optional if comments are not used insert	
		"THIS Line Intentionally Left Blank," but	
		highly encouraged when making current year	
		Command Chief Master Sergeant nomination.	
		Organizational Climate: See paragraph 1.8.9	

21 Consider for Higher Responsibility

Select the block that accurately describes the ratee's next level of responsibility:

**READY NOW** - Select this category when CMSgts are ready to immediately assume greater responsibility in a more challenging position than currently held.

ON-TRACK - Select this category when CMSgts are excelling in their current position, demonstrating growth potential, and are ready to transition to a position in a related specialty, or at a different organizational level, at the first available opportunity.

## **CURRENT ASSIGNMENT - Select**

this category when CMSgts should remain in their current assignment for one or some of the following reasons: are not forecasted to be moved in the near-term; have not been evaluated as a CMSgt in their current position; may have a specific expertise required in-place; be in pre-defined tour lengths; or be in nominative positions.

**GROOM** - Select this category when CMSgts require additional grooming in their duty position or as a CMSgt prior to being placed in a position with greater responsibilities. These CMSgts may be ready for increased responsibilities in the future.

**DO NOT RETAIN** – Select this category when CMSgts are not recommended for retention. Do not retain recommendations constitute a referral evaluation and therefore require senior rater comments in Section II, part 1. Comments that exceed one line will require the use of an DAF Form 77.

Use drop-down function to select level of responsibility.

22	Recommended	If the senior rater marks either "Ready Now,	Use drop-down
	Future Roles (Optional)	On-Track, Current Assignment, or Groom" then	
		<b>Note:</b> Senior raters may not recommend future roles for those ratees considered "Do Not Retain" for higher responsibility.	
		Note: Senior raters will stratify all CMSgts receiving a primary vector for the current year's Command Chief Screening Board. (T-1) CMSgts being nominated will be stratified against all CMSgts under the senior rater's purview, not just those CMSgts eligible for or nominated for CCM duty. (T-1) CMSgt selects may not to be included in the total number of CMSgts under the senior rater's purview.	
		Stratification is prohibited for those CMSgts not receiving nomination for the current year's Command Chief Screening Board. CCM nominations must be accompanied by a "Ready Now" recommendation. CMSgts not receiving a "Ready Now" recommendation for higher responsibility are not eligible for a primary vector CCM duty nomination.	
		(RegAF Only) CMSgt ratees may only be nominated for CCM duty provided they meet the minimum CCM TIG requirements established by AF/A1LE for the applicable year's Command Chief Screening Board.	

23	Name, Grade, Branch of Service, Organization, Command, and Location	of the established accounting date.  (use format in example)	JOHN J. DOE, Col, USAF 10th Air Base Wing (USAFA) United States Air Force Academy, CO  For ANG: LORA M. TUREK, Col, NCANG 145th Airlift Wing (AMC) Charlotte ANGB NC	
24		Enter senior rater's duty title as of the SCOD. However, if the airman or guardian has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or after the accounting date, use the information as of the established accounting date.  (use format in example)	Wing Commander	
25	SSN	Enter the last four digits of the social security number.	1111	
26	Date & Signature	signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.	
	SECTION V. FUNCTIONAL EXAMINER/AIR FORCE ADVISOR			
I T E	A	В	C	
M	Heading	Instructions	Example	
27		When applicable, place an "X" in the appropriate box.	X	
	1	1	1	

Branch of Service, Organization, Command & However, if the airman has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or after the accountability MM date, use the rater as of the established accounting date.  29 Duty Title Enter advisor/examiner's duty title as of the SCOD. (use format in example)  30 SSN Enter the last four digits of the social security number.  31 Date & Signature Interpretation of the signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures. A combination of but is authorized. but is authorized.  31 SECTION VI. RATEE'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT  A B C Example  32 Date & Signature The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures. A combination of but is authorized. but is authorize	_	E		T
SCOD.  (use format in example)  30 SSN Enter the last four digits of the social security number.  31 Date & Signature The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures. A combination of both is authorized.  31 SECTION VI. RATEE'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT  SECTION VI. RATEE'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT  A B C C  Heading Instructions Example  Date & Signature The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after).  SECTION VII. REMARKS  SECTION VII. REMARKS  C C		Branch of Service, Organization, Command & Location	However, if the airman has a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) on or after the accountability date, use the rater as of the established accounting date.	49th Wing (ACC) Holloman AFB NM
Date & Signature   The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures. A combination of bulue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after).    SECTION VI. RATEE'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	29	Duty Title	SCOD.	Financial Manager
capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures. A combination of blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after).  SECTION VI. RATEE'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT  I A B C  M Heading Instructions	30	SSN		0002
A   B   C   C	31	Date & Signature	capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before	signatures. A combination of both is authorized.
Heading Instructions  Date & Signature  The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures. A combination of blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after).  SECTION VII. REMARKS  I  T  E  C		SECTION VI. RA	TEE'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
Heading	I T E	A	В	С
Date & Signature  The forms have digital signature and auto-date capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures. A signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before the SCOD (only on or after).  SECTION VII. REMARKS  I A  B  C	M	Heading	Instructions	Example
I A B C	32		capability. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp or type the date. Do not sign blank forms or sign before	Digital or wet signatures. A combination of both is authorized.
T E		SECTION VII. RI	EMARKS	
M Heading Instructions Example	I T E	A	В	С
	M	Heading	Instructions	Example

33	Remarks	Use this section to spell out uncommon acronyms alphabetically. Separate acronyms with semicolons.	AFPC; Casualty Report (CASREP)
	SECTION VIII. RI	EFERRAL REPORT	
I T E M	A	В	С
	Heading	Instructions	Example
34	Referral Report	Complete this section for referral evaluations only. See paragraph 1.10	
35	Name, Grade, Branch of Service of Referring Evaluator	Enter: Name, Grade, Branch of Service of referring evaluator.	JOE R. SMITH, Lt Col, USAF 49th Wing (ACC) Holloman AFB NM
36	Duty Title	Enter referring evaluator duty title.	Wing Commander
37	Signature	Signature will be "wet" signature.	
38	Date	Date will be handwritten/stamped/typed in day, month and full year format.	12 Dec 2023
39	Signature of Ratee	Signature will be "wet" signature.	

Table 4.13. The United States Air Force Band (3N2X1) and The United States Air Force Academy Band (3N3X1) Direct Reporting from Basic Military Training and Promotion to TSgt.

I T E M	If the Airman/Guardian has	then the member's Initial enlisted evaluation will begin with Date of Rank and have a close-out date of:	Examples
1	both a TAFMSD and DOR between 2 July and 30 November of the same year	the following year's TSgt SCOD	1
2	any other combination of TAFMSD and DOR	the first TSgt SCOD following their DOR	2, 3, 4

## **Examples:**

- 1. An Airman with a TAFMSD of 2 Jul 23 and DOR (E-6) of 8 Sep 23, would have an INITIAL TSgt evaluation of 8 Sep 23 30 Nov 24.
- 2. An Airman with a TAFMSD of 1 Apr 23 and DOR (E-6) of 10 Jun 23, would have an INITIAL TSgt EPR of 10 Jun 23 30 Nov 23.
- 3. An Airman with a TAFMSD of 1 Jul 19 and DOR (E-6) of 3 Sep 23, would have an INITIAL TSgt EPR of 3 Sep 23 30 Nov 23.
- 4. An Airman with a TAFMSD of 1 Oct 23 and DOR (E-6) of 3 Dec 23, would have an INITIAL TSgt EPR of 3 Dec 23 30 Nov 24.

# Retraining guidance for Airmen selected to become a 3N2 or 3N3 TSgt (e.g., from regional bands, or other Air Force Specialties):

If a member has no previous enlisted evaluations, an INITIAL report will be accomplished by the premier band with a rating period from the date they arrived at their previous duty station to the first 30 November TSgt SCOD following the new DOR (date arrived on station at premier band), regardless of where member was assigned on the accountability date. The losing unit will provide an LOE to assist in writing first TSgt EPR.

If a member has received a previous enlisted evaluation prior to becoming a 3N2 or 3N3 TSgt, an ANNUAL report will be accomplished by the premier band with a rating period immediately following their last enlisted evaluation and close out on the first 30 November TSgt SCOD following new DOR (date arrived on station at premier band), regardless of where member was assigned on the accountability date. The losing unit will provide an LOE.

**Note:** If the member was already a TSgt prior to arrival at a premier band, the unit to which they were assigned on the accountability date will maintain member on their MEL and will accomplish the 30 November enlisted evaluation.

Table 4.14. Instructions for Preparing an Enlisted ALQ Evaluation – CMSgt (RegAF and ARC only).

	ENLISTED ALQ EVALUATION				
I	A	В	С		
T E M	Heading	Instructions	Example		
1	Grade	Select appropriate grade. See paragraph 1.4.9.	CMSgt Select, CMSgt		
2	Name	Enter Last Name, First Name, Middle Initial, and any suffix (e.g., JR., SR., III). If there is no middle initial, the use of "NMI" is optional. Name will be in all upper case.	DOE, MATTHEW A.		
3	DoDID	Enter full DoDID number	1234567890		
4	Duty Title	Enter the approved duty title from MilPDS as of the SCOD or in the event of a PCS or PCA, or if a member departs from a 365-day extended deployment, use the information as of the accounting date.  If the duty title is abbreviated and entries are not clear text, spell them out. Consult with the CSS/MPF for any corrective actions. Ensure the duty title is commensurate with the ratee's grade, AFSC/SFSC, and responsibility. Refer to the <i>Enlisted Force Structure</i> for guidance pertinent to duty titles.  (use format in example)  For personnel on a 365-day extended deployment, use the deployed duty title.	Group Senior Enlisted Leader		
5	DAFSC	Enter DAFSC held as of the "THRU" date of the evaluation,	9E000		

6	Reason	including prefix and suffix, if applicable, or in the event of a PCS or PCA, or if a member departs from a 365-day extended deployment, use the information as of the accounting date. 365-day extended deployments will use the TDY DAFSC.  Select the reason for evaluation.	Annual, Biennial,
			Directed by Commander, or Directed by HAF
7	Period	"FROM" Date: See paragraph 4.6.  "THRU" Date: 31 May of	1 Jun 23 thru 31 May 24
0	D C : 1	current year. This is the SCOD for the appropriate grade. See <b>paragraph 4.7</b> for variations.	265
8	Days Supervised	Enter the number of days of supervision. See paragraph 4.8.	365
9	Days Non-Rated	Enter number of days Non-Rated (if applicable) in accordance with paragraph 1.4.11.	120
10	Organization and Command	Enter information as of close-out date, or in the event of a PCS or PCA, or if a member departs from a 365-day extended deployment, use the information as of the accounting date.  Nomenclature does not necessarily duplicate what is on the evaluation notice. The goal is an accurate description of where and to whom the ratee belongs.  Command will be listed inside parentheses. 365-day extended deployments will use the home station unit, "with duty at"  AFR only: For IMAs, PIRR and PIRR Category E, information will be that of unit of attachment. See paragraph 1.4.7.	123d Fighter Squadron (ACC)
11	Location	Enter information as of close-out date, or in the event of a PCS or	JB Langley- Eustis, VA

		PCA, or if a member departs	
		1 -	
		from a 365-day extended	
		deployment, use the information	
		as of the accounting date	
12	Duty Description	Comments in narrative format	
		are mandatory.	Supervises 2
		Enter information about the	Airmen. Authors
		position the ratee held in the unit	guidance on
		and the nature or level of job	performance
		responsibilities. The rater	evaluations.
		develops the information for this	Prepares lesson
		section.	plans for ALS
			curriculum.
		This description must reflect the	
		uniqueness of each ratee's job.	
		Be specific—include level of	
		responsibility, number of people	
		supervised, dollar value of	
		resources accountable	
		for/projects managed, etc. Make	
		it clear; use plain English. Avoid	
		jargon and topical references—	
		they obscure rather than clarify	
		meaning. Only acronyms on the	
		approved acronym list are	
		authorized.	
		Previous jobs held during the	
		reporting period may be	
		mentioned only if it impacts the	
		evaluation.	
		365-day extended deployments	
		will use the TDY duty	
		description.	
	RATER ASSESSMENT		
13	Executing the Mission	Comments are mandatory; must	See paragraph
		include at least one performance	1.3.3.2.
		statement. See paragraph	
		<b>1.6.3.11.1</b> . May use "THIS	
		SECTION NOT USED" as a	
		mandatory performance	
		statement.	
L		Statement.	

14	Landing Decade	Comments one man determinate	Caamanamanh
14	Leading People	Comments are mandatory; must include at least one performance statement. See <b>paragraph</b>	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.
		<b>1.6.3.11.2</b> . May use "THIS	
		SECTION NOT USED" as a	
		mandatory performance	
1.5		statement.	C
15	Managing Resources	Comments are mandatory; must include at least one performance	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.
		statement. See paragraph	1.3.3.2.
		<b>1.6.3.11.3</b> . May use "THIS	
		SECTION NOT USED" as a	
		mandatory performance	
		statement.	
16	Improving the Unit	Comments are mandatory; must	See paragraph
		include at least one performance	1.3.3.2.
		statement. See paragraph	
		<b>1.6.3.11.4</b> . May use "THIS	
		SECTION NOT USED" as a	
		mandatory performance	
1.5	<b>N</b> 1	statement.	
17	Mandatory Comments (Housing/Voting)	If ratee has oversight of military	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.
	(Housing/voting)	privatized housing and or is a voting assistance officer, enter	1.3.3.2.
		the appropriate statement(s).	
		Rater must also include a unique	
		performance statement(s). See	
		paragraphs 1.8.10, and 1.11.5.	
		If required, enter the applicable	
		statement(s) "The Ratee	
		exercised effective oversight of	
		military privatized housing." Or	
		"The Ratee was not effective in	
		oversight of military privatized	
		housing."	
		If required, enter a unique	
		performance statement on the	
		ratee's performance as the voting	
		assistance officer.	
18	Rater Name, Grade, and Branch	Enter rater's information as of	SUE J. DOE, Col,
	of Service	the close-out date. However, if	USAF
		the airman has a Permanent	CALLYC
1		Change of Station (PCS) or	SALLY S.

		Permanent Change of	MESAROS, SES,
		Assignment (PCA) on or after the	DAF
		accounting date, use the rater as	D/H
		of the established accounting	JEREMEY R.
		date.	DICE, GS-15,
		See paragraph 1.4.12.	DAF
		See paragraph 1.4.12.	DAI
		Multiple general officers serving	JACOB M.
		as general evaluators are	FREER, Col,
		prohibited, see paragraph	KSANG
		1.7.1.7 for exceptions.	KSANG
19	Rater Duty Title	Enter the rater's information as	Commander
19	Rater Duty Title		Commanuel
		of the SCOD. However, if the	
		airman has a Permanent Change	
		of Station (PCS) or Permanent	
		Change of Assignment (PCA) on	
		or after the accounting date, use	
		the information as of the	
		established accounting date.	
20	Rater Organization and	Enter the rater's information as	366th Fighter
	Command	of the SCOD. However, if the	Squadron (ACC)
		airman has a Permanent Change	
		of Station (PCS) or Permanent	
		Change of Assignment (PCA) or	
		if a member departs from a 365-	
		day extended deployment on or	
		after the accounting date, use the	
		information as of the established	
		accounting date.	
21	Rater Signature	The evaluations have digital	
		signature capability which	
		includes a date stamp. In the rare	
		instance where digital signatures	
		cannot be used, sign in	
		reproducible blue or black ink	
		l =	
		and handwrite, stamp, or type the	
		date next to the signature (DD	
		MMM YY).	
		Do not sion blon! farmer that 1-	
		Do not sign blank forms that do	
		not contain comments and/or	
		ratings, sign before the close-out	
		date (only on or after), or date	
		before the date the rater signed it	
		or earlier than the date of the	
		ratee's endorsement to a referral	

		letter.	
	HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER	ASSESSMENT	
22	Higher Responsibility	Select the block that accurately describes the ratee's next level of responsibility:  READY NOW - Select this category when CMSgts are ready to immediately assume greater responsibility in a more challenging position than currently held.	Use drop-down function to select level of responsibility.
		ON-TRACK - Select this category when CMSgts are excelling in their current position, demonstrating growth potential, and are ready to transition to a position in a related specialty, or at a different organizational level, at the first available opportunity.	
		CURRENT ASSIGNMENT - Select this category when CMSgts should remain in their current assignment for one or some of the following reasons: are not forecasted to be moved in the near-term; have not been evaluated as a CMSgt in their current position; may have a specific expertise required in- place; be in pre-defined tour lengths; or be in nominative positions.	
		GROOM - Select this category when CMSgts require additional grooming in their duty position or as a CMSgt prior to being placed in a position with greater responsibilities. These CMSgts may be ready for increased	

		responsibilities in the future.	
		DO NOT RETAIN – Select this category when CMSgts are not recommended for retention. Do not retain recommendations constitute a referral evaluation and therefore require senior rater comments in Section II, part 1. Comments that exceed one line will require the use of an DAF Form 77.	
23	Rater Assessment	Concur/non-concur with the rater's assessment by making the appropriate selection.	
24	Future Roles	If the senior rater marks either "Ready Now, On-Track, Current Assignment, or Groom" then select the block that accurately describes the ideal future roles (no more than two roles; first recommendation or "primary vector" has highest precedence).  Note: Senior raters may not recommend future roles for those ratees considered "Do Not Retain" for higher responsibility.  Note: Senior raters will stratify all CMSgts receiving a primary vector for the current year's Command Chief Screening Board. (T-1) CMSgts being nominated will be stratified against all CMSgts under the senior rater's purview, not just those CMSgts eligible for or nominated for CCM duty. (T-1) CMSgt selects may not be included in the total number of CMSgts under the senior rater's purview.  Stratification is prohibited for those CMSgts not receiving	Use drop-down functions to select future roles.

		nomination for the current year's Command Chief Screening Board. CCM nominations must be accompanied by a "Ready Now" recommendation. CMSgts not receiving a "Ready Now" recommendation for higher responsibility are not eligible for a primary vector CCM duty nomination.  (RegAF Only) CMSgt ratees may only be nominated for CCM duty provided they meet the minimum CCM TIG requirements established by AF/A1LE for the applicable year's Command	
25	Comment(s)	Chief Screening Board.  Comments are mandatory; must include at least one performance statement. See paragraph  1.6.3.11.1. May use "THIS SECTION NOT USED" as a mandatory performance statement.	See paragraph 1.3.3.2.
26	Higher Level Reviewer Name, Grade, and Branch of Service	For HLRs assigned on or prior to the SCOD, enter information as of the SCOD; for HLRs assigned after the SCOD, enter the information as of the date signed.  Multiple general officers serving as evaluators are prohibited. See paragraph 1.7.1.7 for exceptions.	SUE J. DOE, Col, USAF  SALLY S. MESAROS, SES, DAF  JEREMEY R. DICE, GS-15, DAF  JACOB M. FREER, Col, KSANG
27	Higher Level Reviewer Duty Title	For HLRs assigned on or prior to the SCOD, enter information as of the SCOD; for HLRs assigned after the SCOD, enter the information as of the date signed.	Commander

28	Higher Level Reviewer Organization and Command	For HLRs assigned on or prior to the SCOD, enter information as of the SCOD; for HLRs assigned after the SCOD, enter the information as of the date signed.	123d Operations Group (ACC)
29	Higher Level Reviewer Signature	The evaluations have digital signature capability which includes a date stamp. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp, or type the date next to the signature (DD MMM YY).  Do not sign blank forms that do not contain comments and/or ratings, sign before the close-out date (only on or after), or date before the date the rater signed it or earlier than the date of the ratee's endorsement to a referral letter.	
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	ictici.	
30	Comment(s)	Complete only if criteria are met for additional comments.  If not needed, state, "THIS SECTION NOT USED"	
31	Evaluator Name, Grade, and	Enter evaluator's information as	
32	Role	of the SCOD.  Enter evaluator's role.	Air Force Advisor, Functional Examiner
33	Evaluator Duty Title	Enter evaluators duty title as of the SCOD.	Financial Manager
34	Evaluator Organization and Command	Enter evaluator's information as of the SCOD.	
35	Evaluator Signature	The evaluations have digital signature capability which includes a date stamp. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink	

		and handwrite, stamp, or type the	
		date next to the signature (DD	
		MMM YY).	
		1.2	
		Do not sign blank forms that do	
		not contain comments and/or	
		ratings, sign before the close-out	
		date (only on or after), or date	
		before the date the rater signed it	
		or earlier than the date of the	
		ratee's endorsement to a referral	
		letter.	
	REFERRAL REPORT		
36	Referral Report Comments	Complete this section for referral	
	•	evaluations only. See	
		paragraph 1.10	
37	Referring Evaluator Name,	Enter the referring evaluator's	
	Grade, and Branch of Service	information as of the SCOD.	
38	Referring Evaluator Duty Title	Enter the referring evaluator's	
		information as of the SCOD.	
39	Referring Evaluator Signature	The evaluations have digital	
		signature capability which	
		includes a date stamp. In the rare	
		instance where digital signatures	
		cannot be used, sign in	
		reproducible blue or black ink	
		and handwrite, stamp, or type the	
		date next to the signature (DD	
		MMM YY).	
		Do not sign blank forms that do	
		not contain comments and/or	
		ratings, sign before the close-out	
		date (only on or after), or date	
		before the date the rater signed it	
		or earlier than the date of the	
		ratee's endorsement to a referral	
40	Data	letter.	27 Mar 2023
40	Date	Date will auto populate when	21 War 2023
41	Ratee Acknowledgement	report is signed.  The ratee must acknowledge	Digital or wet
41	Raice Acknowledgement	receipt prior to the evaluation	signatures. A
		becoming a matter of record by	combination of
		1	both is
		signing in this block. Signing the	authorized.
		evaluation does not imply	auuioiizeu.
		concurrence, but	

		acknowledgement and review of personal information on the evaluation. If the ratee nonconcurs with the evaluation, they may submit an appeal in accordance with <b>Chapter 10</b> .  The rater will suspense the ratee three duty days (30 calendar days for ARC) to sign the evaluation.  Non-digital: Handwrite, date stamp or type the date. Sign on or after the close-out date.	
43	Signature of Ratee	The evaluations have digital signature capability which includes a date stamp. In the rare instance where digital signatures cannot be used, sign in reproducible blue or black ink and handwrite, stamp, or type the date next to the signature (DD MMM YY).	
		Do not sign blank forms that do not contain comments and/or ratings, sign before the close-out date (only on or after), or date before the date the rater signed it or earlier than the date of the ratee's endorsement to a referral letter.	
44	Date	Date will auto populate when report is signed.	27 Mar 2023

**Note:** There are minor formatting differences between the PDF version of the Enlisted Performance Brief (AF Form 716) and the system generated version completed in myEval.

## Chapter 5

# **DAF FORM 77, LETTER OF EVALUATION**

**5.1. Purpose.** Letters of Evaluation (LOE) assist raters in preparing officer and enlisted evaluations and are most often used when the ratee is under the supervision of someone other than the official rater. Raters may request LOEs from deployed/TDY supervisors or former supervisors with less than 120 calendar days of supervision during the evaluation reporting period.

# 5.2. Types of LOEs.

- 5.2.1. Formal LOEs. Formal LOEs, commonly known as the mandatory LOEs, are filed in the member's official records (ARMS and PRDA). Complete mandatory LOEs for the following:
  - 5.2.1.1. Deployed Commander. Documents performance of deployed officers (RegAF, USSF, Guard, or Reserve) through the grade of colonel appointed on G-series orders to fill detachment, squadron, group, and wing/delta commander positions for at least 45 calendar days. These LOEs will not restart the officer evaluation "clock" regardless of the TDY tour length. They are considered "embedded" evaluations. Further, there is no required minimum or maximum number of days of supervision. Officers filling 365-day deployments as the detachment, squadron, group, or wing/delta commander will receive an officer evaluation in accordance with paragraph 3.9.
    - 5.2.1.1.1. A negative assessment or comments will make the LOE a referral and require additional rater comments. If the evaluation is a referral, the reverse side of the form (Section VIII) is also completed. There is no minimum number of days required for completion of a referral LOE. **Note**: A non-concur does not necessarily make the report a referral.
    - 5.2.1.1.2. Two evaluators, the rater and additional rater, will complete the DAF Form 77. **(T-1)** However, if the rater is a general officer, then the rater is considered a single evaluator and an additional rater is not required unless the report is a referral.
    - 5.2.1.1.3. The form may be typed or handwritten and completed no later than 7 calendar days after ratee relinquishes command. The goal is to ensure that the LOE is completed before returning to home station. The FROM and THRU dates are determined by the date assumed/relinquished command.
    - 5.2.1.1.4. LOEs will be accepted directly from individual officers. However, they will not be processed until the PERSCO team or the Air Force forward (AFFOR)/A1 verifies the eligibility of the officer. **(T-1)** The officer should contact their PERSCO team or AFFOR A1 to route the LOE through the appropriate channels.
  - 5.2.1.2. Deployment/Contingency Operations. Document performance for deployed personnel not assigned to a deployed commander's billet when there are 60 or more days of supervision. While an LOE is mandatory, it will not be filed in the member's official record. **Note:** When the home station rater is also the deployed rater, an LOE is not required.
    - 5.2.1.2.1. There are no official means to track LOEs in a deployed/contingency operation environment. The rater and ratee are responsible for accomplishing the LOE

- and ensuring it is forwarded to the ratee's home station rater. Contact the PERSCO team for local procedures.
- 5.2.1.2.2. An LOE may be accomplished for periods shorter than 60 days. There is no maximum number of days of supervision.
- 5.2.1.2.3. Complete LOEs no later than 7 calendar days from departure. When circumstances preclude a rater from accomplishing a LOE at the time of departure, every effort should be made to complete and provide a LOE to the home station when feasible.
- 5.2.1.2.4. Failure to receive a LOE is not grounds to appeal a future evaluation based on the absence or lack of deployment information in an evaluation.
- 5.2.1.3. PCS/PCA Departures. Document periods for ratees who will PCS/PCA prior to the SCOD. In cases where the rater departs, complete a draft enlisted evaluation to fulfill this requirement. While an LOE is mandatory, it will not be filed in the member's official record.
- 5.2.1.4. Period of Supervision. Document periods of supervision of at least 60 calendar days but not enough to require an officer evaluation, less than 120 calendar days of supervision.
- 5.2.1.5. Separation. For A1Cs or Spc3s and below with less than 36 months total active federal military service, an LOE is required for separation cases involving parenthood, conditions that interfere with military service, unsatisfactory performance, or failure in the fitness program. If the ratee is separating to go into the ARC or transferring to another branch of service, an evaluation is required. (T-1) However, for officers only, if there is less than 120 calendar days of supervision an LOE is required. See Table 5.1.
- 5.2.2. Informal LOEs. Informal LOEs, commonly known as the optional LOEs, are not filed in the member's official records/ARMS and PRDA or attached to the completed evaluation.
  - 5.2.2.1. Raters may use the information from the LOE at their discretion. When used, information may be paraphrased or directly quoted from the LOE.
- 5.2.3. Supplemental LOEs. Supplemental LOEs are filed in the member's official records (ARMS and PRDA), attached to the evaluation they are supplementing.
  - 5.2.3.1. Types of Supplemental LOEs include:
    - 5.2.3.1.1. Continuation sheet for referral evaluations.
    - 5.2.3.1.2. Continuation sheet for evaluator disagreements.
    - 5.2.3.1.3. Continuation sheet for the Air Force or Space Force Advisor.
    - 5.2.3.1.4. Continuation sheet for the Functional/Acquisition Examiner.
- 5.2.4. Administrative LOEs. Administrative LOEs are filed in the member's official records (ARMS and PRDA) to document missing, lost, removed, or voided evaluations.
  - 5.2.4.1. Administrative LOEs are not derogatory in nature.
  - 5.2.4.2. Administrative LOEs are used to justify legitimate gaps between evaluations such as:

- 5.2.4.2.1. To document a break in service. See **Table 5.1**.
- 5.2.4.2.2. To document extended periods of lost time, including prisoner status and appellate leave. Upon release, an DAF Form 77 will be accomplished by the servicing MPF or CSS. The start date will be the day after the close-out of the last evaluation and the end date will be the day the member is released from confinement. The next evaluation will begin the day after the close-out date of the LOE. See **Table 5.1**.
- 5.2.4.2.3. To document educational leaves of absence, e.g., Bootstrap and/or educational leave to a civilian institution. See **Table 5.1**.
- 5.2.4.2.4. To document a legitimate gap when the ratee was on the temporary disability retired list and later removed and returned to duty. See **Table 5.1**.
- 5.2.4.2.5. (USSF only) All enlisted Guardians who transfer to the USSF from the Army, Navy, and Marine Corps will require an administrative LOE to align with the appropriate SCOD.
- 5.2.4.3. Administrative LOEs are used to substitute lost, missing or removed evaluations such as those:
  - 5.2.4.3.1. Ordered removed by the AFBCMR, in accordance with DAFI 36-2603. See **Table 5.1**.
  - 5.2.4.3.2. Ordered removed by the ERAB in accordance with **Chapter 10**. See **Table 5.1**.
  - 5.2.4.3.3. Lost and/or missing evaluations in which all actions to locate lost and/or missing evaluations have failed. See **paragraph 1.14** for procedures and **Table 5.1** for preparation of the DAF Form 77.
- 5.2.4.4. The use of administrative LOEs must be approved by AFPC or ARPC prior to filing them into the member's official records (ARMS and PRDA).
- 5.2.5. Other Purposes. AFPC/DPMSPE may use the DAF Form 77 to document when a board specific PRF is not required or available as stated below:
  - 5.2.5.1. For officers on appellate leave or in prisoner status.
  - 5.2.5.2. For officers who enter RegAF or the Space Force directly into Air Force-level or Space Force-level training.
  - 5.2.5.3. For officers who have a break in service and reenter directly into Air Force-level or Space Force-level training.

## 5.3. Who Can Prepare.

- 5.3.1. Raters or any evaluators. Do not skip evaluators who are temporarily unavailable or to afford a higher-level evaluator the opportunity to endorse or comment on the LOE.
- 5.3.2. Personnel responsible for observing a ratee's performance when the ratee is not under the direct supervision of the designated rater.
- 5.3.3. Personnel directed to do so by the Air Force Board of Correction or ERAB.
- 5.3.4. MPF or CSS/HR specialist personnel as authorized.

#### 5.4. Administrative Practices.

- 5.4.1. LOEs will cover the period from the first day of supervision (or the day following the close-out date of the last officer evaluation, enlisted evaluation, or TR, whichever is later) through the last day of supervision.
- 5.4.2. DAF Form 77 may be typed or handwritten.
- 5.4.3. Limit comments to space provided on formal LOEs. If additional space is required on informal or supplemental LOEs, continue comments on a separate page and attach it to the LOE.
- 5.4.4. Correct minor errors using a pen or correction fluid. Corrections and/or erasures that change the meaning of a sentence must be initialed. Re-accomplish forms with excessive corrections and/or erasures. Do not use self-adhesive correction tape.
- 5.4.5. Prepare LOEs in one copy.
- 5.4.6. Prepare LOEs using bullet format only.
- 5.4.7. Prohibited Comments. See paragraph 1.12 for prohibited comments.
- 5.4.8. Raters may show an DAF Form 77 to the ratee.

## 5.5. Completing DAF Form 77, Letter of Evaluation.

- 5.5.1. See Table 5.1 for step-by-step procedures on completing all LOEs.
- 5.5.2. Deployed Commander LOEs. See paragraph 5.2.1.2.1.
- 5.5.3. Formal LOEs. See paragraph 5.2.1.
- 5.5.4. General Officer (to include selects) LOEs. See Chapter 7.

# 5.6. Routing, Updating and Disposition Responsibilities.

- 5.6.1. Informal LOEs will not be placed in the Master Personnel Record Group. For all other informal LOEs, to include deployed enlisted ANG AGR/Statutory Tour personnel, the rater/supervisor forwards the completed form to the MPF, CSS/HR specialist PERSCO team who will, in turn, forward to the ratee's new and/or designated rater.
- 5.6.2. Supplemental LOEs are required to be attached to the evaluation they are supplementing and will be made a matter of record. They will be placed in the OSR/SNCO selection record attached to the documents they are supplementing. A copy will be forwarded to ARMS and PRDA. (T-1)
- 5.6.3. Administrative LOEs are required to be placed in the OSR or SNCO selection record, ARMS and PRDA to substitute a missing evaluation or explain a gap between evaluations. The preparing agency forwards the original to the OSR or SNCO selection record, ARMS, and PRDA. Perform any updates if required.
- 5.6.4. For all other LOEs not listed above, contact AFPC/DPMSPE or ARPC/DPT for procedures and/or further guidance.

# 5.7. MPF, CSS/HR Specialist, and PERSCO Team Responsibilities.

- 5.7.1. Quality review LOEs and take corrective action if appropriate.
- 5.7.2. When applicable, make appropriate updates and forward the LOE to the rater pending the next evaluation.
- 5.7.3. Provide LOEs to the member's rater for use in preparing the next performance evaluation or training report. LOEs closing during the period of the performance evaluation will accompany the evaluation notice through the rating chain and remain with the notice and evaluation until received by the MPF.
- 5.7.4. Forward LOEs to the member's gaining MPF or CSS/HR specialist when the member departs PCS, and no evaluation was required prior to departure.
- 5.7.5. Give the LOE to the member upon separation, retirement, or completion of the next performance evaluation. **Note:** LOEs closing during the period of the performance evaluation will accompany the evaluation notice through the rating chain and remain with the notice and evaluation until received by the MPF or CSS/HR specialist. Once the MPF or CSS/HR specialist determines the evaluation is acceptable for processing to file, they return the LOE to the ratee.
- 5.7.6. PERSCO Team Specific Responsibilities.
  - 5.7.6.1. Identifies raters' and ratees' projected departure dates to AFFOR/A1, works with AFFOR/A1 to review and validate the list of commanders they service on G-series orders, and establish tracking and suspense control for all deployed commander LOEs at the deployed location. See paragraph 5.6 for disposition of completed LOEs.
  - 5.7.6.2. Provide the deployed rating chain the G-series order number and date for LOE preparation.
  - 5.7.6.3. Upon receipt of final LOEs from deployed rating chain, verify if an Air Force or Space Force advisor is required and forward to the Air Force or Space Force advisor if required.
  - 5.7.6.4. Final disposition of completed deployed commander LOEs.
    - 5.7.6.4.1. Digitally signed LOEs: Upload the completed DAF Form 77 according to the Personnel Services Delivery Guide and submit to AFPC/ARPC for transmission to ARMS and PRDA.
    - 5.7.6.4.2. Wet signature LOEs. PERSCO teams upload the completed DAF Form 77 according to the Personnel Services Delivery Guide. PERSCO teams without system access will mail the completed DAF Form 77 to AFPC/DPMSPE, 550 C Street West Suite 7, Joint Base San Antonio-Randolph, TX 78150. When the servicing PERSCO team is not collocated with the rater, the rater will mail the form to AFPC/DPMSPE. If in a location where there is no mailing capability, PERSCO teams will place the completed form in a pre-addressed envelope and seal it. The ratee, rater, PERSCO team member, or trusted agent will be allowed to hand-carry and mail the form at first opportunity.

## 5.7.7. Additional Processing Responsibilities.

#### 5.7.7.1. AFPC/DPMSPE

- 5.7.7.1.1. Upon receipt of the DAF Form 77, AFPC/DPMSPE will validate the form and update MilPDS for RegAF or Space Force officers and send to ARMS and PRDA.
- 5.7.7.1.2. If it is determined that the officer is not eligible at any time in the process, then the LOE will be changed to "Optional" and forwarded to member's home unit rater.
- 5.7.7.1.3. For RegAF or USSF officers, AFPC/DPMSPE forwards original, digitally signed LOEs to ARMS and PRDA. For colonels, AFPC/DPMSPE sends "wet" signed LOEs to ARMS and PRDA, AF/A1LO or SF/S1L, and either mail or email a scanned copy to the respective MAJCOM/FLDCOM and MPF, if applicable.
- 5.7.7.1.4. For ARC officers, AFPC/DPMSPE forwards the original to ARPC/DPT, who will then be responsible for distribution and/or update to applicable organizations, depending on component and status.

## 5.7.7.2. ARPC/DPT and AF/A1LO.

- 5.7.7.2.1. Will coordinate with AFPC/DPMSPE to identify officers meeting upcoming promotion boards.
- 5.7.7.2.2. Will conduct a quality control review of all deployed commander LOEs, process through ARMS and PRDA, and file the LOE in the officer's OSR.
- 5.7.7.3. ARMS. Once a deployed commander LOE is received, it will be stored in ARMS.
- 5.7.7.4. MAJCOM, FLDCOM or Combatant/Component Command. Responsible for designating the AF advisor (must be a colonel or above) when the final evaluator for a deployed commander LOE is not an AF officer or Department of the Air Force official.

Table 5.1. Instructions for Completing the DAF Form 77, Letter of Evaluation. See Note 5.

FIFICATION DATA
Instructions
Enter Last Name, First Name, Middle Initial and JR., SR., III,
etc. Use of "NMI" (no middle initial) is optional. The name
will be in all upper case.
Enter the Social Security Number.
Drop Down Menu. Select the appropriate grade. See <b>Note 1</b> .
Enter the Duty Air Force Specialty Code or Duty Space Force
Specialty Code held as of the <i>THRU</i> date of the evaluation to
include prefix and suffix.
Enter the approved duty title as of the <i>THRU</i> date of the
evaluation.
Deployed CC LOE only. If applicable, enter the
operation/contingency name ratee was deployed in support of.
(e.g., Operation ENDURING FREEDOM).
ISTRUCTIONS
Instructions
Drop Down Menu.
For formal/informal LOEs, enter: Letter of Evaluation
For supplemental sheets, enter: Supplemental Sheet
For acquisition examiner, functional examiner, Air Force or
Space Force advisor, enter: Acquisition Examiner, Functional
Examiner, Air Force or Space Force Advisor
For administrative LOE: leave blank.
STRUCTIONS
Instructions
T
From Date: Enter the date supervision began
Thru Date: Enter the date supervision ended
Drop Down Menu. Select either Mandatory or Optional. See
Table 5.2.
Deployed CC LOE Only. Drop Down Menu. Select either,
Detachment CC, Squadron CC, Group CC, or Wing CC.
Deployed CC LOE Only. Enter the number of consecutive days
served in the deployed commander position, on G-series orders.
Deployed CC LOE Only. Enter the G-series order number.

Date of Order	Deployed CC LOE Only. Enter the date of the G-series order.
SECTION III. DEPLOYED	COMMANDER ASSESSMENT (For Deployed CCs Only)
<b>Item/Description</b>	Instructions
Officer Satisfactorily	Deployed CC LOE Only. Select "Yes" if the officer
Completed Their Deployed	satisfactorily completed their deployed commander tour. Select
Command Tour	"No" if completion was unsatisfactory. If "No," the report must
	be referred.
SECTION IV. COMMENTS	S/ IMPACT ON MISSION ACCOMPLISHMENT
<b>Item/Description</b>	Instructions
Comments Area	This section is prepared by the deployed rater and the focus of
	the evaluation should be on what the officer did and on the
	officer's leadership, team building, and problem-solving
	abilities in accomplishing the mission. Limit comments to
	space provided on formal LOEs. If additional space is required
	on informal or supplemental LOEs, continue comments on a
	separate page and attach it to the LOE. Comments must be in
	bullet format. See paragraph 1.12 for prohibited comments;
	paragraph 1.11 and Notes 5 and 6 for mandatory comments;
	and paragraph 1.10 for referral procedures.
SECTION V. RATER IDEN	TIFICATION DATA (See Note 3)
Item/Description	Instructions
Name, Grade, Branch of	Enter evaluator identification as of the close-out date.
Service, Organization,	
Command, Location	
Duty Title	Enter authorized deployed duty title.
Date	Digital signatures will auto-date form. If not available
	handwrite, type or stamp. Do not date before close-out date.
Social Security Number	Enter last four of the evaluator's social security number
Signature	Digitally Sign. If digital capability is unavailable, wet sign in
	reproducible blue or black ink. Do not sign before the close-out
	date.
	AL RATER (Deployed CC Letter of Evaluation Only)
Item/Description	Instructions
Concur/Non-concur Boxes	Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If non-concur is marked,
	explain the reason for the non-concurrence in the comments
	area.
Comments Area	Insert comments only if referral or to document non-
	concurrence. Referral LOEs must contain the applicable
	mandatory statement in accordance with paragraph
N. C. I. D. I. C.	1.10.5.3.2.2.
Name, Grade, Branch of	Enter the name in all uppercase. Enter evaluator identification
Service, Organization,	in upper/lower or all upper case. All information will be as of
Command, Location	the close-out date. See <b>Note 3</b> .
Duty Title	Enter the duty title as of the close-out.
Date	Digital signatures will auto-date form. If not available
	handwrite, type or stamp. Do not date before close-out date.

Social Security Number	Enter last four of the evaluator's social security number		
Signature	Digitally Sign. If digital capability is unavailable, wet sign in		
	reproducible blue or black ink. Do not sign before the close-out		
	date.		
SECTION VII. RATEE'S A	CKNOWLEDGEMENT		
<b>Item/Description</b>	Instructions		
I understand my signature	Drop Down Menu. If ratee is unavailable or refuses to sign,		
does not constitute agreement	select the applicable statement, "Ratee Unavailable to Sign" and		
or disagreement	"Ratee Declined to Sign." In this case the rater or additional		
	rater in the rating chain may sign for the ratee.		
Signature	Digitally Sign. If digital capability is unavailable or the LOE is		
	a referral, wet sign in in reproducible blue or black ink. Do not		
	sign before the close-out date.		
Date	Digital signatures will auto-date form. If not available		
	handwrite, type or stamp. Do not date before close-out date.		
	L REPORT (Deployed CC LOE Only) (All other referral		
_	cedures as outlined in Chapter 5. The DAF Form 77 is		
	al memorandum directly on the form.)		
Item/Description	Instructions		
I am referring.	State specifically what comments make the LOE a referral.		
Send Comments to	Enter the grade and name of the referring evaluator's deployed		
Name, Grade, Branch of	rater.  Enter evaluator identification as of the close-out date. See <b>Note</b>		
Service of Referring	3. If the evaluator named in this section is the additional rater,		
Evaluator	Section VI will be completed in accordance with <b>paragraph</b>		
Lvaruator	1.10.		
Duty Title	Enter the duty title as of the close-out date.		
Date	Dates will be handwritten, typed or stamped. Do not date		
	before the close-out date. The ratee has 3 duty days (30		
	calendar days for ANG/AFR) to submit comments and the		
	rebuttal. All supporting documentation is limited to a total of		
	10 pages, 5 pages front and back.		
Signature	Wet sign in reproducible blue or black ink. Do not sign before		
	the close-out date.		
	L REPORT (Deployed CC LOE Only)		
Item/Description	Instructions		
Signature of Ratee	Signature is for acknowledging receipt. It does not constitute		
	agreement or disagreement. Wet sign in reproducible blue or		
7	black ink. Do not sign before the close-out date.		
Date	Date may be handwritten, typed or stamped. Do not date before		
CECCEON IV DEPENDANT	close-out date.		
	REVIEWER (Deployed CC Letter of Evaluation Only. Used		
Only if Additional Rater Refers the letter of evaluation or as authorized by AFPC/DP3SP)			
Item/Description Ratee Did/Did Not Submit	Instructions  Dlagg on "Y" in the engrapsiste hav		
Ratee Did/Did Not Submit	Place an "X" in the appropriate box.		

Comments		
I Do/Do Not Concur With	Place an "X" in the appropriate box.	
Assessment		
Comments Area	Insert comments for non-concurrence only.	
Name, Grade, Branch of	Enter evaluator identification as of close-out.	
Service, Organization,	See Note 3.	
Command, Location		
Duty Title	Enter the duty title as of the close-out date.	
Date	Date may be handwritten, typed or stamped. Do not date before	
	close-out date.	
Social Security Number	Enter only the last four of the evaluator's social security number	
Signature	Wet sign in reproducible blue or black ink. Do not sign before	
	the close-out date.	
SECTION X. ACQUISTION OR FUNCTIONAL EXAMINER/AIR FORCE OR SPACE		
FORCE ADVISOR REVIEW	(Used only as applicable)	
<b>Item/Description</b>	Instructions	
Acquisition Examiner	Place an "X" in the applicable box.	
Functional Examiner	Place an "X" in the applicable box.	
Air Force or Space Force	See Note 4.	
Advisor		
Name, Grade, Branch of	Enter evaluator identification as of close-out. See <b>Note 3</b> .	
Service, Organization,		
Command, Location		
Signature	Digitally Sign. If digital capability is unavailable or if LOE is a	
	referral, wet sign in reproducible blue or black ink. Do not sign	
	before the close-out date.	
Date	Digital signatures will auto-date form. If not available or	
	referral handwrite, type or stamp. Do not date before close-out	
	date.	

- 1. Grade Data. Use the information below to determine the appropriate grade entry. For:
- a. Officers. Enter the active duty grade in which serving on the close-out date. If the ratee has been frocked, enter actual grade, not the grade the member is wearing.
- b. Non-Extended Active Duty ANG and AFR Officers. Enter grade in which serving and "Non-Extended Active Duty." When an officer awaiting federal recognition of a unit vacancy promotion to a higher grade is due an evaluation, show the officer's federally recognized grade as of the close-out date of the evaluation, not the projected grade.
- c. All Active Guard Reserve (AGR) on Extended Active Duty under 10 U.S.C. §§ 10211, 10305, 12310, 12402 or 32 U.S.C. § 708. Enter grade in which serving and "AGR". LEAD officers on Extended Active Duty under 10 U.S.C. § 12301(d), enter grade in which serving and "LEAD".
- 2. FROM and THRU Dates. Use the criteria below to establish the correct date to use:
- a. On all LOEs, the FROM date is the first day of supervision or observation; the day following the close-out of the last evaluation or TR whichever is later; or if there is not previous evaluation, the extended active duty or total active federal military service date.
- b. On informal LOEs, the THRU date is the last day of supervision or observation.

- c. On formal LOEs, the THRU date is the day before the effective date (departure date) of the PCS, PCA, temporary duty action, or the day before the commander's written notice of a planned separation in accordance with DAFI 36-3211.
- 3. Signatures and Dates.
- a. Sign and date the original form. Do not sign or date before the close-out date. Enter only the last four digits of the evaluator's social security number. If the evaluator is a civilian or a member of a foreign service, the social security number is not required.
- b. Upon Senate confirmation, colonels on the brigadier general select list are permitted to sign all Officer Evaluation System forms as "Brig Gen (Sel)" provided they are either designated by their respective management level as a senior rater or they are assigned to an authorized, funded or unfunded, brigadier general officer position, frocked or not.
- c. Upon Senate confirmation, brigadier generals on the major general select list are permitted to sign all Officer Evaluation System forms as "Maj Gen (Sel)" provided that they are either evaluating other general officers or are assigned to an authorized, funded or unfunded, major general officer position, frocked or not.
- d. Upon Senate confirmation, all general officer selects, assigned to joint billets or unified commands, may sign all Officer Evaluation System forms as "NAME, Brig Gen (Sel), USAF".
- e. Any LOE closing out prior to the senate confirmation date will not reflect the "Select (Sel)" and, if necessary, be forwarded up the chain for endorsement. In addition, all frocked general officers are authorized to sign all Officer Evaluation System forms in their frocked grade without designating their frocked status (e.g., major general vice major general "frocked").
- 4. The examiner/advisor may provide clarification about the ratee's duty performance, elaborate on types of functions ratee performs (advisor), or clarify acquisition-related considerations (examiner), and explain any uncommon phrases or terms. Limit comments to the space provided. See **paragraph 1.6.8** to determine when an acquisition/functional examiner/AF or SF advisor is required.
- 5. Gaps and Unrated Periods between Evaluations. See DAFI 36-2608.
- a. Documenting Unrated Periods between Officer Evaluations. Complete an DAF Form 77 with the inclusive dates of the unrated period. Enter the statement "Prior-service enlistee (or officer) not rated for the above period," in Section IV of the DAF Form 77. When an officer enters the Air Force or Space Force from another service, prepare a DAF Form 77 to cover the period between the close-out date of the officer's last performance evaluation in the other service and the date of entry into the Air Force or Space Force. The servicing MPF prepares the DAF Form 77 and forwards a copy to the custodian of the SNCO selection record, Officer Command Selection Record Group (OCSRG), OSR, and ARMS and PRDA. The servicing MPF informs the officer of the preparation and filing of the DAF Form 77. Responsibility for the preparation of the DAF Form 77 is as follows:
- (1) ARPC for individuals recalled under 10 U.S.C. §§ 10301, 10211, 12301(d), 12310, 10305, 8038 and 12402; US Property and Fiscal Officers recalls under 32 U.S.C. § 708; and recalls to serve with the Selective Service.
- (2) The losing ARC MPF, if assigned to nonparticipating status:
- (a) For Reservists. ARPC/DPTSE documents voids in records for periods of service for officers assigned to a reserve section, voids caused by a Guard officer moving from one state to another, and voids caused when a member's federal recognition date is not the day following the close-out of their last officer evaluation.
- (b) For unit recalls, the servicing MPF or CSS prepares the DAF Form 77.

- b. For Individuals with Prior Service with Previous Evaluations. When the ratee, including an enlistee with prior service, has previous performance evaluations on file but has gaps in ratings due to the breaks in military service, the FROM date becomes the day after the close-out date of the last evaluation prepared. Enter the statement "Prior-service enlistee (or officer) not rated for the above period" in Section IV of the DAF Form 77. For the THRU date:
- (1) Update the day before the extended active duty date in the system for active duty personnel.
- (2) Update the day before the assignment begins in the system for non-active duty SrA and above.
- (3) For enlisted members, project the annual evaluation one year from their extended active duty date, unless the ratee does not have at least 20 months total active federal military service on the extended active duty date; in this case, close out the evaluation when the ratee completes 20 months total active federal military service as an initial evaluation. **Exception:** A DBH evaluation is required for promotion consideration. For ARC, less than 20 months date initial entry uniformed services.
- (4) For officers, project the annual evaluation one year from their extended active duty date. **Exception:** A DBH evaluation is required for promotion consideration.
- c. For individuals with prior service, but no earlier evaluations. When an individual with prior service has no evaluations reports on file, the period of the DAF Form 77 begins with the ratee's total active federal military service date (Enlisted) or extended active duty date (Officers) and closes out the DAF Form 77 one day before the reentry to extended active duty which is reflected in the system.
- (1) Enter the statement "Prior-service enlistee (or officer) not rated for the above period," in Section IV of the DAF Form 77.
- (2) For enlisted members, update the system with rating code "PB" (Not rated (break in service)) and the close-out date. For officers, forward the DAF Form 77 to the Master Personnel Record Group custodian, for routing and distribution.
- (3) For enlisted members, project the evaluation to the next static close-out date unless the ratee does not have at least 20 months total active federal military service on the extended active duty date; in this case, close-out the evaluation when the ratee completes 20 months total active federal military service, as an initial evaluation.
- (4) For officers, project the annual evaluation one year from their extended active duty date. **Exception:** A DBH evaluation is required for promotion consideration.
- d. Restored to Regular Active Duty. A release from active duty that has been voided by the Board for Correction of Military Records and the ratee has been ordered back to active duty. AFPC/DPMSP will prepare the DAF Form 77. Enter the statement: "No evaluation available for the period (date) through (date). Officer restored to regular active duty by direction of the Secretary of the Air Force," in Section IV of the DAF Form 77.
- e. Lost Time, Confinement or Prisoner Status, or Appellate Leave. To document extended periods of lost time, including military and/or civilian confinement, prisoner status and appellate leave, the member's servicing MPF or CSS will prepare the DAF Form 77. Enter the statement: "No evaluation available for the period (date) through (date). No evaluation required in accordance with DAFI 36-2406," in Section IV of the DAF Form 77.
- f. Hospitalizations/Convalescent and/or Casual/Patient Status. To document unrated periods on individuals who are in full-time student (functional category "L") or, hospitalizations, periods of convalescent and/or casual/patient status, enter the statement: "No evaluation available for the period (date) through (date). No evaluation required in accordance with DAFI 36-2406," in

Section IV of the DAF Form 77.

- g. Educational Leave of Absences. To document unrated periods on individuals who are on an educational leave of absences (e.g., Bootstrap and/or educational leave to a civilian institution), the period will be from the time the individual started the educational program through when the member returned to the unit (subtracting any ordinary leave). Section II A will have marked "Supplemental Sheet." No other areas will be marked on the DAF Form 77. The DAF Form 77 will be signed ("wet") by no lower than the unit commander of the members' assigned unit. Enter the statement: "Educational Leave of Absence from (date) through (date). No evaluation required in accordance with DAFI 36-2406" in Section IV of the DAF Form 77. The next evaluation period will start the day after the thru date on the DAF Form 77.
- h. Temporary Disability Retired List. To document an unrated period when the ratee was on the Temporary Disability Retired List; then removed and returned to active duty (Temporary Disability Retired List removal and return to active duty is prepared by AFPC/DPSDD) enter the statement: "No evaluation for the period (date) through (date). Officer not rated due to placement on the Temporary Disability Retired List," in Section IV of the DAF Form 77.
- i. AFBCMR Directed. Board actions taken by the AFBCMR under DAFI 36-2603, will enter the statement: "Not rated for the above period. Evaluation removed by the order of the SecAF," in Section IV of the DAF Form 77.
- j. ERAB Directed. Board actions taken by the ERAB in accordance with **Chapter 10** will enter the statement: (USAF) "Not rated for the above period. Evaluation removed by order of the Chief of Staff, USAF," in Section IV of the DAF Form 77; (USSF) "Not rated for the above period. Evaluation removed by order of the Chief of Space Operations, USSF," in Section IV of the DAF Form 77.
- k. Lost and/or Missing Evaluations. See **paragraph 1.14** for procedures. For lost and/or missing evaluations in which all actions to find/recover have failed, use the DAF Form 77 as a substitute for a missing evaluation. Complete the name, social security number, and grade blocks in section I. Mark the "Supplemental Sheet" block and complete the FROM and THRU blocks in section II. Enter the statement: "No evaluation available for the period (date) through (date) for administrative reasons which were not the fault of the member. The system [reflects an overall rating of "X"]/ [does not reflect an overall rating] in Section IV of the DAF Form 77. 6. When an DAF Form 77 is used for other than performance evaluations, the HR specialist
- 6. When an DAF Form 77 is used for other than performance evaluations, the HR specialist enters their information in the signature block and signs in Section IV.

Table 5.2. When to submit a Letter of Evaluation.

R					
U	When to Prepare a Letter of	Туре	File in	Mandatory	Optional
Ĺ	Evaluation	- J P -	MPerRGp	J	P
E			Yes/No		
1	Deployed Commander Letter of	Formal	Yes	X	
	Evaluation. See <b>Note 1</b> .				
2		Formal	Yes	X	
3	(CRO) due to the PCS/PCA of the ratee or rater; and the ratee is an	Informal (not filed in the permanent record)	No	X	
4	Officer - CRO due to the PCS/PCA of the ratee or rater with any days of supervision. See <b>Note 2</b> .  Enlisted - CRO due to the PCS/PCA of the ratee or rater with any days of supervision.  Enlisted AFR personnel when the		No No	X	
5	rater departs PCS.		110		
6	RegAF or USSF officer and enlisted personnel when deployed in support of contingency operations. See <b>Note 2</b> .		No	X	

7	ANG personnel when deployed in support of contingency operations.		No	X	
8	Supplemental Letter of Evaluation. See <b>Note 4</b> .	Supplemental	Yes	X	
9	Administrative Letter of Evaluation. See <b>Note 5</b> .	Administrative	Yes	X	
10	(Lt Col and below), not covered above are optional; however, they	filed in the	No		X

- 1. Deployed Commander LOE. Prepare for officers (in the grade of colonel and below) deployed in support of contingency operations to fill detachment, squadron, group, delta, and wing commander requirements. Tour length of deployment to fill commander requirement must be 45 calendar days or more. If a commander is forward deployed to fill another commander requirement at a different location, they may receive more than one LOE provided the minimum 45 calendar day requirement is met at each location. The commander must be designated on G-Series orders. **Exception**: Commanders filling 365-day extended deployment billets will have an officer evaluation accomplished if: (RegAF and ARC only) deployed at the commander's respective SCOD; or, (USSF only) an evaluation becomes due while deployed.
- 2. Supervision Requirements. A minimum of 60 calendar days and not more than 120 calendar days supervision is required. Deployed personnel not covered in Rule 1, or deployed personnel not filling a 365-day extended deployment, require a minimum of 60 calendar days supervision. However, supervision may be greater than 120 calendar days, depending on how long the member is deployed and/or extended. The close-out date will be one day prior to the member's departure date.
- 3. Prepare when required by DAFI 36-3211.
- 4. Supplemental LOEs are required to be attached to the document they are supplementing and will be filed in the Master Personnel Record Group with that document.
- 5. Administrative LOEs are filed in the Master Personnel Record Group for informational purposes, to explain gaps in records, missing evaluations, breaks in service, etc.
- 6. If the ratee has less than 20 months total active federal military service and comments in the LOE are referral in nature, only an informal LOE is authorized. The comments from this LOE may be included in the ratee's initial evaluation.

## Chapter 6

## AF FORM 475, EDUCATION/TRAINING REPORT

# 6.1. When to Use Training Reports (TR).

- 6.1.1. Submissions are mandatory (See **Table 6.2**.):
  - 6.1.1.1. Upon completion or interruption of, or elimination from formal training or education when the scheduled course length is eight weeks or more or as authorized in this chapter when the specific course is less than eight weeks (Chaplain or Medical Programs, Squadron Officer School, and Commissioned Officer Training); AFR Air Reserve Technicians (ART) and ANG Military Technicians attending formal training or education in civilian status receive a TR and credit in the civilian evaluation system. **Note**: Only training of 20 weeks or more will be updated in MilPDS and restart the next evaluation inclusive dates. **(T-3)** 
    - 6.1.1.1.1. If the interruption or elimination from training was of no fault of the officer, a TR will be completed if the officer was enrolled in training for 10 duty days or more to document performance. If the officer was enrolled in training for 9 duty days or less, a TR is not required. However, a memorandum for record will be produced by the training squadron commander stating the interruption or elimination was of no fault of the officer and they are eligible to attend the training, provided they continue to meet the requirements.
    - 6.1.1.1.2. If the officer is at fault regarding the interruption or elimination from training, a TR is required regardless of length of time enrolled in training.
  - 6.1.1.2. Enlisted. AF Form 475s are not authorized for enlisted members.
  - 6.1.1.3. For self-paced courses, when the prescribed course length is eight weeks or more, regardless of the time actually required to complete the course.
  - 6.1.1.4. At the end of each academic year, unless the course completion date is within four months of the annual TR. The academic year for officers attending law school under Funded Legal Education Program or the Excess Leave Program ends after the officer's summer internship training.
  - 6.1.1.5. For personnel participating in the World Class Athlete Program, one year from beginning training, then annually until training is completed or member is eliminated from training.
  - 6.1.1.6. Reserve Chaplain Candidates. At the end of each active duty training tour of 10 days or more and processed as prescribed by AFRC.
  - 6.1.1.7. Member is assigned to a full-time degree program through the Air Force Institute of Technology. Requirements are the same as in effect for officers in attendance. The rater on the TR is designated by the commandant of each Department of the Air Force school or the detachment commander. The designee must serve in a grade equal to or higher than the ratee.
  - 6.1.1.8. Interrogator Duty Training. Members fulfilling these requirements must complete six months of training with the US Army prior to departing for the actual deployment.

Therefore, students attending Interrogator Training are administratively assigned to the 314th Training Squadron, Fort Huachuca, for the 23-week program. These evaluations will be updated in MilPDS.

- 6.1.2. Submission for Advanced Academic Degree Subsequent Completion.
  - 6.1.2.1. Upon completion of advanced academic degrees, a member who left full-time student status prior to completing thesis or dissertation degree requirements may request to have a TR filed in his or her record. Member must meet the following eligibility criteria to reflect degree completion:
    - 6.1.2.1.1. The member was assigned to a full-time degree program through the Air Force Institute of Technology. **(T-3)**
    - 6.1.2.1.2. The member completed all but the thesis or dissertation portion of the degree program. **(T-3)**
    - 6.1.2.1.3. The member has a previous AF Form 475 in the Master Personnel Record Group that clearly identifies the reason for non-completion as," Thesis or dissertation not completed during an Air Force Institute of Technology tour," in accordance with **Table 6.1.** (**T-3**)
    - 6.1.2.1.4. The member completed the degree requirements of the Air Force Institute of Technology program in which they were originally enrolled. **(T-3)**
    - 6.1.2.1.5. The officer documented degree completion through Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT) channels (verified via a MilPDS inquiry). **(T-3)**
  - 6.1.2.2. The member who meets the above criteria is responsible for submitting an official transcript to AFIT/RRE requesting completion of a TR.
- 6.1.3. Directed Submission. When directed by HAF or HSF, for courses 8 weeks or longer.
- 6.1.4. AFIT Master's Degree Students and Other Long School Students. Students will receive one final TR upon completion of a course 18 months or less. **Exception:** Above the promotion zone students will receive DBH TRs (as required) for their applicable central selection boards. AFIT PhD students will receive a mid-course and final training report. If a student is disenrolled for unsatisfactory progress or eliminated/withdrawn for other reasons, a TR is rendered when the member is reassigned. In addition, consider DBC referral TRs if a student does not meet standards in an area other than training progress.
- 6.1.5. Air National Guard and Air Force Reserve.
  - 6.1.5.1. Students completing initial skills training courses will not receive a TR. It is a total force policy, and the same consistent rules apply.
  - 6.1.5.2. Students completing training (not initial training) courses 20 weeks or longer in duration will receive a TR.
  - 6.1.5.3. Students taking advanced or supplemental courses longer than 20 weeks will receive a TR.
  - 6.1.5.4. There are no special or unique distribution instructions for Guard or Reserve members on TRs. The same procedures used to process performance evaluations will be used to process TRs.

## 6.2. Who Prepares Training Reports.

- 6.2.1. The officer designated by the commandant of each Department of the Air Force school or the commander of each Air Reserve squadron. The designee must be serving in a grade equal to or higher than the ratee, except for TRs submitted under paragraph 6.2.2. (T-1)
- 6.2.2. In exceptional cases, the student's commander and a military training institution may mutually agree on an evaluator (civilian or military) not under the jurisdiction of the unit of assignment. An official of a civilian institution will not sign or submit a TR. (T-1)
- 6.2.3. The education services officer may complete a TR only when they are the rater.
- 6.2.4. AFIT personnel prepare TRs for officers under the Funded Legal Education Program or Excess Leave Program. The staff judge advocate of the student's assigned unit for internship training may prepare an optional LOE and submit it to AFIT at the end of each summer internship.
- 6.2.5. Graduate School of Engineering and Management, AFIT, prepares TRs for officers participating in the PhD. program during both the academic and the research phases. During the research phase, sponsoring laboratory and research facility personnel may prepare an optional LOE and submit it to AFIT.
- 6.2.6. AFIT standardizes TRs that document completion of advanced academic degrees received after leaving AFIT full-time student status, if all the criteria listed in **paragraph 6.2.2** are met.
- 6.2.7. AFIT personnel prepare TRs on officers in graduate level study programs that are 26 weeks or longer. The evaluator may communicate directly with the institution to obtain the information required to prepare the evaluation. See **Table 6.1** for recording adverse actions.
- 6.2.8. Commissioned Officer Training School personnel prepare TRs for officers who complete Commissioned Officer Training School.
- 6.2.9. The Headquarters Air Force Services Agency Commander prepares TRs on members participating in the World Class Athlete Program.

# 6.3. Referral Training Reports. See paragraph 1.10.6.4.

## 6.4. Routing and Responsibilities.

- 6.4.1. For officers attending school in TDY status:
  - 6.4.1.1. The school prepares the TR, performs a quality review, and makes distribution as follows:
    - 6.4.1.1.1. Forward the original to AFPC/DPMSPE (ADL) or ARPC/DPMSPE (Reserve Active Status List [RASL]), who files the TR into the Master Personnel Record Group and updates MilPDS. For judge advocates (lieutenant colonel and below), forward a copy of the TR to HAF/JAX.
  - 6.4.1.2. TRs on extended active duty officers are due to AFPC 60 calendar days after evaluation close-out date. (T-2) AGR and LEAD officers' evaluations are due to ARPC/DPTSE 60 calendar days after the close-out date. (T-2)

- 6.4.1.3. TRs on non-extended active duty officers are due to ARPC/DPTSE 60 calendar days after evaluation close-out date. (T-2)
- 6.4.2. For officers attending school in PCS status:
  - 6.4.2.1. The school prepares the TR and forwards the original to AFPC/DPMSPE, ATTN: Evaluations Operations, 550 C Street West, Joint Base San Antonio, TX 78150.
  - 6.4.2.2. TRs are due to AFPC 60 calendar days after evaluation close-out date (120 calendar days for AFIT/civilian institution programs).
- 6.4.3. For non-extended active ANG officers, send TRs to the servicing MPF for quality review, adding of opening dates and AFSCs. The MPF will distribute the completed original TR to ARPC/DPTSE and copies to the OCSRG and State Adjutant General not later than 60 calendar days after close-out date.
- 6.4.4. AFIT/RRE will forward the completed TR that documents subsequent completion of an advanced academic degree to all appropriate agencies for filing in the Master Personnel Record Group. The TR will be filed based on the signature date of the AF Form 475, not with the original AF Form 475 that indicated non completion of the advanced academic degree.

Table 6.1. Instructions for Completing AF Form 475, Training Report (Officers Only).

Note	e: Air Force terminolo	ogy applies to the Space Force equivalent (e.g., Airman applies to
Gua	rdian, Air Force Speci	alty Code applies to Space Force Specialty Code, etc.)
SEC	TION I. Identificati	on Data (See Notes 1 and 2)
I	A	В
T		
$\mathbf{E}$	Item	Instructions
M	To Complete	
1	Name	Enter Last Name, First Name Middle Initial, and Jr., Sr., etc. Use of "NMI" when there is no middle initial is not mandatory. The name will be in all upper case.
2	Social Security Number	Enter social security number.
3	Grade	Select grade
4	Duty Air Force Specialty Code	Enter Duty Air Force Specialty Code held as of the THRU date of the TR. Include prefix and suffix.
5	Organization, Command, and Location	Enter organization data. For Squadron Officer School students and Officer Training Students enter the organizational data for Squadron Officer School and Officer Training School.
6	Period of Report	See Table 6.2.

7	Length of Course	For all formal training or education, enter number of weeks (rounded down to the nearest whole week and followed by the word "weeks") of the scheduled training or education. Use scheduled length of training even if the officer completes a self-paced course early, course completion is delayed, the officer is temporarily held beyond the actual course/training completion date, or the officer is eliminated from training (see <b>Note 3</b> and <b>Note 9</b> ).
8	Reason for Report	Place an "X" in the appropriate box (see <b>Note 4</b> ).
9	Name and Location of School or Institution	Enter required information (see Note 5).
10	Name or Title of Course	Enter title of major subject or problems presented or discussed.
SEC	TION II. Report Data	
I	A	В
T E M	Evaluation Report Data	Complete only the applicable items in this section; leave non-applicable items blank.
1	AFSC/Aero Rating/Degree Awarded	Enter AFSC, aeronautical rating, or degree awarded.
2	Completion	Place an "X" in the box, if applicable.
3	Distinguished Graduate (DG)	Place an "X," if appropriate, in the "Yes" or "No DG Program" block on final TR. Leave item blank if DG program exists and ratee did not receive such a designation.
4	DG Award Criteria/Course Non- completion Reason	Enter DG Award Criteria or Course Non-completion Reason. For a student designated as a DG in item 3, provide the criteria (Example: Top 10 percent of class or grade point average above 3.5) (see Note 6.)
SEC'	TION III. Comments	
I	A	В
T E M	Item To Complete	Instructions
1	Academic Training Accomplishments	Do include comments if the ratee received recognition for specific or above average achievement, such as designation as a DG. Do not make promotion/developmental education recommendations (see <b>Notes 7</b> and <b>8</b> ).

2	Professional Qualities	Comments are mandatory concerning general attitude, military bearing, appearance, conduct, and fitness. When an evaluator cannot observe professional qualities due to geographic separation (e.g., civilian institution AFIT students), include the statement, "Ratee is geographically separated from evaluator," in the "Professional Qualities" block of section III. Do not make promotion/developmental education recommendations (see <b>Notes</b> 7 and 8).	
3	Other Comments	Section may be used to clearly identify uncommon acronyms or other information outside the training environment (e.g., performance during the inclusive periods).	
SEC	TION IV - Evaluator		
I	A	В	
T E M	Item To Complete	Instructions	
1	Evaluator Data	Enter information required and command of assignment for evaluator in the spaces provided. Sign the original (copies: sign, initial, or stamp SIGNED). Do not sign or date an evaluation before the close-out date. The grade and duty title must coincide with those held on the close-out date of the evaluation. Enter only the last four digits of the social security number. If the evaluator is	

- 1. See TR notice for ratee identification data. If any data is incorrect, notify the MPF or CSS/HR specialist for correction.
- 2. See **Table 6.2** for FROM and THRU areas.
- 3. For AFR selective service officers attending a National Security Seminar, leave blank.
- 4. Use the following guidelines in determining the reason for the TR:
- a. Final. On completion of, interruption by official orders of, or elimination for any reason from scheduled course/training program, or when released by the training organization.
- b. Annual. At the end of each academic year, except for final year, for officers in extended programs. When the graduation date is within four calendar months of the annual evaluation, submit a final TR in place of the annual TR.
- c. Directed. When directed by HAF or HSF or an appropriate commander for extended active duty officers or AFR officers not on extended active duty, or NGB for ANG officers not on extended active duty. TRs will reflect "Directed."
- 5. For AFR officers in selective service performing their annual active duty tour for training through attendance at a National Security Seminar, enter "National Security Seminar" and location.
- 6. If the student has failed to complete the course of training, use one of the following phrases and indicate whether the elimination was due to factors over which the student did or did not have control (if derogatory comments are used, the TR must be referred):
- a. Withdrawn without prejudice for the needs of the Air Force or Space Force (only used for those in training for 10 duty days (or more) and training was interrupted or the officer was eliminated due to no fault of their own).
- b. Withdrawn for humanitarian reasons (only used for those in training for 10 duty days (or more) and training was interrupted or the officer was eliminated due to no fault of their own).
- c. Eliminated for academic deficiency.
- d. Eliminated for flying deficiency.
- e. Eliminated for physical reasons.
- f. Eliminated for fear of flying.
- g. Eliminated for manifestation of apprehension.
- h. Eliminated for instructor non-adaptability.
- i. Eliminated for skill or aptitude deficiency.
- Voluntary self-elimination.
- k. Physical fitness failure.
- l. Thesis or dissertation not completed during AFIT tour.
- m. If none of the above reasons apply, state the reason. To explain further, also enter "See Comments," and explain in the appropriate comment section.
- 7. The following entries are mandatory when applicable:
- a. Comments regarding court-martial convictions.
- b. Comments regarding elimination or interruption of training by official orders, citing specific reason when possible.
- c. Comments mandatory for AFR selective service officers: enter "Officer is attending this section of National Security Seminar as their annual short tour." **Note:** Although not mandatory for inclusion, evaluators are strongly encouraged to consider making comments on TRs regarding adverse actions such as Article 15s, letters of reprimand, admonishment or counseling, or control roster action.
- 8. Comments are standardized on TRs prepared by AFIT/RRE.
- 9. Hold evaluations for students who complete a course early (**Example:** Self-paced course) until the course supervisor determines whether the student is a distinguished or outstanding graduate. The THRU date on the TR is the date the officer completes the course, not the date the school determines the officer is a distinguished or outstanding graduate.

Table 6.2. When to Prepare AF Form 475, Training Report (T-1).

R	A	В	C
U L E	If the member is attending	and education or training is	then the information management tool (IMT) is
1	A degree granting academic education program through AFIT.	any length. See <b>Notes 1 and 2</b> .	filed in Officer Command Selection
3	Developmental Education, In-Residence: (Air Force) Primary Developmental Education (PDE), Intermediate Developmental Education (IDE), Senior Developmental Education (SDE)  (Space Force) Primary Level Education (PLE), Intermediate Level Education (ILE), Senior Level Education (SLE)	8 weeks or more, but less than 20 weeks. See <b>Note 4</b> .  20 weeks or more. See <b>Note 1</b> .	Record (OCSRG), Senior Noncommissio ned Selection Record Group (NSRG) and Master Personnel Record Group (MPerRGp). See Note 3
4	The National Security Seminar for all selective service AFR officers not on extended active duty, (AFR Officers only).		
5	A course or series of courses considered initial training in a utilization field. See <b>Note 6</b> .	1	
6		20 weeks or more. See <b>Notes 1</b> and <b>8</b> .	
7	A direct commissioning program, such as Commissioned Officer Training. See <b>Note 6</b> .	8 weeks or less	
8	The World Class Athlete Program. See <b>Note 11</b> .	any length. See <b>Note 1</b> .	
9	The Air Force Intern Program. See <b>Note 7</b> .	20 weeks or more. See <b>Note 1</b> .	
10	The Reserve Chaplains Program, (AFR Officers only).	10 days or more. See <b>Note 8</b> .	filed in the OSR at ARPC/

11	The Chaplain Candidate Program, (AF Officers only).	R active duty tour of 10 days or more. See <b>Notes 1 and 9</b> .	DPTS
12		8 weeks or less	
13	Training or education not covered above. See <b>Note 10</b> .	8 weeks or more but less Than 20 weeks. See <b>Notes 4</b> and <b>8</b>	filed in OCSRG,
14		20 weeks or more. See <b>Notes 1</b> and <b>8</b> .	NSRG and MPerRGp. See Note 3
15	Interrogator Duty Training.	23 weeks or more. See <b>Note</b> 12.	-

- 1. TRs prepared under this rule begin the day following the THRU date of the student's last officer evaluation or TR unless it is an initial TR. For initial TRs, the FROM date is: the date of officer's entry on extended active duty or start of the current AGR/LEAD assignment; or the date of the first federally recognized appointment for ANG students not on extended active duty; or for AFR students not on extended active duty, the date of the last assignment to the Ready Reserve position presently held. The THRU date is the date the training or course ends or when the officer is released by the training organization. **Example:** A student has an officer evaluation that closed out on 1 July 2023 and attends a course beginning on 6 August 2023. The course graduated on 5 August 2024. The period of evaluation should be 2 July 2023 to 5 August 2024. In the event the officer remains in casual status with the training organization, the period of the evaluation will be to the date the officer is released. AFR Air Reserve Technicians (ART) and ANG Military Technicians attending formal training or education in civilian status receive TRs and credit in the civilian evaluation system. **Note:** For course lengths, refer to the Air Force Education and Training Course Announcements at site https://usaf.dps.mil/teams/app10-etca/sitepages/home.aspx, or other appropriate directive.
- 2. Do not accomplish TRs on students in the Education Leave of Absence Program in TDY status unless course length is 26 weeks or more.
- 3. The OCSRG is not maintained on lieutenants or non-promotion eligible captains on the ADL.
- 4. TRs prepared under this rule cover a period independent of the ratee's officer evaluation period. Therefore, it is not necessary to prepare an officer evaluation solely because the officer is going to school. Use the following period of report: FROM date is the course start date; and the THRU date is the date of completion, interruption, or elimination from formal training or education training. **Example:** A ratee had an officer evaluation that closed out on 1 Nov 2023 and attends a course from 1 January 2024 to 1 Apr 2024. The AF Form 475 covers the period from 1 January 2024 to 1 Apr 2024. The ratee's next officer evaluation will have a FROM date of 2 November 2023 and the time the officer is absent will be subtracted from the period of supervision on the next officer evaluation. AFR Air Reserve Technicians and ANG Military Technicians attending formal training or education in civilian status receive TRs and credit in the civilian evaluation system. **Note:** For course lengths, refer to the Air Force Education and Training Course Announcements at site https://usaf.dps.mil/teams/app10-etca/sitepages/home.aspx, or other appropriate directive.

- 5. Includes Undergraduate Pilot Training, Student Undergraduate Pilot Training, Undergraduate Navigator Training, and Student Undergraduate Navigator Training, Undergraduate Space and Missile Training, Aircraft Maintenance Officer Course and other entry-level courses (as determined by the MAJCOM or FLDCOM). Officials at MAJCOM or FLDCOM HQs and HAF or HSF are responsible for the course content and curriculum and determine if the course is initial qualification. **Note:** Officers in the second year of AF/XO-sponsored Nuclear Technology Fellows Program, working in their primary specialty, and health profession officers who are in-utilization training for one year or more will have an officer evaluation versus a TR. AF/XO and AF/SG will determine the rating chain for the identified officers and in coordination with AFPC/DP3SP, will determine which positions will be designated senior rater for these officers. These nuclear technology fellows and health profession officers still remain students in training status. This guidance affects officer evaluations only; (RegAF and ARC only) it has no impact on the requirement for narrative only PRFs for the officers in training.
- 6. This training applies to judge advocates, chaplains, and medical officers.
- 7. Annual, Directed, and Final TRs, as appropriate, will be prepared at the end of each training phase.
- a. Annual TRs will be prepared by the sponsoring organization for interns in Phase IIIA; they will close-out on 30 Jun.
- b. Directed TRs will be prepared by the sponsoring organization for interns in Phase IIIB who opt to complete a master's degree or elect a third rotation; TRs will cover the period 1 Jul to 31 Dec.
- c. Final TRs will be prepared by the sponsoring organization for interns who opt for a post-training assignment upon completion of Phase IIIB or who opt for and complete a third rotation.
- 8. For self-paced formal Air Force or Space Force training courses when the prescribed course length is eight weeks or more, regardless of the time actually required to complete the course.
- 9. AF Form 475 on chaplain candidates are prepared and processed as prescribed by ARPC. ARPC/DPTSE will file chaplain AF Forms 475 in the selection folder.
- 10. This is generally training designed to upgrade or enhance an officer's qualification in a utilization field. Includes initial qualification in a weapon system for officers qualified in that utilization field. **Example:** Pilots undergoing initial F-15 training would be evaluated under this rule.
- 11. For members participating in the World Class Athlete Program, one year from beginning training, then annually until training is completed or member is eliminated from training.
- 12. Members fulfilling these requirements must complete six months of training with the US Army prior to departing for the actual deployment. Therefore, students attending Interrogator Training are administratively assigned to the 314th Training Squadron, Fort Huachuca, for the 23-week program. These evaluations will be updated in MilPDS.

## Chapter 7

## **GENERAL OFFICER EVALUATIONS**

**7.1. Overview.** This chapter covers procedures for completing DAF Form 78, *Department of the Air Force General Officer Promotion Recommendation*. It applies to all RegAF, Space Force, and Reserve brigadier generals and major generals, (to include selects) except State Adjutant Generals.

## 7.2. Forms Used.

- 7.2.1. For brigadier and major generals (to include Senate confirmed selects and frocked), use DAF Form 78. See **Table 7.1**.
- 7.2.2. Use DAF Form 77 to document performance and potential and to provide that information to the management level. See **Table 7.2**. It is also used to document performance of general officers/selectees who are serving in a TDY status for more than 60 but less than 179 calendar days. General officers/selectees that are serving in a TDY status for more than 180 calendar days receive an DAF Form 78. See **Table 7.1**.

## 7.3. Reasons for Reports.

- 7.3.1. Annual Reports. Brigadier general (including Senate confirmed selects) reports close-out 31 July; non extended active-duty brigadier general (including Senate confirmed selects) reports close-out 31 May.
- 7.3.2. Change of Reporting Official Reports (CRO). In the event a CRO occurs, and there are at least 60 calendar days of supervision, a CRO report is optional if the CRO occurs outside 60 calendar days from the annual requirement with the approval of AF/A1LG or SF/S1L (AF/REG for non-extended active duty officers). A CRO is any close-out date other than the SCOD (31 July for brigadier generals and Senate confirmed selects.
- 7.3.3. Directed by HAF or HSF Reports. AF/A1LG or SF/S1L (AF/REG for non-extended active duty officers) may direct general officer (GO) reports at any time, regardless of the days of supervision.
- 7.3.4. Directed by NGB Reports. NGB-GO may direct GO reports at any time, regardless of the days of supervision.
- 7.3.5. Officers Selected and Confirmed for Brigadier General. This report covers the period of supervision since the member's last report as a colonel and transitions the member to the brigadier general annual report cycle. Use the Colonel SCOD when the selected member's report is due prior to Senate confirmation and prior to the brigadier general SCOD. This report will count for the entire calendar year. See paragraph 7.4.8 for further details.

#### 7.4. General Instructions.

7.4.1. Who receives reports. Brigadier generals (including Senate confirmed selects) will receive at least one DAF Form 78 per calendar year. **(T-1)** If a CRO occurs between January and the general officer SCOD (31 July for brigadier generals and Senate confirmed selects) coordinate with AF/A1LG or SF/S1L to determine appropriate procedures.

- 7.4.2. General Officers selected for Major General. Once a GO is selected for promotion to major general, completion of the report is optional. Remove the GO from the Management Control Group.
- 7.4.3. General Officers Who Have Applied for Retirement. If the GO is a brigadier general and is eligible for promotion consideration to major general and the approved retirement date is more than 90 calendar days from the promotion board convening date, a report is mandatory. If the brigadier general is promotion eligible and the approved retirement date is within 90 calendar days of the major general board convening date, remove the GO from the management control group.
  - 7.4.3.1. Write a report if a GO withdraws their retirement. The report will close-out on the appropriate current cycle performance report close-out date. (T-1)
  - 7.4.3.2. Make a promotion recommendation on DAF Form 78, block 15, only if the member withdraws their retirement within 90 calendar days prior to the annual cycle close-out date.
- 7.4.4. General officers with dual responsibilities in separate management levels. The ratee's management level of administrative assignment controls the promotion recommendation (or evaluation). However, any of the ratees' supervisors may submit appropriate communications to the management level for consideration.
  - 7.4.4.1. Use the ratee's duty effective date and the annual cycle close-out date to determine the management level of administrative assignment.
  - 7.4.4.2. Any member of the ratee's rating chain (in either management level) may submit appropriate communications to the endorsing official for consideration.
- 7.4.5. Officers Removed for Cause. Document the reason an officer was removed from duty for cause in the appropriate annual or CRO report. Contact AF/A1LG or SF/S1L (AF/REG for non-extended active duty officers, or NGB-GO for Air National Guard of the United States general officers.
- 7.4.6. General officers reassigned to a new management level during the evaluation process (includes command resignations). If the GO is reassigned to a new management level within 60 calendar days before or after the annual cycle close-out date, either the gaining or losing management level completes the endorser portion (block 16) on the DAF Form 78. Both management levels must agree on which management level will function as the endorsing official. (T-1) HAF/A1 and AF/A1LG or SF/S1 and SF/S1L (AF/REG for non-extended active duty officers) must concur with the decision. (T-1) If a CRO occurs within the period 60 to 90 calendar days before the annual cycle closes out and the ratee changes management levels during this period, the losing management level completes the CRO report (do not complete block 15). Follow the directions in the next subparagraphs to determine who completes the final endorsement and/or promotion recommendation.
  - 7.4.6.1. If the ratee worked directly for the losing management level, then the losing management level completes blocks 1-15 of the DAF Form 78. The gaining management level will complete the remaining portion, to include the final endorsement or promotion recommendation. (T-1)

- 7.4.6.2. If the ratee did not work directly for the losing management level, then the losing rater completes the rater portion of the DAF Form 78 (through block 15) and forwards it to the losing management level. The losing management level completes a mandatory DAF Form 77, attaches it to the DAF Form 78 and forwards both forms to the gaining management level for completion, to include the final endorsement or promotion recommendation.
- 7.4.7. General officers reassigned within the current management level during the evaluation process. If the GO moves within 90 days of the appropriate annual cycle close-out date and the officer's management level does not change, the rater completes a CRO report (minimum 90 calendar days supervision). This report will serve in place of the annual report. (T-1) Provide the report to the management level for completion of blocks 15 through 19 (on promotion-eligible officers) or blocks 16 through 19 (not promotion-eligible). The management level will complete the report upon the annual cycle close-out date along with other annual reports on officers in the same control group. (T-1) If a CRO occurs within the period 60 to 90 calendar days before the annual cycle closes out and the ratee does not change management levels during this period (e.g., rater departs PCS or ratee changes jobs within management level, the rater completes a CRO report and the management level holds the report until the end of the annual cycle. The CRO report will serve as the annual report. (T-1)
- 7.4.8. Officers Selected and Confirmed for Brigadier General.
  - 7.4.8.1. When promotion to brigadier general is publicly announced by AF/A1LG or SF/S1L (AF/REG for non-extended active duty officers) as Senate confirmed, prepare an DAF Form 78.
  - 7.4.8.2. If the member's last performance report as a colonel closes out before the annual brigadier general cycle (31 Jul or 31 May for non-extended active duty), the member's next performance report will close-out 31 Jul, or 31 May for non-extended active duty, unless a CRO or DBH report is required. (T-1) The member's next report will comply with paragraph 7.3. (T-1)
  - 7.4.8.3. Use an officer ALQ evaluation (RegAF and ARC) or AF Form 707 (USSF) when the selected member's evaluation is due prior to Senate confirmation and prior to the brigadier general SCOD. This report will count for the entire calendar year. (T-1)
  - 7.4.8.4. Forward reports within 30 calendar days of the close-out to: AF/A1LG for extended active duty officers; NGB-GO for ANG officers; AF/REG for reserve officers; and S1L for USSF officers.
- **7.5. Processing General Officer Evaluations.** Email all digitally signed GO evaluations to AF/A1LG or SF/S1L for update in MilPDS and upload into the member's record in ARMS and PRDA.
  - 7.5.1. Extended Active Duty Officers Assigned to an Air Force Activity. In activities with a director of personnel (A1/S1) function (e.g., MAJCOMs/FLDCOMS), the A1/S1 ensures evaluators complete all reports correctly and forwards them to AF/A1LG or SF/S1L within 30 calendar days of the report close-out date.

- 7.5.2. Extended Active Duty Officers Assigned to Air Force Secretariat, Air Staff, or Non-AF Activities. For activities not serviced by an Air Force A1/S1, AF/A1LG or SF/S1L assists executive officers with the preparation of the DAF Form 78.
- 7.5.3. Air Force Reserve General Officers. Send reports to AF/REG within 30 calendar days of the report close-out date.
- 7.5.4. Air National Guard General Officers. Send reports to NGB-GO within 30 calendar days of the report close-out date.
- 7.5.5. When a Report Becomes a Matter of Record. Once the Chief of Staff, United States Air Force reviews the report and AF/A1LG accepts the report for file, the report becomes a matter of record. For the Air National Guard general officers, the report becomes a matter of record when NGB-GO accepts the report for file. For non-extended active-duty officers, the report becomes a matter of record when AF/REG accepts the report for file. For Space Force officers, the report becomes a matter of record once the Chief of Space Operations, United States Space Force, reviews the report and SF/S1L accepts the report for file.
- 7.5.6. Release of Reports to Ratees by Reporting, Reviewing, and Endorsing Officials. The management level should provide a copy of the completed report to the ratee. The rater, reviewing official or management level (at their discretion) should discuss its contents with the ratee. Ratees may access copies of their reports via ARMS and PRDA or request copies from AF/A1LG or SF/S1L. Offices of primary responsibility are NGB-GO for ANG general officers, or AF/REG for non-Extended Active Duty officers. Advise ratees a report is not considered a matter of record until it is reviewed by CSAF or CSO (does not apply to ANG GO or AFR reports) and filed in the selection record.
- 7.5.7. AF/A1LG and SF/S1L maintains all extended active duty performance reports with close-out dates on or after 1 February 1991. **Note:** AF Forms 71, 77, and 78 that closed out on or before 31 January 1991 are not available for review. They were rendered under an express promise of confidentiality and are exempt from release under the Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act.

Table 7.1. Instructions for Completing DAF Form 78, Department of the Air Force General Officer Promotion Recommendation.

A	В	С
To Complete		Instructions
Block	Item	
1	Name	Self-Explanatory.
2	Social Security	
	Number	
3	Grade	Enter the appropriate grade and include the status if the ratee is a
		selectee frocked. For example, Brig Gen (Sel) or Brig Gen.
4	Duty Title	Self-Explanatory.
5	Organization	
6	Total Active	
	Federal Military	
	Service (TAFSC)	

	T	
	/Total Federal	
	Commissioned	
	Service Date	
	(TFCSD)/Total	
	Years' Service	
	Date (TYSD)	
7	Mandatory	
	Retirement Date	
	(MRD)/Mandatory	
	Separation Date	
	(MSD)/Date of	
	Separation	
8	Reason	
9	Fitness	Check appropriate block regarding member's most recent, current fitness assessment. Only mark the exempt block if the member is exempt from all components of the fitness assessment.
10	"FROM" Date	Members selected to brigadier general and publicly announced by AF/A1LG or SF/S1L as confirmed: The report opens on the day following the close-out of the colonel's previous report. Subsequent general officer reports will open the day following the close-out date of the previous report.
	"THRU" Date	Brigadier general reports (includes brigadier general selectees and those frocked to brigadier general) will close-out 31 July (31 May non-extended active duty) unless a CRO or DBH or NGB report is necessary.

11	Rater's Comments	Comments will be typed in plain language (narrative) format in Times New Roman, 12 pitch font and limited to 350 characters. Include comments concerning the ratee's personal and professional characteristics with emphasis on the ratee's potential to assume a higher grade or increased responsibilities. Also, consider ratee's success in contributing to a healthy organizational climate, or command climate (if ratee is a commander). As supporting rationale, identify specific jobs where the ratee could be used in a higher grade. If not being recommended for promotion but is being recommended for further service in the ratee's current grade, identify options for future use. If an officer is the subject of a substantiated allegation, complaint, or investigation, or if the officer was removed from duty for cause, use this section to address the issue(s). Do not consider or comment on marital status or the employment, educational activities, or volunteer service activities of the ratee's spouse. As applicable, include comments on achievements in implementing the recommendations of the Secretary of Defense's Report to the President on Defense Management of July 1989.
12	Rater's ID (name, grade, and duty title)	Major general selectees may, once confirmed by the Senate, sign the DAF Form 78 as a selectee. See <b>Table 7.1</b> notes. Do not date or sign prior to the THRU date.
13	Signature	Digital Signature.
14	Date	Date of signature will auto populate.
15a	Promotion Recommendation	For Brigadier Generals: Block 15a will be completed on all brigadier general and brigadier general selects. 10 U.S.C § 619, Eligibility for Consideration for Promotion: Time in Grade and Other Requirements requires that all officers have at least one year time in grade to be considered for promotion. If the brigadier general or brigadier general select will have one year time-in-grade as of the board convening date mark "ELIGIBLE FOR PROMOTION THIS CYCLE." If the brigadier general or brigadier general select will not have one year time-in-grade as a brigadier general as of the board convening date mark "NOT ELIGIBLE FOR PROMOTION THIS CYCLE." If the brigadier general has an approved retirement on file mark "RETIREMENT." Contact AF/A1LG or SF/S1L for any questions regarding the board convening date.

15b	Numerical Grade	Complete this block for brigadier generals only if "Eligible For Promotion This Cycle" is checked in block 15a. The exception to this rule is for officers who are approved for retirement. 10
		U.S.C § 619, Eligibility for Consideration for Promotion: Time
		in Grade and Other Requirements "requires officers who have an
		approved date of separation 90 or more days from the date the
		board convenes are eligible for promotion consideration." If an
		officer has a date of separation within 90 days of the board
		convening date, do not complete this block. If the date of
		separation is 90 or more days from the convening date the officer
		must be considered and block 15b must be completed.
16	Comments	See instructions for block 11 (this table). Comments will be
		typed in plain language (narrative) format in Times New Roman,
		12 pitch font and limited to 250 characters. If the rater is also the
		management level, use block 11 to enter comments or type "The
		rater is also the endorsing official," in block 16.
17	Endorser's ID	Do not sign or date prior to the "TO" date. This block will still
	(name, grade, and	be completed if marked "The rater is also the endorsing official."
	duty title)	
18	Signature	Digital Signature.
19	Date	Date of signature will auto populate.

Table 7.2. Instructions for DAF Form 77 for General Officers.

A	В	C
To Complete		
Sec	Block	
I	Name	In all upper-case letters, enter last name, first name middle initial, and JR., SR., etc. Use of "NMI" when there is no middle initial is optional.
	Social Security	Enter social security number.
	Grade	Select the appropriate grade. See <b>Notes</b> .
	Duty Air Force Specialty Code or Duty Space Force Specialty Code	Enter "90G0."
	Duty Title or Title of Additional Duty	Enter the approved duty title as of the THRU date of the evaluation.

	Deployed Location or Named Operation	Deployed CC LOE only. If applicable, enter the operation/contingency name ratee was deployed in support of. (e.g., Operation ENDURING FREEDOM).
ПА	Type of Report	Drop Down Menu. For Formal/Informal LOEs, enter: Letter of Evaluation;
		For Supplemental Sheets, enter: Supplemental Sheet;
		For Acquisition Examiner, Functional Examiner, AF or SF Advisor, enter: Acquisition Examiner, Functional Examiner, AF or SF Advisor
		For Administrative LOEs, leave blank.
IIB	Report Dates	Enter the dates as they appear on the DAF Form 78. If a TDY rating official is rendering a report because of the ratee's TDY of 90 days or more, enter the inclusive dates of the TDY.
	"Report is"	Drop Down Menu. Select either "Mandatory" or "Optional." See <b>Table 5.2</b> . If the DAF Form 77 will be attached to the AF Form 78 or is being rendered by a TDY rating official resulting from the ratee's TDY of 60 calendar days or more, mark the box entitled, "Mandatory." All other AF Forms 77 are optional.
	Level of Deployed CC Duties Performed	Deployed Commander LOE only. Drop Down Menu. Select either Det CC, Squadron CC, Group CC, or Wing CC.
	Number of Days in CC Position	Deployed Commander LOE Only. Enter the number of consecutive days served in the deployed commander position, on G-series orders.
	G-Series Order Number/Date of	Deployed Commander LOE Only. Enter the G-Series Order Number.
	Order	Deployed Commander LOE Only. Enter the date of the G-Series Order.
III	Deployed Commander Assessment	Deployed Commander LOE Only. Select "Yes" if the officer satisfactorily completed their deployed commander tour. Select "No" if completion was unsatisfactory. If "No," the report must be referred.

IV	Comments	Hand-write comments in dark blue or black ink. Limit comments to the space provided. Include comments concerning personal and professional characteristics with emphasis on potential to assume a higher grade or increased responsibilities. Also, consider ratee's success in contributing to a healthy organizational climate, or command climate (if ratee is a commander). As supporting rationale, identify specific jobs where the ratee could be used in a higher grade. If not being recommended for promotion but is being recommended for further service in the ratee's current grade, identify options for future use. If an officer is the subject of a substantiated allegation, complaint, or investigation, or if the officer was removed from duty for cause, use this section to address the issue(s). Do not consider or comment on the marital status or the employment, educational activities, or volunteer service activities of the ratee's spouse. As applicable, include comments on achievements in implementing the recommendations of the Secretary of Defense's Report to the President on Defense Management of July 1989.
IV	Evaluator Data	Information will be as of the THRU date of the report. Sign original on or after THRU date. Once the U.S. Senate confirms the promotion, major general selectees may sign the DAF Form 77 as a selectee. See <b>Notes</b> . Remaining blocks are self-explanatory.

**Notes**: (Brigadier and Major General "(Sel)"/"Frocked" signing Officer Evaluation System forms)

- a. Once Senate confirmed, colonels on the brigadier general select list are permitted to sign all Officer Evaluation System forms as "(Sel)" provided that they are either designated by their respective management level as a senior rater or they are assigned to an authorized brigadier general officer position.
- b. Once Senate confirmed, brigadier generals on the major general select list are permitted to sign all Officer Evaluation System forms as "(Sel)" provided that they are either evaluating other general officers or are assigned to an authorized Maj Gen officer position.
- c. Frocked general officers are authorized to sign all Officer Evaluation System forms in their frocked grade without designating their "Frocked" status (e.g., major general vice major general "Frocked").
- d. Once Senate confirmed, all general officer selects assigned to joint billets or unified commands may sign all Officer Evaluation System forms as "(Sel)".

# Chapter 8

# (REGAF AND ARC ONLY) PROMOTION RECOMMENDATION AND MANAGEMENT LEVEL REVIEW (MLR) PROCESS

## 8.1. AF Form 709 (for ADL officers).

8.1.1. Purpose. The purpose of the promotion recommendation process is to provide performance-based differentiation to assist central selection boards. The AF Form 709, *Promotion Recommendation (PRF)*, is used for promotion purposes only. **Note:** Except for paragraphs 8.1.3.1.1–8.1.3.2.1.2, 8.1.3.2.3–8.1.3.2.6.4, 8.2 and 8.6, this chapter does not pertain to ARC officers who are not on the ADL.

# 8.1.2. Types of PRFs:

- 8.1.2.1. Narrative-Only PRFs. The losing senior rater completes these on all lieutenant colonels and below. **Exception:** Not required for majors who are lieutenant colonel selects, or lieutenant colonels who are colonel selects departing PCS for a school (e.g., developmental education, AFIT, or other AF-level training programs as described by **paragraph 8.3.5.2**) or PCA/PCS to patient status. Complete narrative-only PRFs regardless of promotion zone/promotion opportunity. Do not complete PRFs on lieutenants or captains who will have less than four years' time-in-grade as a captain upon completion of schooling. **Exception:** For medical corps and dental corps officers only, complete narrative-only PRFs regardless of their current grade, date of rank or promotion selection status, due to the possibilities of their continual long term training status. See **paragraph 8.1.5.6**. **Note:** In the rare case where a PRF is required for colonels and colonel or lieutenant colonel selects while in a student status, the senior rater prior to the officer's departure to developmental education will write the PRF.
- 8.1.2.2. Recommendation-Only PRFs. The Air Force Student MLR President completes these for all officers who are eligible for consideration by that review. Attach the recommendation-only PRF to the narrative-only PRF and file both in the OSR. See paragraph 8.1.5.6.
- 8.1.2.3. Regular PRFs. An eligible officer's senior rater completes the PRF no earlier than 60 calendar days prior to the central selection board for which the officer is promotion eligible (PRF cutoff date) and awards one of three recommendations (or four recommendations for officers in the grade of colonel only):
  - 8.1.2.3.1. A "Definitely Promote This Board" recommendation (for colonel only). The strength of the ratee's performance and performance-based potential warrants promotion in the board in which the officer is eligible for promotion.
  - 8.1.2.3.2. A "Definitely Promote" (DP) recommendation. The strength of the ratee's performance and performance-based potential warrants promotion.
  - 8.1.2.3.3. A "Promote" recommendation means the ratee is qualified for promotion and should compete on the basis of performance, performance-based potential, and other considerations such as duty history, developmental education, advanced degrees, etc.

- 8.1.2.3.4. A "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation. The strength of the ratee's performance and performance-based potential does not warrant promotion by the central selection boards for which the officer is eligible. A senior rater must make comments explaining to the central selection boards why the officer should not be promoted. (T-1) Comments must focus on the substandard behavior of the officer and, if desired, the punishment received. (T-1)
- 8.1.3. Completing the PRF. See **Table 8.1** and **paragraph 8.6** on promotion-eligible colonels for specific guidance on preparing PRFs.
  - 8.1.3.1. Comments in Section IV, Promotion Recommendation, of the PRF are mandatory for In-or Above-the-Promotion Zone eligible officers. Senior raters retain the latitude to push their best-qualified officers for promotion consideration. Senior raters should consider providing comments for officers two or more times Above-the-Promotion Zone up to the grade of colonel; comments are option on PRFs prepared to the grade o brigadier general when the overall recommendation on the AF Form 709 is "Promote." Comments are required on all PRFs with a "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation, regardless of zone (Table 8.1.). Final decision authority for including comments on Below-the-Promotion Zone and two or more times Above-the-Promotion Zone officers remains with the senior rater.
    - 8.1.3.1.1. In the performance recommendation, the senior rater should use plain language and limit use of acronyms and/or abbreviations to provide a performance-based differentiation and/or characterization of the eligible officer's potential to serve in the next higher grade. For officers being considered for colonel and below, promotion recommendations are limited to the space provided.
    - 8.1.3.1.2. Endorsements for promotion are based upon an officer's demonstrated character and competence as detailed in the Secretary of the Air Force's Memorandum of Instruction for promotion boards. This is an opportunity for the senior rater to tell the Central Selection Board why they should or should not promote this officer. This should not be a summary of information already contained in the record of performance. Recommendations or pushes for items that are decided through other processes or means (e.g., developmental education, jobs, assignments) are not authorized.
    - 8.1.3.1.3. Comments on PRFs regarding completion of, or enrollment in, DE are prohibited. Performance and special recognition comments on officers attending inresidence education and/or training will be documented appropriately on the AF Form 475 (see Chapter 6). Additionally, evaluators will not comment on an officer's status on the school's list, selection for DE, and/or specific schools. **Note:** An assignment recommendation for Air Force Institute of Technology Master's or Doctoral degree program is authorized.
  - 8.1.3.2. Promotion Recommendation Form Stratification Guidance. Officer stratification is defined as a quantitative comparison of an individual's standing within an authorized peer group and within a specific evaluator's scope of rating authority. On the PRF, officer stratifications provide a current period performance-based differentiation of officers against their peers to assist central selection boards. Senior raters may provide up to two types of stratifications as part of their promotion recommendation comments. If used, the

primary stratification must be among promotion eligible officers by zone and the optional secondary stratification must be among an authorized peer group. If a senior rater does not stratify an officer among eligible officers by promotion zone, they may not provide any other stratification. **Exception:** For narrative-only PRFs, senior raters will not stratify among eligible officers by promotion zone but may provide one peer group stratification statement.

- 8.1.3.2.1. Stratification Types.
  - 8.1.3.2.1.1. Primary Eligible by Zone. Senior raters may stratify among eligible officers by promotion zone (e.g., In-or-above-the promotion zone (I/APZ) from the MEL for a specific promotion board. **Example:** #3/10 I/APZ eligible.
  - 8.1.3.2.1.2. Secondary Peer Group Stratification. If a senior rater stratifies an officer among eligible officers by promotion zone, they may also provide a second stratification in accordance with the following guiding principles.
- 8.1.3.2.2. Authorized Peer Groups. For the purposes of stratification, authorized peer groups are limited to the following categories: (**Note:** Only on authorized peer group will be used as a secondary stratification.)
  - 8.1.3.2.2.1. AF Grade. Includes only Air Force officers in the same grade (e.g., captains, majors, lieutenant colonels, colonels). **Exception**: An officer permanently assigned to a position on a joint manning document may be stratified against officers of the same grade, regardless of service affiliation, within the senior rater's scope of rating authority as described below.
  - 8.1.3.2.2.2. Command Position. This refers to officers filling command positions (e.g., detachment, squadron, group, or wing commanders and materiel leaders). This does not include section commanders or flight commanders. Command position stratification statements for individuals below the grade of colonel (O-6) may also include their grade with the stratification statement (e.g., #2/6 Maj Sq/CCs).
  - 8.1.3.2.2.3. Duty Position. This refers to the officer's duty position type, level and scope of responsibility (e.g., section chiefs, flight commanders, operations officers, branch chiefs, action officers, analysts, instructors, combat systems officers, pilots, etc.). Officers may be stratified against civilian personnel in equivalent duty positions. **Note:** In order to use the duty position stratification category, the officer must first be stratified within their USAF or USSF grade or developmental category to ground the statement and communicate the clearest depiction of where an officer stands (e.g., "#1/1 Capts," "#1/40 Analysts;" "#2/6 Combat Support Majs," "#3/41 Flight Commanders").
- 8.1.3.2.3. Exception. For narrative-only PRFs, senior raters will not stratify among eligible officers by promotion zone but may provide one peer group stratification.
- 8.1.3.2.4. Scope of Rating Authority. Senior raters can only stratify officers within the confines of their direct rating chain and knowledge. Senior rater stratifications may not extend beyond the confines of their respective SRID (i.e., senior raters may not stratify officers under subordinate SRIDs purviews).

- 8.1.3.2.5. Authorized Usage.
  - 8.1.3.2.5.1. When used, all stratifications must stay within an authorized peer group and the evaluator's scope of rating authority.
  - 8.1.3.2.5.2. Stratifications must be written in quantitative terms. **(T-1)** The use of percentages in the numerator are prohibited (e.g., 5%/50). Examples of authorized stratifications:
    - 8.1.3.2.5.2.1. By AF Grade. "#3/30 Capts;" "#1/1 Majs;" "#2/12 Lt Cols."
    - 8.1.3.2.5.2.2. By Command Position. "#1/9 Grp/CCs;" "#1/7 Maj Sq/CCs;" "#3/20 Lt Col Det/CCs."
    - 8.1.3.2.5.2.3. By Duty Position. "#1/6 Flt/CCs;" "#1/40 Analysts;" "#2/12 Branch Chiefs."
- 8.1.3.2.6. Prohibited Usage.
  - 8.1.3.2.6.1. Company grade officers (CGOs) and/or field grade officers (FGOs) are not an authorized peer group for stratification purposes.
  - 8.1.3.2.6.2. Awards are recognition based on a given set of criteria. Accordingly, stratifications based on awards are not authorized (e.g., #1/50 as Sq CGO of the Quarter).
  - 8.1.3.2.6.3. The use of stratifications from anyone other than the senior rater are prohibited. A senior rater may not quote stratification from another evaluator or source. Using more than one secondary stratification is prohibited.
- 8.1.3.3. If promotion opportunity is 100%, regular PRFs are not required. This includes individuals competing for I/APZ. Senior raters will prepare PRFs on all officers who receive "Do Not Promote" recommendations and on all officers who receive a "Promote" recommendation but have derogatory information (Article 15, courts-martial, referral evaluation, Letter of Reprimand) filed in their OSR.
- 8.1.3.4. Statements that refer or imply to the stratification of an officer's standing at a MLR, such as: "#1 of 22 DPs awarded at the MLR," or "If the MLR had one more DP, they would get it," are prohibited. This means the head of the management level or MPR president may not use the denominator of the management levels eligibles when stratifying their respective officers, who may have or have not competed at the MLR.
- 8.1.3.5. Promotion statements, reserved for the senior rater, will only be made on the PRF.
  - 8.1.3.5.1. As a general rule, prohibited promotion statements are any comments, direct or implied, that refer to a higher grade. For example, comments that state the individual is performing above their grade, occupying a position requiring a more senior grade, comparing an individual to officers of higher grade, or alluding to a higher-ranking position are all prohibited.
  - 8.1.3.5.2. While it is impossible to provide an all-inclusive list of prohibited statements; some examples are:
    - 8.1.3.5.2.1. "Maj Beidler is senior officer material." The term "senior" is a euphemism for colonel and above, therefore not authorized.

- 8.1.3.5.2.2. "Capt DeSantis has excelled in a major's billet," refers to a grade higher than the one the individual currently holds.
- 8.1.3.5.2.3. "Major Jenkins should be a group commander now," recommends the individual for a position two grades higher than the ratee—not normal progression.
- 8.1.3.5.2.4. "Capt Korte is ready for our toughest field grade jobs," compares a company grade officer with higher ranking, field grade officers.
- 8.1.3.5.2.5. "Already performing above current position," refers to a higher grade.

# 8.1.4. Responsibilities:

## 8.1.4.1. The Senior Rater:

- 8.1.4.1.1. Reviews the ratee's officer's OCSRG, decoration citations, duty qualification history brief (DQHB) and UIF (if applicable) before preparing the PRF. May consider other reliable information about duty performance and conduct except as **paragraph 1.12** or other regulatory guidance prohibits. Examples of other reliable information may include but are not limited to LOEs, statements from a draft officer ALQ evaluation and/or decoration, etc. To reference the "other reliable information" in their record, the officer meeting the board may submit a letter to the central selection board.
  - 8.1.4.1.1.1. Do not use any other single unit retrieval formats (SURF) other than those indicated above when preparing the PRF (e.g., Assignment Management System (AMS), SURF).
  - 8.1.4.1.1.2. The intent of the "other reliable information" passage is to allow senior raters to comment on performance accomplishments since the close-out of the last evaluation. This allows a senior rater who has personal knowledge of an accomplishment to comment about it in the PRF although not part of the official record yet.
- 8.1.4.1.2. Must be knowledgeable of the ratee's most recent performance. The senior rater may request subordinate supervisors to provide information on an officer's most recent duty performance and performance-based potential and may ask for suggestions based upon the officer's duty performance for PRF recommendations.
- 8.1.4.1.3. Will ensure no subordinate commander and/or supervisor asks or allows an officer to draft or prepare their own PRF. **Note:** Eligible officers may provide input.
- 8.1.4.1.4. Will ensure there are no boards, meetings or panels of officers convened to collectively score, rate, rank, stratify, produce stratification inputs for use in PRFs, or tally the records and/or generate a priority list of eligible officers unless specifically authorized by this instruction. **Note:** Senior raters may request subordinate supervisors provide their assessment (without the use of any boards, meetings, or panels) of the rank order of officers in their chain of command).
- 8.1.4.1.5. Is solely responsible for evaluating each officer's OCSRG, career brief, and DQHB in order to either award PRF recommendations among eligible officers or submit officers to compete for aggregation or carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendations. The senior rater submits the PRF with Section IX unmarked when

- submitting an officer for competition in aggregation or carry-over categories at a MLR and/or HAF MLR.
- 8.1.4.1.6. Completes promotion recommendations. Corrects any error that results in awarding more "Definitely Promote" recommendations than allocated by the management level. However, if the senior rater fails to fulfill this responsibility, the MLR president makes the appropriate corrections, to include re-accomplishing a PRF a senior rater prepared.
- 8.1.4.1.7. Provides the ratee a copy of the PRF approximately 30 calendar days before the central selection board. If communication cannot be completed in person, send the PRF via secure communications. The reason for this is twofold:
  - 8.1.4.1.7.1. Advise the ratee of the senior rater's promotion recommendation.
  - 8.1.4.1.7.2. Provide the ratee an opportunity to point out any typographical, administrative or errors of fact to the senior rater so they may be corrected prior to the central selection board. **Note:** If the ratee is geographically separated, send it to the ratee by secure electronic communication, or "return receipt requested" mail. Contact the MPF for assistance if necessary.
- 8.1.4.1.8. Must attach a memo (**Figure 8.1**) telling the ratee who receives a PRF with a "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation that they have the right to submit a letter to the Central Selection Board.
- 8.1.4.1.9. Will ensure the PRF remains a private matter with access being only between the senior rater, the ratee, senior rater administrative support staff if senior rater desires (e.g., executive officer, secretary, MPF), the MLR, and the central selection board. Subordinate evaluators or others may have access to a PRF's comments or rating only if permitted by the ratee. **Note:** No officer eligible for a particular board will be involved with the PRF process for that particular board.
- 8.1.4.1.10. Considers preparing a PRF on a newly assigned eligible officer who received an outright "Promote" recommendation from their previous senior rater, (an outright "Promote" is someone who received a promote recommendation from the senior rater and was not competed at an MLR). The exception is AF-level students meeting the AF Student MLR, and whose effective date of duty as a result of PCS/PCA to a new senior rater occurs after the PRF accounting date, but on or before the PRF cutoff date. See paragraph 8.4.1.
- 8.1.4.1.11. Provides a signed MEL of officers considered for promotion recommendations to the management level.
- 8.1.4.1.12. Ensures the management level receives PRFs as required by **paragraph** 8.1.5.
- 8.1.4.1.13. Ensures their SRID in the Air Force Promotion Management System reflects only their eligible officers no later than 105 days before the central selection board.
- 8.1.4.1.14. Evaluates all additions to and deletions from the MEL through their MPFs to their management level (e.g., officers who are gains as a result of a PCA/PCS

- movement occurring prior to the PRF accounting date or officers initially assigned to the wrong PAS code and SRID).
- 8.1.4.1.15. Officers Added or Deleted from Promotion Eligibility. This paragraph applies to officers who become eligible or ineligible for promotion consideration in a particular competitive category on or after the PRF accounting date. Causes for a change in eligibility status may include: a special selection board (SSB) or AFBCMR actions, administrative errors, changes in date of separation, or similar circumstances.
  - 8.1.4.1.15.1. For officers whose eligibility for promotion consideration is established after the PRF accounting date, the senior rater of record at the time eligibility is established will write the PRF.
  - 8.1.4.1.15.2. If the PRF is written after the senior rater completes the rank ordering (Day-66) and determines that a definitely promote should be awarded, then place a "1" in block VI for IPZ officer or place a "0" in block VI for APZ officers. See **Table 8.2**.
- 8.1.4.2. The Military Personnel Flight (MPF):
  - 8.1.4.2.1. Assists the management level in verifying accuracy of SRIDs and PAS codes.
  - 8.1.4.2.2. Provides PRF notices, a MEL, and a DQHB on each eligible officer to the senior raters. **Note**: For officers not located with the senior rater, provide these documents to eligible officers' servicing MPF to be used in preparing PRF inputs for the senior rater.
  - 8.1.4.2.3. Provides other senior rater support and review as requested. The MPF will send PRFs to the appropriate management level when requested by the senior raters.
  - 8.1.4.2.4. Makes officers' OCSRGs available to senior raters, to include records of officers serviced by other MPFs.
  - 8.1.4.2.5. Reviews PRFs to ensure administrative accuracy, when requested.
  - 8.1.4.2.6. Processes narrative-only PRFs. See paragraph 8.1.5.6.
  - 8.1.4.2.7. Advises senior raters when officers change promotion eligibility status after the PRF allocation date (Day 66). See paragraph 8.1.4.1.15.
  - 8.1.4.2.8. Ensures senior raters are provided a listing of newly assigned eligible officers.
  - 8.1.4.2.9. Evaluates any potential additions or deletions to the MELs for the senior raters and management level they service. See **paragraph 8.1.4.1.14**.
  - 8.1.4.2.10. Monitors Air Force Promotion Management System audit transactions at least twice a week to identify any board adds, deletions, SRID changes, PCS/PCA, and/or date arrived on station actions.
  - 8.1.4.2.11. Coordinates with management level and senior raters as needed.
  - 8.1.4.2.12. Check the Air Force Promotion Management System news daily.

- 8.1.4.2.13. Upon receipt of PRFs following the USAF Student MLR, distribute these PRFs to the eligible officers. See paragraph 8.1.4.1.7.
- 8.1.4.3. The Management Level:
  - 8.1.4.3.1. Designates senior rater positions for all units within their jurisdiction and assigns SRIDs to those positions.
  - 8.1.4.3.2. Identifies officers occupying those senior rater positions by name, assigns them SRIDs by name and PAS code and ensures the Air Force Promotion Management System is updated accordingly.
  - 8.1.4.3.3. Validates SRID alignment in MilPDS with PAS code. **Note:** Ensure MilPDS is updated accordingly; contact AFPC for any assistance.
  - 8.1.4.3.4. Notifies senior raters and MPFs of preliminary "Definitely Promote" allocations.
  - 8.1.4.3.5. Notifies affected senior raters on the final PRF allocation date of available "Definitely Promote" recommendations senior raters may award.
  - 8.1.4.3.6. Ensures all eligible officers are considered for promotion recommendations and are guaranteed at least one look for a "Definitely Promote" recommendation (the guaranteed look is the senior rater).
  - 8.1.4.3.7. Ensures senior raters and MLRs do not exceed the authorized number of "Definitely Promote" allocations.
  - 8.1.4.3.8. Ensures PRF recommendations on eligible officers are updated in the Air Force Promotion Management System no later than 35 calendar days before the central selection board.
  - 8.1.4.3.9. Send all regular PRFs to AFPC/DPMSPE to arrive no later than 30 calendar days before the central selection board.
  - 8.1.4.3.10. Maintains copies of all PRFs and MELs until announcement of central selection board results. Destroy all materials pertaining to the MLR upon announcement of results. **Exception**: Maintain a copy of the OCSRG, including the PRF, career brief of the competitive categories considered, and duty qualifications history brief that earned the last "Definitely Promote" and the top two that earned a "Promote" recommendation in carry-over competition for each competitive category, or in the case that no "Definitely Promote" recommendations were awarded, maintain the top two that earned a "Promote" recommendation. These records will serve as benchmark records in support of a supplemental review. **(T-1)**
  - 8.1.4.3.11. Processes PRFs in accordance with paragraph 8.1.5.
  - 8.1.4.3.12. Evaluates any potential additions or deletions to their senior raters and coordinates with AFPC/DPMSPE as needed.
  - 8.1.4.3.13. Monitors the Air Force Promotion Management System audit transactions at least twice a week to identify any board additions, deletions, SRID changes, PCS/PCA/date arrived station actions.
  - 8.1.4.3.14. Coordinates with senior raters, MPFs, and AFPC/DPMSPE as needed.

- 8.1.4.3.15. Monitors the Air Force Promotion Management System news daily.
- 8.1.4.3.16. Ensures the SecAF's MOI is available on myPers, is referenced and utilized for all MLRs and senior rater promotion processes within their purview. The MOI provides instructions to all management levels and senior raters to ensure decision makers throughout the officer promotion recommendation process are focused on the same priorities and special emphasis areas as the central selection board.

## 8.1.4.4. AFPC/DPMSPE:

- 8.1.4.4.1. Establishes and announces PRF eligibility criteria and administrative requirements for processing PRFs.
- 8.1.4.4.2. Ensures completed PRFs are disposed of in accordance with **paragraph** 8.1.5.
- 8.1.4.4.3. Flows PRF notices and duty qualification history briefs approximately 120 calendar days prior to the central selection board in the Air Force Promotion Management System.
- 8.1.4.4.4. Processes all SRID changes with multiple management levels involved. **Note:** It remains the initiating management level's responsibility to obtain all concurrences for other affected management levels prior to submission to AFPC.

## 8.1.4.5. The Ratee:

- 8.1.4.5.1. Contacts the senior rater to discuss any errors, omissions pertaining to the PRF or if they have not received a copy of their PRF NLT 15 calendar days prior to central selection board. (T-3)
- 8.1.4.5.2. May correspond by letter with the central selection board and address any matter of record concerning themselves that they believe important to their consideration. Letters must be submitted in good faith and contain accurate information to the best of the ratee's knowledge and must be signed by the ratee. (T-3)
- 8.1.4.5.3. Air Force Level students and patients (SRID "ST101" and "PT111") eligible for promotion may write a letter to the Air Force Student MLR to address any matter of record concerning themselves that they believe important to their consideration. Letters must be submitted in good faith and contain accurate information to the best of the ratee's knowledge and must be signed by the ratee. (T-1) The letters will be destroyed upon conclusion of the Student MLR and will not be forwarded to the central selection board. (T-3)

## 8.1.5. Processing and Using the PRF.

- 8.1.5.1. MPFs send PRF notices and MELs to senior raters upon receipt, approximately 120 days prior to the central selection board.
- 8.1.5.2. Senior raters sign completed PRFs on or after the PRF cutoff date. Senior raters who intend to compete in aggregation (see **paragraph 8.3.1.10**) or carry-over (see **paragraph 8.3.1.9**), must prepare and sign the PRFs, leaving Section IX blank.

- 8.1.5.3. Senior raters will submit all completed PRFs for quality review and ensure all PRFs are available for update into the Air Force Promotion Management System by the management level no later than 40 calendar days before the central selection board. (T-1)
- 8.1.5.4. The management level sends completed PRFs to AFPC/DPMSPE to arrive no later than 30 calendar days before the central selection board. Management levels forward PRFs to AFPC/DPMSPE for officers nominated to the AF MLR aggregate and carry-over, with the "Overall Recommendation" left blank, to arrive no later than 35 calendar days prior to the central selection board. When mailing hardcopy PRFs, documents may be sent to AFPC/DPMSPE, 550 C Street West Suite 7, Joint Base San Antonio-Randolph TX 78150-4705
- 8.1.5.5. AFPC/DPMSPE forwards all PRFs to AFPC/DP1ORM to be filed in the officer's ARMS for the central selection board. AFPC/DP1ORM destroys the PRFs after imaging. PRFs filed on optical disk have limited access. Do not use them for assignments, promotions (except SSBs, or other personnel actions. Retain these PRFs for historical, legal, and appeal purposes only.
- 8.1.5.6. Narrative-only/Recommendation-only PRFs.
  - 8.1.5.6.1. MPFs are responsible for processing narrative-only PRFs and ensuring all eligible officers receive a copy of their narrative-only PRF prior to departure for PCS. **Note:** Officers will not depart without a narrative-only PRF being accomplished unless an approved waiver was granted in accordance with **paragraph 8.1.5.6.4.1**.
  - 8.1.5.6.2. The senior rater sends the narrative-only PRF to the MPF no later than 30 calendar days prior to the officer departing PCA or PCS for school. **Note:** An officer may become eligible for I/APZ consideration by a central selection board before departing for school. In this case, prepare both a narrative-only PRF and a regular PRF (see **paragraph 8.1.2.3**.). An officer may also be eligible for two or more promotion boards while in AF-level student status, depending on the length of training. Since narrative-only PRFs are not board specific, statements such as "My #1 Below-the-Promotion Zone," may become outdated before the officer meets a promotion board, however, this should not preclude the senior rater from stratifying the officers as would on a regular PRF.
  - 8.1.5.6.3. The senior rater sends the narrative-only PRFs to the MPF for officers in patient or missing-in-action/prisoner of war status. The MPF will process the PRF to AFPC/DPMSPE no later than 60 calendar days after the officer enters this new status.
  - 8.1.5.6.4. The MPF forwards the original PRFs to AFPC/DPMSPE NLT 30 calendar days after the officer departs and updates a code "B" in MilPDS. The MPF maintains copies of the PRFs until PRF receipt is confirmed by an update of NAR PRF Flag to code "C" in MilPDS by AFPC/DPMSPE. MPFs can verify that the "C" code is updated under officer grade data/grade miscellaneous in MilPDS. Once confirmed, the MPF destroys its copies.
    - 8.1.5.6.4.1. All narrative-only PRF waiver requests will be worked directly with AFPC/DPMSPE.
    - 8.1.5.6.4.2. When requesting narrative-only PRF waivers, please include the

- following information: Full name, social security number, date of rank, competitive category, projected graduation date, and reason for the request. **Note:** As waivers are reviewed using current schedules, should an officer become eligible after a waiver has been granted, the narrative-only PRF will then be required from the senior rater who was in the position when the officer departed for school. Only if the senior rater is not available (retired and unable to be contacted or deceased, etc.) will the current senior rater in the position be authorized to sign the narrative-only PRF after the officer departed.
- 8.1.5.6.5. Senior raters provide a copy of the narrative-only PRF to the ratee approximately 30 calendar days prior to departure for AF level training or patient status.
- 8.1.5.6.6. AFPC/DPMSPE maintains narrative-only PRFs until officers leave student, patient, or missing-in-action/prisoner of war status. AFPC/DPMSPE destroys narrative-only PRFs when the officer no longer competes as a student. AFPC/DPMSPE maintains the narrative-only PRFs until distributed as specified below:
  - 8.1.5.6.6.1. AFPC/DPMSPE forwards the narrative-only PRF to the HAF Student MLR. After completion of the recommendation-only PRFs (which are attached to the narrative-only PRFs), AFPC/DPMSPE forwards the narrative-only PRF and recommendation-only PRF to the official record, ARMS and PRDA, for inclusion in the OSR and provides copies to ratees via the ratees' servicing MPF.
  - 8.1.5.6.6.2. AFPC/DPMSPE maintains the original narrative-only PRF in a separate file for use during future promotion consideration as a student. Exceptions to the disposition of PRFs must be approved by AFPC/DPMSPE and be in the best interest of the officer and the Air Force.
  - 8.1.5.6.6.3. Immediately after completion of the central selection board, the Selection Board Secretariat (AFPC/PB) removes the PRFs from the OSR and forwards them to AFPC/DP1ORM for placement on optical disk.
- 8.1.5.7. The HAF Student MLR (see **paragraph 8.3.5.2.2**) prepares recommendation-only PRFs and attaches them to the student narrative-only PRFs.

## 8.2. AF Form 709 for RASL Officers.

- 8.2.1. Reserve of the Air Force. Use AF Form 709 for promotion to captain through colonel. Refer to **paragraph 7.6** for recommending colonels for promotion to the grade of brigadier general. AFR will use AF Form 709 for position vacancy promotion nominations to all grades. ARPC/PB will issue instructions specific to each board via ARPC memorandums (ARPCMs).
  - 8.2.1.1. Mandatory Boards. An eligible officer's senior rater submits the completed PRF no later than 45 calendar days prior to the central selection board. The senior rater awards one of three recommendations from the drop-down menu in block IX of AF Form 709:
    - 8.2.1.1.1. A "Definitely Promote": The strength of the ratee's performance and performance-based potential warrants promotion. **Note**: The ResAF is not constrained by the number of "Definitely Promotes" it can award. A senior rater may award as many "Definitely Promotes" as desired.

- 8.2.1.1.2. A "Promote": The ratee is qualified for promotion and should compete on the basis of performance, performance-based potential, and other considerations such as duty history, developmental education, advanced degrees, etc.
- 8.2.1.1.3. A "Do Not Promote This Board": The strength of the ratee's performance and performance-based potential does not warrant promotion by the central selection board for which the officer is eligible. A senior rater must make comments explaining to the central selection board why the officer should not be promoted.
- 8.2.2. Completing the PRF. See **Table 8.1** for specific guidance on preparing PRFs.

## 8.2.3. Responsibilities:

#### 8.2.3.1. The Senior Rater:

- 8.2.3.1.1. Reviews the ratees' evaluations, decoration citations, DQHB, personnel information file, and UIF (if applicable) before preparing the PRF. They may also consider other reliable information about duty performance and conduct except as outlined in **paragraph 1.12** or other regulatory guidance. Examples of other reliable information may include but are not limited to LOEs and statements from a draft performance report and/or decoration. To reference the other reliable information in their record, the officer meeting the board may submit a letter to the central selection board. **Note:** Do not use any other single uniform request formats other than those indicated above when preparing the PRF (i.e., AMS SURFs). The intent of the other reliable information passage is to allow the senior rater to comment on performance accomplishments since the close out of the last evaluation. This allows a senior rater who has personal knowledge of an accomplishment to comment about it in the PRF although not part of the official record yet. The senior rater of record on the PRF accounting date will write the PRF.
- 8.2.3.1.2. May obtain information on an officer's most recent duty performance and performance-based potential from subordinate or previous supervisors and may consider their suggestions based upon the officer's duty performance for PRF recommendations. No officer will be asked to draft or prepare their own PRF. There will be no boards or panels of officers convened to collectively score, rate, rank, or tally the records and/or generate a priority list of eligible officers.
- 8.2.3.1.3. Is solely responsible for evaluating each officer's record of performance and DQHB, to award recommendations.
- 8.2.3.1.4. Completes promotion recommendations.
- 8.2.3.1.5. Provides the ratee a copy of the PRF (hand-delivered or sent in a sealed envelope clearly marked, "To Be Opened By Addressee Only") approximately 30 calendar days before the central selection board. PRFs are a private matter between the senior rater and the ratee. Subordinate evaluators may have access to a PRF rating to assist in the feedback process only if desired by the ratee. The senior rater must attach a memo (Figure 8.1) telling the ratee who receives a PRF with a "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation that they have the right to submit a letter to the central selection board. The ratee must acknowledge receipt of the memorandum. If the ratee

is geographically separated, send it to the ratee by secure electronic communication or by "return receipt requested" mail. Contact the MPF for assistance, if necessary.

- 8.2.3.2. The MPF or ARPC/PB (as applicable):
  - 8.2.3.2.1. Verifies accuracy of SRID and PAS codes.
  - 8.2.3.2.2. Provides to senior raters the PRF notice, a MEL, and a DQHB on each eligible officer.
  - 8.2.3.2.3. Provides other senior rater support as requested (sends PRFs to the appropriate management level as requested by senior raters).
  - 8.2.3.2.4. Makes record of performances available to senior raters, to include records of officers serviced by other MPFs.
  - 8.2.3.2.5. Reviews PRFs to ensure administrative accuracy, when requested.
  - 8.2.3.2.6. Informs senior raters when officers have a change in promotion eligibility status after the PRF accounting date.
  - 8.2.3.2.7. Provides senior raters a listing of newly assigned eligible officers.
- 8.2.3.3. ARPC/PB. Will announce PRF criteria for ResAF central selection boards via an ARPCM.
- 8.2.4. Processing and use of PRFs.
  - 8.2.4.1. MPFs send PRF notices and MELs to senior raters upon receipt, usually just after the PRF accounting date.
  - 8.2.4.2. The senior rater will complete the PRF in enough time to arrive at ARPC not later than 45 calendar days before the central selection board.
  - 8.2.4.3. ARPC/PB posts the OSRs from the electronic board operations support system (eBOSS) back to ARMS. The PRF becomes part of the "as-met" records for the officer's future reference.
- 8.2.5. Officers Relocating During the PRF Process. To ensure officers who are assigned to a new senior rater after the PRF accounting date but on or before the central selection board, receive full consideration for their PRF, the losing and gaining senior raters may discuss the officer's performance and their intentions. For ANG and AFR, the senior rater of record on the PRF accounting date will write the PRF and award performance rating.
  - 8.2.5.1. Award a "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation when derogatory information has been received since departure from previous assignment. If the losing senior rater awards a "Do Not Promote This Board," the gaining senior rater has no further action. A senior rater must make specific comments to support the recommendation in Section IV of the PRF. (T-2)
  - 8.2.5.2. The MPF or ARPC/PB (as appropriate) will:
    - 8.2.5.2.1. Screen all officers gained after the PRF accounting date to determine eligibility and notify senior raters accordingly (refer to Air Force Promotion Management System user's guide). Ensure senior raters certify a review of all gained eligible.

- 8.2.5.2.2. Provide the senior rater a DQHB on newly assigned officers.
- 8.2.5.2.3. Update corrections to SRIDs on officers who arrive at new locations on or before the PRF accounting date. Notify ARPC/PB when an update to the Air Force Promotion Management System is needed.
- 8.2.6. Officers Added to Promotion Eligibility. This paragraph applies to officers who become eligible for promotion consideration or change component or competitive categories on or after the PRF accounting date. Cause for a change in eligibility may include, but is not limited to: ANG to AFR transfer; AFR to ANG transfer; change from Participating Reserve to Non-Participating Reserve, or Non-Participating Reserve to Participating Reserve; change from ADL to RASL (without a break in military status); change from other branch of service to USAF RASL; change in date of separation; administrative errors; SSB or AFBCMR actions; or similar circumstances.
- 8.2.7. Ranking of "Definitely Promote" Recommendations. Enter the rank order, in the group size (block IV of the AF Form 709), for all officers awarded a "Definitely Promote" recommendation within each competitive category (e.g., line, judge advocate, nurse corps). **Example:** 2/5/10. The senior rater has 10 officers in that competitive category meeting the promote" recommendation. For officers awarded other than a "Definitely Promote" recommendation, leave group size blank. For officers gained after completion of PRFs, to which the senior rater chooses to award a "Definitely Promote" recommendation, the ranking will be 1/1/1. For a position vacancy board, enter the rank order for all officers nominated for position vacancy within each competitive category. **Example:** 3/5. The senior rater has 5 officers in that competitive category meeting the position vacancy promotion selection board. This officer is ranked number three of five officers awarded a "Definitely Promote" recommendation.
- 8.2.8. Prisoners, Deserters, and Officers on Appellate Leave. Do not accomplish PRFs for officers who become prisoners or deserters, or who are on appellate leave on or before the PRF accounting date. ARPC/DPTSE will prepare an DAF Form 77. However, officers identified as prisoners, deserters, or on appellate leave after the PRF accounting date will require PRFs from the losing senior rater. The total number of eligible will include these officers.
- 8.2.9. Air Force Advisors for PRFs. If the senior rater on the PRF is not an Air Force officer or Department of the Air Force official, an Air Force advisor is designated to advise evaluators on matters pertaining to PRFs. Normally, this will be the same officer who conducts the review of the officer's ALQ evaluation. The Air Force advisor will not change any statements or the promotion recommendation on the PRF.
- 8.2.10. Promotion Recommendations for Colonels. See **paragraph 8.6** for AFR general officer central selection boards or Air National Guard Federal Recognition Boards information and instruction.
- 8.2.11. AGR Officers in Student Status. The Deputy to the Chief of Air Reserve (Deputy RE) is the senior rater for AGR students only (AFR only).
  - 8.2.11.1. When an AGR officer leaves for a school tour, the losing senior rater will prepare a PRF as if the officer is still assigned. The PRF will be signed, but blocks VI, Group Size;

- VII, Board; and IX, Overall Recommendation will remain blank. The PRF follows the officer to the next assignment, and a copy is sent to AF/REE.
- 8.2.11.2. If, while in student status, the officer becomes eligible for consideration by a promotion board, the narrative-only PRF is sent to the Deputy RE for a recommendation-only PRF.
- 8.2.11.3. The Deputy RE prepares the recommendation-only PRF according to **Table 8.1** and rank orders all officers awarded a "Definitely Promote" recommendation by competitive category within the student population. **Example:** 1/2/2 rank order means the senior rater has two officers in that competitive category meeting the selection board; the officer is ranked number one of the two "Definitely Promote" recommendations awarded. **Note:** Student AGR PRFs are not included within the SRID that applies to the Chief of Air Force Reserve.
- 8.2.11.4. The narrative-only PRF is attached to the signed recommendation-only PRF and is forwarded to the promotion secretariat at the Air Reserve Personnel Center.

# 8.3. Management Level Reviews (ADL Lieutenant Colonel and Below).

### 8.3.1. The Allocation Process:

- 8.3.1.1. Definitely Promote. "Definitely Promote" recommendations are limited in number to ensure only the most qualified records are endorsed. They send a strong signal to the central selection board that the officer is ready for immediate promotion. "Definitely Promote" allocation rates for IPZ and APZ officers are lower than the IPZ promotion opportunity; this ensures a significant number of officers receiving "Promote" recommendations will be promoted. (T-1) Management levels receive a share of "Definitely Promote" allocations based on the number of IPZ officers assigned. Allocation rates vary for each competitive category, grade and promotion zone, and may fluctuate according to changes in the promotion opportunity to guarantee the minimum promotion rate for eligibles receiving a "Promote" recommendation (40% to major, 35% to lieutenant colonel and 25% to colonel); this is called the promotion rate (P-Rate). AFPC/DPMSPE publicizes the approved DP allocation rates for each PRF cycle in the Day 66 message. Exception to policy requests of the approved DP allocation for each PRF cycle are not authorized and will not be granted. (T-1).
- 8.3.1.2. PRF Accounting Date (approximately 150 calendar days before the central selection board). On the PRF accounting date, AFPC matches eligible officers to senior raters based on the officers' unit of assignment data in MilPDS. AFPC/DPMSPE announces the actual PRF accounting date. Between the PRF accounting date and Day 66 before the central selection board, management levels ensure the Air Force Promotion Management System is accurate.
- 8.3.1.3. PRF Allocation Dates (approximately 150 and 66 calendar days before the central selection board). The initial allocation date is approximately 150 calendar days before the central selection board. This is when management levels estimate the number of allocations available to each senior rater and for each MLR under their jurisdiction. After this date, the number of allocations is adjusted to account for officers who become eligible or ineligible for promotion and for officers who are still not aligned under the correct SRID as verified and reported by the management level activity to AFPC/DPMSPE. These

adjustments are made up until the day before the PRF final allocation date (approximately 66 calendar days before the central selection board). On that day, the management level determines the actual number of allocations and distributes to senior raters and MLRs based on the number of eligible officers for that level. No changes are made to the number of a management level's allocations on or after the final allocation date unless authorized by In addition, no changes in the management level's allocations are authorized in cases where a brigadier general (Sel) is confirmed by the U.S. Senate on or after day 66 and subsequently becomes eligible to be the senior rater for lieutenant colonels in the organization. AFPC/DP3SP will approve exceptions in order to maintain integrity in the Officer Evaluation System and to ensure fair and proper consideration is given to all affected officers. (T-1) Note: The "Definitely Promote" Allocations are not adjusted automatically in the Air Force Promotion Management System for any approved exception. Calculations must be accomplished manually. (T-1) When submitting SRID changes after the final allocation date, the request must be from an O-6/equivalent or above, who has oversight of the MLR process. The request must provide justification as to why the correction was not discovered within the time limit and what actions the management level is implementing to ensure eligible officers are properly aligned prior to the PRF allocation date. If multiple management levels are involved, the O-6/equivalent or above who has oversight of the MLR process is required from each management level.

- 8.3.1.4. PRF Cutoff Date. This date is approximately 60 calendar days prior to the central selection board. PRFs will not be signed prior to this date. **(T-1)**
- 8.3.1.5. Determining Air Force-Level Allocations.
  - 8.3.1.5.1. Management levels determine the number of DP allocations they have by applying the appropriate allocation rate to their IPZ or, if authorized, BPZ eligible population. Management levels will round fractions up or down to the next whole number as directed by AFPC with the publication of the Day 66 message. (T-1) The allocation process to be used for a specific PRF cycle will be set and made public approximately 120 days prior to the central selection board for each competitive category. (T-1) AFPC will direct the MLR process that maintains the appropriate "Prate," while minimizing the number of "Definitely Promote" recommendations awarded to management levels who do not meet the minimum group size. (T-1) Waiver requests are not authorized.
    - 8.3.1.5.1.1. Example of the rounding up process: A management level has 462 IPZ eligible officers, and the allocation rate is 10%; the management level earns 47 "Definitely Promote" allocations (462 IPZ eligible officers x 10% allocation rate=46.2 which rounds up to 47 allocations). The Air Force Promotion Management System should be reviewed to determine "Definitely Promote" allocations, but this does not preclude management levels from doing a manual calculation.
    - 8.3.1.5.1.2. Example of the rounding down process: A management level has IPZ eligible officers, and the allocation rate is 10%; the management level earns 46 "Definitely Promote" allocations (462 IPZ eligible officers x 10% allocation rate=46.2 which rounds down to 46 allocations). The remaining fraction will be used at the HAF MLR for the specified competitive category. (T-1) The Air Force

- Promotion Management System should be reviewed to determine "Definitely Promote" allocations, but this does not preclude management levels from doing a manual calculation.
- 8.3.1.5.2. APZ officers do not generate separate allocations; however, if the management level has only line of the Air Force APZ eligible officer(s), then a single "Definitely Promote" recommendation is available when the management level is authorized to round up. In this case, the APZ officers would receive a "0" in Section VI on the PRF. Refer to Table 8.2.
- 8.3.1.5.3. Management levels receive separate allocations for in-utilization permanent party students.
- 8.3.1.6. Determining Senior Rater Allocations.
  - 8.3.1.6.1. Minimum group size for one "Definitely Promote" allocation is at least three eligible, even if the "Definitely Promote" allocation rate is 50% or higher. See **Table 8.3**.
  - 8.3.1.6.2. Management levels determine each senior rater's share of allocations in the same manner as discussed in **paragraph 8.3.1.5.1**, except instead of rounding up, senior raters round down for all categories. **Example:** A 55% allocation rate applied to a senior rater's 10 IPZ captains would yield five "Definitely Promote" allocations (10 IPZ eligible x 55% allocation rate = 5.5 which rounds down to 5 allocations).
- 8.3.1.7. Returning Allocations. Senior raters may return earned allocations to the management level if they believe the quality of officers in their unit does not warrant the full share of allocations. Additionally, any "Definitely Promote" recommendations awarded by the senior rater to eligible officers that subsequently become ineligible is returned to the senior rater which may be reallocated using the senior rater's order of merit or returned to the management level for distribution.
- 8.3.1.8. Redistributing "Definitely Promote" Allocations.
  - 8.3.1.8.1. Prior to the MLR convening, if a senior rater chooses not to use the full quota of "Definitely Promote" allocations, those unused go to the carry-over quota.
  - 8.3.1.8.2. Following an MLR, the MLR owns all "Definitely Promote" allocations. Any returned "Definitely Promote" allocations for IPZ/APZ eligible officers are redistributed through the MLR carry-over process using the carry-over order of merit.
  - 8.3.1.8.3. BTZ "Definitely Promote" allocations are redistributed at the next higher level or through the MLR carry-over process.
  - 8.3.1.8.4. Redistribution must occur prior to the PRF becoming a matter of record.
- 8.3.1.9. Carry-over. Since allocations are rounded down when applying the allocation rate to a senior rater's eligible population, there are normally fractions of allocations remaining. These fractions accrue at the management level and result in allocations called carry-over "Definitely Promote" allocations. Carry-over allocations (and any returned allocations) are awarded to account for variations of quality within organizations under the management level. For IPZ or APZ officers, management levels distribute allocations to

MLRs for award. For BTZ eligible officers, they distribute carry-over allocations directly to senior raters or through the MLR process.

# 8.3.1.10. Aggregation.

8.3.1.10.1. Senior raters without the minimum number IPZ or APZ officers assigned to earn a "Definitely Promote" recommendation in their (senior rater's) own right may compete their officers for "Definitely Promote" recommendations through aggregation. Grouping of all such officers and the application of the allocation rate yields, after rounding down, the number of definitely promote allocations available to officers competing in aggregation. **Example:** If there are two senior raters in a given management level with eligible officers, and each senior rater has only one eligible officer, and the "Definitely Promote" allocation rate is 65%, then:

1 eligible x 65% = 0.65 + 1 eligible x 65% = 0.65 management level total = 1.30

**Note:** After rounding down, the management level earns 1 "Definitely Promote" recommendation to award in aggregation and transfers the remaining .30 to carry-over.

- 8.3.1.10.2. Senior raters without the minimum number of BTZ officers assigned to earn an allocation aggregate their officers to the next higher senior rater in the rating chain until the number of eligible is large enough to earn at least one allocation.
- 8.3.1.10.3. Senior raters below the head of the management level who award BTZ "Definitely Promote" recommendations to eligible officers aggregated from subordinate senior raters' populations must make the promotion recommendation decision without convening a board or panel of subordinates.
  - 8.3.1.10.3.1. If aggregation proceeds to the management level to satisfy the requirements of **paragraph 8.3.1.10.2**, the head of the management level may:
    - 8.3.1.10.3.1.1. Personally distribute "Definitely Promote" allocations on their own.
    - 8.3.1.10.3.1.2. Convene MLRs to award the "Definitely Promote" allocations based on order of merit.
    - 8.3.1.10.3.1.3. For joint management levels, all PRFs, including BTZ, must be quality reviewed. **(T-1)** See **paragraph 8.3.2.4.2.2**.
- 8.3.1.10.4. If the total number of line BTZ officers aggregated to the MLR is still too small to earn a "Definitely Promote" allocation, all panel members, not just those with officers competing for aggregation, score the records of the officers in the aggregated group and may award one "Definitely Promote" recommendation. If awarded, this "Definitely Promote" allocation will come from the carry-over allocation.

# 8.3.2. Management Level Review Requirements:

8.3.2.1. General. Management levels designate the organization or agency responsible for holding a review. The commander or head of the designated organization holds the MLR and may establish more than one MLR (e.g., at the numbered Air Force level or center level). If the head of the management level is the sole senior rater, there is no MLR, and the completed PRFs are forwarded to the Air Force MLR for quality review. However, if

- the PRF cycle for the specific competitive category is determined for management levels to round down, the sole senior rater may nominate the officer to the Air Force MLR for consideration.
- 8.3.2.2. Timing and functions. Conduct MLRs 40-60 calendar days before the central selection board. They have five functions: (1) to quality review all I/APZ PRFs; (2) to award "Definitely Promote" recommendations to those officers whose senior rater had too few eligible to earn a "Definitely Promote" allocation; (3) to award carry-over "Definitely Promote" allocations available to the management level; (4) to award "Definitely Promote" allocations to management level students; and (5) to nominate officers from their management level to compete for "Definitely Promote" allocations available at the Air Force MLR.
- 8.3.2.3. Board composition. Is comprised of the president (must be an Air Force officer), those senior raters who have either awarded a "Definitely Promote" recommendation or have officers competing for aggregation or carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendations, a functional representative from the category under consideration (if no participating senior rater is from the specific category), and a non-voting recorder designated by the commander or head of the organization responsible for conducting the MLR. (T-1) Note: No officer eligible for a particular board will be involved with the process for that particular board. (T-1)
  - 8.3.2.3.1. The head of the management level designates the MLR president. The president must be an AF general officer when evaluating lieutenant colonels, and at least an AF colonel when evaluating majors and below.
  - 8.3.2.3.2. In cases where senior raters are not available to serve on the panel due to some extraordinary circumstance, the head of the management level may authorize senior raters to designate senior officials who meet the minimum grade requirement (a general officer or equivalent when evaluating lieutenant colonels or at least a colonel or equivalent when evaluating majors and below) from their organization or higher chain-of-command to serve on their behalf.
  - 8.3.2.3.3. If extraordinary circumstances require a senior rater's departure during the MLR, the MLR president or another senior rater, as designated by the affected senior rater, may represent the departing senior rater. In all cases, the MLR president or senior rater designated to represent another group of officers is still limited to one vote. Additionally, if extraordinary circumstances require the MLR president to depart during a review, the head of the management level will designate another president or assume the presidency. In these cases, the records already scored will remain and the MLR will continue.
  - 8.3.2.3.4. Management levels may establish a representative sample of senior raters to conduct the quality review of the I/APZ PRFs and officers' OCSRGs at the MLR. At the discretion of the management level, all senior raters who awarded a "Definitely Promote" recommendation or who are competing officers for a "Definitely Promote" recommendation do not need to participate in the quality review process at the MLR.
    - 8.3.2.3.4.1. All senior raters with eligible officers competing for an aggregate "Definitely Promote" allocation must serve as a member of the MLR during the

aggregation phase. However, in those cases where senior raters are not available to serve on the MLR due to some extraordinary circumstance, the MLR president may authorize senior raters to designate senior officials (a general review or equivalent when evaluating lieutenant colonels or at least a colonel or equivalent when evaluating majors and below) from their organization or higher chain of command to serve on their behalf. If necessary, the MLR president may represent those senior raters, however the MLR president is still limited to one vote. If during the MLR a senior rater must be excused, the senior rater may designate another senior rater already attending the MLR or the MLR president to act on their behalf; however, the MLR president or another senior rater which was designated is still limited to one vote.

8.3.2.3.4.2. When practical, all senior raters competing officers for carry-over "Definitely Promotes" attend the MLR. If the management level determines this is not practical or deems it otherwise appropriate, it may establish a representative sample of senior raters to award carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendations. The management level uses a representative sample to ensure the senior raters selected do not score the records of officers for whom they are the senior rater. **Note:** In all cases, at least one representative will be from the competitive category under consideration and must be a scoring member of the MLR. **(T-1)** 

# 8.3.2.4. Management Level Review Preparation.

- 8.3.2.4.1. Management Levels.
  - 8.3.2.4.1.1. Establish MLRs.
  - 8.3.2.4.1.2. Distribute aggregation and carry-over "Definitely Promote" allocations to the MLR.
  - 8.3.2.4.1.3. Notify each senior rater of the number of officers they may submit to compete for carry-over allocations subject to limits established by the management level.
  - 8.3.2.4.1.4. Ensure MLRs are completed no earlier than 60 or no later than 40 calendar days before convening of the central selection board for which the PRFs are prepared.
  - 8.3.2.4.1.5. Determine the location of the MLR (normally held where performance records on the officers being considered are available).
  - 8.3.2.4.1.6. Ensure the officer's OCSRG and DQHB for each officer are available for the review.
  - 8.3.2.4.1.7. Ensure the MLR president is provided a listing of eligible officers, identifying those with personnel information files, letters of reprimand, and/or Articles 15s. MLR presidents use this listing at their discretion to ensure senior raters (and MLR members, when appropriate) have considered this information when preparing promotion recommendation forms.
  - 8.3.2.4.1.8. Establish scoring procedure for MLRs.

- 8.3.2.4.2. MLR Purpose and Process:
  - 8.3.2.4.2.1. Ensure senior raters do not exceed their share of "Definitely Promote" recommendations.
  - 8.3.2.4.2.2. Ensure all BTZ records are reviewed separately from I/APZ eligible records.
  - 8.3.2.4.2.3. Quality review the OCSRGs, DQHBs, and PRFs of all I/APZ officers in order to identify and discuss with appropriate senior raters those PRFs that appear to contain exaggerated or unrealistic comments or comments that do not appear to support the overall recommendation based on the OCSRGs and information considered according to paragraph 1.12. Note: "Definitely Promote" recommendations are limited in number to ensure that only the best qualified records are endorsed. A "Definitely Promote" recommendation sends a strong signal to the central selection board that this officer is ready for immediate promotion. If a senior rater or head of the management level does not have officers fitting this definition, a "Definitely Promote" recommendation should not be awarded even though "Definitely Promote" allocations may be available. To award "Definitely Promote" allocations to BTZ eligible officers when the record does not support a "Definitely Promote" recommendation, it gives the officer unrealistic feedback and sends mixed signals to the central selection board.
  - 8.3.2.4.2.4. Award "Definitely Promote" recommendations to officers aggregated from senior raters within their jurisdiction with less than minimum group size needed to award "Definitely Promote" recommendations.
  - 8.3.2.4.2.5. Award carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendations based on the Management Level's allocations available or to nominate to the Air Force MLR for aggregation or carry-over as appropriate.

## 8.3.2.4.3. Senior Raters:

- 8.3.2.4.3.1. Serve as members of the MLR.
- 8.3.2.4.3.2. Submit PRFs to the MLR on all I/APZ officers including officers competing for aggregation and carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendations. **Note:** Since BTZ records are not required to be quality reviewed, senior raters must submit their BTZ PRFs to the management level for updating.
- 8.3.2.4.3.3. Submit to the MLR recorder a single list of the names of their I/APZ officers. For those officers on the list with completed PRFs, include name and overall promotion recommendation; for those officers on the list submitted to compete for aggregation or carry-over, indicate whether competing for aggregation or carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendations by annotating an "A" for aggregation or "C" for carryover.

- 8.3.2.5. Review Procedures.
  - 8.3.2.5.1. General Procedures.
    - 8.3.2.5.1.1. For all MLRs, the recorder provides to the MLR president the total number of "Definitely Promote" recommendations to be awarded by each senior rater.
    - 8.3.2.5.1.2. The MLR president ensures no senior rater exceeds the allowable number of "Definitely Promote" recommendations. If a senior rater has awarded more "Definitely Promote" recommendations than allowed, the senior rater specifies which PRFs need correction, new PRFs are prepared, and the senior rater completes Sections IX and X.
      - 8.3.2.5.1.2.1. If the senior rater does not specify which PRFs need correcting, the panel reviews the OCSRG and DQHB of all officers assigned to that senior rater to determine which overall recommendations need changing. The panel then prepares a new PRF, with Sections I through VIII copied verbatim from the original PRF submitted by the senior rater.
      - 8.3.2.5.1.2.2. The MLR president marks the "Promote" block in section IX of the re-accomplished PRF and signs the form. **Note:** The president will leave Section IX blank when the officer competes under aggregation or carry-over.
      - 8.3.2.5.1.2.3. The panel will change the minimum number of PRFs required to ensure compliance with prescribed "Definitely Promote" limits.
      - 8.3.2.5.1.2.4. The records of any officer whose PRF is re-accomplished under this provision will automatically compete for carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendations.
  - 8.3.2.5.2. PRF Review. MLR members will review the OCSRGs, DQHBs, and completed PRFs of all I/APZ officers assigned to a senior rater as a group. If the MLR believes a "Definitely Promote" recommendation is unsupported by the ratee's OCSRG, they discuss this with the senior rater. Open discussion among MLR members is encouraged. In all cases, a senior rater has the final authority to determine the content of the PRFs they prepare (unless the content is inappropriate in accordance with paragraph 1.12 of this instruction), and to award "Definitely Promote" recommendations allocated by the management level.
  - 8.3.2.5.3. Aggregation and Carry-over. The MLR assesses the relative merit of OCSRGs of competitors for aggregation and carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendations. This is by a combination of numerical scoring and open discussion among panel members. The MLR must ensure consistent and equitable procedures apply to the OCSRG of each officer. The scores of all MLR members are totaled, rank-ordered, and "Definitely Promote" recommendations awarded. If two or more records tie, and there are insufficient numbers of Definitely Promote recommendations to award one to each, the MLR president will determine an appropriate method for breaking the tie.
  - 8.3.2.5.4. Procedures for Award of I/APZ Aggregation Definitely Promote Recommendations:

- 8.3.2.5.4.1. Officers submitted to compete for aggregation "Definitely Promote" recommendations compete among themselves. The MLR president and only those senior raters with officers competing under aggregation will review and score the OCSRGs of these officers.
- 8.3.2.5.4.2. If the total number of IPZ officers aggregated to the MLR is still too small to earn a "Definitely Promote" allocation, all panel members, not just those with officers competing for aggregation, will score the records of the officers in the aggregated group. (T-1) If authorized to round up for the specific category, the management level may award one "Definitely Promote" recommendation. If awarded, this "Definitely Promote" allocation will come from the carry over allocations. (T-1) If only authorized to round down, then the management level may nominate to the Air Force management level to compete for a "Definitely Promote" allocation.
- 8.3.2.5.4.3. After all records are reviewed and scored and the MLR has awarded the "Definitely Promote" recommendations, senior raters, or their designated representatives, complete Section IX on the PRFs for their officers. The MLR president verifies the results of the completed MLR by signing the order of merit. Senior raters may make any changes to the PRF as a result of the MLR (e.g., if the last line states, "my next Definitely Promote" and the officer received a "Definitely Promote" recommendation from the MLR then the senior rater should change the last line).
- 8.3.2.5.4.4. The records of officers from the aggregated group that did not receive a "Definitely Promote" recommendation may compete for carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendations at the discretion of the senior rater, within the limits prescribed by the management level.
- 8.3.2.5.5. Procedures for Award of I/APZ Carry-over Definitely Promote Recommendations:
  - 8.3.2.5.5.1. At the MLR's discretion, and subject to the limit of "Definitely Promote" allocations available in the carry-over phase, those officers who do not receive a "Definitely Promote" recommendation from aggregation will be submitted for carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendations. **Note:** This is based on the order of merit from the aggregation phase.
  - 8.3.2.5.5.2. Normally, the MLR president and all senior raters with officers competing for carry-over recommendations participate in the carry-over decision. **Exception:** See **paragraph 8.3.2.3.3**. At the discretion of the MLR president, other senior raters available may also participate in carry-over decisions.
  - 8.3.2.5.5.3. Senior raters or their designated representatives complete Section IX on PRFs for their officers by marking either a "Definitely Promote" or a "Promote" as appropriate. The MLR president verifies the results of the MLR by signing the order of merit. Senior raters may make any changes to the PRF as a result of the MLR (e.g., if the last line states, "my next Definitely Promote" and the officer received a "Definitely Promote" recommendation from the MLR then the senior rater should change the last line).

- 8.3.2.5.6. Recorder Responsibilities. The MLR recorder forwards all PRFs and annotated MELs to the personnel activity responsible for updating the Air Force Promotion Management System. **Note:** No officer eligible for a particular board will be involved with the PRF process for that particular board.
- 8.3.3. Officers Assigned Outside the DOD and to Other Military Departments.
  - 8.3.3.1. Air Force officers in these categories require special provisions because their organizations of assignment do not fall within the jurisdiction of a management level.
    - 8.3.3.1.1. Allocation Process. For these officers, the Air Force District of Washington acts as the management level. The responsibilities of Air Force District of Washington are the same as those in **paragraph 8.1.4.3**, except for aggregated BTZ officers. The HAF MLR (as described in **paragraph 8.3.3.3**) evaluates BTZ officers aggregated to the highest senior in the rating chain for whom the senior rater does not have the minimum group size required to receive an allocation.
    - 8.3.3.1.2. Promotion Recommendation Form (PRFs). Senior rater submitting officers to compete for aggregation or carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendations prepare and forward PRFs to Air Force District of Washington, leaving Section IX blank.

#### 8.3.3.2. HAF Review.

- 8.3.3.2.1. The AFDW/CC facilitates the HAF MLR to convene 40 to 60 calendar days before the central selection board for which the PRFs are prepared. The AF/CV, or officer designated by the AF/CC, serves as the MLR president. The Air Force District of Washington Commander with the assistance of AF/A1, selects a minimum of four members, consistent with the minimum grade requirements for senior raters, to serve as members (one must be from the competitive category being considered). (T-1)
- 8.3.3.2.2. The HAF MLR will review all completed I/APZ and BTZ PRFs and award aggregation and carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendations. Air Force District of Washington is responsible for providing senior raters copies of completed PRFs on their ratees. This MLR will also review all PRFs completed by sole senior raters (see definition of sole senior rater in this instruction).
- 8.3.3.2.3. The recorder consolidates information on the number of BTZ officers assigned, the number of BTZ "Definitely Promote" recommendations available, and the number of "Definitely Promote" recommendations awarded. **Note:** No officer eligible for a particular board will be involved with the PRF process for that particular board.
- 8.3.3.2.4. If, during the review of completed PRFs, the board discovers that a senior rater awarded more "Definitely Promote" recommendations than allowed, the MLR president discusses this with the senior rater.
  - 8.3.3.2.4.1. After the senior rater decides which PRFs to correct, they forward the re-accomplished PRFs to the MLR by the most expeditious means.
  - 8.3.3.2.4.2. If the senior rater does not specify which PRFs need correcting, the panel reviews the OCSRG, the DQHB, and the career brief of all officers assigned to that senior rater to determine which overall recommendations need changing.

- The panel then prepares a new PRF, with Sections I through VIII copied verbatim from the original PRF submitted by the senior rater. The MLR president marks the "Promote" block in section IX of the re-accomplished PRFs and signs Section X.
- 8.3.3.2.4.3. The MLR holds PRFs they re-accomplish pending receipt of a re-accomplished PRF from the senior rater. If they receive the senior rater's re-accomplished PRF before MLR conclusion, the re-accomplished PRF is submitted to the MLR for review. If the MLR has concluded, the PRF is re-accomplished by the panel president, submitted to Air Force District of Washington and the original submitted by the senior rater will be destroyed. The management level will then process the PRF as appropriate.
- 8.3.3.2.5. Award of "Definitely Promote" recommendations to I/APZ officers is always separate and distinct from award of "Definitely Promote" recommendations to BTZ officers.
- 8.3.3.2.6. The MLR president completes PRFs with Section IX left blank.
- 8.3.3.2.7. Since panel members may not be senior raters for the officers meeting the MLR, members are encouraged to discuss an officer's OCSRG and current performance with the senior rater in any case where the panel members believe it necessary.
- 8.3.4. Joint Management Level Reviews.
  - 8.3.4.1. Evaluation Reviews. The president of a panel held to evaluate Joint officers is always an Air Force general officer. Joint management levels may exercise one of two options: 1) hold their own reviews, or 2) allow the HAF MLR to evaluate their officers. If the Joint management level is the sole senior rater, the HAF MLR will review all completed Joint management level sole senior rater PRFs.
  - 8.3.4.2. PRF. When senior raters submit officers to compete at the HAF MLR, Section IX of the PRF is left blank.
  - 8.3.4.3. If the management level chooses to hold a review but there is no Air Force general officer assigned to the activity, the management level may obtain the assistance of an Air Force general officer assigned to another activity. If necessary, the HAF/A1 will assist the management level in obtaining a general officer to serve as the president.
    - 8.3.4.3.1. Senior raters submit to the panel all I/APZ completed PRFs as well as the PRFs (Section IX blank) on all IPZ and APZ officers submitted to compete for aggregation or carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendations.
    - 8.3.4.3.2. The responsibilities and procedures of joint reviews are the same as in **paragraph 8.3.2**, regardless of recommendation, to be reviewed by a MLR (joint MLR hosted by an Air Force general officer or HAF MLR). This is to ensure Air Force officers in a joint environment are getting an Air Force look.
- 8.3.5. Officers Assigned as Permanent Party Students.
  - 8.3.5.1. Management Level Students officers assigned as permanent party students training in their utilization field to include TDY in a training status. In-utilization training includes any follow-on, specialized, requalification, upgrade, enhancement, or broadening

training in the officer's utilization field. Management levels receive separate allocations based on those populations since permanent party eligible and students must be evaluated as two distinct categories. For both I/APZ line of the Air Force permanent party students, allocations round up at the management level and down at the senior rater level. For I/APZ non-line permanent party students, allocations round down. BPZ non-line/LAF-J permanent party student allocations round up at the management level and down at the senior rater level. Evaluation procedures are the same as outlined in paragraph 8.3.2.5. Responsibilities of the management level with regard to students are the same as those in paragraph 8.3.2.4.1.

- 8.3.5.2. AF-Level Students officers assigned as permanent party students training outside their utilization field. Outside utilization training includes developmental education, degree-granting programs (usually Air Force Institute of Technology sponsored), language training, education with industry programs, attaché/designate training, MC/DC residency programs (when a new Air Force specialty code or suffix is awarded upon completion of training or when determined by the competitive category functional representatives), internships, and initial qualification training into a new utilization field.
  - 8.3.5.2.1. AFPC/DPMSPE acts as the management level for AF level students and receives "Definitely Promote" allocations based on the number of IPZ officers eligible for consideration by the HAF student MLR discussed in **paragraph 8.3.5.2**. The allocation rate is applied to students, patients, and missing-in-action/prisoners of war separately and rounded up at the management level.
  - 8.3.5.2.2. Air Force Student Management Level Review. Convened at the direction of AF/A1, considers all officers who are permanent party students, patients, and those missing in action/prisoners of war within each separate category. It convenes approximately 70 calendar days prior to the central selection board. AF/A1 designates the MLR president and a minimum of four MLR members consistent with the minimum grade requirements for senior raters (one member must be from the category under consideration). (T-1) The MLR is responsible for the following:
    - 8.3.5.2.2.1. Reviewing the OCSRGs, DQHBs, career briefs, and narrative-only PRFs.
    - 8.3.5.2.2. Scoring all I/APZ records and awarding "Definitely Promote" recommendations based on the allocation rate prescribed for that competitive category, grade and zone.
    - 8.3.5.2.2.3. Scoring records and Awarding Promotion Recommendations.
    - 8.3.5.2.2.4. Awarding all Promotion Recommendations. There are no separate procedures to award aggregation and carry-over allocations.
    - 8.3.5.2.2.5. Ensuring the recommendation-only PRF is accomplished for each officer, the appropriate recommendation in Section IX is marked, the PRF is signed by the MLR, and is attached to the narrative-only PRF.
    - 8.3.5.2.2.6. Ensuring ratees receive a copy of the completed recommendation-only and the attached narrative-only PRFs. **Note:** These are distributed per **paragraph 8.1.4.2.13**.

- 8.3.5.3. Writing Letters to Air Force Student Management Level Review.
  - 8.3.5.3.1. AF-level students eligible for promotion may write a letter to the Air Force student MLR. The submitter must:
    - 8.3.5.3.1.1. Submit the letter in good faith and ensure it contains accurate information to the best of their knowledge. (T-3)
    - 8.3.5.3.1.2. Sign and date the letter. **(T-3)**
    - 8.3.5.3.1.3. Send the letter to AFPC/DPMSPE so it arrives no later than the 5 duty days prior to the MLR convening date. The MLR will not consider letters that arrive on or after the convening date. Address letters to: Calendar Year (insert appropriate year and grade) USAF Student Management Level Review, AFPC/DPMSPE. Letters may be faxed, emailed, or mailed but must have an actual signed signature (i.e., payroll signature). (T-3)
    - 8.3.5.3.1.4. If requesting return of the letter, provide a stamped self-addressed envelope. Otherwise, the letter will be destroyed upon conclusion of the student MLR. Letters will not be forwarded to the central selection board. **(T-3)**
  - 8.3.5.3.2. AFPC/DPMSPE advises officers when letters do not meet the above requirements and either returns or destroys the letter.
  - 8.3.5.3.3. Letters on behalf of other officers are not permitted (to clarify, eligible officers may provide letters as attachments to their letter; however, a stand-alone letter cannot be submitted on their behalf).
  - 8.3.5.3.4. The following attachments are not permitted: documents that can become a permanent part of the officer's selection folder (e.g., PRFs considered by previous central selection boards, unsigned officer evaluations and training reports, decoration narratives, or letters of evaluation which become part of the permanent record).
- 8.3.6. Air-Force-Level Management Level Review (Aggregation and Carryover). This convenes when the rounding down process is used (see **paragraph 8.3.1.5.1.2**.). Officers compete for promotion by competitive category. Each competitive category may be different and competes only within the category and only when the category rounds down at the management levels. Due to the relatively small number of officers in each of these competitive categories, the number of eligible officers under a senior rater will frequently be insufficient to receive a "Definitely Promote" allocation, as is often the case even when officers aggregate to the management level.
  - 8.3.6.1. Promotion Recommendation Forms. Section IX is blank on PRFs for officers submitted by the MLR to the Air Force MLR. The Air Force MLR president completes Section IX with either a "Definitely Promote" or "Promote" recommendation. Section VI (Group Size) will always be "N/A". (T-1)
  - 8.3.6.2. A MLR and/or the HAF MLR may evaluate I/APZ for all categories.
  - 8.3.6.3. Air Force Management Level Review.
    - 8.3.6.3.1. This panel considers those officers aggregated from management levels recommended to compete for aggregate and carry-over "Definitely Promote"

recommendations. AFPC convenes these reviews at AFPC approximately 30 calendar days before the central selection board.

- 8.3.6.3.2. Composition: President (an Air Force officer) and a minimum of four members as designated by AF/A1, or designated representative, consistent with the minimum grade requirements, where possible. The competitive category under consideration will not form the majority of MLR membership. (T-1) For MLRs, no more than two members may come from the competitive category under consideration. The remaining members will be from competitive categories not under consideration. (T-1)
- 8.3.6.3.3. AFPC/DPMSPE limits the number of officers each management level may submit to compete for aggregate and carry-over allocations to the total number of "Definitely Promote" allocations available. AFPC/DPMSPE ensures the OCSRG, DQHB, career brief, and PRF on each officer being submitted are available for review and holds an Air Force MLR for each competitive category.
- 8.3.6.3.4. MLR responsibilities are the same as discussed in paragraph 8.3.2.4.

## 8.4. Special Provisions (applies to ADL officers only).

- 8.4.1. Officers Relocating During the PRF Process. To ensure officers with a PCA or PCS assignment to a new senior rater effective after the PRF accounting date, but on or before the PRF cutoff date, receive full consideration for a "Definitely Promote" recommendation, special provisions apply. The gaining senior rater considers all eligible officers (except patients) regardless of promotion zone, who have a date arrived station (in MilPDS) effective after the PRF accounting date, but on or before the PRF cutoff date, for a "Definitely Promote" recommendation. For similar rules on promotion-eligible colonels. See paragraph 8.6.2.
  - 8.4.1.1. The losing senior rater's total number of eligible always includes officers in this category when determining the losing senior rater's share of "Definitely Promote" allocations. As a result, the losing senior rater is responsible for preparing PRFs and ensuring a quality review is completed.
  - 8.4.1.2. Do not adjust the gaining senior rater's number of "Definitely Promote" allocations to include officers in this category. Take any "Definitely Promote" recommendations awarded by a gaining senior rater from available allocations already established by the gaining senior rater's management level.
  - 8.4.1.3. To provide these officers fair consideration, the losing and gaining senior raters may discuss the officers' performance and their intentions (via phone, memo, etc.).

## 8.4.1.4. The Gaining Senior Rater:

- 8.4.1.4.1. Must consider only eligible officers who will be given an outright "Promote" recommendation by their losing senior rater. Gaining senior raters have no option to award an outright "Definitely Promote," nor can they nominate newly assigned officers for aggregation or carry-over consideration when the losing senior rater nominates them to the aggregation or carry-over process regardless of the outcome from the MLR.
- 8.4.1.4.2. Must consider all newly assigned officers who received a "Promote" recommendation on their PRF from the HAF student MLR. Eligible officers considered by the HAF student MLR are not competed in aggregation or carryover;

- therefore, the gaining senior raters may award an outright "Definitely Promote," or compete the officer(s) in aggregation and/or carry-over.
- 8.4.1.4.3. Will accomplish a new PRF only if this provision is authorized in accordance with **paragraph 8.4.1.4.1**. The newly accomplished PRF will contain the gaining SRID in Section VIII of the PRF and complete ratee identification data, unit mission description, and job description as of the date arrived station (PCS) or duty effective date (PCA) to the gaining senior rater. **Note:** If the gaining senior rater is unable to obtain a "Definitely Promote" recommendation, either outright or by aggregation/carryover, then the accomplished PRF is destroyed and the original PRF accomplished by the losing senior rater will be used for the central selection board.
- 8.4.1.5. The gaining senior rater will exercise the following options, as appropriate:
  - 8.4.1.5.1. Decide to take no action to submit an individual for a "Definitely Promote" recommendation.
  - 8.4.1.5.2. Award a "Definitely Promote" recommendation from earned allocations.
  - 8.4.1.5.3. Submit I/APZ officers to compete for aggregation and carry-over.
  - 8.4.1.5.4. Award a "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation when substantiated derogatory information has been received since departure from previous assignment if time does not allow for not-qualified-for-promotion action processing. This is considered a "Stop File" (see **paragraph 8.5**) and must be submitted in writing through the management level to AFPC/DPMSPE. Gaining senior raters must get the concurrence of the gaining MLR president and ensure the losing senior rater is informed of the "Do Not Promote This Board" action. This will allow the opportunity for possible redistribution of any previously awarded "Definitely Promote" recommendations to other deserving officers prior to the central selection board.
- 8.4.1.6. If the gaining senior rater submits an officer for an aggregation or carry-over "Definitely Promote" recommendation, the gaining senior rater must ensure the officer's record of performance is available.
- 8.4.1.7. The gaining senior rater should notify the losing senior rater of their intentions.
- 8.4.1.8. The management level will:
  - 8.4.1.8.1. Ensure consideration of all officers in this category for promotion recommendation and manage all necessary actions to ensure full consideration by the losing and gaining senior raters.
  - 8.4.1.8.2. Work with MPFs to notify senior raters of their eligible officers who fall in this category to ensure consideration for a definitely promote recommendation, as outlined in paragraph 8.4.
  - 8.4.1.8.3. Notify AFPC/DPMSPE when a gaining senior rater awards a "Definitely Promote" recommendation or "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation. This includes those awarded within a management level as a result of a PCA action. This is considered a "Stop File" under **paragraph 8.4** (commonly known as old guy/new guy) circumstances and must be in writing in accordance with **paragraph 8.5**.
  - 8.4.1.8.4. Ensure allocations are not adjusted to account for officers in this category.

- 8.4.1.9. The Military Personnel Flight (MPF) will:
  - 8.4.1.9.1. Screen all officers gained after the PRF accounting date to determine eligibility and notify senior raters accordingly. Ensure senior raters certify a review of all gained eligible officers by signing the old guy/new report on individual personnel or projected MEL which is generated from the Air Force Promotion Management System.
  - 8.4.1.9.2. Notify the Management Level of newly assigned officers whose SRID is not correct as soon as possible; monitor date arrived station for changes (resulting from finance office updates) that would necessitate a correction to the SRID.
  - 8.4.1.9.3. Provide the senior rater an OCSRG and DQHB on newly assigned members.

### 8.4.1.10. AFPC/DPMSPE will:

- 8.4.1.10.1. Update all "Definitely Promote" and "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendations awarded by gaining senior raters and update inter-command SRID changes upon "Stop File" requests from management levels.
- 8.4.1.10.2. Receive definitely promote PRFs accomplished by the gaining senior rater through the "Stop File" process. If the losing and gaining senior rater both award the same overall recommendation, the PRF from the gaining senior rater is destroyed.
- 8.4.2. Officers Added to or Deleted from Promotion Eligibility. This paragraph applies to officers who become eligible or ineligible for promotion consideration in a particular competitive category on or after the PRF allocation date. Causes for a change in eligibility status may include: SSB or AFBCMR actions, administrative errors, changes in dates of separation, or similar circumstances.
  - 8.4.2.1. When an officer is added to a central selection board or changes promotion zone eligibility, the senior rater:
    - 8.4.2.1.1. Prepares a PRF without a restriction as to the type of recommendation awarded, since there are no adjustments made to allocations of definitely promote recommendations on or after the PRF allocation date.
    - 8.4.2.1.2. Only awards definitely promote recommendations to officers whose OCSRG and DQHB are comparable to other officers who received "Definitely Promote" recommendations during the normal PRF process.
    - 8.4.2.1.3. Completes PRFs according to **Table 8.1** (except section VI, Group Size). In this section, enter a "1" for IPZ officers and a "0" for AP officers. **Note:** Group size for non-line/LAF-J is always "N/A."
    - 8.4.2.1.4. Either recommends or does not recommend the officer for promotion, if the promotion opportunity is 100%. A PRF is required only for officers who are not recommended for promotion.
  - 8.4.2.2. Senior raters void PRFs completed on officers subsequently deleted from promotion eligibility following the PRF allocation date. When a PRF is voided and an outright definitely promote was awarded, senior raters may reallocate "Definitely Promote" recommendations to other officers and re-accomplish PRFs. See paragraph

- **8.3.1.8.2** for disposition of "Definitely Promote" recommendations after the MLR convenes. The appropriate MLR must approve changes to I/APZ.
- 8.4.2.3. When an officer's zone of eligibility for promotion changes (e.g., from IPZ to APZ), the above provisions apply. Senior raters prepare a new PRF as appropriate to reflect the officer's correct promotion zone and void the old PRF.
- 8.4.3. Prisoners, Deserters, and Officers on Appellate Leave. Do not accomplish PRFs for officers who become prisoners or deserters, or who are on appellate leave on or before the PRF accounting date. Notify AFPC/DPMSPE through the management level to have these officers removed from the senior rater MEL unless the status is after the PRF accounting date. AFPC/DPMSPE prepares a board-specific DAF Form 77 for ADL officers who fall into this category and places it into their selection record. However, officers identified as prisoners, deserters, or on appellate leave after the PRF accounting date will require PRFs from the losing senior rater. The senior rater's total number of eligible officers will include these officers when determining "Definitely Promote" allocations.
- 8.4.4. Officers Eligible for Promotion when the Promotion Opportunity is 100%. When the promotion opportunity for any grade is 100%, senior raters will prepare PRFs only on officers who receive "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation or on a "Promote" recommendation with derogatory information (e.g., Article 15, referral evaluation, letter of reprimand) filed in their OSRs. Exceptions to this rule can be addressed to AFPC/DPMSPE. Senior raters will annotate one the MEL with either a "P" (for "Promote" recommendations) or "N" (for "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendations) and forward the MEL and PRFs to the management level. Management levels will review all "Do Not Promote This Board" promotion recommendations, update the Air Force Promotion Management System to show either "Promote" or "N" (not recommended for promotion), and forward any completed PRFs and MELs, signed by the MLR president, to arrive at AFPC/DPMSPE no later than 30 calendar days prior to the board start date. Management levels may use a representative sample of senior raters to evaluate "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendations.
- 8.4.5. Officers Assigned to Units Above the Management Level. Officers assigned directly to the offices of the CSAF, SecAF, CJCS, SECDEF, VPOTUS, or POTUS, with that individual as their direct reporting official, are above the management level, require special provisions because they do not fall within the usual jurisdiction of a management level. These select units generally have few promotion eligible officers for most boards.
  - 8.4.5.1. Allocation Process. To ensure these officers receive full and fair consideration, the individual above the management level unit acts as the management level and receives separate "Definitely Promote" allocations for IPZ officers assigned. Since there is no opportunity for this small pocket of quality officers to aggregate up or compete for carry-over, the above the management level heads are authorized to award additional "Definitely Promote" recommendations.
  - 8.4.5.2. Promotion Recommendation Forms (PRF). The above the management level heads are sole senior raters and must prepare PRFs on all promotion eligible officers under consideration by the appropriate central selection board. They award all PRF recommendations.

- 8.4.5.3. Management Level Review (MLR). Since the above the management level heads are sole senior raters, they do not conduct MLRs; the PRFs are forwarded to the HAF MLR (Air Force District of Washington) for a quality review only.
- **8.5.** Correction of Promotion Recommendation Forms (PRF) (ADL Officers) ("Stop File" process). A PRF is considered a working copy until the start of the central selection board. If the PRF is not a matter of record, senior raters have the flexibility to change PRFs. **Note:** All changes to PRFs should be completed no later than 2 weeks prior to the central selection board. However, in extreme circumstances and on a case-by-case basis, AFPC/DPMSPE may approve changes up to one duty day prior to the central selection board. The request must be from an O-6/equivalent or above, who has oversight of the MLR process and justification as to why the correction was not discovered within the time limit.
  - 8.5.1. For typographical errors, concurrence by the MLR president is not required. For content changes, MLR president concurrence is necessary. The following steps should be followed:
    - 8.5.1.1. Senior rater contacts the management level to discuss the issue. The management level will notify AFPC/DPMSPE to place an immediate "Stop File" on the affected officer's PRF(s) with written communication, identifying the change, (fax, email, and letter) within 24 hours of initial notification.
    - 8.5.1.2. The senior rater must notify the affected officer (in writing, or, if verbal, follow-up in writing) of the intent to change the PRF.
    - 8.5.1.3. The senior rater forwards the corrected PRF to the management level and provides a copy to the officer.
    - 8.5.1.4. The management level forwards the corrected PRF to AFPC/DPMSPE.
  - 8.5.2. If the change to the PRF serves to weaken the narrative portion, is a negative content change, or a downgrade in the overall rating, the MLR process that the original PRF met must be re-accomplished. In addition to the steps above, the officer must be provided a copy of the re-accomplished PRF and a letter, similar to the letter provided to an officer who receives a "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation, stating the officer's right to write a letter to the central selection board.
  - 8.5.3. Correction of PRFs (ResAF Officers) ("Stop File" Process). A PRF is considered a working copy until the start of the central selection board. If the PRF is not a matter of record, senior raters have the flexibility to change PRFs. **Note:** All changes to PRFs should be completed NLT two weeks prior to the central selection board. However, in extreme circumstances, and on a case-by-case basis, ARPC/PB may approve changes up to one duty day prior to the central selection board. The request must be from the senior rater (in writing or, if verbal, follow-up in writing/electronic mail within 24 hours of initial notification).
    - 8.5.3.1. The senior rater must notify the affected officer (in writing or, if verbal, follow-up in writing) of the intent to change the PRF.
    - 8.5.3.2. If the change to the PRF serves to weaken the narrative portion, is a negative content change, or a downgrade in the overall rating, the PRF must be re-accomplished. In addition to the steps above, the officer must be provided a copy of the re-accomplished PRF and a letter, similar to the letter provided to an officer who receives a "Do Not Promote

This Board" recommendation, stating the officer's right to write a letter to the central selection board.

- **8.6. Promotion Recommendations for Colonels.** This section describes how to recommend colonels for promotion to the grade of brigadier general. It applies to officers eligible for consideration by the HAF or AFR general officer central selection board or an ANG federal recognition board.
  - 8.6.1. Responsibilities in the Promotion Recommendation Process.
    - 8.6.1.1. Heads of management levels must:
      - 8.6.1.1.1. Prepare PRFs on all promotion-eligible colonels under consideration by the appropriate selection or federal recognition board (e.g., extended active duty colonels with two years' time in grade as of the board convening date). **Note:** Do not prepare PRFs on prisoners or officers on appellate leave, or on ANG colonels being considered for certificates of eligibility to the grade of brigadier general. When preparing PRFs on promotion-eligible colonels, management levels may consider, in addition to the OCSRG, other reliable sources of information, to include the senior officer UIF (if applicable). **Table 8.1**, notes 4 and 6, contain further guidance. Guidance in this instruction take precedence over those printed on the AF Form 709. For ANG colonels, the AF Form 709 must be signed by the adjutant general. For adjutants general, the AF Form 709 must be signed by the Governor.
      - 8.6.1.1.2. Personally complete PRFs by competitive category on all promotion-eligible colonels who receive a "Definitely Promote This Board" and "Definitely Promote" recommendation. Complete PRFs no earlier than 60 calendar days and no later than 30 calendar days before the selection or federal recognition board convenes.
      - 8.6.1.1.3. Designate one or more representatives to perform this function for all other promotion recommendations. Representatives must be senior in grade to the ratees. Brigadier general selectees may not be designated as a representative for PRF purposes.
      - 8.6.1.1.4. Send completed PRFs on all AFR colonels to AF/REG no later than 30 calendar days prior to the central selection board convening date.
      - 8.6.1.1.5. Provide each ratee a copy of their PRF approximately 30 calendar days prior to the appropriate board. Attach a memo (**Figure 8.1**) for ratees who received a "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation to advise the officer of the right to submit a letter to the central selection board.
    - 8.6.1.2. Vice Chief of Staff, USAF (AF/CV). The AF/CV, or designated representative, serves as the single management level for Air Force colonels assigned outside the DoD, to other military services, or as Air Force-level (e.g., senior service school) students.
    - 8.6.1.3. Air Force Colonel Management Office (AF/A1LO).
      - 8.6.1.3.1. Manages the PRF process for all RegAF list colonels.
      - 8.6.1.3.2. Announces the PRF accounting date.
      - 8.6.1.3.3. Matches promotion eligible officers to the appropriate management level on the PRF accounting date.

- 8.6.1.3.4. Announces the "Definitely Promote This Board" allocation rate and a combined allocation rate for the "Definitely Promote This Board"/"Definitely Promote" recommendations in the personnel services delivery memorandum (PSDM) released before the board.
- 8.6.1.4. Air Force Reserve General Officer Management (AF/REG). Manages the PRF process for all AFR colonels.
- 8.6.1.5. National Guard Bureau ANG General Officer Management Office (NGB-GO/AF). Manages the PRF process for all ANG colonels.
- 8.6.2. Processing and Use of the PRF for colonels.
  - 8.6.2.1. Send completed PRFs on all ADL colonels to AF/A1LO no later than 30 calendar days prior to the central selection board convening date.
  - 8.6.2.2. Send completed PRFs on all AFR colonels to AF/REG approximately 30 calendar days prior to the central selection board convening date.
  - 8.6.2.3. Send completed PRFs on all ANG colonels to NGB-GO/AF no later than 30 calendar days prior to the ANG federal recognition board convening date, or as directed by NGB-GO.
  - 8.6.2.4. Narrative-only/recommendation-only PRFs for permanent-party students, patients and missing-in-action/prisoners of war.
    - 8.6.2.4.1. The senior rater sends the narrative-only PRF to AF/A1LO no later than 30 calendar days prior to the officer departing PCA or PCS for school.
    - 8.6.2.4.2. The senior rater sends evaluations for officers in patient or missing-in-action/prisoner of war status to AF/A1LO no later than 60 calendar days after the officer enters this new status.
    - 8.6.2.4.3. Senior raters provide a copy of the narrative-only PRF to the ratee prior to the officer's departure from home station.
    - 8.6.2.4.4. AF/A1LO maintains narrative-only PRFs until the officer leaves student, patient, or missing-in-action/prisoner of war status. AF/A1LO destroys narrative-only PRFs when the officer no longer competes for promotion in this status. AF/A1LO maintains the narrative-only PRFs until distributed as specified below:
      - 8.6.2.4.4.1. For officers who become eligible for promotion consideration by a brigadier general central selection board before they change status, AF/A1LO forwards the narrative-only PRFs to AFDW, Military Personnel Branch (AFDW/A1K).
      - 8.6.2.4.4.2. After completion of the AF/CV recommendation-only PRFs (which are attached to the narrative-only PRFs), the AF/CV forwards the PRFs back to Air Force Colonel Management Office for inclusion in the HAF selection folder and provides copies to the ratees.

- 8.6.2.5. Restrict the use of the AF Form 709 to the brigadier general central selection boards. Do not use PRFs for any other personnel action.
- 8.6.2.6. A PRF becomes a "matter of record" upon the convening date of the central selection board for which it was prepared.
- 8.6.2.7. Destroy a colonel's PRF within 30 calendar days of the officer's promotion, retirement, or separation.
- 8.6.2.8. Only the offices listed below may maintain copies of the PRF.
  - 8.6.2.8.1. AF/A1LO for all ADL colonels.
  - 8.6.2.8.2. AF/REG for all AFR colonels.
  - 8.6.2.8.3. NGB-GO/AF for all ANG Colonels.
- 8.6.3. For instructions on completing the AF Form 709 for colonels, see **Table 8.4**.
- **8.7.** Supplemental Management Level Reviews for Recommendation Upgrade Post-Central Selection Board, (For ADL Only). The supplemental MLR is a competitive process required to ensure fairness and equity in the post-central selection board PRF appeal process. As stated in paragraph 8.1.4.3.10, management levels must maintain copies of the OCSRG that earned the bottom "Definitely Promote" recommendation and the top two "Promote" recommendations in carry-over at their MLR for each competitive category as it appeared before the MLR. The OCSRG will serve as the "Definitely Promote" recommendation benchmark record to be competed via a supplemental MLR against OCSRG of officers seeking a post-central selection board PRF upgrade of the overall recommendation (Section IX) to a "Definitely Promote" recommendation.
  - 8.7.1. Granting Supplemental Management Level Consideration. Management levels will grant supplemental management level consideration only if they have the written support of both the original senior rater and MLR president in accordance with **Attachment 2**, paragraph A2.6.
  - 8.7.2. Supplemental Management Level Review Procedures. Management levels will conduct supplemental MLRs in conjunction with their next scheduled MLR, when appropriate membership is present. When conducting a supplemental MLR, the applicant's OCSRG, to include the revised PRF as supported by both the original senior rater and MLR president, will be competed head-to-head against the "Definitely Promote" recommendation and "Promote" recommendation benchmarks and scored by all members of the MLR. Management levels must ensure the applicant's OCSRG contains only those documents that would have been present during the original MLR. Scoring of the records will be a simple vote. The applicant's OSR must tie or beat the bottom "Definitely Promote" recommendation benchmark in order to be awarded a "Definitely Promote" recommendation.
  - 8.7.3. Disclosing of Supplemental Management Level Results. At the conclusion of the supplemental MLR, the management level must ensure the MLR president certifies the results via a results letter. If the applicant earned a "Definitely Promote" recommendation from the supplemental MLR, the letter, along with the PRF, should be returned to the applicant to be included in their appeal package (ERAB process in accordance with **chapter 10**). See **paragraph 8.4.4.1.2**. In addition, a copy of the letter and PRF must be forwarded to AFPC/DPMSPE. If the applicant is not granted a "Definitely Promote" recommendation from the supplemental MLR, then the applicant's appeal to change the overall recommendation of

the PRF to a "Definitely Promote" recommendation is without merit. As such, the results letter and PRF should be returned to the applicant, and only a copy of the letter must be forwarded to AFPC/DPMSPE.

Figure 8.1. Officer's Right to Submit a Memorandum to the Central Selection Board or ResAF Central Selection Board (See DAFI 36-2501, *Officer Promotions and Selective Continuation*, for further guidance).

(date)

MEMORANDUM FOR (Ratee)

(Ratee's address)

FROM: (Senior rater's functional office symbol) (Senior rater's functional address)

SUBJECT: Officer's Right to Submit a Memorandum to the Central Selection Board (CSB)

I have recently completed your AF Form 709, Promotion Recommendation. In this evaluation, I recommended to the CSB that you not be selected for promotion at this time. Because of this recommendation, I am reminding you of your right to submit a memorandum to the CSB.

If you believe this evaluation is inaccurate, unjust, or unfairly prejudicial, you may write a memorandum to the CSB concerning these matters. In addition, you may apply for a correction/appeal of the evaluation under Chapter 10 of DAFI 36-2406, Officer and Enlisted Evaluations Systems, once the evaluation becomes a matter of record.

DAFI 36-2501, Officer Promotions and Selective Continuation, provides further instructions as to what is permissible in a memorandum to the CSB. If you require further information concerning your right to submit a memorandum to the board, the MPF is available to assist you.

(Signature)

(Typed name, grade, branch of service)

Attachment: AF Form 709

Table 8.1. Instructions for Completing AF Form 709, *Promotion Recommendation* (for officers in the grade of lieutenant colonel and below).

L	A	В	C			
I	To Com	plete	Instructions (See Notes 1 and 4)			
N E	Section	Item				
1	I	Ratee Identification Data	See PRF notice for ratee identification data. If any data is incorrect, notify the CSS/HR specialist and MPF to correct the ADL. For RASL officers, notify the MPF (unit assigned) or ARPC/DPTSE to correct any erroneous data.			
2		Name	In all upper case, enter last name, first name, middle initial and Jr., Sr., etc. If there is no middle initial, the use of "NMI" is optional.			
3		SSN	Enter Social Security Number.			
4		Grade	Select grade (rank) from drop-down menu.			
5		DAFSC	Enter the DAFSC, to include prefix and suffix, as of the date the PRF notice is generated. See <b>Note 2</b> . See <b>Note</b> for recommendation-only PRFs.			
6		Organization, Command, Location	Enter organization, command, and location of assignment (with attachment if applicable). For IMAs, PIRR or PIRR Cat E, use attached organization. See <b>Note 3</b> for recommendation-only PRFs.			
7		PAS Code	Enter the PAS code reflected on the PRF notice. If the PAS code is incorrect, advise the CSS/HR specialist and MPF (ADL officers), MPF (unit) or HQ RIO (IMAs). For IMAs, PIRR or PIRR Cat E, use attached organization. See <b>Note 3</b> for recommendation-only PRFs.			
8	II	Unit Mission Description	Provides a description of primary unit responsibilities (e.g., what it is and does, and to whom it is responsible), and is the same for all members of a unit. Limit to four lines. This is normally the organization listed on the PRF. However, in large organizations, it may be necessary to use mission description for a lower level, such as the division level if it more accurately portrays the activity in which the officer performs duty. For recommendation-only PRFs, leave blank.			
9	III	Job Description	Complete as if on an officer evaluation. Must use narrative format.			
10		Duty Title	Enter the approved duty title as reflected in MilPDS. Pending or projected duty titles will not be used (Example: Officer departs to new duty location, losing senior rater may not use new duty title). See the <i>Personnel Services Delivery Transformation Training – Classifications: Duty History</i> located in myPers for further guidance. For students, enter the student duty title (see <b>Note 2</b> ). For AGR students'			

11		Key Duties, Tasks, Responsibilities	recommendation-only PRFs, enter "Student, type of school" (e.g., Student, Industrial College of the Armed Forces). For AFR PV, see Note 8. For those assigned to a 365-day extended deployment billet, enter deployed title.  This description must reflect the uniqueness of each ratee's job and not be standardized. Be clear and specific. Include level of responsibility, number of people supervised and dollar value of resources accountable for projects managed. Avoid jargon, acronyms and topical references as they obscure rather than clarify meaning. Mention additional duties only if they directly relate to mission accomplishment and previous jobs held during the reporting period. For accessions receiving an evaluation while
			awaiting the start of formal training, the first line of the description will read "Officer is awaiting training." This may mirror the job description. See <b>Notes 4</b> and <b>5</b> . For recommendation-only PRFs, leave blank.
12	IV	Promotion Recommendation	Explain why the officer should or should not be promoted. Limit comments to the next higher grade. See <b>Notes 4</b> and <b>5</b> . For narrative-only PRFs and RASL officers, comments on all PRFs are mandatory. Comments are mandatory for IPZ one time deferred (passed over) and APZ eligible officers. Comments are optional for two or more times deferred (passed over) APZ eligible officers. When comments are optional, the final decision authority for including comments remains with the senior rater.  Comments are required on all PRFs with a "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation, regardless of zone. For ADL recommendation-only PRFs, this section is blank. Comments are limited to the space provided.
13	V	Promotion Zone	For ADL I/APZ officers, in the drop-down menu, select "I/APZ." See PRF notice for promotion zone. Type or hand-write entries. For narrative-only PRFs, leave blank.
14	VI	Group Size	For ADL officers, see <b>Table 8.2.</b> Type or hand-write the entry. For narrative-only PRFs, leave blank. For ARC, (I/APZ) rank order all officers awarded a "Definitely Promote" recommendation, within each competitive category, e.g., 2/5/10; the officer is ranked number 2 of 5 officers awarded a "Definitely Promote" recommendation out of 10 officers in that competitive category meeting the central selection board. Position Vacancy (PV): rank order all officers nominated for PV within each competitive category, e.g., 2/5; the officer is ranked number 2 of 5 officers. The senior rater has 5 officers in that competitive category meeting the PV central selection board. The Deputy RE ranks AGR student recommendation-only PRFs

			according to the competitive category within the student population. These PRFs are not included with the PRFs under the SRID that applies to the Chief of Air Force Reserve.
15	VII	Board	Enter the central selection board ID for which the senior rater prepared the PRF (Example: P0423A indicates CY23 major board, and A0424A indicates the FY24 ANG major board). The PRF notices includes the board ID. For narrative-only PRFs, enter the date signed in this section. For RASL narrative-only PRFs, leave blank.
16	VIII	SRID	Enter this code as shown on the PRF notice. For IMAs, PIRR or PIRR Cat E, use attached organization. For narrative-only PRFs, leave blank.
17	IX	Overall Recommendation	The senior rater selects from the drop-down menu one of three recommendations. See <b>Note 6</b> for additional information on narrative-only PRFs, non-line/LAF-J, and aggregate PRFs. For RASL, do not mark a recommendation for PV or narrative-only PRFs. Nominees for ANG colonel are exempt.
18	X	Senior Rater Data	See instructions at <b>Note 7</b> .

- 1. Senior raters complete PRFs no earlier than 60 calendar days for the central selection board (the PRF cutoff date). For AFR, complete the PRFs in time to arrive at ARPC no later than 45 calendar days before the board convening date. Senior raters award one of three overall recommendations: Definitely Promote (DP), Promote (P), or Do Not Promote This Board (DNP). Excluding AFR and AGR officers, there is a limit on DP recommendations to ensure they convey the intended message. There is no limit on P and DNP recommendations.
- 2. If changes to DAFSC or duty title are approved after the MLR but before the central selection board, see **paragraph 8.5** for correction procedures. Once the PRF is a matter of record, a formal application for correction must be submitted in accordance with **Chapter 10**. **(T-1)** For RASL officers, contact ARPC/DPS if data is incorrect. For AGR students, enter "Student of (type of school)." E.g., PDE, IDE, SDE.
- 3. For Recommendation-Only PRFs:
- a. Enter in Item 4, student DAFSC; for Item 5, the organizational designation, MAJCOM, and a location of the ratee's assigned school; and for item 6, student PAS code.
- b. For AGR students only: Enter in Item 4 the student DAFSC; for Item 5, Office of Air Force Reserve (HAF), Washington District of Columbia; and for Item 6, student PAS code.
- 4. Some general guidelines:
- a. For RegAF and ARC officers, promotion recommendation narratives are limited to the space provided. In these comments, the senior rater should use plain language and limit use of acronyms and/or abbreviations to provide a performance-based differentiation and/or characterization of the eligible officer's potential to serve in the next higher grade.
- b. Endorsements for promotion are based upon an officer's demonstrated character and competence as detailed in the SecAF's memorandum of instruction for promotion boards. This is an opportunity for the senior rater to tell the central selection board why they should

(or should not) promote the officers. This should not be a summary of information already contained in the record of performance. Comments or pushes for items that are decided through other processes or means (e.g., developmental education, jobs, assignments) are not authorized.

- c. PRFs may include recommendations for promotion (limit comments to the next higher grade).
- d. Do not discuss classified information.
- e. Consider including comments related to Article 15 actions, or letters of reprimand, admonishment, or counseling. It is strongly recommended that control roster actions be recorded. It is mandatory to record court-martial results unless actions resulted in acquittal.
- f. Do not make recommendations for selective continuation since selective continuation boards do not see PRFs. On central selection boards where promotion and selection continuation are involved, PRFs are removed from the selection records before the start of the selective continuation process.
- g. Refer to **paragraph 1.12** for inappropriate evaluator considerations and comments on PRFs.
- h. Duty information must be within the senior rater's jurisdiction as of the PRF accounting date.
- i. Will not comment on an officer's prior enlisted time. (T-1)
- j. If an officer has a date of separation, has an approved retirement date, or is unsure about career intent, it does not necessarily detract from performance-based potential and will not be commented on in the PRF.
- 5. Comments are mandatory when an officer receives a DP or DNP recommendation, and must substantiate, amplify, or explain the recommendation. **(T-1)**
- 6. For narrative-only PRFs, do not mark any of the three blocks and type "No Overall Recommendation" in the top of this section. For non-line of the AF/LAF-J officers; MC and DC promotion to major and lieutenant colonel; LAF, NC, MSC, BSC, and Chaplain Corps (HC) promotion to captain, only P or DNP recommendations are used on the PRF (when the promotion opportunity is 100 percent). Do not prepare a PRF for AF/LAF-J promotion to captain. For officers submitted in aggregate or carry-over to an evaluation board, leave this section blank.
- 7. Senior Rater:
- a. Enter name, grade, branch of service (military officers and Department of the Air Force civilians only), organization, command of assignment, and location. Grade must be that in which the Senior rater is serving. **(T-1)**. **Exception**: Enter "Brig Gen (S)" for brigadier general selectees confirmed by the Senate. Retired grade is not authorized. If an officer has been frocked, enter their actual grade unless the officer is serving in a funded billet and the ratee is a lieutenant colonel or above.
- b. Enter the last four digits of the Social Security Number if the evaluator is an Air Force officer. The Social Security Number is optional, though encouraged, if the evaluator is a civilian or member of another U.S. military service.
- c. Do not include command level, unless it is an integral part of the duty title, with the official duty title.
- d. For ADL officers, enter current data as of the date of PRF completion. Do not complete the PRF before the PRF cutoff date.
- f. For ADL R-O PRFs, the President of the AF Management Level Review acts as the senior

rater. Enter the following information: name; grade; branch of service; for organization, enter "HAF Student MLR"; for location, enter the location of the review; social security number; and for duty title, enter "President, HAF Student MLR."

8. For PV nomination, place the position number to the far right in the "Duty Title" block. All PV nominations must have a valid funded position number with an authorized grade higher than the officer's current grade when they arrive at ARPC/PB. (T-1). PRFs with missing position numbers may be returned. PRFs with invalid position numbers or those for nominees not the incumbent (an UMD overage) in the position will be returned. (T-1). Direct questions to ARPC/PB."

Table 8.2. What to Enter in (Group Size) on the PRF (ADL Lt Col and below only).

R	A	В	C
U L E	rate is	and the number of IPZ eligible in an entire management level is (See Notes 1 and 2)	then enter
1	10 percent	10 or more	"N/A."
2		9 or less	the actual number of eligible within the entire management level.
3	15 percent	7 or more	"N/A."
4		6 or less	the actual number of eligible within the entire management level.
5	20 percent	5 or more	"N/A."
6		4 or less	the actual number of eligible within the entire management level.
7	25 to 30 percent	4 or more	"N/A."
8		3 or less	the actual number of eligible within the entire management level.
9	35 to 90 percent	3 or more	"N/A."
10		2 or less	the actual number of eligible within the entire management level.

- 1. For line of the Air Force (LAF) officers only, the following rules apply: APZ eligible do not generate "Definitely Promote" allocations; therefore, they do not apply when determining the entry for Section VI on the PRF. For management levels with only LAF APZ eligible members, please reference **paragraph 8.3.1.5.2**. When an officer is added to a central selection board to change promotion zone eligibility after Day 66, enter a "1 for IPZ officers or a "0" for APZ officers.
- 2. For non-line/LAF-J officers (I/APZ), always enter "N/A" regardless of the number of eligible unless they fall under the criteria of **paragraph 8.4.2**. (Board Adds/Promotion Zone Changes).

Table 8.3. Senior Rater "Definitely Promote" Allocation Rate Table – Active Duty List Officers.

	Al	loca	atio	n R	ates	(Pe	erce	nta	ges)	)									
Number of IPZ Eligible	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
4	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
5	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4
6	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	5
7	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	6	6
8	0	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	6	6	6	7	7
9	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	6	6	7	7	8	8
10	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5	6	6	7	7	8	8	9	9
11	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	6	6	7	7	8	8	9	9	1
12	0	1	1	2	3	3	4	4	5	6	6	7	7	8	9	9	1	1	1
13	0	1	1	2	3	3	4	5	5	6	7	7	8	9	9	1	1	1	1
14	0	1	2	2	3	4	4	5	6	7	7	8	9	9	1	1	1	1	1
15	0	1	2	3	3	4	5	6	6	7	8	9	9	1	1	1	1	1	1
16	0	1	2	3	4	4	5	6	7	8	8	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
17	0	1	2	3	4	5	5	6	7	8	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
18	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
19	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
20	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
21	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
22	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
23	1	2	3	4	5	6	8	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2
24	1	2	3	4	6	7	8	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
25	1	2	3	5	6	7	8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
26	1	2	3	5	6	7	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
27	1	2	4	5	6	8	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
28	1	2	4	5	7	8	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
29	1	2	4	5	7	8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
30	1	3	4	6	7	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
31	1	3	4	6	7	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
32	1	3	4	6	8	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
33	1	3	4	6	8	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	3
34	1	3	5	6	8	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3
	Αl	loca	-			(Pe	erce	nta	ges)	)									

Number of IPZ Eligible	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95
35	1	3	5	7	8	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3
36	1	3	5	7	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3
37	1	3	5	7	9	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3
38	1	3	5	7	9	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
39	1	3	5	7	9	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
40	2	4	6	8	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
41	2	4	6	8	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
42	2	4	6	8	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
43	2	4	6	8	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	4
44	2	4	6	8	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	4
45	2	4	6	9	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4
46	2	4	6	9	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4
47	2	4	7	9	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	4
48	2	4	7	9	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4
49	2	4	7	9	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4
50	2	5	7	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4

**Note:** To determine the number of senior rater "Definitely Promote" allocations when there are more than 50 In-the-Promotion Zone eligible officers, multiply the number of IPZ eligible officers times the allocation rate. If the result is not a whole number, round down to the next lower whole number.

**Example:** A senior rater who has 63 eligible officers applied to a 65% allocation rate earns 40 definitely promote allocations (63 X 65% = 40.95 allocations, rounded down to 40). This table applies to all competitive categories. **Exception:** When the senior rater has three IPZ officers and the allocation rate is 65%, senior raters may award two "Definitely Promote" recommendations even though the computation does not result in two allocations (1.95). This table reflects this exception.

Table 8.4. Instructions for Completing AF Form 709, *Promotion Recommendation Form* (for officers in the grade of colonel).

L	A	В	C		D
I	To Com	plete	Status		Instructions (See Notes 1 and 4)
N E	Section	Item	RegAF	ARC	
1	I	Ratee Identification Data	X	X	See PRF notice for ratee identification data. If any data is incorrect, notify the CSS/HR specialist and MPF for computer correction of the active duty list (ADL officers). For RASL officers, notify the MPF (unit assigned) or ARPC/DPTSE to correct any erroneous data.
2		Name	X	X	In all upper case, enter last name, first name, middle initial and Jr., Sr., etc. If there is no middle initial, the use of "NMI" is optional.
3		SSN	X	X	Enter Social Security Number.
4		Grade	X	X	Select grade (rank) from drop-down menu.
5		DAFSC	X	X	Enter the DAFSC, to include prefix and suffix, as of the date the PRF notice is generated. For AFR refer to ARPCM. See <b>Note 2</b> . See <b>Note 3</b> for recommendation-only PRFs.
6		Organization, Command, Location	X	X	Enter organization, command, and location of assignment (with attachment if applicable). For IMAs, PIRR or PIRR Cat E, use attached organization. See <b>Note 3</b> for recommendation-only PRFs.
7		PAS Code	X	X	Enter the PAS code reflected on the PRF notice. If the PAS code is incorrect, advise the CSS/HR specialist and MPF (ADL officers), MPF (unit) or HQ RIO (IMAs). For IMAs, PIRR or PIRR Cat E, use attached organization. See <b>Note 3</b> for recommendation-only PRFs.
8	II	Unit Mission Description	X	X	This block is not used for officers in the grade of colonel. (AFR) Use approved mission description based on PAS.
9	III	Job Description	X	X	Complete as if on an officer evaluation.
10		Duty Title	X	X	Enter the approved duty title as reflected in MilPDS. Pending or projected duty titles will not be used (Example: Officer departs to new duty location, losing senior rater may not use new duty title). See the

					Personnel Services Delivery Transformation Training – Classifications: Duty History located in myPers for further guidance. For students, enter the student duty title (see <b>Note 2</b> ). For AGR students' recommendation-only PRFs, enter "Student, type of school" (e.g., Student, Industrial College of the Armed Forces). For AFR, use PRF notice/OSB. For AFR PV, see <b>Note 9</b> . For those assigned to a 365-day extended deployment billet, enter
11		Key Duties, Tasks, Responsibilities	X	X	deployed title.  This description must reflect the uniqueness of each ratee's job and not be standardized. Be clear and specific.
					Include level of responsibility, number of people supervised and dollar value of resources accountable for projects managed. Avoid jargon, acronyms and topical references as they obscure rather than clarify meaning. Mention additional duties only if they directly relate to mission accomplishment and previous jobs held during the reporting period. For accessions receiving an evaluation while awaiting the start of formal training, the first line of the description will read "Officer is awaiting training." This may mirror the job description. See <b>Notes 4</b> and <b>5</b> . For recommendation-only PRFs, leave blank.
12	IV	Promotion Recommendation	X	X	Explain why the officer should or should not be promoted. Limit comments to the next higher grade. See <b>Notes 4, 5</b> and <b>6</b> .  Explain why the officer should or should
					not be promoted. Limit comments to the next higher grade. See <b>Notes 4, 5</b> and <b>6</b> . For narrative-only PRFs RASL officers and ANG officers meeting an FRB, comments on all PRFs are mandatory.
13	V	Promotion Zone	X	X	This block is not used for officers in the grade of colonel.
14	VI	Group Size	X	X	This block is not used for officers in the grade of colonel (RegAF). If an officer is awarded a DP, indicate the officer's rank order among the total number of DPs

					awarded, then among the total promotion
					eligible population (e.g., 2/5/10) (AFR).
15	VII	Board	X	X	Enter the central selection board ID for which the senior rater prepared the PRF (Example: P0423A indicates CY23 major board, and A0424A indicates the FY24 ANG major board). The PRF notices includes the board ID. For narrative-only PRFs, enter the date signed in this section. For RASL narrative-only PRFs, leave blank. For ANG colonels nominated for brigadier general, enter "N/A."
16	VIII	SRID	X	X	This block is not used for officers in the grade of colonel.
17	IX	Overall Recommendation	X	X	The senior rater selects from the drop-down menu one of four recommendations (RegAF). See Note 7 for additional information on narrative-only PRFs, non-line/LAF-J, and aggregate PRFs. For RASL, do not mark a recommendation for PV or narrative-only PRFs. For AFR the senior rater selects from the dropdown menu one of three recommendations (DP/P/DNP). For AFR, senior raters are not constrained by how many DPs they may award. For ANG colonels nominated for brigadier general, enter "Definitely Promote."
18	X	Senior Rater Data	X	X	See instructions at <b>Note 8</b> for ADL colonels, <b>Note 10</b> for ANG colonels and <b>Note 11</b> for AFR colonels nominated for brigadier general.

- 1. Senior raters complete PRFs no earlier than 60 calendar days before the central selection board (the PRF cutoff date). For AFR, complete the PRFs in time to arrive at ARPC no later than 45 calendar days before the board convening date. Senior raters of ADL colonels award one of four overall recommendations: Definitely Promote this board (DPTB), Definitely Promote (DP), Promote (P), or Do Not Promote This Board (DNP). Senior Raters of ARC colonels award one of three overall recommendations: Definitely Promote (DP), Promote (P), or Do Not Promote This Board (DNP).
- 2. If changes to DAFSC or duty title are approved after the MLR but before the central selection board, see **paragraph 8.5** for correction procedures. Once the PRF is a matter of record, a formal application for correction must be submitted in accordance with **Chapter 10**. **(T-1)** For RASL officers, contact ARPC/DPS if data is incorrect. For AGR students, enter "Student of (type of school)." E.g., PDE, IDE, SDE.
- 3. For Recommendation-Only PRFs:

- a. Enter in Item 4, student DAFSC; for Item 5, the organizational designation, MAJCOM, and location of the ratee's assigned school; and for item 6, student PAS code.
- b. For AGR students only: Enter in Item 4 the student DAFSC; for Item 5, Office of Air Force Reserve (HAF), Washington District of Columbia; and for Item 6, student PAS code.
- 4. Some general guidelines:
- a. Endorsements for promotion are based upon an officer's demonstrated character and competence as detailed in the Secretary of the Air Force's memorandum of instruction for promotion boards. This is an opportunity for the senior rater to tell the central selection board why they should (or should not) promote the officers. This should not be a summary of information already contained in the record of performance. Comments or pushes for items that are decided through other processes or means (e.g., developmental education, jobs, assignments) are not authorized.
- b. PRFs may include recommendations for promotion (limit comments to the next higher grade).
- c. Do not discuss classified information.
- d. Consider including comments related to Article 15 actions, or letters of reprimand, admonishment, or counseling. It is strongly recommended that control roster actions be recorded. It is mandatory to record court-martial results unless actions resulted in acquittal.
- e. Refer to **paragraph 1.12** for inappropriate evaluator considerations and comments on PRFs.
- f. Duty information must be within the senior rater's jurisdiction as of the PRF accounting date. (T-1)
- g. Will not comment on an officer's prior enlisted time. (T-1)
- 5. Comments are mandatory when an officer receives a DPTB (RegAF), DP (AFR), or DNP recommendation. Comments are optional when an officer receives a DP recommendation (RegAF). Comments must substantiate, amplify, or explain the recommendation. (T-1) Comments for P recommendations are prohibited (RegAF). Comments for P recommendations are optional (AFR).
- 6. On PRFs prepared on promotion-eligible colonels, Section VI does not exist (RegAF). Management level stratification will be placed in Section IV, Comments (RegAF). (T-1) Focus on the potential to serve at the GO level. Use ratee's accomplishments as a colonel to demonstrate potential and explain why an officer uniquely qualifies for promotion more so than others. Use comparative terms and gauge difficulty of job challenge, but do not repeat content of officer evaluations. Highlight factors that demonstrate desired GO traits (breadth, depth, versatility, adaptability, generalist qualities, leadership, management intellect, presence, image, communication skills, experience, functional expertise, appreciation for future vision). Use personal terms and be clear and concise. Identify true contenders and place heavy emphasis on future use as a GO. The head of the management level (or designated representative) may solicit advice and information from the ratee's supervisors and commanders, both current and past. If rendering a DPTB or DP recommendation, indicate the officer's rank order among the total number of promotion-eligible officers in the management level and competitive category (RegAF). Example: An officer receiving a DP recommendation who is second in a management level of 150 total eligible would have the entry "2/150." If the officer does not receive a DP recommendation, leave this section blank or enter "N/A."
- 7. For narrative-only PRFs, do not select any of the four blocks and type "No Overall

Recommendation," in the top of this section. For officers submitted in aggregate or carry-over to an evaluation board, leave this section blank.

- 8. For ADL colonels, the head of the management level must complete this section if the recommendation is a DPTB or DP. **(T-1)** For other recommendations, the head of the management level may designate one or more representatives, senior in grade to the ratee, to complete this section.
- 9. For PV nomination, place the position number to the far right in this block. All PV nominations must have a valid funded position number with an authorized grade higher than the officer's current grade with it arrives at ARPC/PB. (T-1) PRFs with missing/invalid position numbers or those for nominees not the incumbent (an UMD overage) in the position for which nomination may be returned. Direct questions to ARPC/PB.
- 10. For ANG colonels, the PRF must be signed by the Adjutant General of their state affiliation. **(T-1)**
- 11. For AFR colonels, the head of the management level must complete this section if the recommendation is a DP. **(T-1)** For other recommendations, the head of the management level may delegate to any general officer or equivalent within the chain of command (most commonly the senior rater).

### Chapter 9

### AF FORM 3538, RETENTION RECOMMENDATION FORM

**9.1.** When to Use the AF Form 3538, *Retention Recommendation Form* (RRF). Use the AF Form 3538 to provide performance-based differentiation and retention recommendations to assist involuntary separation or retirement central selection boards such as force shaping, reduction in force, or selective early retirement boards.

# 9.2. Responsibilities.

#### 9.2.1. First Evaluator:

- 9.2.1.1. Reviews the ratee's OCSRG, DQHB, and UIF before preparing the retention recommendation form. May consider other reliable information about duty performance and conduct except as prohibited by **paragraph 1.12** or other regulatory guidance.
- 9.2.1.2. Must be knowledgeable of the ratee's most recent performance. The first evaluator may request subordinate supervisors provide information on an officer's most recent duty performance and may ask for suggestions based upon the officer's duty performance for PRF recommendations.
- 9.2.1.3. Is responsible for evaluating each officer's OCSRG and DQHB and awarding one of three retention recommendations for eligible officers:
  - 9.2.1.3.1. A "Definitely Retain" recommendation means the strength of the ratee's performance and performance based potential alone warrants retention.
  - 9.2.1.3.2. A "Retain" recommendation means the strength of the ratee's performance warrants retention.
  - 9.2.1.3.3. A "Do Not Retain" recommendation means the ratee does not warrant retention and should not be retained by the board for which the officer is eligible. The first evaluator must make comments explaining to the board why the officer should not be retained.
  - 9.2.1.3.4. Evaluators may not base their retention recommendations on a member's intention to separate or retire or a board's retention or separation quota. Recommendations must be based on the member's record of performance and their potential for further service.
  - 9.2.1.3.5. Comments are mandatory. Refer to **paragraph 1.12** for inappropriate comments. In addition, promotion recommendations are not permitted in the RRF.
  - 9.2.1.3.6. For Colonel Retention Recommendation Forms. Comments may be handwritten. Comments should only relate to the officer's record as a colonel.

### 9.2.2. Second Evaluator.

- 9.2.2.1. Endorses the RRF no earlier than 60 calendar days before the central selection board (the RRF cutoff date).
- 9.2.2.2. Ensures no subordinate commander and/or supervisor asks or allows an officer to draft or prepare their own RRF.

- 9.2.2.3. Ensures there are no boards or panels of officers convened to collectively score, rate, rank, or tally the records and/or generate a priority list of eligible officers unless specifically authorized by this instruction. However, senior raters may request subordinate supervisors to provide their assessment of the rank order of officers within their direct chain of command.
- 9.2.2.4. Comments only if the second evaluator non-concurs with the first evaluator's recommendation. If the second evaluator non-concurs with the first evaluator's recommendation, then comments are mandatory explaining the decision. **Note:** AFPC may provide alternate guidance when appropriate.
- 9.2.2.5. Provides the ratee a copy of the RRF (hand-delivered or sent in a sealed envelope clearly marked, "To Be Opened By Addressee Only") approximately 30-45 calendar days prior to the board. The reason for this is two-fold: 1) to advise the ratee of the retention recommendation and 2) to provide the ratee an opportunity to point out any errors of fact so they may be corrected prior to the central selection board. **Note:** If the ratee is geographically separated, send it to the ratee by "return receipt requested" mail.
- 9.2.2.6. Ensure the RRF remains a private matter with access being only between the evaluators, the ratee and the board. Subordinate evaluators or others may have access to comments or recommendation only on the RRF if permitted by the ratee.
- 9.2.2.7. Attach a memo telling the ratee who receives a RRF with a 'Separate/Retire' recommendation that they have the right to submit a letter to the board. See **Figure 9.1**.

### 9.2.3. The Ratee:

- 9.2.3.1. It is the ratee's responsibility to contact the second evaluator if they have not received a copy of the RRF no later than 15 calendar days prior to the board.
- 9.2.3.2. It is the ratee's responsibility to ensure their record is current and accurate.
- **9.3. Retention Recommendation Form Submission.** Administrative processing for the RRF, to include SRID accounting, Air Force Promotion Management System management, unless stated otherwise, will mirror that of the PRF except for those actions directly associated with the MLR process. There is no MLR process for the RRF. Refer to **paragraph 8.1.5** (RegAF and ARC) or **paragraph 11.1.6** (USSF) for processing procedures and responsibilities.
- **9.4. Air Force or Space Force Advisor Examination.** For Air Force, when applicable, type, "AF Advisor Review" on the left margin of the RRF and include the AF advisor's name, grade, "USAF," date, and signature. For Space Force, when applicable, type, "SF Advisor Review" on the left margin of the RRF and include the SF advisor's name, grade, "USSF," date, and signature. See **paragraph 1.6.8** for more guidance.
- **9.5.** Correction of a Retention Recommendation Form. A RRF is considered a working copy until the start of the board. If the RRF is not a matter of record, second evaluators have the flexibility to change RRFs no later than two weeks prior to the central selection board. Use the "Stop File" process (see paragraph 8.5) when correcting an RRF.
  - 9.5.1. If the change to the RRF serves to weaken the narrative portion, is a negative content change, or is a downgrade in the recommendation, the officer must be provided a copy of the re-accomplished RRF and a letter, similar to the letter provided to an officer who receives a

"separate" recommendation, stating the officer's right to write a letter to the central selection board.

9.5.2. A Retention Recommendation Form becomes a "matter of record" upon the convening date of the central selection board for which it was prepared.

Figure 9.1. Officer's Right to Submit a Memorandum to the Central Selection Board (central selection board).

(date)

MEMORANDUM FOR (Ratee) (Ratee's address)

FROM: (Senior rater's functional office symbol) (Senior rater's functional address)

SUBJECT: Officer's Right to Submit a Memorandum to the Central Selection Board (CSB)

I have recently completed your AF Form 3538, Retention Recommendation. In this evaluation, I recommended to the CSB that you not be selected for retention at this time. Because of this recommendation, I am reminding you of your right to submit a memorandum to the CSB.

If you believe this evaluation is inaccurate, unjust, or unfairly prejudicial, you may write a memorandum to the CSB concerning these matters. In addition, you may apply for a reveal of the evaluation under Chapter 10 of DAFI 36-2406, Officer and Enlisted Evaluations Systems, once the evaluation becomes a matter of record.

DAFI 36-2501, Officer Promotions and Selective Continuation, provides further instructions as to what is permissible in a memorandum to the CSB. If you require further information concerning your right to submit a memorandum to the board, the MPF is available to assist you.

(Signature)

(Typed name, grade, branch of service)

Attachment: AF Form 3538

Table 9.1. Instructions for Completing AF Form 3538, Retention Recommendation Form.

**Note:** Air Force terminology applies to the Apace Force equivalent (e.g., Airman applies to Guardian, Air Force Specialty Code applies to Space Force Specialty Code, etc.).

[	A	В	$\mathbf{C}$						
Γ	To C	Complete							
E		- Ter.	Instructions. See Note 1.						
M	Sec	Item							
1	I	Ratee	See the RRF notice for ratee identification data. If any data						
		<b>Identification</b>	is incorrect, notify the CSS/HR specialist and MPF for						
		Data	computer correction.						
		Name	Enter Last Name, First Name Middle Initial and Jr., Sr., etc. If the officer has no middle initial, the use of "NMI" is not mandatory. The name may be all upper case.						
		Social Security Number	Enter Social Security Number.						
		Grade	Enter appropriate grade (rank).						
		Duty Air Force	Enter the DAFSC to include prefix and suffix or three-digit						
		Specialty Code/Core ID	Core ID as of the date the RRF notice is generated, as directed in specific board guidance. See <b>Note 2</b> .						
		Organization	Enter organization, command, and location of assignment (with attachment if applicable).						
		PAS	Enter the PAS code as reflected on RRF notice. If the PAS code is incorrect, advise the CSS/HR specialist and MPF.						
	II	Job Description	Complete same as on a performance evaluation.						
		Duty Title	Enter the approved duty title. Pending or projected duty						
			titles will not be used. For students, enter the student duty title. See <b>Note 2</b> .						
		Key Duties	List key duties.						

III	First Evaluator Comments	Explain why the officer should or should not be retained. This section covers the entire record of performance and provides key performance factors from the officer's entire career, not just recent performance. Comments must be typed. Do not make prohibited comments. See paragraph 1.12. See Note 3.
IV	First Evaluator Recommendation	The first evaluator marks one of three recommendations, as appropriate by electronically placing an "X" in the block.
V	Board ID/Senior Rater ID	Enter the board for which the senior rater prepared the RRF. The RRF notice includes the board ID. Enter the five-character code used to identify the position of the senior rater. Enter this code as shown on the RRF notice.
VI	Second Evaluator	The second evaluator indicates concurrence or nonconcurrence with the first evaluator's recommendation by placing an "X" in the appropriate box. See <b>Note 3</b> .
VII	Second Evaluator Comments	Comments are mandatory when the second evaluator marks the nonconcur block. The second evaluator must provide specific comments to explain the disagreement. Comments must be typed. Comments are not allowed if the second evaluator concurs.

- 1. Some general guidelines:
- a. Comments must be in narrative format.
- b. May include recommendations for professional military education and next assignment, but not promotion.
- c. Paragraph 1.12 applies.
- d. Evaluators may consider and/or include information from other reliable sources (e.g., Reserve Officer Training Corps distinguished graduates, Officer Training Students distinguished graduates, etc.).
- e. Do not comment on rankings or recommendations from prior AF Forms 3538.
- f. Comments may be warranted if an officer displays a reluctance to accept responsibility, has a negative attitude towards the job, or performance has diminished. However, if an officer has a date of separation, an approved retirement date, intends to separate or retire, or is unsure about career intent, it should not be commented on in the RRF.
- g. Do not discuss classified information.
- h. Do consider including comments related to Article 15 actions or letters of reprimand, admonishment or counseling. It is strongly recommended that control roster actions be recorded. It is mandatory to record court-martial results unless actions resulted in acquittal.
- 2. If changes to DAFSC/DSFSC or duty title are approved after the RRF is a matter of record, a formal application for correction must be submitted in accordance with **Chapter 10**.
- 3. Senior Rater (lieutenant colonels and below):
- a. Enter name, grade, branch of service (military officers and Department of the Air Force social security number civilians only), organization, command of assignment, and location. Grade must be that in which the senior rater is serving. **Exception:** Enter "Brig Gen (S)" for brigadier general selectees. Retired grade is not authorized. If an officer has been "frocked," enter the actual grade unless the officer is serving in a funded billet and the ratee is a lieutenant colonel or above.
- b. Show social security number if the evaluator is a USAF or USSF officer (last four only). The social security number is optional, though encouraged, if the evaluator is a civilian or a member of another US military service.
- c. Do not include command level, unless it is an integral part of the duty title, with the official duty title.
- d. Do not enter any classified information.

### Chapter 10

#### CORRECTING OFFICER AND ENLISTED EVALUATIONS

## 10.1. Purpose.

- 10.1.1. The ERAB was established to provide Airmen and Guardians with an avenue of relief for correcting errors or injustices in evaluations at the lowest possible level.
- 10.1.2. If an evaluation cannot be corrected under **Table 10.2**, an applicant's first avenue of relief for correcting an evaluation is through the ERAB, which is accessible via the vMPF/myEval.
- 10.1.3. An applicant's second and last avenue of relief is via the AFBCMR by submitting a DD Form 149, *Application for Correction of Military Records under the Provisions of Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1552*, in accordance with DAFI 36-2603, *Air Force Board For Correction of Military Records*. **Note:** Applicants should exhaust all other avenues of relief (e.g., the ERAB) before submitting their request to the AFBCMR.
- 10.1.4. Retired or separated personnel are not eligible to apply for correction through the ERAB; therefore, they must submit a DD Form 149 to the AFBCMR.

### 10.2. Program Elements.

- 10.2.1. Who Establishes the Board. The Commander, Headquarters Air Force Personnel Center (AFPC/CC) directs the business process owner (BPO) of DAF Evaluation Programs to establish an ERAB to assess requests to correct evaluations and to correct substantiated errors or injustices for RegAF or USSF personnel. The Commander, Headquarters Air Reserve Personnel Center (ARPC/CC) directs the establishment of the ERAB to assess requests to correct evaluations and to correct substantiated errors or injustices on ARC personnel.
  - 10.2.1.1. For Air Force officer appeals, the board president must be at minimum an Air Force commissioned officer or civilian in the grade of O-5/GS-12 and above. For Space Force officer appeals, the board president must be at minimum a Space Force commissioned officer or civilian in the grade of O-5/GS-12/NH-III and above. For Air Force enlisted appeals, the board president must be equal to or higher than the requester or at a minimum an Air Force senior noncommissioned officer or civilian in the grade of E-7/GS-9. For Space Force enlisted appeals, the board president must be equal to or higher than the requester or at a minimum a Space Force senior noncommissioned officer or civilian in the grade of E-7/GS-9/NH-II.
  - 10.2.1.2. Each board consists of two board members and a board president. A board member or president who was, or is, an evaluator for an applicant cannot consider that person's appeal.
  - 10.2.1.3. Evaluations that have become a matter of record are presumed to be accurate and objective. Applicants filing an appeal must provide evidence that clearly demonstrate an error or injustice was made.
- 10.2.2. Who Administers the Appeal Process. The Evaluations Programs Section (AFPC/DPMSPE and ARPC/PB) manages the appeals process and executes board decisions. Following the board's decision, destroys all working papers, memoranda, worksheets,

recommendations, and notes between the board members or between the board and the evaluation section which pertain to the case. The board does not create nor maintain formal records of proceedings.

- 10.2.3. How the Board Will Operate.
  - 10.2.3.1. Board members review applications and make recommendations to the ERAB President.
  - 10.2.3.2. The ERAB President.
    - 10.2.3.2.1. Reviews the member's request, considers each board member's recommendations, and makes the final decision for the appeal.
    - 10.2.3.2.2. Acts for the full board on applications which involve administrative and technical corrections, or in cases that clearly lack the evidence necessary for presentation to the full board, or in cases that require waiving the time limit for an appeal.
  - 10.2.3.3. The Board.
    - 10.2.3.3.1. May be formal or informal.
    - 10.2.3.3.2. Does not permit personal appearances. Neither applicants nor their representatives can appear before the ERAB.
    - 10.2.3.3.3. Handles all appeals confidentially and does not normally disclose information to outside agencies.
    - 10.2.3.3.4. Refers cases for action to appropriate agencies or individuals, such as Air Force Office of Special Investigations, unit commander, and so on, if documents or statements do not appear to be authentic. The *Manual for Courts-Martial* specifies penalties for creating false or forged official statements and documents. Civilian Air Force employees may be punished under federal law.
    - 10.2.3.3.5. Reviews cases based on information supplied in the application. The ERAB is not an investigative body and does not solicit additional documentation in support of an application. However, if the board decides to consider information that was not available to the applicant, the ERAB will notify the applicant and allow them time to comment on the information. **Exception:** Information contained in MilPDS or the Master Personnel Record Group.
    - 10.2.3.3.6. Directs removal, inclusion, substitution and/or corrections to evaluations. The ERAB is authorized to modify evaluations that differ from the applicant's request, (e.g., the applicant requests the report be voided because the feedback date is incorrect; the ERAB may deny voiding the report and instead direct the feedback date be corrected).
- 10.2.4. Prohibited Requests. The board will not consider nor approve requests to:
  - 10.2.4.1. Void an evaluation when the error or injustice can be corrected administratively.
  - 10.2.4.2. Void an evaluation while keeping attachments to that evaluation.

- 10.2.4.3. Void an evaluator's section while keeping comments or ratings of subsequent evaluators.
- 10.2.4.4. Void an evaluator's comments but keep the ratings (or vice versa).
- 10.2.4.5. Delete required information or add unauthorized information to an evaluation.
- 10.2.4.6. Change (except for deletions) an evaluator's ratings or comments if the evaluator does not support the change. When an evaluator supports changing ratings, all subsequent evaluators must also agree to the changes (including the commander on enlisted evaluations, the reviewer on officer evaluations, and the MLR board president on PRFs). (T-1) Justification is required from the original evaluators. See Attachment 2, paragraph A2.3.
- 10.2.4.7. Re-accomplish an evaluation without the applicant furnishing the new evaluation.
- 10.2.4.8. Void, correct, or change an evaluation that does not meet the 3-year time limit without a waiver. See **paragraph 10.5**.
- 10.2.4.9. Correct or rewrite an evaluation post-board based solely on the omission of an optional statement, or to make the evaluation stronger (e.g., professional military educational/developmental educational/assignment recommendations, awards, deployment information, senior rater endorsements, and/or stratifications are not mandatory, therefore omission of any does not make the report inaccurate or unjust).
- 10.2.4.10. Void or correct an evaluation because an action, (e.g., UIF, control roster, Article 15, etc.), was removed:
  - 10.2.4.10.1. Early or on the disposition date. Removal does not mean the action did not take place. If the corrective action existed on or before the close-out date of the evaluation, the evaluation is still valid.
  - 10.2.4.10.2. Because the corrective action was "set aside." If the corrective action (e.g., Article 15) was "set-aside," but the behavior that led to the corrective action is still valid and the behavior existed on or before the close-out date of the report, the evaluation may still be valid if the report only reflects the behavior and not the corrective action that was "set aside." If the action that was "set aside" is mentioned in the evaluation, the ERAB would only remove the reference to it; not the behavior that led to the action. **Examples:** 
    - 10.2.4.10.2.1. The ratee received an Article 15 for driving under the influence, and later the Article 15 was set aside for reasons other than innocence. However, the report only states "Used poor judgment—picked up for driving under the influence." Since the ratee was picked up for driving under the influence, and the evaluation does not mention the Article 15, the evaluation is still a valid report.
    - 10.2.4.10.2.2. The ratee received an Article 15 for driving under the influence, and later the Article 15 was set aside for reasons other than innocence. The report states "Used poor judgment—revd Art 15 for Driving Under the Influence." In this case, the ERAB would not void the evaluation but would correct the evaluation to reflect "Used poor judgment— Driving Under the Influence."

- 10.2.4.10.2.3. For the ERAB to decide favorably to void the evaluation, the applicant must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the behavior did not take place and the corrected action taken was officially set aside and not just removed or expired.
- 10.2.5. Appeals based on Promotion/Career Opportunity. Although not prohibited, ERAB requests based solely on a willingness by evaluators to change evaluations after non-selection for promotion will not be favorably considered unless proven the evaluation was erroneous or unjust based on content. See **Attachment 2**, paragraph A2.5.1.

## 10.3. Correcting Evaluations.

- 10.3.1. Prior to Becoming a Matter of Record. Once a digital signature is applied, the comments and ratings are locked and cannot be changed. In addition, the digital signatures cannot be deleted. If a correction needs to be made after the form has been digitally signed, then the rater will need to re-accomplish the form. The rater will be able to copy the text areas from the erroneous form and paste them into the new form. The corrections can be made, and the form resigned. The form will reflect the date of the new signature.
- 10.3.2. Appealing Evaluations and Requesting Changes After Evaluations Have Become a Matter of Record. See **paragraph 1.4.3** to determine when an evaluation becomes a matter of record. Applicants must exhaust all avenues of relief before submitting their requests to the AFBCMR. The other avenues available are:
  - 10.3.2.1. Administrative Correction. See **Table 10.2** to determine if the requested correction can be made through administrative procedures without referral to the ERAB or AFBCMR. Due to the electronic process, only AFPC/DPMSPE can make corrections to evaluations. Once an evaluation becomes a matter of record, even administrative corrections will require an applicant to submit an ERAB via the electronic process (vMPF/myEval). An example of a case that would not require an ERAB or AFBCMR is when a report is not viewable in ARMS and PRDA or MilPDS is not updated.
  - 10.3.2.2. When the correction cannot be corrected administratively, the next avenue of relief is through the ERAB. Procedures for appealing evaluations through the ERAB are prescribed in this chapter.
  - 10.3.2.3. If the correction cannot be corrected administratively, the ERAB denies the appeal, or the requested action is not authorized by this chapter, the next avenue of relief would be through the AFBCMR procedures and can be found in DAFI 36-2603.
  - 10.3.2.4. Performance feedback assessment worksheets and sessions are not subject to appeal.
- 10.3.3. Any changes or corrections that substantially alter the content from the original version require original signatures from all evaluators. If an evaluator (other than the rater) is unavailable and all attempts to contact them have failed, the individual who replaced the missing evaluator will sign the evaluation. When correcting an administrative error prior to the evaluation becoming a matter of record and one or more of the evaluators are unavailable to sign the re-accomplished evaluation, any evaluator in the rating chain after the unavailable evaluator may sign.

- 10.3.4. Re-accomplish evaluations containing an excessive number of erasures, change sentence meaning, or requiring corrections to the ratings. Do not use paper correction tape. Do not correct ratings.
- 10.3.5. Evaluations will not be appealed under **Chapter 10** or DAFI 36-2603 before becoming a matter of record.
- 10.3.6. For PRF corrections, see paragraph 8.5 (RegAF and ARC), paragraph 11.4 (USSF), and Attachment 2, paragraph A2.6.
- 10.3.7. Corrected Copies of Digitally Signed Documents. See paragraph 1.4.5.2.

### 10.4. Responsibilities.

- 10.4.1. The Military Personnel Flight (MPF). Provide training and advise personnel on the ERAB process. Opens a case management system case when applicable.
- 10.4.2. The Commander's Support Staff (CSS). Provides guidance on the ERAB process and how to access the vMPF and/or myEval.
- 10.4.3. The Total Force Service Center (TFSC) Personnel.
  - 10.4.3.1. Be knowledgeable of the appeals process, and familiar with the contents of this instruction.
  - 10.4.3.2. Determine if the correction is minor or requires a formal application by the member. Minor corrections will be processed by the applicable office of primary responsibility in accordance with **Table 10.2**. **Note:** Any and all corrections involving DAF Forms 709 and AF Forms 3538 will immediately be forwarded to AFPC/DPMSPE for correction.
  - 10.4.3.3. Explains application procedures and documentation requirements via the vMPF and/or myEval. The addresses for sending original documents are:

10.4.3.3.1. RegAF:

AFPC/DPMSPE

Attn: ERAB

550 C Street West, Suite 7

Joint Base San Antonio-Randolph TX 78150-4709

10.4.3.3.2. AFR/ANG (ARC):

ARPC/PB

Attn: ERAB

18420 E Silver Creek Ave, Bldg. 390 MS 68

Buckley AFB CO 80011-9502

10.4.3.4. Assist applicants in completing the on-line application through the vMPF/myEval. If applicant is other than the ratee, the TFSC refers the applicant to the MPF or CSS/HR specialist who will initiate a case management system case. If the applicant does not have access to the vMPF/myEval, the TFSC will refer the applicant to the MPF/HR specialist who will initiate a case management system case.

- 10.4.3.5. Provide the military addresses of personnel and assists applicants in contacting retirees through the worldwide locator in accordance with AFI 33-332, *Air Force Privacy and Civil Liberties Program.* **Note:** The Privacy Act protects retirees' addresses.
- 10.4.3.6. Explain and emphasize expedited waiver procedures in accordance with paragraph 10.5 and Attachment 2, paragraph A2.4. Advise members that it takes approximately 90-120 calendar days to process a case, and if they are requesting a correction to be completed before a board to please plan accordingly. Expedited cases must reach AFPC/DPMSPE no later than 45 calendar days before the board convening date, (not applicable for ARC). Note: Although every attempt is made to get cases completed prior to a pending board, there is no guarantee that an application will be completed prior to the board.
- 10.4.3.7. The TFSC will provide a cadre of specialists to act as liaisons for, and provide guidance to, base level commanders and MPF personnel or CSS/HR specialists for any questions related to the ERAB process or to check on the status of an application.

#### 10.4.4. The Member.

- 10.4.4.1. Submits request for correction, insertion or removal of evaluations via the vMPF/myEval.
  - 10.4.4.1.1. If applicant does not have access to the vMPF/myEval, they may contact the servicing MPF or CSS who will open a Case Management System/myEval case.
  - 10.4.4.1.2. If an applicant does not have access to the vMPF, and the servicing MPF or CSS/HR specialist, then the applicant must obtain AFPC/DPMSPE approval. If approved, the applicant must submit an AF Form 948, *Application for Correction/Removal of Evaluation Report*. See **Table 10.6** for instructions. AF Form 948 will be authorized only on a case-by-case basis, and under extremely extenuating circumstances (e.g., someone who is in confinement and has absolutely no way to access to the vMPF). Non-availability waiver requests due to being out-of-the office, on leave, or TDY will not be approved (not applicable for ARC).
- 10.4.4.2. Clearly and concisely state what the applicant wants (e.g., "Request my enlisted evaluation rendered for the period 1 Jun 22 31 May 23 be removed," or "Correct the duty title in my enlisted evaluation that closed out on 31 May 23").
- 10.4.4.3. Supply clear and credible evidence to support the application. See **Attachment** 
  - 10.4.4.3.1. Supporting statements are required when making changes to an evaluation and must have dates and signatures. These statements must relate specifically to the period of the contested report. When information is not firsthand, the author must identify the source. See **Attachment 2**.
  - 10.4.4.3.2. All documents can be processed through the vMPF. All documents will be scanned into the Personnel Processing Application of the vMPF with the application.
  - 10.4.4.3.3. The applicant can obtain copies of the contested evaluations and or documents required for their appeal through the ARMS and PRDA access in vMPF/myEval.

- 10.4.4.4. Make sure that no rule in this instruction prohibits their request. See **paragraph** 10.2.4 and Attachment 2.
- 10.4.4.5. Applicants may contact the TFSC for guidance and application procedures.
- 10.4.4.6. Corrected Copies. See paragraph 1.4.5.2 and paragraph 1.4.5.3.
- 10.4.5. Corrections Initiated by Someone Other than the Ratee. When someone other than the ratee finds an error in an evaluation, they:
  - 10.4.5.1. Determine if the evaluation can be corrected administratively in accordance with **Table 10.2**.
  - 10.4.5.2. Take corrective action by contacting the MPF or CSS/HR specialist to initiate a vMPF/myEval case or advise the ratee to take corrective action.
  - 10.4.5.3. Provide a statement from the ratee, acknowledging they are aware of the pending action and concur/non-concur with the request. **Note:** The ratee does not have to concur to submit the request. This statement is for acknowledgement purposes only and gives the ratee an opportunity to dispute the action.
    - 10.4.5.3.1. If the ratee disagrees, they may explain why the correction should not be approved and suggest an alternative within 10 calendar days from when the ratee was notified of the pending action. Reasonable extensions may be requested. The omission of any remarks will be considered as acceptance by the ratee.
    - 10.4.5.3.2. If the ratee is unavailable to submit a statement, send a copy of the appeal to the member with a memorandum explaining the error, and ask the member to provide written comments within 10 calendar days from the date received. To ensure the member has had an opportunity to review the appeal, have the member acknowledge receipt on the statement or use certified mail to document the date of receipt.
    - 10.4.5.3.3. Reasonable requests for an extension of the time limit should be approved.
    - 10.4.5.3.4. When the member provides written comments, submit the applicant's response and a copy of the memorandum with the application.
    - 10.4.5.3.5. If the member fails to respond, annotate the remarks section of the application with, "Comments from the ratee were requested but not received." Attach a copy of the memorandum and either the member's acknowledgment or the certified mail receipt with the application.
- 10.4.6. AFPC/DPMSPE and ARPC/DPT.
  - 10.4.6.1. Review all ERAB applications for DAFI compliance.
  - 10.4.6.2. Process all applications that meet the requirements for submitting an ERAB.
  - 10.4.6.3. Return all applications that do not meet the requirements for submitting an ERAB.
  - 10.4.6.4. When applicable, make corrections to evaluations, update MilPDS, and forward the corrected evaluations to the appropriate offices.
  - 10.4.6.5. Notify applicants of results via the vMPF/myEval or email.
  - 10.4.6.6. Provide guidance to commanders, MPFs, and CSS/HR specialists as required.

## 10.5. Meeting Time Limits and Expedited Requests.

- 10.5.1. Time Limits.
  - 10.5.1.1. Submit appeals within three years following the date the evaluation became a matter of record. If the exact date is not known, add two months to the date the final evaluator signed the evaluation.
  - 10.5.1.2. If the evaluation is more than three years old, submit a waiver of the time limit. See **Attachment 2**, and **paragraph A2.4**.
  - 10.5.1.3. Normal processing time for appeal applications is 90-120 calendar days from a completed application. This does not include periods which applications are returned for corrections or missing documents.
  - 10.5.1.4. Promotion boards are closed out (cutoff) 30 to 45 calendar days prior to the board convening date. In order to process an appeal in time, AFPC/DPMSPE or ARPC/PB must receive the appeal no later than 45 days before the cutoff date, (90 calendar days before the particular SSB or supplemental board). Although every attempt is made to expedite these cases, there is no guarantee that the case will be worked in time to meet the particular board, even when the case is marked "Expedited."

### 10.5.2. Expedited Processing.

- 10.5.2.1. If an appeal must be resolved before a specific date or event, such as a pending promotion or SSB, submit applications to AFPC/DPMSPE (RegAF and USSF) or ARPC/PB (ARC) no later than 90 calendar days before the specific date or event.
- 10.5.2.2. The only cases that will be accepted for expedited processing after the 90-day cutoff will be evaluations, including PRFs, that have closed out within 90 calendar days of the board convening date.

### 10.6. Using Classified, Privacy Act, and Restricted Release Information:

- 10.6.1. Do not include classified information in the body of an appeal. When necessary, include classified information in attachments. The applicant ensures classified attachments are submitted in accordance with security directives establishing control and mailing rules.
- 10.6.2. When submitting documents on someone else (e.g., evaluations on other individuals, AF Forms 2096, *Classification/On-The-Job Training Action*, PCS orders, travel vouchers, etc., on supervisors or coworkers), submit a statement from the concerned individual granting permission to submit the particular document. Applications that do not comply will be returned without action. The applicant may then resubmit the application with the permission statement or remove the document from the application.
- 10.6.3. If the information in a restricted release file is essential to the case, request the releasing agency to forward the information directly to AFPC/DPMSPE or ARPC. When submitting requests to the releasing agency, members must waive, in writing, the right to review the information. Include a copy of this waiver with the appeal application. When the board has decided the appeal, AFPC/DPMSPE or ARPC/PB destroys the restricted file or returns it to the releasing agency.

## 10.7. Requesting Special Selection Board (SSB) or Supplemental Promotion Consideration:

- 10.7.1. RegAF and USSF officers can, in conjunction with their appeal, request SSB consideration for promotion, RegAF appointment, in-resident professional military education, selective early retirement, or reduction-in-force separation boards.
- 10.7.2. AFR officers can, in conjunction with their appeal, request SSB consideration for promotion.
- 10.7.3. RegAF and USSF enlisted personnel may request supplemental promotion consideration in conjunction with the appeal application. Such a request must be indicated on the appeal application; however, squadron commander's concurrence is required when submitting the request. The commander must complete the endorsement on the personnel processing application by using the "HR Review" button in Case Management System; by submitting a statement for application submitted by someone other than the ratee; or by signing the AF Form 948 when the applicant does not have access to the vMPF or MPF or CSS/HR specialist. See paragraph 10.4.4.1.2. The commander must indicate concurrence or nonconcurrence and provide an explanation for non-concurrence.

## 10.8. Resubmitting an Appeal:

- 10.8.1. Applicants can resubmit an appeal only if they have substantial new evidence which the board did not initially consider.
  - 10.8.1.1. Do not resubmit an application when the only documentation added to the case is a statement which simply rebuts the ERAB's previous decision. The ERAB does not view a rebuttal statement as new evidence and will decline to reconsider the case. Statements from members of the rating chain which respond directly to questions or concerns posed in the previous decision memorandum are acceptable new evidence.
  - 10.8.1.2. Include all previous documentation with the new application.
- 10.8.2. If dissatisfied with the decision of the ERAB submit an appeal to the AFBCMR. See paragraph 10.1.3.

Table 10.1. How to Submit Requests for Correction.

R	A	В	C	D
U L E		the desired action is	then submit the request	then forward to
1	serving on RegAF	allowed under this instruction (See paragraph 10.4.4)	To the ERAB via the vMPF using the Personnel Processing Application (PPA). See paragraph 10.4.4.1.2 when the PPA is unavailable. See Notes 1 and 2.	AFPC/DPMSPE, Attn: ERAB 550 C Street West, Suite 7 (Bldg 499), Joint Base San Antonio- Randolph TX 78150-4709
2	the ratee is a participating USAF Reserve or Air National Guard enlisted or officer		on AF Form 948 via myEval. See <b>paragraph</b> <b>10.4.4</b> . See <b>Note 1</b> .	ARPC/PB, Attn: ERAB 18420 E. Silver Creek Ave Bldg 390 MS 68, Buckley AFB CO 80011-9502
3	participating	\	on DD Form 149 in accordance with DAFI 36-2603.	AFBCMR, (SAF/MRBC), 3351 Celmers Lane), Joint Base Andrews NAF Washington, MD 20762-6435 or via email to: saf.mrbc.workflow@u s.af.mil.
		allowed under this instruction (See paragraph 10.4.5)	in accordance with paragraph 10.4.5 and rules 1 or 2 above (as applicable).	`

- 1. **Table 10.2** lists errors that are correctable without a formal application.
- 2. Submit the original AF Form 948. See **paragraph 10.4.4.1.2**, with all supporting documents. Submit original AF Form 948. See **paragraph 10.4.4**., or DD Form 149 (whichever is applicable) with all supporting documents.

## **Table 10.2.** Correcting Minor Errors on Evaluations.

## Minor Errors

- U Note: Once a digitally signed evaluation has been transmitted to AFPC or ARPC, only
- L AFPC or ARPC is authorized to make the correction. Submit an ERAB request via the
- E vMPF/myEval.

The error is considered minor if the request is to correct an error in:

1 The ratee's identification data:

Name, grade, social security number, (component, ANG and AFR only), organizational element, or the identification data of an evaluator who signed the evaluation.

Name, grade, social security, duty title, organizational element, date of signature, or final evaluator's position.

Education or promotion or TIG/TIS eligible blocks.

See Notes 1, 2, and 3. Go to Table 10.3.

2 The ratee's DAFSC, DSFSC, duty title, or level of duty.

Enlisted: DAFSC or DSFSC must be reflected in the ratee's duty history.

Officers: Not an administrative correction. Applicant must submit an ERAB request via the vMPF/myEval. For active duty list officers, the DAFSC or DSFSC authorization must be approved by the applicable AFPC assignment functional manager and reflected in the ratee's duty history.

**Note:** The MPF or CSS/HR specialist performs the duty history update once the duty title is approved.

See Notes 1, 4, and 8. Go to Table 10.3.

- The FROM or THRU date of the evaluation, the number of days of supervision, or the reason for evaluation. See **Notes 1, 5 and 6**. Go to **Table 10.3**.
- The marking of a "concur" or "non-concur" box, "meets/does not meet standards," Forced Endorsement, "is this a referral report," or to add a missing rating.

See Notes 1 and 7. Go to Table 10.3.

5 Spelling, punctuation, or heading in an evaluator's comments.

See Notes 1, 9, and 10. Go to Table 10.3.

6 The ratee's name or grade in an evaluator's comments.

See Notes 1 and 9. Go to Table 10.3.

- 1. Do not make corrections using this table if any doubt exists about the appropriateness of the request. Instead, submit a formal application in accordance with **Table 10.1** with the questionable circumstances fully outlined. Any person who knows of an error that is correctable under **Table 10.2** should bring it to the attention of the MPF evaluations section or the records custodian responsible for maintaining the original evaluation.
- 2. Submit an application according to **Table 10.1** if the request is to change or add signatures, change or add signature dates on referral evaluations and supporting documents, and/or to substitute a re-accomplished evaluation. Changes to the final evaluator's position (AF Form 911) will be made only when the MPF evaluations section or the records custodian having custody of the original evaluation determines conclusively that an error exists. Do not correct TIG eligibility as an administrative correction; it must be corrected through the ERAB.
- 3. If a supplemental promotion board, or the AFBCMR has changed an individual's grade due to retroactive promotion resulting from a review, submit a request according to **Table 10.1**. In these cases, the evaluation will be annotated with a statement that reads "Member promoted to (grade) with a retroactive effective date prior to the date this evaluation was rendered."
- 4. The evaluation may be changed when approved documentation existed on or before the close-out date of the evaluation and a central selection board has not considered the evaluation. If approved documentation did not exist, was subsequently approved, or the contested evaluation has been considered by a central selection board, submit a request according to **Table 10.1**.
- 5. If a correction to either the period of the evaluation or the number of days of supervision would invalidate the requirement for that or any other evaluation on file, submit a request according to **Table 10.1**.
- 6. If changing the close-out date of an enlisted evaluation would result in the ratee receiving a supplemental promotion consideration, the rater must submit a request according **to Table 10.1**.
- 7. Caution. Take extreme care when adding missing ratings or correcting "concur" or "non-concur" boxes. Submit an application in accordance with **Table 10.1** any time the rater's or endorser's rating(s) are missing and the "non-concur" box is also marked, or neither box is marked. However, an unmarked or mismarked "concur" or "non-concur" box may be corrected when, after reviewing the evaluator's comments and ratings, there is no question as to which box should have been marked. If a rating is also missing or doubt exists, submit an application according to **Table 10.1**.
- 8. Submit a formal application according to **Table 10.1** to request changes to the unit mission description or the job description.
- 9. Do not change references such as "airman" or "sergeant" to reflect the person's actual grade.
- 10. Do not change words (other than misspellings), phrases, sentence structure, or grammar under this table.

Table 10.3. Minor Corrections – Offices Authorized to Make Corrections and Disposition.

R	A	В
L	If the correction is authorized in accordance with	<b>Note:</b> Once the evaluation has been transmitted to AFPC, only AFPC is authorized to correct digitally signed evaluations and an ERAB case must be submitted via the vMPF/myEval.
1	All enlisted grades (RegAF) AB – CMSgt; (USSF) Spc1-CMSgt	AFPC See Notes 1 through 5.
2	2Lts through Lt Cols	
	CMSgts selectees and CMSgts	Chiefs' Group AF/A1LE  USSF Senior Leader Management (SF/S1L)
	Colonel selects and colonels (active duty list)	Colonels' Group AF/A1LO USSF Senior Leader Management (SF/S1L)
	All general officers and brigadier general selectees (RegAF, AFR, ANG, USSF)	General Officers' Group AF/A1LG 1040 AF Pentagon, Room 5C238 Washington District of Columbia 20330-1040  USSF Senior Leader Management Office SF/S1L 2020 Air Force Pentagon, Room 4D284 Washington DC 20330-2020  See Notes 1 through 5
	All ANG or AFR officers and enlisted personnel in the grade of colonel and below	ARPC/PB Attn: ERAB 18420 E. Silver Creek Ave, Bldg 390 MS 68 Buckley AFB, CO 80011-9502 See Notes 1 through 5

- 1. Do not change words (other than misspellings), phrases, sentence structure, or grammar under this table.
- 2. If the request is invalid, incomplete, or questionable, return it through any previous processing levels to the correction initiator with appropriate instructions. The initiator must identify all required changes because changing an evaluation's close-out date can change the number of days of supervision, the reason for evaluation, the signature dates, or the FROM date of the subsequent evaluation.
- 3. If the correction is authorized, the office that maintains the original evaluation will make the correction to the original and forward copies to the appropriate offices.
- 4. The ERAB and the AFBCMR have the authority to correct or direct correction and distribution of all evaluations.
- 5. Disposition. Digitally signed via automated system. "Wet Signed" below.
- a. TSgt and below (RegAF and USSF): Original AFPC/DP1ORM (ARMS/PRDA)
- b. MSgt selects and above: Original AFPC/ DP1ORM (ARMS/PRDA)
- c. ARC: Original ARPC/PB, AFPC/DP1ORM (ARMS/PRDA)

**Table 10.4. Board Directed Corrections - Correcting and Disposition of Documents.** 

R	A	В	C	D	E
	If the action is a correction	that	then the agency authorized to make the correction is	who will	and
1	directed by the ERAB	changes an evaluation	AFPC/DPMSPE ARPC/DPB AF/A1LG AF/A1LO SF/S1L	correction of the evaluation. See Notes 1 and 2. prepares an DAF Form 77	distributes copies of the corrected evaluation, DAF Form 77, or other documents to records custodians with appropriate instructions. See Note 8.
2	directed by the AFBCMR		AFPC/DPMSPE ARPC/DPB AF/A1LG AF/A1LO SF/S1L	correct and initiate correction of the evaluation as directed by the AFBCMR. See Note 7.	

- 1. On the bottom, reverse margin, type "CC" (for corrected copy), followed by the date, authenticator's organization, office symbol, and signature, (**Example:** CC, 1 Jun 23, AFPC/DPMSPE). Align authenticator data in margin to allow adequate space for punched holes. The person signing the annotation must be a SSgt/GS-5 or above.
- 2. For evaluations being re-accomplished, annotate the signature blocks of evaluators not reasonably available ORIGINAL SIGNED. If used, the comments and ratings of the evaluators must be copied verbatim from the original evaluation. **Note:** All measures must be exhausted before this measure can be used.
- 3. For voided evaluations (excluding imbedded TRs and PRFs), prepare an DAF Form 77 with the statement: (USAF) "Not rated for the above period. Evaluation was removed by Order of the Chief of Staff, USAF," or (USSF) "Not rated for the above period. Evaluation was removed by Order of the Chief of Space Operations, USSF." If voiding evaluations for two or more consecutive reporting periods, prepare one DAF Form 77 that shows the close-out dates of each evaluation.
- 4. For voided imbedded training reports, prepare an DAF Form 77 with the statement: (USAF) "A TR for the above period was removed by Order of the Chief of Staff, USAF," or (USSF) "A TR for the above period was removed by Order of the Chief of Space Operations, USSF." For missing imbedded training reports, no action will be taken since there is no gap in the ratee's record. The best course of action is to obtain a certified true copy (see paragraph 1.4.5.2.) or a replacement TR and request it be included through the ERAB.
- 5. For a voided PRF, enter the statement: (USAF) "DAF Form 709, *Promotion Recommendation*, for promotion board (specify the promotion board, for example, 0589A) was removed by Order of the Chief of Staff, USAF," or (USSF) "DAF Form 709, *Promotion Recommendation*, for promotion board (specify the promotion board, for example, 0589A) was removed by Order of the Chief of Space Operations, USSF." Use a similar statement for voided retention forms.
- 6. For documents that are attached to an evaluation, annotate documents with ACCEPTED FOR FILE--ATTACH TO (closing date) EVALUATION followed by the authenticator's data listed in **Note 2**.
- 7. Unless otherwise directed by the AFBCMR, annotate evaluations according to Note 2. For voided evaluations, prepare an DAF Form 77 according to **Note 4** except show the evaluation was removed "By Order of the Secretary of The Air Force."
- 8. Disposition. Digitally signed via automated system. "Wet Signed" below.
- a. TSgt and below: Original AFPC/DPMSPE, processing to AFPC/DP1ORM (ARMS)

R	A	В	C	D
U		and the error is verified		
L	To correct an error	by, and supporting	then request the	and forward the
$\mathbf{E}$	in:	documents come from:	correction by:	request for
	See Note 1			correction to:
1	Sections I. III (Item 1).	the senior rater, MPF or	message, scan or	AFPC/DPMSPE
		the management level	fax	or ARPC/DPB
	or the spelling or			
	punctuation in the			
	comments.			
	See Notes 2 and 3.			
2	Sections II or III (Item	the senior rater	an application under	
	2)		Table 10.1. See	
			Note 4.	
3	Sections IV or IX	the senior rater and		
		(RegAF and ARC) the		
		president of the MLR		
		Board (Management		
		Review Level). See Note		
		5 and Attachment 2,		
		paragraph A2.6.		

Table 10.5. Correcting DAF Form 709, Promotion Recommendation Forms.

- 1. When a PRF is sent to AFPC or ARPC, but it is not yet a matter of record (has not been filed in the officer selection folder and/or scanned into ARMS and PRDA) contact the Evaluations Operations Branch (AFPC/DPMSPE, ARPC/DPT) for instructions.
- 2. The duty title may be changed under this rule when the approved documentation existed on or before the date the PRF was prepared. If approved documentation did not exist, or was approved after the PRF preparation date, submit a formal application under Rule 2.
- 3. Do not change words (except misspellings), phrases, sentence structure, or grammar under this rule.
- 4. If a promotion board has not considered the PRF, the application may be forwarded to AFPC/DPMSPE. Please state that the evaluation it is a pre-board PRF that requires expedited processing and list the board date.
- 5. If a promotion board has not considered the PRF, the management level can confirm coordination with the MLR president, with their recommendation, by message, scan or fax.

Table 10.6. Instructions For AF Form 948, Application for Correction/Removal of Evaluation Reports (See paragraph 10.4.4 before completing).

I T E M	TITLE	INSTRUCTIONS
1	Name	Self-explanatory.
2	Grade	Enter data pertaining to the ratee of the contested evaluation.
3	Social Security Number	If an appeal was previously submitted under another name (e.g., changed due to marriage, divorce, etc.), indicate the previous name in Item 12, Remarks.
4	Return Address	Provide current mailing address of applicant.
5	Office Phone	Enter DSN and Commercial.
6	Current Military Status	Place an "X" in the appropriate box.
7	Email Address	Enter a working email address in case of questions and/or to forward the decision memorandum.
8	Type of Evaluation(s) being appealed and the thru date	List all evaluations being appealed by type of evaluation (e.g., officer or enlisted evaluation, TR, LOE, or PRF).  Identify officer or enlisted evaluations, TRs, and LOEs by their THRU (close-out) date.  Identify PRFs by the BOARD ID (Found in Section VII on the DAF Form 709).
9	SSB/Supplemental Promotion consideration for officers and active duty enlisted personnel	Applies only to: Enlisted: RegAF and USSF Only Officers: RegAF, USSF, Reserve, and Air National Guard. For Reserve and Air National Guard enlisted personnel, check the "N/A" block.  SSB consideration applies to central selection promotion boards; RegAF or USSF boards; in-resident central developmental education boards; selective early retirement board, and report on individual personnel boards.  Clearly identify the board for reconsideration. Example: "Promotion to Major, CY23A" P0424A, "RegAF augmentation, CY 25", or "SMSgt, 23E8". See paragraph 10.5. for expedited processing requirements

10	Commander's Certification	Enlisted Only. The commander must recommend approval or disapproval for SSB consideration by placing an "X" in the appropriate box and signing and dating this section.
11	Action Requested	Clearly identify the action desired for each evaluation being appealed. <b>Example:</b> "Void 31 Dec 21 Officer Performance Report;" "Change Duty Air Force Specialty Code to reflect," "Add Senior Rater Deputy endorsement." If a new evaluation is to be substituted, ask for substitution, not to void the original evaluation (e.g., "Substitute attached evaluation containing senior rater endorsement for evaluation currently on file"). Make sure the requested action is not prohibited by <b>paragraph 10.2.4</b> . For enlisted, indicate if supplemental promotion consideration is requested. The commander will complete Item 10 of the application.
12	Reasons to Support Requested Action	Completely describe the error or injustice. For ease of consideration, list each allegation that applies to the application sequentially. Then, as needed, fully address each allegation. If more space is needed, continue on a separate page. For extremely lengthy statements, enter "See Statement at Attachment" and attach full statement.
13		List all attachments in chronological order and identify each.  Example:  1. TDY Travel Voucher 12 Mar 23  2. Contested Enlisted Performance Report C/O 14  May 23  3. Substitute 14 May 23 Enlisted Performance Report  4. Statement MSgt Smith 13 Sep 23  If more room is needed, continue on a separate page. For numerous attachments, use tabs to make the case easier to
14	Signature/Date	Applicant will sign and date application. In cases where application is submitted by someone other than the ratee, refer to <b>paragraph 10.4.5</b> .

# Figure 10.1. Sample, AF Form 948, Application for Correction/Removal of Evaluation Reports.

	APPLICATION FOR CORREC (THIS FORM IS SU		AL OF EVALUATION RIVACY ACT OF 1974)	REPORTS	
	PRI	VACY ACT STATE	MENT		
UTHORITY: 10 U.S.C. I					
	To apply for correction of evaluation repo	rts. Use of SSN is	necessary to make identifi	ication of the individual	and records.
COUTINE USES: None.					
SISCLOSURE IS VOLUN	TARY: If information is not furnished, ap	plicant may be den	ied relief sought.		
INSTRUCTIONS: Route item continuation number	your application per AFI 36-2401. Attack	additional sheets	of paper if more space is n	eeded. When using ac	ditional sheets,
1. NAME (Print Last, First, I		Tax	RADE	3. SOCIAL SECURIT	V MUMBER
BROWN, JOHN A.	vidale indely	MS		123-45	
	ddress the appeal should be returned to)		FFICE PHONE (DSN and	6. CURRENT MILITARY STATUS	
234 ANDERSON DRIVE		770.00	naion)	ACTIVE DUTY	- ⊠
SWEETWATER, TX 1234		555	5555	RESERVE	
				GUARO	
OHN BROWN BUS AF.	a working email address to contact you in o MIL BEING APPEALED AND THE THRU DATE: OE, OER, OPR, PRF OR TR	9. SSB/Supplement Not	e: Enlisted Personnnel must	have CC approval in blo	ick 10
TYPE OF REPORT	THRU DATE (For PRF enter Board ID)	No.	t Applicable Yes	Complete below informa	dion)
EPR	8 JUN 10	ENTER ALL	APPLICABLE CYCLE/BOAR	O INFORMATION (in PO	504B or 04E5)
BF PC	9301110	ENTENNE	Arrespondent e roccisione	to an committee part of	10 to 5 11 11 1
D. COMMANDER'S CERT	IFICATION FOR ENLISTED SUPPLEMENTA	L PROMOTION COS	ISIDERATION		
RECOMMEND APPROVAL	NAME AND GRADE OF COMM		SIGNATURE	DA	TE
	WI				
	AL .				
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV					
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV	(Choose option)	Chi	noe Duty Information	Other (spe	elfo 🗆
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV 11. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report	(Choose option) Substitute Report		nge Duty Information	Other (spe	o891
1. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report  2. REASONS TO SUPPOR	(Choose option) Substitute Report  TREQUESTED ACTION (Se brief and spe	oific)	nge Duty Information	Other (spe	olfy)
1. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report  2. REASONS TO SUPPOR	(Choose option) Substitute Report	oific)	nge Duty Information	Other (spe	ody)
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV  1. ACTION REQUESTED (  Void report   2. REASONS TO SUPPOR  Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8	(Choose option) Substitute Report   IT REQUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish	offo) ned EPR	nge Duty Information	Other (spe	odfy)
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED (  Void report   2. REASONS TO SUPPOR  Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8	(Choose option) Substitute Report  TREQUESTED ACTION (Se brief and spe	offo) ned EPR	nge Duty Information	Other (spe	icity)
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV  1. ACTION REQUESTED (  Void report   2. REASONS TO SUPPOR  Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8	(Choose option) Substitute Report   IT REQUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish	offo) ned EPR	nge Duty Information	Other (spe	icity)
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED (  Void report   12. REASONS TO SUPPOR  Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8	(Choose option) Substitute Report   IT REQUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish	offo) ned EPR	nge Duty Information	Other (spe	ocity)
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED (  Void report   12. REASONS TO SUPPOR  Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8	(Choose option) Substitute Report   IT REQUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish	offo) ned EPR	nge Duty Information	Other (spe	icity)
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED (  Void report   2. REASONS TO SUPPOR  Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8	(Choose option) Substitute Report   IT REQUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish	offo) ned EPR	nge Duty Information	Other (spa	icity)
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED (  Void report   2. REASONS TO SUPPOR  Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8	(Choose option) Substitute Report   IT REQUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish	offo) ned EPR	nge Duty Information	Other (spe	icity) 🗌
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED (  Void report   2. REASONS TO SUPPOR  Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8	(Choose option) Substitute Report   IT REQUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish	offo) ned EPR	nge Duty Information	Other (spe	incity) [
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED (  Void report   12. REASONS TO SUPPOR  Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8	(Choose option) Substitute Report   IT REQUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish	offo) ned EPR	nge Duty Information	Other (spa	octy)
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV 11. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report 12. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8	(Choose option) Substitute Report   IT REQUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish	offo) ned EPR	nge Duty Information	Other (spe	incity)
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV 11. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report 12. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8	(Choose option) Substitute Report   IT REQUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish	offo) ned EPR	nge Duty Information	Other (spe	ocity .
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV 11. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report 12. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8	(Choose option) Substitute Report   IT REQUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish	offo) ned EPR	nge Duty Information	Other (spa	icity)
PECCAMIEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED ( Voild report   12. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR to Items listed in Sec III Bil	(Choose option)  Substitute Report ⊠  IT REQUESTED ACTION (the brief and spe- se substituted with attached reaccomplish  ock 5 were not accomplished by the men	offici ned EPR nber		Other (spe	icity [
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report  2. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8 Items listed in Sec III. Bit	Substitute Report  Substitute Report  STREGUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish  ock 5 were not accomplished by the men	offici ned EPR nber		Other (spe	incity) [
PECOMMENO DISAPPROV  1. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report   2. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8 Items listed in Sec III. Bi  13. NUMERICAL LIST OF A  1. Reaccomplished EPR	Substitute Report  Substitute Report  STREGUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish ock 5 were not accomplished by the men  TTACHMENTS (List each supporting docum with all original evaluators' signatures	offici ned EPR abor nent in the order atta		Other (spe	icity) [
PECOMMENO DISAPPROV  1. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report   2. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8 Items listed in Sec III. Bi  13. NUMERICAL LIST OF A  1. Reaccomplished EPR	Substitute Report  Substitute Report  STREGUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish  ock 5 were not accomplished by the men	offici ned EPR abor nent in the order atta		Other (spe	icity [
PECOMMENO DISAPPROV  1. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report   2. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8 Items listed in Sec III. Bi  13. NUMERICAL LIST OF A  1. Reaccomplished EPR	Substitute Report  Substitute Report  STREGUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish ock 5 were not accomplished by the men  TTACHMENTS (List each supporting docum with all original evaluators' signatures	offici ned EPR abor nent in the order atta		Other (spe	ucity .
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report   12. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8 Items listed in Sec III Bi  13. NUMERICAL LIST OF A  13. NUMERICAL LIST OF A  14. Reaccomplished EPR	Substitute Report  Substitute Report  STREGUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish ock 5 were not accomplished by the men  TTACHMENTS (List each supporting docum with all original evaluators' signatures	offici ned EPR abor nent in the order atta		Other (spe	icity) [
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report   12. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8 Items listed in Sec III Bi  13. NUMERICAL LIST OF A  13. NUMERICAL LIST OF A  14. Reaccomplished EPR	Substitute Report  Substitute Report  STREGUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish ock 5 were not accomplished by the men  TTACHMENTS (List each supporting docum with all original evaluators' signatures	offici ned EPR abor nent in the order atta		Other (spe	icity [
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report   12. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8 Items listed in Sec III Bi  13. NUMERICAL LIST OF A  13. NUMERICAL LIST OF A  14. Reaccomplished EPR	Substitute Report  Substitute Report  STREGUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish ock 5 were not accomplished by the men  TTACHMENTS (List each supporting docum with all original evaluators' signatures	offici ned EPR abor nent in the order atta		Other (spi	ocity .
PECOMMEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report   12. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8 Items listed in Sec III Bi  13. NUMERICAL LIST OF A  13. NUMERICAL LIST OF A  14. Reaccomplished EPR	Substitute Report  Substitute Report  STREGUESTED ACTION (the brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish ock 5 were not accomplished by the men  TTACHMENTS (List each supporting docum with all original evaluators' signatures	offici ned EPR abor nent in the order atta		Other (spr	icity) [
PECCAMIEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report   12. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR to Items listed in Sec III Bit  13. NUMERICAL LIST OF A  1. Reaccomplished EPR  2. Letters from evaluators	Substitute Report  Substitute Report  TREQUESTED ACTION (86 brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish  tock 5 were not accomplished by the ment  THACHMENTS (List each supporting docum  with all original evaluators' signatures  providing detailed justification for the situ  rig statements as a part of my application with  rig statements as a part of my application with  rig statements as a part of my application with  right statements and right statements as a part of my application with  right statements and right statements and right statements as a part of my application with  right statements and righ	oifig) ned EPR neer nent in the order atta ation	ched.)	fully making a faise officia	al statement.
PECCAMENO DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report  2. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR 8  Items listed in Sec III Bi  13. NUMERICAL LIST OF A'  14. Reaccomplished EPR  2. Letters from evaluators  I make the foregol  (U.S. Code, Til	Substitute Report  Substitute Report  TREQUESTED ACTION (66 brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish ock 5 were not accomplished by the ment  THACHMENTS (List each supporting document all original evaluators' signatures as providing detailed justification for the situation of the	oifig) ned EPR neer nent in the order atta ation	ched.)	lully making a faise offici imprisonment of 5 years	al statement.
PECCAMEND DISAPPROV  11. ACTION REQUESTED ( Void report  2. REASONS TO SUPPOR Request 8 Jun 10 EPR to Items listed in Sec III Bi  13. NUMERICAL LIST OF A 1. Reaccomplished EPR 2. Letters from evaluators	Substitute Report  Substitute Report  TREQUESTED ACTION (66 brief and spece substituted with attached reaccomplish ock 5 were not accomplished by the ment  THACHMENTS (List each supporting document all original evaluators' signatures as providing detailed justification for the situation of the	oifig) ned EPR neer nent in the order atta ation	ched.)	fully making a faise officia	al statement.

## Chapter 11

## (USSF ONLY) SPACE FORCE PROMOTION RECOMMENDATION PROCESS FOR OFFICER PROMOTION BOARDS

## 11.1. DAF Form 709.

- 11.1.1. Applicability. The DAF 709, commonly known as the "PRF," will be used for officers in the grade of lieutenant colonel and below only. PRFs are not authorized for officers in the grade of colonel and above.
- 11.1.2. Purpose. The PRF is used for promotion purposes only and provides a promotion recommendation for each eligible officer to the central selection board.

## 11.1.3. Types of PRFs:

- 11.1.3.1. Regular PRFs. An eligible officer's senior rater completes the PRF no earlier than 60 calendar days prior to the central selection board for which the officer is promotion eligible (PRF cutoff date) and awards one of two recommendations:
  - 11.1.3.1.1. A "Promote" recommendation means the ratee is qualified for promotion and should compete on the basis of performance, performance-based potential, and other considerations.
  - 11.1.3.1.2. A "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation means the strength of the ratee's performance and performance-based potential does not warrant promotion by the central selection boards for which the officer is eligible.
- 11.1.4. Completing the PRF. See Table 11.1 for specific guidance on preparing PRFs.
  - 11.1.4.1. Comments in Section IV, Promotion Recommendation, of the PRF are not authorized when the overall recommendation on the DAF Form 709 is "Promote."
  - 11.1.4.2. Comments are required on all PRFs with a "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation. A senior rater must make comments explaining to the central selection boards why the officer should not be promoted. **(T-1)** Comments must focus on the substandard behavior of the officer and, if desired, the punishment received. **(T-1)**

## 11.1.5. Responsibilities.

#### 11.1.5.1. The Senior Rater.

- 11.1.5.1.1. Reviews the ratee's OCSRG, decoration citations, DQHB, and UIF (if applicable) before preparing the PRF. May consider other reliable information about duty performance and conduct except as **paragraph 1.12** or other regulatory guidance prohibits. Examples of other reliable information may include but are not limited to LOEs, bullets from a draft officer evaluation and/or decoration, etc. To reference the "other reliable information" in their record, the officer meeting the board may submit a letter to the central selection board.
  - 11.1.5.1.1.1. Do not use any other SURF other than those indicated above when preparing the PRF (e.g., AMS, SURF).
  - 11.1.5.1.1.2. The intent of the "other reliable information" passage is to allow senior raters to consider performance accomplishments since the close-out of the

last evaluation.

- 11.1.5.1.2. Must be knowledgeable of the ratee's most recent performance. The senior rater may request subordinate supervisors to provide information on an officer's most recent duty performance and performance-based potential and may ask for suggestions based upon the officer's duty performance for PRF recommendations.
- 11.1.5.1.3. Will ensure no subordinate commander/supervisor asks or allows an officer to draft or prepare their own PRF. **Note:** Eligible officers may provide input.
- 11.1.5.1.4. Will ensure there are no boards, meetings, or panels of officers convened to collectively score, rate, rank, or tally the records and/or generate a priority list of eligible officers unless specifically authorized by this instruction. **Note:** Senior raters may request subordinate supervisors provide their assessment (without the use of any boards, meetings, or panels) of the rank order of officers in their chain of command.
- 11.1.5.1.5. Is solely responsible for evaluating each officer's OCSRG, career brief, and DQHB in order to award PRF recommendations among eligible officers.
- 11.1.5.1.6. Completes promotion recommendations.
- 11.1.5.1.7. Provides the ratee a copy of the PRF approximately 30 calendar days before the central selection board. If communication cannot be completed in person, send the PRF via secure communications. The reason for this is twofold:
  - 11.1.5.1.7.1. Advises the ratee of the senior rater's promotion recommendation.
  - 11.1.5.1.7.2. Provides the ratee an opportunity to point out any typographical, administrative or errors of fact to the senior rater so they may be corrected prior to the central selection board. **Note:** If the ratee is geographically separated, send it to the ratee by "return receipt requested" mail. Contact the MPF for assistance if necessary.
- 11.1.5.1.8. Must attach a memo (**Figure 11.1**) telling the ratee who receives a PRF with a "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation that they have the right to submit a letter to the central selection board.
- 11.1.5.1.9. Will ensure the PRF remains a private matter with access being only between the senior rater, the ratee, senior rater's administrative support staff if the senior rater desires (e.g., executive officer, secretary, MPF), the management level administrative support staff, and the central selection board. Subordinate evaluators or others may have access to a PRF's comments or rating only if permitted by the ratee. **Note:** No officer eligible for a particular board will be involved with the PRF process for that particular board.
- 11.1.5.1.10. Provides a signed MEL of officers considered for promotion recommendations to the management level.
- 11.1.5.1.11. Ensures the management level receives PRFs as required by **paragraph** 11.1.5.
- 11.1.5.1.12. Ensures their SRID in the Air Force Promotion Management System reflects only their eligible officers no later than 105 days before the central selection board.

- 11.1.5.1.13. Evaluates all additions to and deletions from the MEL through their MPFs to their management level (e.g., officers who are gains as a result of a PCA/PCS movement occurring prior to the PRF accounting date or officers initially assigned to the wrong PAS code and SRID).
- 11.1.5.1.14. Officers Added or Deleted from Promotion Eligibility. This paragraph applies to officers who become eligible or ineligible for promotion consideration in a particular competitive category on or after the PRF accounting date. Causes for a change in eligibility status may include: SSB or AFBCMR actions, administrative errors, changes in date of separation, or similar circumstances. For officers whose eligibility for promotion consideration is established after the PRF accounting date, the senior rater of record at the time eligibility is established will complete the PRF.
- 11.1.5.2. The Military Personnel Flight (MPF).
  - 11.1.5.2.1. Assists the management level in verifying accuracy of SRIDs and PAS codes.
  - 11.1.5.2.2. Provides PRF notices, a MEL, and a DQHB on each eligible officer to the senior raters. **Note:** For officers not located with the senior rater, provide these documents to eligible officers' servicing MPF to be used in preparing PRF inputs for the senior rater.
  - 11.1.5.2.3. Provides other senior rater support and review as requested. The MPF will send PRFs to the appropriate management level when requested by the senior raters.
  - 11.1.5.2.4. Makes OCSRGs available to senior raters, to include records of officers serviced by other MPFs.
  - 11.1.5.2.5. Reviews PRFs to ensure administrative accuracy, when requested.
  - 11.1.5.2.6. Ensures senior raters are provided a listing of newly assigned eligible officers.
  - 11.1.5.2.7. Evaluates any potential additions or deletions to the MEL for the senior raters and management levels they service. See paragraph 11.1.4.1.13.
  - 11.1.5.2.8. Monitors the Air Force Promotion Management System audit transactions at least twice a week to identify any board adds, deletions, SRID changes, PCS/PCA/date arrived on station actions.
  - 11.1.5.2.9. Coordinates with management levels and senior raters as needed.
  - 11.1.5.2.10. Checks the Air Force Promotion Management System news daily.
- 11.1.5.3. The Management Level.
  - 11.1.5.3.1. Designates senior rater positions for all units within their jurisdiction and assigns SRIDs to those positions.
  - 11.1.5.3.2. Identifies officers occupying those senior rater positions by name, assigns them SRIDs by name and PAS code, and ensures the Air Force Promotion Management System is updated accordingly.

- 11.1.5.3.3. Validates SRID alignment in MilPDS with PAS codes. **Note:** Ensure MilPDS is updated accordingly; contact AFPC for any assistance.
- 11.1.5.3.4. Ensures PRF recommendations on eligible officers are updated in the Air Force Promotion Management System no later than 35 calendar days before the central selection board.
- 11.1.5.3.5. Sends all regular PRFs to AFPC/DPMSPE to arrive no later than 30 calendar days before the central selection board. Do not send senior rater or management level MELs to AFPC/DPMSPE.
- 11.1.5.3.6. Maintains copies of all PRFs and MELs until announcement of central selection board results.
- 11.1.5.3.7. Processes PRFs in accordance with paragraph 11.1.6.
- 11.1.5.3.8. Evaluates any potential additions or deletions to their senior raters and coordinates with AFPC/DPMSPE as needed.
- 11.1.5.3.9. Monitors the Air Force Promotion Management System audit transactions at least twice a week to identify any board additions, deletions, SRID changes, PCS/PCA/date arrived station actions.
- 11.1.5.3.10. Coordinates with senior raters, MPFs, and AFPC/DPMSPE as needed.
- 11.1.5.3.11. Monitors the Air Force Promotion Management System news daily.
- 11.1.5.3.12. Ensures the SecAF memorandum of instructions, available on myPers, is referenced and utilized for all senior rater promotion processes within their purview. The memorandum of instruction provides instructions to all management levels and senior raters to ensure decision makers throughout the officer promotion recommendation process are focused on the same priorities and special emphasis areas as the central selection board.

#### 11.1.5.4. AFPC/DPMSPE.

- 11.1.5.4.1. Establishes and announces PRF eligibility criteria and administrative requirements for processing PRFs.
- 11.1.5.4.2. Ensures completed PRFs are disposed of in accordance with **paragraph** 11.1.6.
- 11.1.5.4.3. Flows PRF notices and DQHBs approximately 120 calendar days prior to the central selection board in Air Force Promotion Management System.
- 11.1.5.4.4. Processes all senior rater identification changes with multiple management levels involved. **Note:** It remains the initiating management level's responsibility to obtain all concurrences for other affected management levels prior to submission to AFPC.

## 11.1.5.5. The Ratee.

11.1.5.5.1. Contacts the senior rater to discuss any errors, omissions pertaining to the PRF or if they have not received a copy of their PRF NLT 15 calendar days prior to central selection board.

- 11.1.5.5.2. May correspond by letter with the central selection board and address any matter of record concerning themselves that they believe important to their consideration. Letters must be submitted in good faith and contain accurate information to the best of the ratee's knowledge and must be signed by the ratee.
- 11.1.6. Processing and Use of the PRF.
  - 11.1.6.1. MPFs send PRF notices and MELs to senior raters upon receipt, approximately 120 days prior to the central selection board.
  - 11.1.6.2. Senior raters sign completed PRFs on or after the PRF cutoff date.
  - 11.1.6.3. Senior raters will ensure all PRFs are available for update into the Air Force Promotion Management System by the management level no later than 40 calendar days before the central selection board.
  - 11.1.6.4. The management level sends completed PRFs to AFPC/DPMSPE to arrive no later than 30 calendar days before the central selection board. When mailing hardcopy PRFs, documents may be sent to AFPC/DPMSPE, 550 C Street West Suite 7, Joint Base San Antonio-Randolph TX 711150-4705.
  - 11.1.6.5. AFPC/PB ensures the removal of the PRFs from the OSR immediately following the central selection board and forwards them to AFPC/DP1ORM to be placed on optical disk. DP1ORM destroys the PRFs after imaging. PRFs filed on optical disk have limited access. Do not use them for assignments, promotions or other personnel actions (except SSBs, AFBCMR, or other personnel actions). Retain these PRFs for historical, legal, and appeal purposes only.

## 11.2. Promotion Recommendation Process Milestones.

- 11.2.1. PRF Accounting Date (approximately 150 calendar days before the central selection board). On the PRF accounting date, AFPC matches eligible officers to senior raters based on the officers' unit of assignment data in MilPDS. AFPC/DPMSPE announces the actual PRF accounting date. Between the PRF accounting date and Day 60 before the central selection board, management levels ensure the Air Force Promotion Management System is accurate.
- 11.2.2. PRF Cutoff Date. This date is 60 calendar days prior to the central selection board. PRFs will not be signed prior to this date.

## 11.3. Special Provisions.

- 11.3.1. Officers Relocating During the PRF Process. For officers with a PCA or PCS assignment to a new senior rater effective after the PRF accounting date, the losing senior rater is responsible for preparing PRFs and ensuring quality review is completed.
  - 11.3.1.1. The gaining senior rater may award a "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation when substantiated derogatory information has been received since departure from previous assignment if time does not allow for not-qualified-for-promotion action processing. This is considered a "Stop File" (see **paragraph 11.5**) and must be submitted in writing through the management level to AFPC/DPMSPE. Gaining senior raters must ensure the losing senior rater is informed of the "Do Not Promote This Board" action.

- 11.3.1.2. The management level will notify AFPC/DPMSPE when a gaining senior rater awards a "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation. This includes those awarded within a management level as a result of a PCA action. This is considered a "Stop File" under paragraph 11.5 (commonly known "Old Guy/New Guy") circumstances and must be in writing in accordance with paragraph 11.5.
- 11.3.1.3. The Military Personnel Flight (MPF) will:
  - 11.3.1.3.1. Screen all officers gained after the PRF accounting date to determine eligibility and notify senior raters accordingly. Ensure senior raters certify a review of all gained eligibles by signing the "Old Guy/New Guy" Report on individual personnel or projected eligible MEL which is generated from the Air Force Promotion Management System.
  - 11.3.1.3.2. Notify the management level of newly assigned officers whose senior rater identification is not correct as soon as possible; monitor date arrived station for changes (resulting from finance office updates) that would necessitate a correction to the SRID.
  - 11.3.1.3.3. Provide the senior rater an OCSRG and DQHB on newly assigned members.
- 11.3.1.4. AFPC/DPMSPE will update all "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendations awarded by gaining senior raters and updates inter-command SRID changes upon "Stop File" requests from management levels.
- 11.3.2. Officers Added to or Deleted from Promotion Eligibility. This paragraph applies to officers who become eligible or ineligible for promotion consideration in a particular competitive category on or after the PRF cutoff date. Causes for a change in eligibility status may include: SSB or AFBCMR actions, administrative errors, changes in dates of separation, or similar circumstances.
  - 11.3.2.1. When an officer is added to a central selection board or changes promotion zone eligibility, the senior rater completes the PRF in accordance with **Table 11.1**.
  - 11.3.2.2. Senior raters void PRFs completed on officers subsequently deleted from promotion eligibility following the PRF cutoff date.
- 11.3.3. Prisoners, Deserters, and Officers on Appellate Leave. Do not accomplish PRFs for officers who become prisoners or deserters, or who are on appellate leave on or before the PRF accounting date. Notify AFPC/DPMSPE through the management level to have these officers removed from the senior rater MEL unless the status is after the PRF accounting date. AFPC/DPMSPE prepares a board-specific DAF Form 77 for active duty list officers who fall into this category and places it into their selection record. However, officers identified as prisoners, deserters, or on appellate leave after the PRF accounting date will require PRFs from the losing senior rater.
- 11.3.4. Officers assigned directly to the offices of the CSO, SecAF, CJCS, SECDEF, VPOTUS, or POTUS, and officers assigned to Space Force Headquarters, Air Force Headquarters, other DoD staffs, outside the DoD and to other military departments, Joint positions, and CCMDs. For these officers, the Air Force Personnel Center (AFPC/DPMSPE) acts as the management level. The responsibilities of the Air Force Personnel Center are the same as those in paragraph 11.1.5.3 (Exception: United States Space Command acts as its

own management level). The CSO, SecAF, CJCS, SECDEF, VPOTUS, or POTUS are sole senior raters and will complete PRFs for promotion eligible officers for whom they are the direct reporting official.

- 11.3.5. Officers Assigned as Permanent Party Students.
  - 11.3.5.1. Management Level Students. Officers assigned as permanent party students training in their utilization field to include TDY in a training status. In-utilization training includes any follow-on, specialized, requalification, upgrade, enhancement, or broadening training in the officer's utilization field. Responsibilities of the senior rater and management level with regard to management level students are the same as those in paragraph 11.1.5.
  - 11.3.5.2. SF Level Students. Officers assigned as permanent party students training outside their utilization field. Outside utilization training includes developmental education, degree-granting programs (usually Air Force Institute of Technology sponsored), language training, Education With Industry programs, attaché/designate training, internships, and initial qualification training into a new utilization field. Space Training and Readiness Command (STARCOM) acts as the management level and STARCOM/CC, or as further delegated, acts as the senior rater for SF level students.
- 11.3.6. Officers Assigned to Air Force units. For officers assigned to HAF, the Air Force Personnel Center (AFPC/DPMSPE) acts as the management level (see **paragraph 11.3.4**.). For officers assigned to the Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL), Space Systems Command (SSC) acts as the management level. For officers assigned to all other Air Force units, Space Operations Command (SpOC) acts as the management level.
- 11.4. Correction of Promotion Recommendation Forms (PRF) (Stop File process). A PRF is considered a working copy until the start of the central selection board. If the PRF is not a matter of record, senior raters have the flexibility to change PRFs. Note: All changes to PRFs should be completed no later than 2 weeks prior to the central selection board. However, in extreme circumstances and on a case-by-case basis, AFPC/DPMSPE may approve changes up to one duty day prior to the central selection board. The request must be from an O-6/equivalent or above, who has justification as to why the correction was not discovered within the time limit.
  - 11.4.1. Changes to the PRF will be made by the senior rater. The senior rater notifies the management level when a change is required and forwards the corrected PRF to the management level.
  - 11.4.2. The management level will notify AFPC/DPMSPE to place an immediate "Stop File" on the affected officer's PRF with written communication, identifying the change, (fax, email, and letter) within 24 hours of initial notification.
  - 11.4.3. The senior rater provides a copy of the corrected PRF to the officer.
  - 11.4.4. The management level forwards the corrected PRF to AFPC/DPMSPE.

## Figure 11.1. Officer's Right to Submit a Memorandum to the Central Selection Board (See DAFI 36-2501, *Officer Promotions and Selective Continuation*, for further guidance).

(date)

MEMORANDUM FOR (Ratee) (Ratee's address)

FROM: (Senior rater's functional office symbol) (Senior rater's functional address)

SUBJECT: Officer's Right to Submit a Memorandum to the Central Selection Board (CSB)

I have recently completed your AF Form 709, *Promotion Recommendation*. In this evaluation, I recommended to the CSB that you not be selected for promotion at this time. Because of this recommendation, I am reminding you of your right to submit a memorandum to the CSB.

If you believe this evaluation is inaccurate, unjust, or unfairly prejudicial, you may write a memorandum to the CSB concerning these matters. In addition, you may apply for a correction/appeal of the evaluation under Chapter 10 of DAFI 36-2406, Officer and Enlisted Evaluations Systems, once the evaluation becomes a matter of record.

DAFI 36-2501, Officer Promotions and Selective Continuation, provides further instructions as to what is permissible in a memorandum to the CSB. If you require further information concerning your right to submit a memorandum to the board, the MPF is available to assist you.

(Signature)

(Typed name, grade, branch of service)

Attachment: AF Form 709

Table 11.1. Instructions for Completing DAF Form 709, *Promotion Recommendation Form* (for officers in the grade of lieutenant colonel and below).

L I	A	В	С	
N	To Complete		Instructions (see Note 1)	
E	Section	Item		
1	I	Ratee Identification Data	See PRF notice for ratee identification data. If any data is incorrect, notify the CSS/HR specialist and MPF for computer correction for active duty list officers.	
2		Name	In all upper case, enter last name, first name, middle initial and Jr., Sr., etc. If there is no middle initial, the use of "NMI" is optional.	
3		SSN	Enter Social Security Number.	
4		Grade	Select grade from the drop-down menu.	
5		DAFSC/DSFSC	Enter the DSFSC, to include prefix and suffix, as of the date the PRF notice is generated.	
6		Organization, Command, Location	Enter organization, command, and location of assignment (with attachment if applicable).	
7		PAS Code	Enter PAS code reflected on the PRF notice. If the PAS code is incorrect, advise the CSS/HR specialist and MPF.	
8	II	Unit Mission Description	Leave blank. Comments are not authorized in this section.	
9	III	Job Description		
10		Duty Title	Enter the approved duty title as reflected in MilPDS. Format the same as if on an AF Form 707. Pending or projected duty titles will not be used ( <b>Example</b> : Officer departs to new duty location, losing senior rater may not use new duty title). See the <i>Personnel Services Delivery Transformation Training – Classifications: Duty History</i> located in myPers for further guidance. For students, enter the student duty title.	
11		Key Duties, Tasks, Responsibilities	Leave blank. Comments are not authorized in this section.	
12	IV	Promotion Recommendation	Comments are not authorized on all PRFs with a "Promote" recommendation, regardless of zone. Comments are required on all PRFs with the "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation, regardless of zone.	
13	V	Promotion Zone	For ADL I/APZ officers, in the box, select "I/APZ." See the PRF notice for promotion zone. Type or hand-write entries.	

14	VI	Group Size	Enter "N/A"
15	VII	Board	Enter the central selection board ID for which the senior rater prepared the PRF ( <b>Example:</b> F0422A indicates CY22 major board). The PRF notices includes the board ID.
16	VIII	SRID	Enter this code as shown on the PRF notice.
17	IX	Overall Recommendation	The senior rater selects from the drop-down menu either "Promote" or "Do Not Promote." "Definitely Promote" and "No overall recommendation" are not authorized.
18	X	Senior Rater Date	See instructions at <b>Note 4</b> .

- 1. Senior raters complete PRFs no earlier than 60 calendar days for the central selection board (the PRF cutoff date). Senior raters award one of two overall recommendations: Promote (P) or Do Not Promote This Board (DNP). There is no limit on P and DNP recommendations.
- 2. Once the PRF is a matter of record, a formal application for correction must be submitted in accordance with **Chapter 10**.
- 3. Comments are mandatory when an officer receives a DNP recommendation, and must substantiate, amplify, or explain the recommendation. Comments for P recommendations are NOT authorized.
- 4. Senior Rater:
- a. Enter name, grade, branch of service (military officers and DAF civilians only), organization, command of assignment, and location. Grade must be that in which the senior rater is serving. **Exception:** Enter "Brig Gen (S)" for brigadier general selectees confirmed by the Senate. Retired grade is not authorized. If an officer has been frocked, enter their actual grade unless the officer is serving in a funded billet and the ratee is a lieutenant colonel or above.
- b. Enter the last four digits of the social security number if the evaluator is a Space Force officer. The social security number is optional, though encouraged, if the evaluator is a civilian or member of another U.S. military service.
- c. Do not include command level, unless it is an integral part of the duty title, with the official duty title.
- d. Do not enter any classified information.
- e. For ADL officers, enter current data as of the date of PRF completion. Do not complete the PRF before the PRF cutoff date.
- f. For Space Force Level Students the senior rater is the STARCOM/CC, or as further delegated.

ALEX WAGNER
Assistant Secretary of the Air Force
(Manpower and Reserve Affairs)

#### Attachment 1

#### GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION

## References

10 U.S.C. § 10211, Policies and Regulations: Participation of Reserve Officers in Preparation and Administration

10 U.S.C. § 10216, Military Technicians (Dual Status)

10 U.S.C. § 10305, Air Force Reserve Forces Policy Committee

10 U.S.C. § 12301, Reserve components generally

10 U.S.C. § 12301(a), (war or national emergency)

10 U.S.C. § 12304, Presidential Reserve Call-Up Authority

10 U.S.C. § 12302, Ready Reserve

10 U.S.C. § 12310, Reserves: For Organizing, Administering, etc., Reserve Components

10 U.S.C. § 12402, Army and Air National Guard of the United States: Commissioned Officers; Duty in National Guard Bureau

10 U.S.C. § 619, Eligibility for Consideration for Promotion

10 U.S.C. § 641, Applicability of Chapter

10 U.S.C. § 9013, Secretary of the Air Force

32 U.S.C. § 708, Property and Fiscal Officers

32 U.S.C. § 709, Technicians: Employment, Use, Status

Public Law 113-66, National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, 26 December 2013

Public Law 113-291, Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, 19 December 2014

Joint Publication 1, Doctrine for the Armed Forces of the United States, 1 December 2020

Joint Publication 1-2, Department of Defense Dictionary of Military and Associated Terms, 8 November 2010

DoDM5210.42\_AFMAN 13-501, Nuclear Weapons Personnel Reliability Program (PRP), 19 September 2018

SORN F036 AF PC C, Military Personnel Records Systems

SORN F036 AFPC J, Promotions Documents and Records Tracking Systems (PRODARTS)

SORN F036 AF PC Q, Personnel Data System (PDS)

SORN F036 AFPC S, Officer Promotion Propriety Actions

DAFPD 36-24, Military Evaluations, 7 October 2022

AFI 33-322, Records Management and Information Governance Program, 23 March 2020

AFI 33-332, Air Force Privacy and Civil Liberties Program, 10 March 2020

AFI36-2606, Reenlistments and Extensions of Enlistment in the United States Air Force, 20 September 2019

AFI 36-3026V1, *Identification Cards For Members of the Uniformed Services, their Eligible Family Members, and Other Eligible Personnel*, 4 August 2017

AFI 36-3203, Service Retirements, 29 January 2021

DAFI 36-2110, Total Force Assignments, 2 August 2021

DAFI 36-2603, Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records, 4 October 2022

DAFI 36-2608, Military Personnel Records Systems, 16 April 2021

DAFI 36-2710, Equal Opportunity Program, 18 June 2020

DAFI 36-3211, Military Separations, 24 June 2022

DAFI 51-508, Political Activities, Free Speech and Freedom of Assembly of Air Force Personnel, 24 March 2023

DAFI 51-509, Appointment to and Assumption of Command, 10 February 2023

DAFI 91-204, Safety Investigations and Reports, 10 March 2021

DAFMAN 90-161, Publishing Processes and Procedures, 15 April 2022

**Enlisted Force Structure** 

Secretary of Defense's Report to the President on Defense Management of July 1989

#### **Prescribed Forms**

**DAF Form 77**, Letter of Evaluation

**DAF Form 78**, Air Force General Officer Promotion Recommendation

AF Form 475, Education/Training Report

**AF Form 707**, Officer Performance Report (Lt thru Col)

DAF Form 709, Promotion Recommendation

**AF Form 715,** Officer Performance Brief (O-1 thru O-6)

AF Form 716, Enlisted Performance Brief (CMSgt)

**AF Form 724**, Airman Comprehensive Assessment Worksheet (2Lt thru Col)

**DAF Form 910**, Enlisted Performance Report (AB/Spc1 thru TSgt)

**AF Form 911**, Enlisted Performance Report (MSgt thru SMSgt)

AF Form 912, Enlisted Performance Report (CMSgt)

**AF Form 931**, Airman Comprehensive Assessment Worksheet (AB thru TSgt)

AF Form 932, Airman Comprehensive Assessment Worksheet (MSgt thru CMSgt)

AF Form 948, Application for Correction/Removal of Evaluation Reports

AF Form 3538, Retention Recommendation

AF Form 3538E, Enlisted Retention Recommendation

## Adopted Forms

**DD Form 149**, Application for Correction of Military Records Under the Provisions of Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1552

AF Form 469, Duty Limiting Condition Report

AF Form 847, Recommendation for Change of Publication

AF Form 2098, Duty Status Change

**DAF Form 1613**, Statement of Service

AETC Form 156, Student Training Report

## Abbreviations and Acronyms

ACA—Airman Comprehensive Assessment

**ADCON**—Administrative Control

**ADL**—Active Duty List

**AFBCMR**—Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records

AFI—Air Force Instruction

**AFPC**—Air Force Personnel Center

AFR—Air Force Reserve

**AFRC**—Air Force Reserve Command

**AFSC**—Air Force Specialty Code

**AGR**—Active Guard Reserve

ANG—Air National Guard

**APZ**—Above-the-Promotion Zone

**ARC**—Air Reserve Component

**ARMS**—Automated Records Management System

**ARPC**—Air Reserve Personnel Center

**CGO**—Company Grade Officer

**CCMD**—Combatant Command

**CRO**—Change of Reporting Official

CSAF—Chief of Staff, United States Air Force

**CSO**—Chief of Space Operations

CSS/HR—Commander Support Staff/Human Resource Specialist

**DAFI**—Department of the Air Force Instruction

**DAFSC**—Duty Air Force Specialty Code

**DBC**—Directed by Commander

**DBH**—Directed by HAF

**DG**—Distinguished Graduate

**DNP**—Do Not Promote This Board

**DoD**—Department of Defense

**DOR**—Date of Rank

**DP**—Definitely Promote

**DQHB**—Duty Qualification History Brief

**DSFSC**—Duty Space Force Specialty Code

**EAD**—Extended Active Duty

eBOSS—Electronic Board Operations Support System

**EFDP**—Enlisted Forced Distribution Panel

**EPR**—Enlisted Performance Report

**ERAB**—Evaluation Reports Appeal Board

**FD**—Forced Distributor

**FDID**—Forced Distributor Identification

FGO—Field Grade Officer

FLDCOM—Field Command

**GO**—General Officer

**HAF**—Headquarters Air Force

**HC**—Chaplain Corps

**HQ**—Headquarters

**HSF**—Headquarters Space Force

**IDE**—Intermediate Developmental Education

**ILE**—Intermediate Level Education (USSF Only)

**IMA**—Individual Mobilization Augmentee

**IMT**—Information Management Tool

IPZ—In-the-Promotion Zone

**LAF**—Line of the Air Force

LAF-J—Line of the Air Force Judge Advocate

**LEAD**—Leaders Encouraging Airman Development

LOE—Letter of Evaluation

**LSF**—Line of the Space Force

MAJCOM—Major Command

**MC**—Medical Corps

**MEL**—Master Eligibility List

MilPDS—Military Personnel Data System

MLR—Management Level Review

MPA—Major Performance Area or Military Personnel Appropriation

MPerRGp—Master Personnel Records Group

**MPF**—Military Personnel Flight

**MSC**—Medical Service Corps

MTF—Military Treatment Facility

myEval—My Evaluation

NC—Nurse Corps

NCO—Noncommissioned Officer

NCOIC—Noncommissioned Officer-in-Charge

**NGB**—National Guard Bureau

**NMI**—No Middle Initial

NSA—National Security Agency

NSR—Senior Noncommissioned Selection Record

**OCSRG**—Officer Command Selection Record Group

**OPME**—Officer Professional Military Education (OPME)

**OPR**—Officer Performance Report

**OSR**—Officer Selection Record

P—Promote—PAS—Personnel Accounting Symbol

**PCA**—Permanent Change of Assignment

**PCS**—Permanent Change of Station

**PDE**—Primary Developmental Education

PDS—Personnel Data System

**PERSCO**—Personnel Support for Contingency Operations

PIRR—Participating Individual Ready Reserve

**PLE**—Primary Level Education

**POW**—Prisoner of War

**PPA**—Personnel Processing Application

**PRDA**—Personnel Records Display Application

PRF—Promotion Recommendation Form

**RASL**—Reserve Active Status List

**RegAF**—Regular Air Force

**ResAF**—Reserve of the Air Force

**RRF**—Retention Recommendation Form

**SAF**—Secretary of the Air Force

**SAPR**—Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (Added)

**SCOD**—Static Close-Out Date

**SDE**—Senior Developmental Education

**SecAF**—Secretary of the Air Force

**SECDEF**—Secretary of Defense

**SES**—Senior Executive Service

**SFSC**—Space Force Specialty Code

**SLE**—Senior Level Education (USSF Only)

SML—Senior Materiel Leader

**SNCO**—Senior Noncommissioned Officer

**SOS**—Squadron Officer School

**SR**—Senior Rater

**SRID**—Senior Rater Identification

SSB—Special Selection Board

SSN—Social Security Number

**STARCOM**—Space Training and Readiness Command

**STEP**—Stripes for Exceptional Performers

**SURF**—Single Uniform Request Format

**TAG**—The Adjutant General

**TDY**—Temporary Duty

**TFSC**—Total Force Service Center (formerly the Air Force Contact Center)

**TR**—Training Report

**UCMJ**—Uniform Code of Military Justice

**USAF**—United States Air Force

**USSF**—United States Space Force

U.S.C.—United States Code

VLPAD (Added)—Voluntary Limited Period of Active Duty

vMPF—Virtual Military Personnel Flight

## Office Symbols

2 AF/A1—2nd Air Force, Manpower, Personnel, and Services Directorate

AF/A1—Deputy Chief of Staff for Manpower, Personnel and Services

**AF/A1LE**—Air Force CMSgt Management Office

AF/A1LG—Air Force General Officer Management Office

AF/A1LO—Air Force Colonel Management Office

**AF/A1PP**—Military Force Policy Division

AF/A1PPP—Promotions, Evaluations and Recognition Policy Branch

**AF/RE**—Chief of Air Force Reserve

AF/REG—Air Force Reserve Senior Leader Management Office

AFPC/CC—Commander, Headquarters Air Force Personnel Center

AFPC/DP1ORM—Headquarters Air Force Personnel Center Military Records Section

**AFPC/DP3SP**—Headquarters Air Force Personnel Center Promotions, Evaluations and Recognitions Branch

AFPC/PB—Selection Board Secretariat

ARPC/CC—Commander, Headquarters Air Reserve Personnel Center

AFPC/DPMSPE—Headquarters Air Force Personnel Center Evaluations and Recognition Operations Section

ARPC/PB—Headquarters Air Reserve Personnel Center Promotion Board Secretariat

SF/S1—Deputy Chief of Space Operations for Human Capital

SF/S1L—HQ Space Force, Senior Leader Management

SF/S1P—HQ Space Force, Directorate of Military Policy and Programs

SF/S1PP—HQ Space Force, Force Management

**SF/VCSO**—Vice Chief of Space Operations

#### **Terms**

**Above the Management Level (AML)(RegAF and ARC only)**—There are seven units that are above the level this DAFI defines as management levels: President of the United States, Vice President of the United States, SecDef, CJSC, SecAF, CSAF and CSO. For purposes of the DAFI, these units are also known as management levels.

**Acquisition Examiner**—A person, either within the rating chain or appointed by the management level (minimum colonel/captain (USN) or civilian equivalent for officers; major or Navy lieutenant commander or an equivalent civilian for enlisted) serving in an acquisition position and in the same acquisition career field as the ratee who provides examination of evaluations for individuals serving in certain acquisition positions (**paragraph 1.6.8**.). The Acquisition Examiner examines evaluations to ensure the evaluation reflects acquisition-related considerations.

Active Duty List (ADL)—Officers on active duty except (per 10 U.S.C. § 641): Reserve or Guard officers on active duty for training, for administration of reserve components, to pursue special work, for the administration of the Selective Service System, LEAD and AGR officers; warrant officers; retired officers on active duty; students at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences. For the purposes of this instruction, The Director of Admissions, Dean and permanent professors at the Air Force Academy are considered to be on the active duty list. The list is arranged by competitive category in the order of the seniority of the grade in which they are serving.

Active Guard Reserve (AGR)—An ANG or AFR member serving on active duty in support of the Guard or Reserve mission, under 10 U.S.C. §§ 10211, 10305, 12310, 12402 or 32 U.S.C. § 708 (Property and Fiscal Officers).

Additional Rater—The second evaluator in the rating chain, after the rater, to endorse a performance evaluation. See paragraph 1.6.4 for restrictions, requirements, and exceptions.

**Advisor**—An Air Force or Space Force designated representative who provides a special review of evaluations in activities outside the Department of the Air Force (**paragraph 1.6.8**.). The Air Force or Space Force Advisor advises non- Department of the Air Force evaluators of Air Force or Space Force rating policies and procedures and reviews officer and enlisted evaluations and PRFs for compliance with the provisions of this instruction.

**Aggregation**—The process used when the number of eligible officers does not meet the minimum number required for the senior rater to award promotion recommendations.

Airmen Leadership Qualities—Ten qualities grouped into four major performance areas (MPAs) that are valued in our Airmen; used to develop and evaluate Airmen; and which are indicative of potential for greater responsibility. In the MPA, *Executing the Mission*, the ALQs are: Job Proficiency; Initiative; and Adaptability. In the MPA, *Leading People*, the ALQs are: Inclusion & Teamwork; Emotional Intelligence; and Communication. In the MPA, *Managing Resources*, the ALQs are: Stewardship; and Accountability. In the MPA, *Improving the Unit*, the ALQs are: Decision Making; and Innovation. ALQs are evaluated via a proficiency-level scale.

**Air National Guard (ANG) Non-AGR**—Refers to members of the Air National Guard who are not on Extended Active Duty nor assigned in permanent Active Guard Reserve (AGR) or Statutory Tour status.

**ARC**—Refers to members assigned to the Air Force Reserve (AFR) or Air National Guard (ANG). Typically used to address the combination of all members assigned within both AFR and ANG.

Annual Cycle Close-out Date (applies to general officers)—Annual major general and major general selectee evaluations close-out 30 June; annual brigadier general and brigadier general selectee evaluations close-out 31 July.

**ARC AGR**—Refers to members assigned to the Air Force Reserve (AFR) or Air National Guard (ANG) component who are serving in a full-time AGR status or on a Statutory Tour (ANG only).

**Carry-over**—For line officers, the difference between the "Definitely Promote" allocations (rounded up) based on the population of a Management Level, and the sum of "Definitely Promote" allocations authorized senior raters (rounded down) based on each senior rater's population (including those senior raters whose population is aggregated).

**Civilian Director**—Civilians designated to lead units/organizations (PAS codes[s]), excluding Flight Commanders. Also see Other Authorized Reviewers.

**Commander**—The commander (or officer so designated) for administrative purposes (that is, control roster action, Article 15 jurisdiction, and so on) of the ratee's assigned organization. Also see Other Authorized Reviewers.

Company Grade—Officers in the grades of second lieutenant through captain.

**Combat Zone**—That area required by combat forces for the conduct of operations. The territory forward of the Army rear area boundary.

**Command Climate**—The perception of a unit's environment by its members. Commanders are ultimately responsible for the good order and discipline in their unit and have unique responsibility and authority to ensure good order and discipline.

Commander's Review—See Other Authorized Reviewer.

Communications Zone—Rear part of theater of operations (behind but contiguous to the combat zone) which contains the lines of communications, establishments for supply and evacuation, and other agencies required for the immediate support and maintenance of the field forces. See also combat zone; rear area.

Definitely Promote (lieutenant colonels and below)—Recommendation on AF Form 709 that says the strength of the ratee's performance and performance-based potential alone warrants promotion; (colonels only)—Recommendation on AF Form 709 which indicates an officer demonstrates the potential for immediate promotion.

**Delegated Signature**—When a member signs on behalf of a signatory using the signatory's digital signature.

**Department of the Air Force (DAF)**—Includes the Regular Air Force, the Air Reserve Component (Air Force Reserve and Air National Guard), and the United States Space Force.

**Do Not Promote This Board (colonels and below)**—Recommendation on AF Form 709 that says the ratee does not warrant promotion on the Central Selection Board for which the PRF is being prepared.

**Duty Qualification History Brief**—A computer product used by senior raters in the Promotion Recommendation Process which includes such whole person factors as Developmental Education,

advanced academic information, board certification, joint duty and acquisition corps data and award and decoration information.

**Embedded Evaluations**—an evaluation that is attached to the annual evaluation at the SCOD.

**Evaluations**—A general reference to the Airman Comprehensive Assessment (AF Forms 724, 931, and 932), OPR (AF Form 707), PRF (AF Form 709), Education/Training Report (AF Form 475), Letter of Evaluation (DAF Form 77), and the General Officer Promotion Recommendation (AF Form 78), and EPR (Forms 910, 911 and 912).

**Evaluator**—Any individual who signs a performance report in a rating capacity.

Field Grade Officer—Officers in the grade of major through colonel.

Final Evaluator/Higher Level Reviewer—The evaluator in the rating chain who closes out an OPR or EPR (Officer) -- The senior rater will be the final evaluator/higher level reviewer (Exception: See paragraph 1.6.5). (Enlisted)—For MSgt selects, MSgts, SMSgt selects and SMSgts, the last evaluator to endorse the AF Form 911 will be the final evaluator (Section IX). The Senior Noncommissioned Officer Academy (SNCOA) Commandant is designated as the Final Evaluator when the AF Form 911 is not endorsed/stratified by the Senior Rater or the SNCO is non-promotion eligible. The SNCOA Commandant is also authorized to sign Section IX of DAF Form 910 for non-promotion eligible Airmen or Guardians or if an enlisted force distribution is not warranted. Furthermore, if a ratee is not promotion eligible, or if a Senior Rater endorsement and/or stratification is not warranted, the SNCOA Commandant may act as the final evaluator on MSgt and SMSgt EPRs within their direct rating chain and/or scope of responsibility. For CMSgts and CMSgt selects, the senior rater will be the final evaluator (AF Form 912). When the rater is an O-6 or above, or a civilian (GS-15 or above) and qualifies as a single evaluator (see definition of single evaluator) and they may close out the evaluation at their level as a final evaluator, unless they refer the evaluation. When the rater/additional rater is a O-6 or civilian (GS-15 or above) who works directly for the senior rater, and the ratee is not TIG eligible for senior rater endorsement, the EPR will be closed out by the rater/additional rater [deputy evaluator]. When the rater is a senior rater or the Chief Master Sergeant of the Air Force, the EPR will close-out at their level.

**Final Out**—The day before an individual's departure from the member's station for PCS, retirement, separation, terminal leave, leave in conjunction with PCS, or unit PCA.

Forced Distributor (FD) (also referred to as FDID authority)—The evaluator designated to complete the Promotion Recommendation section of the DAF Form 910. For wing/delta/group/squadron- level organizational structures, the FD will be the G-series orders commander or civilian director (delegable to section commander or equivalent only for non-TIG/TIS eligibles). For wings, the FD is the vice commander, delegable to the Director of Staff. Within MAJCOMs, FLDCOMs, CCMDs, FOAs, DRUs, NAFs, and Centers, the FD will be the military or civilian director. For MAJCOM, FLDCOM, and CCMD commanders, the FD will be the vice commander. When there is a subordinate organization/unit below the director and the subordinate organization's unit commander is on G- Series orders, the subordinate organization's commander will serve as the FD, not the parent organization commander/director. Note: If the officer in one of these positions is from a sister- service, they must be an O-5 or higher to serve as a FD.

Forced Distributor Identification—A nine-digit code (first two digits is the Management ID; the third, fourth and fifth digits are the Senior Rater code; sixth, seventh, eighth and ninth digits are

the last four of the unit PAS code) which will provide identification to the PAS codes just as with the Senior Rater IDs.

**Frock**—The practice of a commissioned or noncommissioned officer selected for promotion wearing the insignia of the higher grade before the official date of promotion.

**Inappropriate Statements**—Statements from inappropriate items that evaluators must not consider or refer to when recording performance.

**Individual Mobilization Augmentee (IMA)**—An individual filling a funded authorization identified as augmenting the RegAF components within departments or agencies of the U.S. Government. This is further defined by Joint Publication 1-02 which states, in part: an individual reservist attending drills who receives training and is pre-assigned to an active component organization, or a Selective Service System billet that must be filled on, or shortly after, mobilization.

Mandatory Comments—Comments evaluators must include in EPRs, OPRs, and TRs (see paragraph 1.11.).

**Matter of Record**—Evaluations that have been completed, signed, and loaded into ARMS/PRDA. Evaluations are considered working copies until they become a matter of record.

Military and Civilian Grade Equivalents—For the purposes of this instruction, it is necessary to equate certain military grades with civilian grades. The appropriate authority, as listed below, determines equivalency based on the responsibilities and location of the civilian position in the rating chain (see AFI 36-3026V1, *Identification Cards for Members of the Uniformed Services, their Eligible Family Members, and Other Eligible Personnel*, Table A13.2 for grade comparison chart).

- **a. For officer grades**—The Reviewer/Senior Rater determines equivalency for Raters and Additional Raters. The Management Level determines equivalency for Reviewer/Senior Rater designations.
- b. For CMSgts selects and CMSgts (AF Form 912)—The Management Level determines equivalency for Senior Rater designations.
- c. For MSgt selects, MSgts, SMSgt selects and SMSgts (AF Form 911)—The unit commander determines equivalency for all evaluators (except for the Final Evaluator when the Final Evaluator is also the Senior Rater—the Management Level determines Senior Rater designations).
- d. For AB/Spc1 through TSgt (DAF Form 910)—The unit commander determines equivalency for Raters. Additional Raters must meet the grade requirements in paragraph1.6.3. For civilian personnel in categories other than General Schedule to endorse an DAF Form 910 as the additional Rater the unit commander must submit a request for an exception to policy to the installation commander (with information copy to the MAJCOM or FLDCOM and AFPC). This request must clearly outline the desired additional rater's responsibilities and position in the rating chain and verify he or she has been trained and is familiar with EES requirements and procedures. While the installation commander has initial approval/disapproval authority, AFPC has final disapproval authority. Unit commanders may appeal an AFPC disapproval by submitting additional justification to AFPC/DP3SP, with information copy to the installation commander and MAJCOM or FLDCOM.

**Military Director**—The military director designated to lead a unit/organization. Also see Other Authorized Reviewers.

Military Technician (Dual Status)—Refers to members employed under 10 U.S.C § 10216 or 32 U.S.C. § 709. Follow ARC /ANG Non-AGR (Drill Status) for OPR/EPR policy. Technicians are considered drill status guardsmen/traditional reservists for reporting and rating purposes under their military rating chain.

Management Level (ML)—DoD organizations (i.e., major command) where the senior official evaluations directly to the SecDef, SecAF, Chairman Joint Chiefs of Staff, Chief of Staff, United States Air Force (CSAF), Chief of Space Operations (CSO) or State Adjutant General or Governor. Only the CSAF or CSO may approve exceptions; however, the HAF DCS, Personnel, may exercise similar authority in cases involving the Management Levels of general officers. No individual can serve as the head of two separate Management Levels for the same board, unless the individual is serving in a dual-hatted capacity. As used in this instruction, Management Level also refers to the personnel activity that supports the senior official.

Management Level Control Group (Applies to GOs)—The number of promotion eligible general officers assigned to a Management Level, subdivided by grade and competitive category.

**Management Level Review (MLR)**—A process used in the Promotion Recommendation phase of the Officer Evaluation System (Chapter 8).

**Management Level Student**—Receives TRs and normal PRFs. The eligible officers' records meet the respective Management Level evaluation board as a separate category. Training is within the eligible officer's utilization field.

Military Personnel Record Group (MPerRGp)—Consists of Officer Selection Record Group, Senior Noncommissioned Officer (SNCO) Selection Record (AD only), and Correspondence and Miscellaneous Record Group (officer and enlisted). The MPerRGp is maintained at AFPC for RegAF members, and at ARPC for ARC members.

Noncombat Ports and MPFs—All ports and MPFs not falling within either the combat zone or communications zone.

Non Extended Active Duty (Non—Extended Active Duty)—An ARC member who is assigned to an Air National Guard or Air Force Reserve unit, performs regularly schedule drills (Unit Training Assembly), annual training, and/or Equivalent Training. This includes Drill Status Guardsmen, unit traditional reservist or Individual Reservist while in a Title 10 or Title 32 status. These members are not on an Active Duty tour (ex: Active Guard Reservist (AGR) or Voluntary Limited Period of Active Duty [VLPAD]), however they may be on long tour such as military personnel appropriation (MPA) or reserve personnel Appropriations (RPA) orders.

**Non-Line**—As used in this instruction, non-line is a collective general reference to chaplains (AFSC 52RX), and health profession officers (AFSC 4XXX).

Offices of Record—The offices which maintain evaluations (original or copies).

**Old Guy/New Guy**—a report that shows new members to a unit and members who have departed a unit.

**Organizational Climate**—The way in which members in a unit perceive and characterize their unit environment.

Other Authorized Reviewer—The unit commander/military or civilian director may designate in writing a senior official within his/her unit to perform the unit commander's/military or civilian director's review. If a flag officer is an evaluator on the AF Form 911 (only), he/she will serve as an "Other Authorized Reviewer" in Section VIII, Unit Commander/Military or Civilian Director/Other Authorized Reviewer. DAF Form 910 must return to the Force Distributor for final endorsement and the AF Form 912 must return to the Senior Rater for final endorsement regardless of a flag officer endorsement within the evaluation. In MAJCOM/FLDCOM/CCMD organizations the management level may designate in writing a senior Air Force or Space Force official within subordinate elements of the staff to serve as a "other authorized reviewer" (e.g., Director of Staff, Director of Public Affairs, etc.).

Parent Management Level—The management level of a ratee's permanently assigned unit/organization.

**P-Rate**—The promotion rate that guarantees the minimum promotion rate for eligible officers receiving a "Promote" recommendation.

**Performance Feedback**—A progress evaluation from raters to ratees.

**Period of Report**—The length of time covered by an evaluation.

**Period of Supervision**—The period of time a member is under the supervision of a rater.

**PRF** Accounting Date—The date that determines the Senior Rater responsible for PRF preparation. The Senior Rater for the unit the eligible officer is assigned on this date is the Senior Rater for the promotion cycle. For officers in grades lieutenant colonel and below, it is approximately 150 calendar days prior to the Central Selection Board convening date. For colonel, it is 60 calendar days prior to the Central Selection Board convening date.

PRF Accounting Date (Replacing)—The date that determines the Senior Rater responsible for PRF preparation. The Senior Rater for the unit the eligible officer is assigned on this date is the Senior Rater for the promotion cycle. For officers in grades lieutenant colonel and below, it is approximately 150 calendar days prior to the Central Selection Board convening date. For officers in the grade of colonel, it is approximately 210 calendar days prior to the Central Selection Board.

**PRF** Allocation Date—Sixty-six calendar days before a selection board, when "Definitely Promote" allocations are final (does not apply to ARC).

**PRF** Cutoff Date—Sixty calendar days prior to the selection board, when final PRF processing begins. PRFs cannot be completed prior to this date (does not apply to ARC).

**Primary Stratification**—The first level of stratification evaluators must use to ground a stratification statement. Primary stratifications are grade stratifications that will only include officers in the same grade (e.g., first lieutenants, captains, majors, lieutenant colonels, and colonels) and must include all military officers in that grade under the evaluator's scope of rating responsibility and may not include officers who are assigned within another HLR's scope of rating responsibility. Grade stratifications may not include civilian grades or civilian "equivalents" in the denominator pool. The following grade stratifications are authorized primary stratification peer groups: (1) USAF or USSF officers, (2) DAF officers, (3) Joint officers, or (4) Reserve Participation category. A primary stratification must be used in order to use a secondary stratification. See paragraphs 3.15.6.6 and 3.15.7.3.1 for promotion "selects."

"Promote (P)" (lieutenant colonels and below)—Recommendation on AF Form 709 that says the ratee is qualified for promotion and should compete at the Central Selection Board on the basis of performance, performance-based potential, and broader considerations; (colonels only) -- Recommendation of AF Form 709 which indicates an officer is making a valuable contribution to the mission and has potential for promotion.

**Ratee**—The individual being rated.

Rater (officer and enlisted)—The official (usually the ratee's immediate supervisor) designated by management to provide a ratee periodic performance feedback and initiate performance evaluations. The rater may be an officer or Noncommissioned Officer (for enlisted ratees) of a United States or foreign military service serving in a grade equal to or higher than the ratee, or a civilian in a supervisory position that is higher than the ratee in the ratee's rating chain. Management may appoint raters serving in the same grade as ratees without regard to date of rank. (Enlisted)--A civilian rater must be at least a GS-7 or a comparable grade or higher. RegAF members in the grade of SrA may serve as raters only if they have completed the Noncommissioned Officer Preparatory Course or the Airman Leadership Course. Only non-active-duty AFR members in the grade of SSgt or above may serve as raters.

Rater's Rater (officer)—The second official in the rating chain, after the rater, serving in a grade equal to or higher than the rater and in a grade higher than the ratee. See paragraph 1.6.4 for other restrictions. (Enlisted)--The second official in the rating chain, after the rater, serving in a grade equal to or higher than the rater (for TSgts and below, at least the grade of MSgt or civilian equivalent).

Rating Chain—The succession of officials responsible for preparing evaluations. Evaluators other than the rater may be assigned after the close-out date. Commanders set up the rating chain within their organization. The rating chain is normally the same as the supervisory chain. Exceptions: An individual in the supervisory chain may not be an EPR evaluator when the ratee is a TSgt or below and the rater's rater does not meet the minimum grade requirement to be the additional rater. When the ratee is a MSgt or higher, the final evaluator (AF Form 911, Section IX) does not have to be the immediate supervisor of the additional rater. Flexibility in this case lets authorities better distinguish between individuals with similar performance records. When the senior rater identification designates more than one position as a senior rater within a common rating chain (Example: Headquarters Chief of Staff, vice commander, and commander), the senior rater who signs the evaluation does not have to be the rater's rater, but must be the senior rater designated for the ratee's grade and assigned PAS code (only one senior rater may sign an evaluation).

**Recommendation Only PRF**—Refer to **paragraph 8.1.5.6**. Does not apply to Reserve of the Air Force.

**Record of Performance**—Consists of the following AF Forms (when filed in the Officer Selection Record (OSR): AF Forms 707; AF Forms 707A and AF Forms 707B; AF Forms 709; Air Force Forms 475; Form 77 and Officer Performance Brief. Evaluators may also use Letter of Evaluation (LOE) filed in the CSS/HR Specialist.

**Referral Evaluation**—A performance evaluation that contains any of the following is a referral: (a) Comments in any OPR, EPR, LOE or Training Report, regardless of the ratings if applicable, or the attachments to that evaluation, that are derogatory in nature, imply or refer to behavior

incompatible with, or not meeting minimum acceptable standards of personal or professional conduct, character, judgment or integrity, and/or refer to disciplinary actions. This includes, but is not limited to, comments regarding omissions or misrepresentation of facts in official statements or documents, financial irresponsibility, mismanagement of personal or government affairs, confirmed incidents of discrimination or mistreatment, illegal use or possession of drugs, Absent Without Leave, Article 15 actions, and conviction by court-martial.

(b) (USSF only) An officer fails to meet standards in any one of the listed performance factors, in Section III or Section IX of the OPR, the overall evaluation will be a "Does Not Meet Standards" evaluation and the evaluation must be referred.

**Relieved From Supervisory Responsibility**—For evaluation purposes, this means an individual was removed from supervisory duties due to either personal or professional shortcomings or misconduct that, in the supervisor's view, made the member incapable of handling, or unsuitable for holding, the position. Personnel removed from supervisory responsibility must be notified in writing and acknowledge understanding.

Reserve Active Status List (RASL)—A list of all ARC officers in an active status, not on the Active Duty List, and in the order of seniority of the grade in which they are serving. Officers serving in the same grade are carried in order of their date of rank to that grade. The RASL for the Air Force shall include officers in the Air National Guard and Air Force Reserve. Except as otherwise provided by law, an officer must be on the RASL to be eligible for consideration for selection for promotion, continuation, or selective early removal as a member of the Reserve of the Air Force.

Reviewer—The third evaluator on an Officer Performance Report (see paragraph 1.6.5.).

**Reviewing Official**—Any intermediate-level supervisor above the rater, but below the Management Level.

**Routinely**—A repeated inability to meet established DAF standards and/or expectations that would render the aggregated performance assessment over the entire reporting period as below standards.

**Secondary Stratification**—The second level of stratification (and final [tertiary stratifications and beyond are not authorized]) evaluators may use to stratify an officer. To use a secondary stratification, the officer must first earn a primary stratification on their evaluation to ground the secondary stratification statement and communicate the clearest depiction of where an officer stands for all future evaluation readers. See **paragraph 3.15.7.3.1** for the exception on promotion "selects." An evaluator may use one of the following peer groups as a secondary stratification: (1) developmental category, (2) USAF or USSF grade, (3) subordinate echelon grade, or (4) duty position.

**Select Status**—When a member has been selected for promotion to the next higher grade. Members who turn down their promotion to the next higher grade are removed from select status. The use of the select status for FGO evaluations corresponds to the public release date of promotion to the next higher grade or once an officer's promotion nomination has been transmitted to the White House. The use of the select status for first lieutenants selected to captain corresponds to the date of AFPC or ARPC public release of the promotion list or once SecDef approves the promotion lists. The use of "select" is not utilized for lieutenant evaluations.

Senior Rater (Officer)—The evaluator designated by the Management Level who completes the PRF and also serves as reviewer on the OPR. Senior raters must be in a position to have personal knowledge or access to personal knowledge of the ratee's performance. They must also have the scope of responsibility and breadth of experience to assess performance and its significance as it relates to potential for promotion. The same senior rater normally evaluates all officers in an organization in a particular grade and promotion zone. For all USAF and RegAF majors and below, the senior rater must be at least a colonel (or equivalent) serving as a wing commander or equivalent. For all USAF and RegAF lieutenant colonels and colonels, the senior rater must be a general officer (or equivalent) and will be the first general officer in the rating chain AFPC/DPMSPE Active Duty List or AFRC/A1 (AFR unit) must approve exceptions. For all USSF majors and below, the senior rater must be at least a colonel/GS-15/NH-IV/equivalent serving as a delta commander, senior materiel leader-upper, FLDCOM director, or equivalent position. For all USSF colonels and lieutenant colonels, the senior rater must be a general officer/senior executive service employee (SES)/equivalent and will be the first general officer/SES/equivalent in the rating chain.

**Senior Rater (Enlisted)**—Position that the MAJCOM or FLDCOM, field operating agency, direct reporting unit, and other organizations with Air Force enlisted personnel designated to be the highest-level endorser in the ratee's rating chain. For RegAF and ARC members, senior raters must be at least a colonel or civilian equivalent (GS-15 or higher), serving as a wing commander or equivalent.

**Senior Rater Identification Code**—A five-character code identifying a senior rater position as the MAJCOM or FLDCOM or Management Level specifies.

**Significant Disagreement**—The disagreement by an evaluator with the previous evaluator that results in one of the following: A change of any Performance Factor rating in any of the performance assessments; or any statement anywhere in an OPR that indicates obvious disagreement with the previous evaluator.

**Significantly**—A single instance where failure to meet established DAF standards and/or expectations is either egregious in nature or so far short of a standard that it impacts overall aggregated performance assessment.

**Single Evaluator**—An individual (colonel/0-6 or equivalent) who may close out an EPR with a single signature (also see the definition of *Final Evaluator*). Individual must meet both grade requirements and the evaluator requirements for each section of the applicable evaluation form (**Example**: must meet both grade requirements as an O-6 [or equivalent/higher grade] and must meet the definition of a "unit commander/military or civilian director/other authorized reviewer"). An O-6 or equivalent in and of themselves meet the grade requirement to serve as a final [deputy] evaluator on the AF Form 911, and/or as a final [senior rater] evaluator on the AF Form 911 and AF Form 912, provided they are designated as a senior rater by the management level; however, they must also meet the necessary requirements as a unit commander/military or civilian director/other authorized reviewer (see definition of unit commander/military or civilian director/other authorized reviewer) to sign the entire evaluation as a "single evaluator".

**Single Senior Rater**—The Single Senior rater is not the head of the management level but is the only senior rater who has In-or-Above-the-Promotion Zone and/or non-line/LAF-J Below-the-Promotion Zone eligible. The Management Level Review process must review PRFs.

**Sole Senior Rater**—The Sole Senior Rater is the head of the Management Level and is the only senior rater who has In-or-Above-the-Promotion Zone and/or non-line/LAF-J Below-the-Promotion Zone eligible for a specific board. The Sole Senior Rater awards all PRF recommendations; however, the HAF Management Level Review must review all PRF ratings.

**Static Close-Out Date (SCOD)**—The date that all enlisted evaluations will close-out for a specific grade. Also, the date used to determine the final TIG/TIS eligible pool for senior rater endorsement/stratification and forced distribution allocations.

**Stratification**—Quantitative comparison of an individual standing among peers within a definable group and within a specific evaluator's scope of authority (i.e., direct rating chain).

**Statutory Tour**—A controlled tour of active duty service. Usually, a precise number of years at a specific location.

**Stop File**—Used to award a "Do Not Promote This Board" recommendation when substantiated derogatory information has been received since departure from previous assignment if time does not allow for not-qualified-for-promotion action processing. A stop file must be submitted in writing through the management level to AFPC/DPMSPE. Gaining senior raters must get the concurrence of the gaining MLR president and ensure the losing senior rater is informed of the "Do Not Promote This Board" action. This will allow the opportunity for possible redistribution of any previously awarded "Definitely Promote" recommendations to other deserving officers prior to the central selection board.

**Temporary Management Level**—The management level for a ratee who is temporarily assigned to a unit/organization.

**Total Force Service Center (TFSC)**—Formerly known as the Air Force Contact Center (AFCC). When referenced, use the applicable components TFSC, i.e., RegAF would use the TFSC at AFPC and the ANG AFR would use the TFSC at ARPC.

Unit Commander/Military or Civilian Director—The military service member designated as the director of, or in command of, a unit (PAS code[s]). A civilian equivalent, assigned to the position of director, or unit director, responsible for the unit (PAS code [s]). See paragraph 1.6.7.

Whole Airman Concept—Factors included in the whole person assessment include job performance, leadership, professional competence, breadth and depth of experience, job responsibility, academic and professional military education, and specific achievements.

## **Attachment 2**

#### APPEAL GUIDANCE FOR APPLICANTS

- **A2.1. Overview.** In this attachment, the term "evaluation" encompasses all versions of enlisted and officer performance reports, training reports, letters of evaluation, promotion recommendation forms, retention recommendation forms and any other forms used by selective early retirement boards and reduction in force separation boards. Complying with the following guidelines does not guarantee a favorable decision; however, not complying may cause the board to delay its decision or return the application without action.
- **A2.2. Documenting an Appeal.** Documentation must be relevant, accurate, and clear. Do not submit general documentation such as letters of appreciation or character reference statements. Also, quantity does not equate to quality. If the reason a particular item of evidence is not obvious, attach an explanation of its relevancy to the item. If the application has multiple attachments, use tabs to separate them. Before submitting an appeal, review the documentation to ensure it is:
  - A2.2.1. From a credible source. Information from a person with firsthand or expert knowledge of the situation is an example.
  - A2.2.2. Relevant to the time and issue. Evaluations assess performance over a specific period of time and documentation must relate to that period.
  - A2.2.3. Factual. Perceived personality conflict or general character references are subjective, not factual. As much as possible, provide information that is objective.
- **A2.3.** Statements. The most effective pieces of evidence are statements from the evaluator(s) who signed the contested evaluation. These statements should:
  - A2.3.1. Cite important facts or circumstances that were unknown when the evaluators signed the evaluation.
  - A2.3.2. Detail the error or injustice.
  - A2.3.3. Explain how and when it was discovered.
  - A2.3.4. Include the correct information.
  - A2.3.5. Relate to the contested reporting period.
  - A2.3.6. Address the allegations and substantially challenge or disprove comments or ratings in the evaluation.
- **A2.4. Time Limit Waivers.** The applicant can request a waiver of the 3-year time limit by citing unusual circumstances that prevented filing the appeal in a timely manner. However, ratees are responsible for reviewing their records at least annually for accuracy and the board can consider the due diligence of the applicant to apply for correction. Applications that do not include a waiver will be returned without action. Grounds for a waiver do not include:
  - A2.4.1. Failing to understand the appeals process.
  - A2.4.2. Being discouraged from appealing by superiors, peers, or counselors.
  - A2.4.3. Failing to understand the career impact in later years.

- **A2.5.** Common Appeal Reasons and Related Documentation Requirements. Some common reasons for appealing and types of documentation are outlined below. Complying with these guidelines does not guarantee approval of an appeal.
  - A2.5.1. Impact on Promotion or Career Opportunity. An evaluation is not erroneous or unfair because the applicant believes it contributed to a non-selection for promotion or may impact future promotion or career opportunities. The board will focus on the evaluation only. The simple willingness by evaluators to upgrade, rewrite, or void an evaluation is not a valid basis for doing so. **Example:** Requests to add optional statements such as developmental education/professional military education, assignment/job/command "push" recommendation, add an omitted award or stratification to an evaluation or PRF will normally not form the basis for a successful appeal. These statements are not mandatory for inclusion and their omission does not make the evaluation inaccurate. It must be proven the evaluation is erroneous or unjust based on its content.
  - A2.5.2. Ratings and Comments Inconsistent with Prior or Subsequent Evaluations. Ratings are not erroneous or unjust simply because they are inconsistent with previous ratings. An evaluation documents performance during a specific period and reflects performance, conduct, and potential at that time, in that position. An ability to function well in one position at a given time may change in another job at another time. Sometimes an individual can stay in the same job and a change in supervisors will produce a change in performance standards which, depending on how well the individual adapts, could cause a marked change in the next evaluation. The board will not approve requests to void evaluations simply because they are inconsistent with other evaluations.
  - A2.5.3. Comments Inconsistent with Assigned Ratings. Retrospective views of facts and circumstances, months or even years after the evaluation was written, will usually not overcome the board's presumption that the initial assessment remains valid.
  - A2.5.4. Deflationary Rating Programs. Evaluators must accurately assess personnel and control inflation. Therefore, to appeal on this basis must clearly establish that the evaluator did not use the DAF evaluation policy in effect at the time.
  - A2.5.5. Personality Conflict. Provide firsthand evidence that clearly shows how the conflict prevented the evaluator from preparing a fair and accurate evaluation. If other evaluators support an appeal because they were unaware of a conflict at the time, they should provide specific information (and cite their sources) which leads them to believe the evaluation is not an objective assessment.
  - A2.5.6. Coercion by Superiors. The board seriously and carefully evaluates any allegation of coercion by superiors. The DAF requires endorsers, reviewers, and commanders to review evaluations for quality and accuracy. These officials must reject poorly prepared evaluations and downgrade or reject inflated evaluations. Evaluators who change evaluations after talking with a superior have not necessarily been coerced. Clear evidence must exist proving that the superior violated the evaluators' rating rights. Supporting statements must identify the person who did the coercing, list the specific threats that were made, and identify any witnesses who can corroborate the incident.
  - A2.5.7. Undue Emphasis on Isolated Incidents. Evaluators should consider isolated incidents, their significance, and the frequency with which they occurred in assessing performance and

- potential. Retrospective statements from evaluators prepared several months (or even years) after the incident or following a period of improved performance do not carry as much weight as assessments made when the facts and circumstances were fresh in their minds. To convince the board, evaluators must provide specific information about the incident and why they now believe it was overly emphasized.
- A2.5.8. Lack of Counseling or Feedback. The lack of counseling or feedback, by itself, is not sufficient to challenge the accuracy or validity of an evaluation. Documentation should provide specific information about how the lack of counseling or feedback resulted in the unfair evaluation so the board can make a reasoned judgment on the appeal. Finally, every Airman and Guardian should know the existing standards for indebtedness, weight, fitness. Lack of counseling in these areas provides no valid basis for voiding an evaluation.
- A2.5.9. Alleged Discrimination or Unfair Treatment. DAF members must report any form of discrimination to their supervisors or commander. In cases involving discrimination, the best evidence is an official Equal Opportunity and Treatment investigation, reviewed and validated by appropriate officials. Statements from officials in the rating chain or other credible sources who have firsthand knowledge of the discrimination may also be used.
- A2.5.10. Evaluation Completed on Wrong Form. The board does not void an evaluation because it was completed on the wrong form. The evaluation will either be re-accomplished or superimposed on the correct form.
- A2.5.11. Administrative Issues. The board does not normally void evaluations because of administrative errors. Proof that the evaluation would have been substantially different without the error should be provided. Normal procedure is to correct the administrative error rather than void the evaluation.
- A2.5.12. Evaluation Inconsistent with Awards or Decorations Covering the Same Reporting Period. Citations are not specific enough to offset the comments and ratings in an evaluation. Awards and decorations are usually submitted by members of the rating chain who are fully aware of the contested evaluation. Therefore, an approved award or decoration alone does not challenge the accuracy of an evaluation.
- A2.5.13. Personal Opinions and Unsupported Allegations. Provide factual, specific, and substantiated information that is from credible officials and is based on firsthand observation or knowledge. Avoid submitting unsubstantiated statements or opinions about motives.
- A2.5.14. Mismarked Ratings. The instructions governing the Officer and Enlisted Evaluation Systems clearly require evaluators (and no one else) to mark evaluations and prohibit them from signing blank or unmarked forms. Statements from all evaluators who signed the evaluation are needed. These statements must fully explain how the error occurred and why the evaluators did not notice the error when they signed the evaluation. Sometimes the typist or administrative section is blamed for such errors, in which case a statement from them can help. If the unit has a policy which requires raters to sign blank forms, or prohibits them from marking their ratings, a statement from the unit commander (or other person that imposed and enforced the policy) will be needed. The board usually directs the evaluation be corrected or re-accomplished rather than voided.
- A2.5.15. Evaluation Not Endorsed by Mandatory Endorser. An evaluation not endorsed at the required level is normally corrected instead of voided. Identify the proper mandatory

- endorser and obtain the omitted endorsement. The evaluation may be re-accomplished, or the endorsement placed in the correct section of a blank form and signed. Include statements from the evaluators explaining the error.
- A2.5.16. Lack of Observation. Applications based on the fact that evaluators were geographically separated, working on a different shift, or new to the job require conclusive documentation showing there was no valid basis on which to assess performance.
- A2.5.17. Evaluation Not Written by Designated Rater. The DAF does not require the designated rater to be the immediate supervisor. Inaccurate designations and failures to change raters can occur when personnel are reassigned, work centers reorganized, functional areas or units realigned. To prove a case, a member will need statements from both the individuals who signed the evaluation and from the individuals who believe they should have written the evaluation. They should cite the "FROM" and "THRU" dates of supervision and explain what happened. The erroneous evaluator must clearly explain why they wrote and signed the evaluation when they were not the rater. Likewise, the actual evaluator must explain why they did not write the evaluation even though they were supposed to. Also helpful is a statement from the unit commander, if possible, providing specific information.
- A2.5.18. Insufficient Supervision. The following is needed to appeal based on insufficient supervision:
  - A2.5.18.1. Computer-generated products or other documents that substantiate when supervision began and ended.
  - A2.5.18.2. Understand that on-the-job training records, feedback notices, and performance feedback worksheets do not document the date supervision began. They document only that an on-the-job training entry was made, a feedback notice produced, or a feedback session took place.
  - A2.5.18.3. Often, evaluators feel that days of supervision minimums are not sufficient time to evaluate a ratee. However, DAF standards establish that the minimum days are adequate to be able to provide a valid assessment. This standard applies DAF-wide and appeals based on the rater's belief that minimums are not enough time are not approved.
- A2.5.19. Memorandum of Mitigation. A memorandum of mitigation may be attached to an evaluation from an evaluator who signed the original evaluation or from someone in the rating chain at the time of the original evaluation. The memorandum must present information that was not known at the time of the evaluation's preparation and must explain the comments or ratings. A memorandum of mitigation may not be used simply to add information to an evaluation when there was not enough space on the original evaluation to include it. The memorandum must be no more than a single, typed page. It must not discuss promotion status or potential or any other subject or material if this information was not allowed in the original evaluation. Do not emphasize comments by using bold type, underlines, unusual fonts, etc.
- A2.5.20. Lack of Training. Provide supporting statements from rating chain officials who can give specific information about the training problem and its impact on the evaluation. Since failing to provide training and failing to document training are different problems, on the job training records, reviews of on-the-job training records, and on the job training inspection reports do not prove training was not conducted, only that training was not documented.

- A2.5.21. Forged Signature. Allegations of a forged signature on an evaluation must be confirmed by a notarized statement from the actual evaluator or by the results of an investigation.
- A2.5.22. Fitness. Provide relevant justification as to why the fitness area/statement is incorrect. Any request without supporting documents will be returned or not favorably considered.
- A2.5.23. Re-accomplishing an evaluation. Along with supporting documentations, furnish a substitute evaluation in the appeal case. The substitute evaluation must:
  - A2.5.23.1. Be signed by all the evaluators who signed the original evaluation (this includes the commander on enlisted evaluations). If an evaluator cannot be located, submit evidence of all attempts to locate the missing evaluator (e.g., certified mail receipt, emails, postal service). After all attempts have been exhausted, contact AFPC/DPMSPE for guidance.
  - A2.5.23.2. Be on the correct form not only for the grade, but also for the time the original evaluation was written. **Example:** If re-accomplishing a PRF for a CY93 Board, the Aug 88 version of the AF Form 709 must be used, not the Jun 95 edition of the form. Similarly, if re-accomplishing an enlisted evaluation which has a close-out date of Jan 95, the substitute must be on the Jan 93 edition of Forms 910/911, not the Jun 95 version.

## A2.6. Special Information on Appealing DAF Form 709, Promotion Recommendation Form (PRF). (Note: MLR process does not apply to the AFR or USSF).

- A2.6.1. General Information. A material error in the PRF itself, substantive changes to the record of performance used to assess performance-based potential, or a material error in the PRF preparation process may justify changes to the PRF. Normally, comments and recommendations are required from the senior rater who signed the PRF and the MLR President who reviewed it. If the senior rater is deceased or retired and not available, the president who originally reviewed the PRF may act instead. When the senior rater is available, but the original president is deceased or retired and not available, the current president can act in their place. **Note:** An evaluator is considered not available when they are incapacitated or, after reasonable efforts, cannot be located or contacted. Include in the application documentation that shows when and how attempts to contact an evaluator, such as certified mail receipts. An evaluator will not be substituted or bypassed simply because they will not support an application.
  - A2.6.1.1. Substantive additions, deletions, changes, or corrections to an officer's record of performance include voiding a referral or negative evaluation, adding a previously missing officer evaluation or TR, removing a negative endorsement or adding a positive one, or replacing an evaluation with a substantially different one. The change must, in effect, remove negative information from an officer's record or add positive information which was not previously known. A simple administrative change to an evaluation does not meet this criteria.
  - A2.6.1.2. Senior rater and MLR presidents who provide comments and recommendations must carefully consider what, if any, impact the correction or change may have had on the final PRF content, rating, or the preparation process. They will need to explain the change to the record of performance, its impact on the PRF, and how the requested PRF action relates to the changed record of performance. Appeals based on errors in the preparation

process must also be fully explained and substantiated. Senior raters must weigh the impact of the processing error on the PRF and explain how the error justifies the requested PRF change.

- A2.6.1.3. The management level that initially processed the PRF can best route PRF appeals to the appropriate MLR president. Since management levels may have different procedures for processing PRF appeals, contact the appropriate one for instructions. If the management level no longer exists, contact AFPC/DPMSPE for instructions.
- A2.6.2. PRF Appeal Requirements. It is impossible to list exact instructions for each type of appeal; so, if necessary, contact AFPC/DPMSPE or ARPC/PB for guidance on appeals not covered in this instruction. The following list describes minimum required documentation for the board to reach a fair and equitable decision on the appeal:
  - A2.6.2.1. Voiding a PRF. Provide substantial evidence proving the PRF does not contain a valid promotion potential assessment, and that it is not possible to correct the form.
  - A2.6.2.2. Changing the promotion recommendation requires the concurrence of both the senior rater and MLR president. The PRF should "provide key performance factors from the officer's entire career." The space on the form is limited and it is not usually possible to describe every achievement in an officer's career. The senior rater bears the responsibility of selecting what to include in the PRF, and what to leave out, which portions of the officer's career to concentrate on, and which portions to have supported by the record. While inputs from subordinate commanders may be requested, to do so is not mandatory. To change the promotion recommendation, the senior rater will need to demonstrate there was a material error in the PRF; a material error in the record of performance which substantially impacted the content of the PRF; or a material error in the process by which the PRF was crafted. In all instances, the requested change to the promotion recommendation must be related to the documented error. Appeals to rewrite the promotion recommendation simply to include different, but previously known or documented accomplishments will not be approved.
  - A2.6.2.3. Changing the overall promotion recommendation to a "Promote" recommendation requires the concurrence of both the senior rater and MLR president. The senior rater provides detailed information about the circumstances surrounding the requested change and the rationale for the correction. The MLR president reviews the request and recommends for or against the change. The senior rater and MLR president should not support a requested change to the PRF unless a material error exists.
  - A2.6.2.4. Changing the overall promotion recommendation to a "Definitely Promote" recommendation must be fully justified and requires the concurrence of both the senior rater and MLR president. In the promotion process, "Definitely Promote" recommendations are strictly controlled and awarded after a competitive review of the senior rater's pool of eligible members identifies the top officers. The MLR validates the senior rater's decision and conducts a similar competitive review in awarding carry-over or aggregate "Definitely Promote" recommendations. In determining whether to seek award of a "Definitely Promote" recommendation via an appeal, senior raters and MLR presidents must, as much as possible, replicate the original competitive process. Senior raters and MLRs needing assistance in identifying their original pool of eligible officers should contact AFPC/DPMSPE, 550 C Street West, Suite 7, Joint Base San Antonio-

Randolph, TX 78150-4709 to obtain a MEL and copies of records of performance which may be needed for the board in question. The senior rater details the circumstances surrounding the requested change, the rationale for the correction, and the method (an earned "Definitely Promote" allocation, aggregation or carry-over) by which the "Definitely Promote" recommendation would have been awarded originally. As with other PRF appeals, there must be a material error in the PRF, record of performance, or process, and it must be shown how that error resulted in an erroneous rating. In addition:

- A2.6.2.4.1. When the senior rater identifies an earned "Definitely Promote" allocation, they certify that the applicant's corrected record would have been awarded a "Definitely Promote" recommendation in competition with the senior rater's original pool of eligible officers. After reviewing the circumstances of the appeal and the applicant's record, the MLR president recommends whether the "Definitely Promote" recommendation should be confirmed.
- A2.6.2.4.2. If the senior rater believes a "Definitely Promote" recommendation would have been awarded under aggregation or carry-over, the Management Level Review President reviews the request, the circumstances surrounding the error, and its impact on the strength of the applicant's record. The MLR president, after a competitive review (see **para 8.7**), determines if the corrected record would have been sufficiently strong to have earned a "Definitely Promote" recommendation at the original MLR, and makes the appropriate recommendation.
- A2.6.3. Changing PRFs reviewed by a USAF Student Evaluation Board or a USAF Evaluation Board for Officers in Competitive Categories Other Than Line of the Air Force. The same requirements listed above apply, except after meeting the senior rater's requirement, forward the appeal to AFPC/DPMSPE for processing. AFPC/DPMSPE serves as the management level for these boards and will secure a recommendation from the MLR president.
- A2.6.4. Board Review. The decision whether or not to grant or deny the appeal rests with the board, which has the independent responsibility to make the determination. Senior rater, MLR president, and other inputs and/or recommendations are factors which the board will consider in making its determination. It is not bound by any of the recommendations. The board determines the weight it will give to all such inputs.

## A2.7. Special Information on Appealing AF Form 3538, Retention Recommendation Form (RRF).

- A2.7.1. The board carefully evaluates retention recommendation form appeals and obtaining the support outlined below does not guarantee approval, but is the minimum required for the board to reach a fair and equitable decision.
- A2.7.2. Voiding a Retention Recommendation Form. Evidence requirements are similar to evidence requirements for voiding other evaluation types. Provide substantiating evidence that the form contains an unjust or inaccurate assessment of potential for continued service.
- A2.7.3. To change the narrative comments, or the retention recommendation, the support of the evaluators who signed the form is needed. The first evaluator is generally the primary person to substantiate the form is inaccurate. They detail the circumstances surrounding the error and explains why it should be corrected. The second evaluator reviews the circumstances and provides a recommendation. On occasion, the same person may be responsible for the first and second evaluators' portions of the form. If major changes are needed, fill out a new form and attach it to the request for correction.

#### **Attachment 3**

## NON-RATED PERIOD MEMORANDUM

Example: (use appropriate organization letterhead) (Attachment XX) Non-rated Period(s) Memorandum

MEMORANDUM FOR XX SQ/CC DATE

FROM: GRADE, LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MI OF REQUESTING MEMBER (LAST 4 of SSN)

SUBJECT: Non-rated Period(s) on (Enlisted/Officer) Performance Report

- 1. I am requesting a non-rated period on my next performance report in accordance with DAFI 36-2406 paragraph 1.4.11.
- 2. As a reminder, we met on DD/MM/YYYY and discussed any reasonably foreseeable career impacts with this request.
- 3. I am requesting a non-rated period to start on DD/MM/YYYY and end on DD/MM/YYYY. (First request will not exceed 80 calendar days; any extensions will require an additional letter and will not exceed 60-day increments)
- 4. If you have questions, please contact me at (requesting member's contact information).

Requesting Member's Signature Block

1st Ind, XX SQ/CC

MEMORANDUM FOR XX SQ/CSS (Evaluations Monitor)

I have considered (grade/name of requesting member)'s request and approve/recommend disapproval the non-rated period from DD/MM/YYYY to DD/MM/YYYY.

If recommending disapproval, CC must provide justification for the recommendation and forward to the requesting member's wing commander/equivalent for final approval/disapproval (may be delegated no further than vice commander/equivalent). This may be accomplished on this memo or under a separate attachment.

Once signed, a copy will be provided to the requesting member and wing CVS office.

Unit/CC Signature Block