BY ORDER OF THE SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE

AIR FORCE MANUAL 11-2T-38, Volume 2



Flying Operations

T-38 AIRCREW EVALUATION CRITERIA



COMPLIANCE WITH THIS PUBLICATION IS MANDATORY

ACCESSIBILITY: Publications and forms are available for downloading or ordering on the

e-Publishing website at www.e-Publishing.af.mil

RELEASABILITY: There are no releasability restrictions on this publication

OPR: 19 AF/DOV Certified by: AF/A3T

(Maj Gen James A. Jacobson)

Supersedes: AFI11-2T-38V2, Pages: 65

5 August 2014

This publication implements Air Force Instruction (AFI) 11-200, Aircrew Training, Standardization/Evaluation, and General Operations Structure, AFI 11-290, Cockpit/Crew Resource Management Program, and AFI 11-202, V2, Aircrew Standardization and Evaluation Program. This manual prescribes standard procedures used by all pilots operating an Air Force T-38 aircraft and applies to all Regular Air Force, Air Force Reserve, and The Air National Guard instructor pilots flying the T-38. Refer recommended changes and questions about this manual to the Office of Primary Responsibility (OPR) listed above using the Air Force Form 847, Recommendation for Change of Publication; route AF Form 847 from the field through the appropriate Standardization and Evaluation functional chain. The authorities to waive wing/unit level requirements in this manual are identified with a Tier ("T-0, T-1, T-2, T-3") number following the compliance statement. See AFI 33-360, Publications and Forms Management, for a description of the authorities associated with the Tier numbers. Submit requests for waivers through the chain of command to the appropriate Tier waiver approval authority, or alternately, to the OPR for non-tiered compliance items. Ensure that all records created as a result of processes prescribed in this manual are maintained in accordance with AFI 33-322, Records Management and Information Governance Program, and disposed of in accordance with the Air Force Records Disposition Schedule located in the Air Force Records Information Management System. This manual requires the collection and or maintenance of information protected by the Privacy Act of 1974 authorized by Title 10 United States Code, Section 9013, Secretary of the Air Force. The applicable SORN F011 AF XO A, Aviation Resource Management System (ARMS) is available at: http://dpclo.defense.gov/Privacy/SORNs.aspx. This publication may be supplemented at any

level. Per AFI 11-200, major commands (MAJCOM) will coordinate proposed MAJCOM-level supplements to this volume through 19 AF/DOV to AF/A3T prior to publication. Field units below MAJCOM level will coordinate copies of their supplements with their parent MAJCOM OPR prior to publication.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

This document has been substantially revised and must be completely reviewed.

Chapt	er 1–	-GENERAL INFORMATION	3
	1.1.	Conducting Evaluations.	3
	1.2.	Roles and Responsibilities.	3
	1.3.	Procedures:	3
	1.4.	Grading Instructions:	3
Table	1.1.	General Criteria	4
	1.5.	Emergency Procedures Evaluation (EPE).	4
	1.6.	Completion of AF Form 8/8a, Certificate of Aircrew Qualification	5
Chapt	er 2–	-EVALUATION REQUIREMENTS	6
	2.1.	General.	6
	2.2.	Requisites. Table 2.1	6
Table	2.1.	Evaluation Requisites.	6
	2.3.	Pilot Evaluations:	6
	2.4.	Weapons System Officer (WSO) Evaluations.	8
Table	2.2.	Pilot and WSO Evaluation Grading Areas.	8
Chapt	er 3–	-EVALUATION CRITERIA	13
	3.1.	Evaluation Criteria.	13
Table	3.1.	Table 3.2. EPE Criteria. (T-2)	Error!
Attach	ımen	t 1—GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION	63

Chapter 1

GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1. Conducting Evaluations. Conduct all T-38 aircrew evaluations according to the provisions of AFI this publication. (T-1). General guidance on conducting T-38 aircrew evaluations is found in AFI 11-202, V2.

1.2. Roles and Responsibilities.

- 1.2.1. MAJCOM Director of Operations. The MAJCOM Director of Operations is responsible for establishing and managing the MAJCOM Standardization and Evaluation program, in accordance with AFI 11-202, V2.
- 1.2.2. Operations Group Commander. The Operations Group Commander is responsible for establishing and maintaining the unit-level Standardization and Evaluation program and ensuring flight examiners administer evaluations in accordance with AFI 11-202, V2, and this publication.
- 1.2.3. Flight examiners (FEs) are responsible for administering Standardization and Evaluation programs in accordance with AFI 11-202, V2, and this publication.

1.3. Procedures:

- 1.3.1. FEs will use the evaluation criteria contained in this publication for conducting flight and emergency procedure evaluations (EPE). (T-2). To ensure standard and objective evaluations, FEs must become thoroughly familiar with the prescribed evaluation criteria.
- 1.3.2. When available, video tape or Data Transfer System information will be used to reconstruct or evaluate the mission. (**T-2**).
- 1.3.3. Unless specified, the examinee or FE may fly in any flight position or seat (to include chase) that will best enable the FE to conduct a thorough evaluation.
- 1.3.4. Prior to the flight, the FE will brief the examinee on the purpose of the evaluation and how it will be conducted. (**T-2**). The examinee will accomplish required flight planning according to the flight position during the evaluation. (**T-2**). Higher headquarters FEs (and unit FEs as determined locally) will be furnished a copy of necessary mission data, mission materials, and maps, if required. (**T-2**).
- 1.3.5. The FE will debrief the mission objectives and properly assess and debrief focus points. (T-2). The FE will also debrief the examinee's overall rating, specific deviations, area grades assigned (if other than qualified), and any required additional training. (T-2). A squadron supervisor must be debriefed on all evaluations. (T-2). Additionally, a squadron supervisor must attend the debrief, if the overall grade is Q-2 or Q-3. (T-2).

1.4. Grading Instructions:

1.4.1. The general evaluation criteria in **Table 1.1** for basic aircraft control apply during all phases of flight (except as noted for specific events and instrument final approaches).

General Area	Q	Q-	U					
Altitude	<u>+</u> 200 feet	<u>+</u> 300 feet						
Airspeed	±5 percent	±10 percent						
VOR or TACAN Course	±5 degrees or 3 NM	± 10 degrees or 5 NM						
	(whichever is less)	(whichever is less)	Exceeded					
Area Navigation (RNAV)	Within half-scale	Within full-scale	Q- limits					
Course	deflection or ±2 NM	deflection or ± 3 NM	Q- IIIIIIs					
	(whichever is less)	(whichever is less)						
Visual Navigation Course	<u>+</u> 5 NM	<u>+</u> 10 NM						
VOR/DME or TACAN Arc	<u>+</u> 2 NM	<u>+</u> 3 NM						
Nautical Mile (NM)								
VHF Omnidirectional Radio	VHF Omnidirectional Radio Range (VOR)							

Table 1.1. General Criteria.

Tactical Air Navigation System (TACAN)

Terminal Area Navigation (RNAV)

Distance Measuring Equipment (DME)

- 1.4.2. If the examinee receives an unqualified area grade in any of the critical areas identified in this publication, an overall grade of Q-3 will be assigned. (**T-2**).
- 1.4.3. When grading criteria specify that the airspeed or angle of attack (AOA) be evaluated, and the flight manual lists only a minimum, maximum, recommended airspeed or AOA for that area, the examinee will brief the desired airspeed or AOA. (T-2).
- 1.4.4. When grading criteria specify "knots," the performance is based on the appropriate airspeed for the aircraft model: knots indicated airspeed for the T-38A or knots calibrated airspeed for the T-38C.
- 1.4.5. When grading criteria includes references to a "procedures manual," FEs will refer to the appropriate manual: AETCMAN 11-251, V1, T-38C Flying Fundamentals, AETCTTP 11-1, Employment Fundamentals T-38C/Introduction to Fighter Fundamentals (IFF). (**T-2**).
- 1.4.6. When grading criteria includes an evaluation of the aircrew's interface with ground controlled intercept (GCI), airborne warning and control system (AWACS), or other assets, performance parameters are based on mission-qualified controllers or aircrew members. FEs may make allowances for the controllers' or other assets' training requirements.
- 1.4.7. When grading criteria includes an evaluation of timing, base the evaluation on an ordinance impact for a preplanned time on target (TOT) or TOT for target over flight, as agreed to in the briefing. The FE may substitute time at another preplanned point if a delayed range clearance affects timing and may widen the timing criteria for extensive threat reactions or route weather.
- 1.5. Emergency Procedures Evaluation (EPE). In order of preference, the EPE will be conducted in a simulator, cockpit procedure trainer (CPT), or verbally. (T-2). Only conduct a verbal EPE if a simulator or CPT is not available or not configured appropriately for the evaluation. Pilot instructor training (PIT) and Euro-NATO Joint Jet Pilot Training (ENJJPT) PIT trainees will accomplish EPEs in accordance with the syllabus. (T-2).
 - 1.5.1. The FE will include an evaluation of the following items on the EPE:

- 1.5.1.1. General knowledge to include aircraft systems and operating procedures, as well as use of the National Airspace System. (**T-2**).
- 1.5.1.2. All BOLDFACE procedures. (**T-2**).
- 1.5.1.3. Unusual attitude recoveries. (**T-2**).
- 1.5.1.4. A minimum of one approach and use of standby or emergency instruments. (**T-2**).
- 1.5.1.5. A minimum of one approach at other than home base (alternate or divert airfields). **(T-2)**.
- 1.5.2. Units will not permit examinees receiving an overall unqualified grade (Q-3) because of an unsatisfactory EPE to fly in any aircrew position until the examinee completes a successful reevaluation. (T-2).

1.6. Completion of AF Form 8/8a, Certificate of Aircrew Qualification.

- 1.6.1. When a MSN evaluation in any model of the T-38 aircraft satisfies the evaluation requirements in any other T-38 aircraft model, include a remark in the additional comments section of the AF Form 8/8a. (T-2).
- 1.6.2. Weapons employment results will be documented in the Examiner's Remarks of the AF Form 8/8a under Mission Description as follows:
 - 1.6.2.1. Air-to-Surface Results will be documented "hit" or "miss." (**T-2**). FEs will evaluate weapons employment results based upon the examinee's ability to achieve valid release parameters for the event flown and the type of range. (**T-2**). FEs will refer to applicable training standards for event parameter tolerances. (**T-2**).
 - 1.6.2.2. Record the number of simulated missile or gun firing "attempted" and the number that were "valid." (**T-2**). Include entries for each type of simulated ordnance employed. (**T-2**). FEs will refer to applicable training syllabus to determine valid employment criteria. (**T-2**).

Chapter 2

EVALUATION REQUIREMENTS

- **2.1. General.** There are six types of evaluations in T-38 aircraft: qualification (QUAL), instrument (INSTM), mission (MSN), instructor (INSTR), rear cockpit (RCP) landing qualification, and SPOT. Evaluations include requisites and required areas. **Table 2.1** indicates when a requisite will be required for an evaluation. **(T-2)**. **Table 2.2** prescribes the required areas that will be included in the flight evaluation profile. **(T-2)**. Required areas are aligned under the type of evaluation.
 - 2.1.1. Alternate Methods of Evaluation. If it is impossible to accomplish a required area in flight, the FE may elect to evaluate the areas by an alternate method (for example, simulator, CPT, orally, etc.) in order to complete the evaluation. The alternate evaluation will be documented in the Examiner's Remarks section of the AF Form 8 under Additional Comments. (T-2). If the FE determines the required area cannot be adequately evaluated by an alternate method, the examinee must complete an additional flight to complete the evaluation. (T-2).
 - 2.1.2. Publications Check. The FE will check the examinee's in-flight guide and the appropriate flight manual checklist during all QUAL checks. (**T-2**). Units may require a check of additional publications.
- **2.2. Requisites. Table 2.1** indicates the minimum requisites for each type of evaluation. When periodic evaluations are combined, accomplish all requisites for each evaluation and document in the corresponding phase of the AF Form 8. **(T-2)**. Completed requisites may be used for more than one evaluation in accordance with AFI 11-202, V2.

Table 2.1. Evaluation Requisites.

Requisite	QUAL	INSTM	MSN/INSTR	RCP	SPOT
Open Book Exam	R				
Closed Book Exam	R				
BOLDFACE Exam	R		R		
INSTM Exam		R			
EPE	R	R	R		
LEGEND:		_		•	•
R = Required					

2.3. Pilot Evaluations:

- 2.3.1. Pilot INSTM and QUAL Evaluations. The pilot INSTM and QUAL evaluations are normally combined. A mission flown according to instrument flight rules fulfills the objective of the combined INSTM/QUAL evaluation.
 - 2.3.1.1. To the maximum extent possible, this evaluation will include an approach at an airfield other than the examinee's home field. (**T-2**).
 - 2.3.1.2. The initial (INIT) or requalification (RQ) evaluation will be flown in the front cockpit unless conducted under the pilot instructor training (PIT) syllabus or during a unit-level requalification program for an undergraduate pilot training (UPT) instructor. (T-2).

- Pilots who maintain basic aircraft qualification will occupy the front cockpit during periodic evaluations. (T-2).
- 2.3.1.3. Multiple qualification pilots who complete an INSTM evaluation in another aircraft are not required to complete an INSTM evaluation in the T-38 aircraft. **Note:** Unit commanders may require pilots to complete this additional INSTM evaluation.
- 2.3.2. Pilot MSN Evaluations. Mission-qualified pilots will complete an INIT or RQ MSN evaluation for each mission for which they maintain qualification: undergraduate pilot training (UPT), IFF, companion trainer program (CTP), or T-38A adversary pilot (ADAIR). (T-2). The INIT or RQ INSTR/MSN evaluation at pilot instructor training (PIT) meets the requirement for mission qualification in the UPT, PIT, and CTP missions. The INIT or RQ INSTR/MSN evaluation in IFF IP upgrade meets the requirement for mission qualification in the IFF and CTP missions. The INIT or RQ MSN/INSTR evaluation in ADAIR meets the requirement for mission qualification in ADAIR.
 - 2.3.2.1. UPT and PIT MSN Evaluations. Mission-qualified UPT and PIT IPs will complete a contact, formation, instrument/navigation, or low-level mission evaluation. (**T-2**). The examinee will occupy the RCP on INIT or RQ MSN evaluations during PIT. (**T-2**).
 - 2.3.2.2. IFF MSN Evaluations. Mission-qualified IFF pilots will complete either an airto-surface or air-to-air MSN evaluation. (**T-2**).
 - 2.3.2.2.1. Air-to-Surface Evaluation. The examinee will normally lead a four-ship surface attack sortie. However, any air-to-surface profile the examinee is qualified to fly may be flown.
 - 2.3.2.2. Air-to-air Evaluation. The examinee will normally lead a basic fighter maneuver (BFM) sortie. However, any air-to-air profile the examinee is qualified to fly may be flown.
 - 2.3.2.3. CTP MSN Evaluations. Multiple (aircraft) qualification pilots who complete a MSN evaluation in their primary aircraft are not required to complete the MSN evaluation in the T-38 aircraft. All other mission-qualified CTP pilots will complete a contact, formation, low-level, or instrument/navigation MSN evaluation. (T-2).
 - 2.3.2.4. ADAIR MSN Evaluations. ADAIR pilots will complete an air-to-air MSN evaluation. (**T-2**).
- 2.3.3. Pilot INSTR Evaluations. All instructor evaluations will evaluate INSTR required areas and the examinee's instructor knowledge and ability. (**T-2**). Instructor pilots must complete an INIT INSTR or RQ INSTR evaluation, which is normally combined with the INIT or RQ MSN evaluation. (**T-2**). The examinee will occupy the crew position normally occupied when performing instructor duties. (**T-2**). INIT INSTR evaluations must be completed as a dedicated INIT INSTR evaluation with an FE acting as a student for the purpose of evaluating the examinee's instructional ability. (**T-2**).
- 2.3.4. Pilot RCP Evaluations. All pilots qualified to land in the RCP will complete an INIT RCP landing qualification evaluation. (**T-2**). Periodic RCP evaluations will be combined with another evaluation flown in the RCP. (**T-2**). RCP landing qualification is mandatory for IPs. (**T-2**). The examinee will occupy the RCP. (**T-2**).

- 2.3.4.1. FEs will include "Rear Cockpit Landing Qualification" in the Examiner's Remarks section of the AF Form 8/8a under Mission Description. (T-2).
- 2.3.4.2. When the RCP landing qualification is evaluated as a flight requisite for an evaluation, record "SPOT" in the flight phase block on the AF Form 8.
- 2.3.4.3. All RCP landing qualification evaluations will include in-flight evaluation of overhead and straight-in patterns, and simulated single-engine (SE), no-flap (NF), and normal landings flown in the RCP. (T-2).
- 2.3.5. Instructor Pilot Loss of Qualification. Instructor pilots who lose their QUAL, INSTM and/or MSN qualification will not perform instructional duties. (**T-2**).
- **2.4. Weapons System Officer (WSO) Evaluations.** All WSO evaluations are combined QUAL/MSN evaluations.
 - 2.4.1. WSOs will complete the combined QUAL/MSN evaluation using mission profiles that support the unit's mission, for example IFF. (**T-2**).
 - 2.4.2. Instructor WSOs will complete an INIT QUAL/MSN/INSTR evaluation. (T-2). Subsequently, all periodic evaluations will evaluate INSTR required areas and the examinee's instructor knowledge and ability. (T-2). Accomplish periodic instructor evaluations on actual instructional missions whenever possible. When students are not available or mission requirements or crew composition requirements prevent inclusion of students, the FE may serve as the student for the purpose of evaluating the examinee's instructional ability. Unusual attitude recognition and instrument interpretation will be demonstrated during the EPE. (T-2).
 - 2.4.3. Minimum ground phase requisites are:
 - 2.4.3.1. Closed and open book qualification examinations. (**T-2**).
 - 2.4.3.2. EPE. (**T-2**).
 - 2.4.3.3. Instrument examination. (**T-2**).

Table 2.2. Pilot and WSO Evaluation Grading Areas.

	ot and 1100 Dialaction of a		I CUBI							
I										
T					Evalı	ation	Type			
E			т	A/S	A/A	С	F	I/N	LL	W
M	Evaluation Area	Q	1	A/S	A/A	C	Г	1/11	LL	VV
	GENERAL									
1	Mission Planning	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
2	Publications	R								R
3	Flight Briefing	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
4	Ground Operations	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
5	Takeoff	R								
6	Formation Takeoff									
7	Departure	R	R							
8	Level Off	R	R							
9	Cruise/Navigation	R	R					R		
10	In-flight Checks	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
11	Fuel Management	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R

I T					Evalı	ation	Type			
Е	P. 1	Q	I	A/S	A/A	С	F	I/N	LL	W
M 12	Evaluation Area					R		R		
13	Communication Wasners System Charles	R	R	R R	R R	K	R	K	R	R R
14	Weapons System Checks Visual Lookout	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
15	Airwork	R	K	K	R	R	R	K	K	K
16		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	Safety (Critical) Airmanship/Situational									
17	Awareness (Critical)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
18	Flight Discipline (Critical)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
19	Crew/Flight Coordination	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
20	Flight Leadership									
21	Risk Management/Decision Making	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
22	Task Management	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
23	Debriefing and Critique	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
24	Emergency Procedures	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
25	General Knowledge	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
26	Instrument Interpretation	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
27	In-flight Computations	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
28	Instruction (if applicable)	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
29	Traffic Pattern Stalls					R				
30	Nose-Low Recovery	R				R				
31	Nose-High Recovery	R				R				
32	Aircraft Handling	R				R				
33	Aerobatics					R				
34	Letdown and Traffic Entry		R							
	Normal Pattern and									
35	Landing (Overhead) (Note	R								
	1)									
	Normal Approach and									
36	Landing	R				R				
	(Straight-in) (Notes 1 and									
	2)									
37	Emergency Traffic Pattern	R				R				
38	SE Approach and Landing (Note 2)	R				R				
39	NF Pattern and Landing (Overhead) (Note1)	R				R				
40	NF Approach and Landing (Straight-in) (Notes 1 and 2)	R				R				
41	Go-Around									

I T					Evolv	4	Т			
				T	Evan	ation	1 ype	1		
E M	Evaluation Area	Q	I	A/S	A/A	C	F	I/N	LL	W
42	Closed Traffic									
43	Breakout and Reentry									
73	Instrument Climb or									
44	Descent		R							
45	Vertical S									
46	Steep Turns									
47	Unusual Attitudes (Note 3)		R							R
48	Confidence Maneuvers									
49	Fix-to-Fix									
50	Holding									
	Published Approach									
51	Procedure		R					R		
	(Note 4)									
52	En Route Descent (Note 4)		R					R		
53	Course or Arc Interception									
54	Maintaining Course or Arc									
55	Precision Approach		R					R		
56	Non-precision Approach		R					R		
57	Circling Approach									
58	Missed Approach									
59	Transition to Landing		R							
60	Position Change									
61	Visual Signals									
62	Fingertip (Lead)						R			
63	Echelon (Lead)									
64	Close Trail (Lead)									
65	Extended Trail (Lead)						R			
66	Fluid Maneuvering (Lead)									
67	Tactical (Lead)						R			
68	Pitchout (Lead)									
69	Rejoin (Lead)									
70	Formation Approach and									
	Landing (Lead)									
71	Formation Takeoff (Wing)									
72	Fingertip (Wing)						R			
73	Echelon (Wing)									
74	Route (Wing)									
75	Crossunder (Wing)									
76	Close Trail (Wing)									
77	Extended Trail (Wing)						R			
78	Pitchout (Wing)									

I										
T					Evalı	ation	Type			
Е		_	_							
M	Evaluation Area	Q	I	A/S	A/A	C	F	I/N	LL	W
79	Rejoin (Wing)									
80	Overshoot (Wing)									
81	Tactical (Wing)						R			
82	Tactical Rejoin (Wing)						R			
83	Fluid Maneuvering (Wing)									
84	Fighting Wing (Wing)									
85	Breakout (Wing)									
86	Formation Approach and Landing (Wing)									
87	Route Entry								R	
88	Altitude Control								R	
89	Time Control								R	
90	Course Control								R	
91	Route Exit								R	
92	Tactical Plan			R	R					R
93	Tactical Execution			R	R					R
94	Command and Control (C2) Integration			R	R					R
95	Composite Force (CF) Interface									
96	Mutual Support									R
97	Tactical Navigation			R	R					R
98	Ingress									
99	Egress									
100	Combat Separation									
101	Training Rules/ Rules of Engagement (ROE)			R	R					R
102	Threat Reactions									
103	In-flight Report									
104	Weapons System Utilization			R	R					R
105	Offensive Maneuvering									
106	Defensive Maneuvering									
107	Weapons Employment									
108	Target Acquisition			R						
109	Weapons Employment			R						
110	Range Procedures									
111	Air-to-air Training Exercise									
NOTI										

NOTES:

^{1.} Evaluate the normal and NF landing out of either a straight-in or an overhead pattern. Evaluate at least one pattern and landing from an overhead (i.e., normal or NF).

T Evaluation Type O I A/S A/A C F I/N LL W	I										
$egin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	T					Evalu	uation	Type			
	Е	Evaluation Area	Q	I	A/S	A/A	С	F	I/N	LL	W

- 2. The straight-in may be evaluated via a visual, precision, or non-precision approach.
- 3. If the evaluator flies in the chase position, evaluate during the EPE. If evaluated during an EPE doesn't need to be accomplished in flight.
- 4. Evaluate either the published approach procedure or en route descent on the pilot instrument evaluation.

LEGEND:

- Q Pilot Qualification Evaluation
- I Pilot Instrument Evaluation
- A/S Pilot Air-to-Surface Mission Evaluation
- A/A Pilot Air-to-Air Mission Evaluation
- C Pilot Contact Mission Evaluation
- F Pilot Formation Mission Evaluation
- I/N Pilot Instrument/Navigation Mission Evaluation
- LL Pilot Low-level Mission Evaluation/Formation Low-level
- W Instructor WSO and Upgrading Instructor WSO Evaluation
- R Required Area

NOTE: Use the Comments block of AF Form 8 to further describe the evaluation types.

Chapter 3

EVALUATION CRITERIA

3.1. Evaluation Criteria. To ensure standard and objective evaluations, use grading criteria in **Table 3.1** and **Table 3.2** for determining individual area grades. **(T-2)**.

Table 3.1. Flight Evaluation Criteria. (T-2).

	T. Tilgiit Evaluation ((1 2)		
l T			Grading Criteria	
E				
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
1	Mission Planning:			
	Mission Planning: a. Mission Preparation	Developed a sound plan to accomplish the mission. Checked all factors applicable to flight according to applicable directives. Aware of alternatives available, if flight could not be completed as planned. Required flight crew	Made minor errors or omissions that did not detract from mission effectiveness. Demonstrated limited knowledge of performance capabilities or approved operating procedures or rules in some areas.	Made major error(s) or omission(s) that would have prevented a safe or effective mission. Displayed faulty knowledge of operating data or procedures. Did not review and sign-off required flight crew information file and read files prior to flight. Not prepared
	b. Chart Preparation (when required)	information file and read file items reviewed and signed-off prior to flight. Prepared at briefing time. Prepared chart according to directives.	Made minor chart errors or omissions that did not detract from mission effectiveness.	Made major chart errors or omissions that would have prevented a safe or effective mission.

ı				
Т			Grading Criteria	
Е				
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
2	Publications	Publications were current, contained all supplements/ changes, and were properly posted.	Publications contained deficiencies which would not impact flight safety or mission accomplishment.	Publications were outdated and (or) contained deficiencies that would impact flight safety or mission accomplishment.
3	Flight Briefing: a. Organization	Well organized and presented in a logical sequence. Concluded briefing in time to allow for element or crew briefing (if applicable) and preflight of personal equipment, aircraft, and ordnance.	Events out of sequence, hard to follow, some redundancy.	Confusing presentation. Did not allow time for element or crew briefing (if applicable) and preflight of personal equipment, aircraft, and ordnance.
	b. Presentation	Presented briefing in a professional manner. Effective use of training aids. Flight members clearly understood mission objectives and requirements.	Did not make effective use of available training aids. Dwelled on non-essential mission items.	Failed to define mission objectives. Presentation created doubts or confusion. Briefing was inefficient.

			Grading Criteria	
T			Grading Criteria	
E	A ** 0 0			11
M	Area	Q Established	Q-	U Did not establish
	c. Mission		Omitted some	
	Coverage	objectives for the	minor training	objectives for the
		mission.	events. Limited	mission. Omitted
		Presented all	discussion of	major training
		events and	techniques.	events or did not
		technique		discuss techniques.
		discussion for		
		accomplishing the		
	1 =1: 1	mission.	D:1	T 1 Cl: -1-4
	d. Flight Member	Properly assessed the abilities of all	Did not correctly	Ignored flight members' abilities
	Consideration	flight members.	assess all flight members' abilities.	and past problem
		Briefed corrective	Did not identify	areas.
		action from	probable problem	arcas.
		previous mission	areas.	
		and probable	arcas.	
		problem areas		
		when appropriate.		
4	Ground	Established and	Performed under	Omitted major
	Operations	adhered to station,	Q criteria with	items of the
		start engine, taxi	minor procedural	appropriate
		and takeoff times	deviations that did	checklist. Major
		to assure thorough	not detract from	deviations in
		preflight, check of	mission	procedure that
		personal	effectiveness.	would prevent safe
		equipment, crew		mission
		briefing, etc.		accomplishment.
		Accurately		Failed to
		determined		accurately
		readiness of		determine
		aircraft for flight.		readiness of
		Performed all		aircraft for flight.
		checks and		Crew errors
		procedures prior to		directly
		takeoff in		contributed to a
		accordance with		late takeoff, which
		approved		degraded the
		checklists and		mission or made it
		applicable		non-effective.
		directives.		

ı				
' T			Grading Criteria	
E			<u> </u>	
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
5	Takeoff	Maintained smooth aircraft control throughout takeoff. Performed takeoff in accordance with flight manual procedures.	Minor flight manual procedural deviations. Control was rough or erratic.	Takeoff potentially dangerous. Exceeded aircraft or systems limitations. Raised gear too early. Failed to establish proper climb attitude. Overcontrolled aircraft resulted in excessive deviations from intended flightpath.
6	Formation Takeoff: a. Lead	Smooth on controls. Excellent wingman consideration.	Occasionally rough on controls. Not unsafe, but lack of wingman consideration made it difficult for wingman to maintain position.	Rough on the controls. Did not consider wingman.
	b. Wingman	Maintained position; momentary deviations. Maintained safe separation and complied with lead's instructions.	Over-controlled aircraft to the extent that formation position varied considerably.	Made abrupt position corrections. Did not maintain safe separation or formation position throughout the takeoff.

I T			Grading Criteria	
E				
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
7	Departure:			
	a.	Performed	Minor deviations	Failed to comply
	Instrument/Visual	departure as	in airspeed and	with published or
	Flight Rules	published or	navigation	directed departure
		directed and	occurred during	instructions.
		complied with all	completion of	
		restrictions.	departure.	
	b. Trail	Trail departure or	Minor deviations	Unable to
	Departure/Rendez	rendezvous	from established	accomplish trail
	vous	accomplished	or appropriate	departure or
		using proper	procedures.	rendezvous. Gross
		procedures.		overshoot or
		Provided efficient		excessively slow
		commentary		rendezvous caused
		throughout		by poor technique
		departure and (or)		or procedure.
		rendezvous.		Missed
				rendezvous.
8	Level Off	Level off was	Level off was	Level off was
		smooth. Promptly	erratic. Slow in	erratic. Exceeded
		established proper	establishing	Q- limits.
		cruise airspeed.	proper cruise	Excessive delay or
			airspeed.	failed to establish
				proper cruise
				airspeed. Failed to
				reset altimeter, as
				required.

ı				
T			Grading Criteria	
E				
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
9	Cruise/Navigation	Maintained smooth positive aircraft control at all times. Demonstrated satisfactory capability to navigate, using all available means. Used appropriate navigation procedures. Ensured navigational aids were properly tuned, identified, and monitored. Complied with clearance instructions. Aware of position at all times. Remained within the confines of assigned airspace.	Late control inputs resulted in occasional deviations. Minor errors in procedures or use of navigation equipment. Some deviations in tuning, identifying, and monitoring navigational aids. Slow to comply with clearance instructions. Had some difficulty in establishing exact position and course.	Consistently deviated from heading altitude, airspeed, or course. Major errors in procedures or use of navigation equipment. Could not establish position. Failed to recognize checkpoints or adjust for deviations in time and course. Did not remain within the confines of assigned airspace. Exceeded parameters for Q
10	In-flight Checks	Performed all inflight checks as required.	Same as Q, except for minor deviations or omissions during checks. Did not detract from mission accomplishment.	Did not perform in-flight checks or monitor systems to the degree that an emergency condition would have developed if allowed to continue uncorrected.

 			Grading Criteria	
T E			Grading Criteria	
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
11	Fuel Management	Actively monitored	Errors in fuel	Failed to monitor
	_	fuel throughout	management	fuel status or
		the mission and	procedures that	comply with
		updated fuel	did not prevent	established fuel
		planning as	mission	requirements.
		required.	accomplishment.	Poor fuel
		Complied with all	Slow to update	management
		established fuel	fuel planning.	prevented mission
		requirements.		accomplishment.
		Adhered to briefed		Did not adhere to
		Joker or Bingo		briefed fuel
		calls.		requirements.
12	Communication	Complete	Minor terminology	Radio
		knowledge of and	errors or	communications
		compliance with	omissions	over primary or
		correct	occurred but did	secondary radios
		communications	not significantly	were inadequate
		and transponder	detract from	or excessive.
		procedures.	situational	Inaccurate or con-
		Transmissions	awareness, mutual	fusing terminology
		concise, accurate,	support, or	significantly
		and utilized proper	mission	detracted from
		terminology.	accomplishment.	mutual support,
		Complied with and	Extraneous	situational
		acknowledged all	comments over	awareness, or
		required instructions.	primary or	mission
		ilistructions.	secondary radios presented minor	accomplishment.
			distractions.	
13	Weapons System	Completed all	Completed most	Failed to complete
	Checks	checks. Thorough	weapons system	weapons system
		knowledge and	checks. Limited	checks. General
		performance of	knowledge of	lack of knowledge
		weapons system	checks. Unsure of	on how to perform
		checks.	systems	weapons system
			degradation due	checks. Unable to
			to check failure.	determine systems
				degradation due to
				check failures.

I T			Grading Criteria	
E M	Area	Q	Q-	U
14	Visual Lookout	Demonstrated thorough knowledge and effective application of visual lookout techniques for all phases of flight. Timely actions taken to reduce potential conflicts.	Demonstrated limited knowledge of visual lookout techniques. Did not establish lookout responsibilities for all phases of flight. Slow to take actions to reduce possible conflicts. Slow to acquire threats to flight or targets to be attacked (if applicable).	Demonstrated unsatisfactory knowledge or application of visual lookout responsibilities. Actions were not taken to reduce possible conflicts.
15	Airwork	Aircraft control during maneuvers was positive and smooth. Maneuvers performed according to directives and appropriate to the situation or environment. Adhered to established procedures.	Aircraft control during maneuvers not always smooth and positive, but adequate. Minor procedure deviations or lack of full consideration for the tactical situation.	Aircraft control erratic. Aircraft handling caused unsatisfactory accomplishment of maneuvers. Exceeded Q-criteria. Failed to consider the tactical situation. Temporary loss of aircraft control.

I T			Grading Criteria	
E M	Area	Q	Q-	U
16	Safety (Critical)	Aware of and complied with all safety factors required for safe aircraft operation and mission accomplishment.	(Note: Because this area is critical, Q- is not applicable.)	Was not aware of or did not comply with all safety factors required for safe operation or mission accomplishment. Did not adequately clear. Operated the aircraft in a dangerous manner. Knowingly violated established procedures or flight restrictions.

ı				
Т			Grading Criteria	
Е				
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
17	Airmanship/ Situational Awareness (Critical)	Executed the assigned mission in a timely, efficient manner. Conducted the flight with a sense of understanding and comprehension.	(Note: Because this area is critical, Q- is not applicable.)	Decisions or lack thereof resulted in failure to accomplish the assigned mission. Misanalyzed flight conditions and (or) failed to recognize/ understand mission
		Made appropriate decisions based on available information. Recognized the need for action. Aware of performance of self and other flight members. Aware of on-going mission status. Recognized, verbalized, and correctly acted on unexpected events.		developments, or demonstrated poor judgment to the extent that flight safety could have been compromised. Did not recognize the need for action. Not aware of performance of self and other flight members. Not aware of ongoing mission status. Failed to recognize, verbalize and act on unexpected events.
18	Flight Discipline (Critical)	Provided required direction/informati on. Correctly adapted to meet new situational demands. Demonstrated strict professional flight and crew discipline throughout all phases of the mission.	(Note: Because this area is critical, Q- is not applicable.)	Did not provide direction/informati on when needed. Did not correctly adapt to meet new situational demands. Failed to exhibit strict flight or pilot discipline. Violated or ignored rules or instructions.

T			Grading Criteria	
E				
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
19	Crew Coordination	Effectively	Crew coordination	Poor crew
15	Crew Coordination	coordinated with	adequate to	coordination
		other	accomplish the	seriously degraded
			mission.	mission
		crewmember(s)	Deficiencies in	accomplishment or
		throughout the mission.		•
			crew	safety of flight.
		Contributed to the	communication or	
		smooth and	interaction	
		efficient operation	resulted in	
		of the aircrew.	degraded crew	
		5 1	efficiency.	511
20	Flight Leadership	Positively directed	In-flight decisions	Did not accomplish
		the flight during	delayed mission	the mission or
		accomplishment of the mission and	accomplishment or	failed to correct in-
			degraded training benefit.	flight
		made timely comments to	beliefft.	discrepancies. Inflight decisions
		correct		jeopardized
		discrepancies when		mission
		required. Made		accomplishment.
		sound and timely		ассотризниси.
		in-flight decisions.		
21	Risk	Accurately	Made minor errors	Improperly or
	Management/	identified all	in identifying	ineffectively
	Decision Making	contingencies and	contingencies,	identified
		alternatives.	gathering data, or	contingencies,
		Gathered and	communicating a	gathered data, or
		cross-checked	decision that did	communicated a
		available data	not affect safe or	decision that
		before deciding.	effective mission	seriously degraded
		Clearly stated	accomplishment.	mission
		decisions and		accomplishment or
		ensured they were		safety of flight.
		understood.		

I				
Т			Grading Criteria	
E				
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
22	Task Management	Correctly prioritized and managed multiple tasks based on existing and new information that assured mission success.	Made minor errors in prioritization or management of tasks that did not affect safe or effective mission accomplishment.	Incorrectly prioritized or managed tasks that seriously degraded mission accomplishment or safety of flight.
23	Debriefing and Critique	Thoroughly debriefed applicable portions of the mission. Compared mission results with briefed objectives and debriefed deviations. Offered corrective guidance as appropriate.	Performed a limited debriefing. Did not thoroughly discuss performance in relationship to mission objectives. Did not debrief all deviations.	Did not debrief mission deviations or offer corrective guidance.
24	Emergency Procedures	Displayed correct, immediate response to BOLDFACE and non-BOLDFACE emergency situations. Effectively used checklist.	Response to BOLDFACE emergencies was correct. Response to certain areas of non-BOLDFACE emergencies or follow-on steps to BOLDFACE procedures was slow or confused. Used the checklist, but slow to locate required data.	Incorrect response for BOLDFACE emergency. Unable to analyze problems or take corrective action. Did not use checklist or lacked acceptable familiarity with its arrangement or contents.

I T			Grading Criteria	
E			_	
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
25	General Knowledge: a. Aircraft General	Demonstrated thorough knowledge of aircraft systems, limitations, and performance characteristics.	Knowledge of aircraft systems, limitations, and performance characteristics sufficient to perform the mission safely. Demonstrated deficiencies either in depth of knowledge or comprehension.	Demonstrated unsatisfactory knowledge of aircraft systems, limitations, or performance characteristics.
	b. Flight Rules and Procedures	Thorough knowledge of flight rules and procedures.	Deficiencies in depth of knowledge.	Inadequate knowledge of flight rules and procedures.
	c. Weapons, Tactics, and Threats (if applicable)	Thorough knowledge of all aircraft weapons systems, weapons effects, tactics, and threats applicable to the unit mission.	Deficiencies in depth of knowledge or comprehension of weapons systems, weapons effects, tactics, and threat knowledge that would not prevent successful mission accomplishment.	Insufficient knowledge of weapons, tactics, and threat contributed to ineffective mission accomplishment.
	d. Local Area Procedures	Thorough knowledge of local procedures.	Limited knowledge of local procedures.	Inadequate knowledge of local procedures.

				1
T			Grading Criteria	
Е				
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
26	Interpretation	Demonstrated satisfactory knowledge of basic instrument procedures, inflight penetration, and approach procedures. Quickly analyzed flight instruments, determined aircraft attitude, and was knowledgeable of required action to correct the aircraft to level flight. Effectively monitored energy levels to ensure parameters were	Demonstrated limited knowledge of instrument procedures. Slow to recognize aircraft attitudes and corrective actions required, but able to determine proper corrections.	Displayed faulty or insufficient knowledge of instrument procedures. Unable to properly interpret instruments or recognize aircraft attitude.
27	In-flight Computations	not exceeded. Timely and accurate based on flight conditions.	Slow to compute necessary in-flight computations. Only minor errors were made.	In-flight computations omitted where necessary for the safe conduct of the mission. Large errors made.

I				
Т			Grading Criteria	
E M	Area	Q	Q-	U
28	Instruction a. Briefing and Debriefing	Presented a comprehensive prebriefing to include mission and training objectives and sortie overview. Properly debriefed the mission and all training objectives. Properly assessed and debriefed sortie focus points while appropriately managing student's time. Made appropriate use of training aids.	Minor errors or omissions in briefing, debriefing, or mission critique. Was occasionally unclear in analysis of events or maneuvers.	Made major errors or omissions in briefing or debriefing. Analysis of events or maneuvers was incomplete, inaccurate, or confusing and did not lend to effectively identify or correct the root cause(s) of the student's errors. Poor use of training aids or reference material. Debrief failed to effectively manage student's time.
	b. Demonstration of Maneuvers	Performed required maneuvers within prescribed parameters. Provided concise, meaningful inflight commentary. Demonstrated excellent instructor proficiency.	Performed required maneuvers with minor deviations from prescribed parameters. Inflight commentary was sometimes unclear.	Was unable to properly perform required maneuvers. Made major procedural errors. Did not provide in-flight commentary. Demonstrated below average instructor proficiency.

		<u> </u>		1
l T			Grading Criteria	
Е				
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
	c. Instructor	Demonstrated in	Deficiencies in	Unfamiliar with
	Knowledge	depth knowledge	depth of	procedures,
		of procedures,	knowledge,	requirements,
		requirements,	comprehension of	aircraft systems or
		aircraft systems or	procedures,	performance
		performance	requirements,	characteristics,
		characteristics,	aircraft systems or	mission, or tactics.
		mission, and	performance	Lack of knowledge
		tactics.	characteristics,	in certain areas
			mission, or tactics.	seriously detracted
				from instructor
				effectiveness.
	d. Ability to	Demonstrated	Problems in	Demonstrated
	Instruct	excellent instructor	communication or	inadequate ability
		or evaluator	analysis degraded	to instruct or
		ability. Clearly	effectiveness of	evaluate. Unable
		defined all mission	instruction or	to perform, teach,
		requirements and	evaluation.	or assess
		any required		techniques,
		additional training		procedures,
		or corrective		systems use, or
		action. Instruction		tactics. Did not
		or evaluation was		remain aware of
		accurate, effective,		aircraft or mission
		and timely. Was		situation at all
		completely aware		times.
		of aircraft or		
		mission situation		
		at all times.		

1				
T			Grading Criteria	
E			2.2.2	
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
	e. Grading	Completed	Minor errors or	Did not complete
	Practices	appropriate	omissions in	required forms or
		training or	training or	records.
		evaluation records	evaluation	Comments were
		accurately.	records.	invalid, unclear, or
		Adequately	Comments were	did not accurately
		assessed and	incomplete or	document
		recorded	slightly unclear.	performance.
		performance.		
		Comments were		
		clear and		
		pertinent.		
29	Traffic Pattern	Recognized	Delayed recovery	Failed to recognize
	Stalls	approach-to-stall	beyond the definite	approach-to-stall
		indications and	increase in buffet	indications.
		recovered properly. Recovered to level	intensity. Late to recognize	Misapplied flight control and throttle
		flight without	secondary stall or	inputs in a manner
		excessive altitude	recover from	that aggravated the
		loss. Recognized	secondary stall.	stalled condition
		secondary stall, if	j	and resulted in
		entered, and		excessive altitude
		recovered properly.		loss. Exceeded
				aircraft limits.
30	Nose-Low	Used correct flight	Slow to analyze	Exceeded Q-
	Recovery	references and	attitude or erratic	criteria. Exceeded
		procedures to	in recovery to level	aircraft limits.
		recover to level	flight. Slow to	
		flight expeditiously	recognize or use	
		in accordance with	the proper power	
		the procedures	setting.	
24	Noco High	manual.	Clove to analyze	Eveneded O
31	Nose-High	Used correct flight references and	Slow to analyze	Exceeded Q-
	Recovery		attitude or erratic	criteria. Exceeded aircraft limits.
		procedures to recover to level	in recovery to level	ancian illins.
		flight expeditiously	flight. Slow to recognize or use	
		in accordance with	the proper power	
		the procedures	setting and	
		manual.	configuration.	
<u></u>		manual.	comiguration.	

ı				
T			Grading Criteria	
E				
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
32	Aircraft Handling	Aircraft control	Aircraft control	Aircraft control
	(in accordance	during maneuvers	during maneuvers	erratic. Aircraft
	with the	was positive and	not always smooth	handling caused
	procedures	smooth.	and positive, but	unsatisfactory
	manual)	Maneuvers	adequate. Minor	accomplishment of
	-	performed	procedure	maneuvers.
		according to	deviations or lack	Exceeded Q-
		directives and	of full	criteria. Failed to
		appropriate to the	consideration for	consider the
		situation or	the tactical	tactical situation.
		environment.	situation.	Temporary loss of
		Adhered to	Slow flight:	aircraft control.
		established	Airspeed <u>+</u> 10	
		procedures.	knots of desired	
		Slow flight:	airspeed.	
		Airspeed -3 to +5		
		knots of desired		
		airspeed.		
33	Aerobatics (in	Attained briefed	Entry parameters	Significantly
	accordance with	entry parameters	not met and	missed entry
	the procedures	prior to beginning	energy levels not	parameters.
	manual)	the maneuver.	adequate to	Maneuvers not
		Aircraft control	properly	flown according to
		during maneuvers	accomplish	procedure manual
		was positive and	maneuver.	descriptions.
		smooth.	Aircraft control	Maneuver aircraft
		Maneuvers were	during maneuvers	control erratic.
		flown according to	adequate, but not	Aircraft handling
		procedures manual	smooth and	caused
		descriptions.	positive. Minor	unsatisfactory
			procedural deviations	accomplishment of
			occurred.	maneuvers.
34	Letdown and	Performed	Minor deviations	Failed to comply
)4	Traffic Entry	letdown as	in airspeed and	with published
	Traine Linery	published or	navigation	directed letdown
		directed and	occurred during	instructions or
		complied with all	completion of	directives.
		restrictions.	letdown.	an conves.
<u> </u>		1 230 100013.	ICCOOVII.	

I T E			Grading Criteria	
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
35	Normal Pattern and Landing (Overhead)	Performed patterns and landings in accordance with procedures and techniques outlined in the flight manual, operational procedures, and local directives. Aircraft control was smooth and positive. Accurately aligned with runway. Airspeed on final: -0 to +10 knots, and no slower than on speed AOA. Touchdown point: 150 feet to 1,000 feet from the runway threshold.	Performed patterns and landings with minor deviations to procedures and techniques outlined in the flight manual, operational procedures and local directives. Aircraft control was not consistently smooth, but safe. Alignment with runway varied. Touchdown point: 0 feet to 149 feet or 1,001 feet to 1500 feet from the runway threshold but safely allowed for stopping on available runway.	Approaches not performed in accordance with procedures and techniques outlined in the flight manual, operational procedures and local directives. Erratic aircraft control. Large deviations in runway alignment. Touchdown point exceeded Q-criteria and did not or would not allow for stopping on available runway.

I T E			Grading Criteria	
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
36	Normal Approach and Landing (Straight-in)	Performed patterns and landings in accordance with procedures and techniques outlined in the flight manual, operational procedures, and local directives. Aircraft control was smooth and positive. Accurately aligned with runway. Airspeed on final: -0 to +10 knots, and no slower than on speed AOA. Touchdown point: 150 feet to 1,000 feet from the runway threshold.	Performed patterns and landings with minor deviations to procedures and techniques outlined in the flight manual, operational procedures and local directives. Aircraft control was not consistently smooth, but safe. Alignment with runway varied. Touchdown point: 0 feet to 149 feet or 1,001 feet to 1500 feet from the runway threshold but safely allowed for stopping on available runway.	Approaches not performed in accordance with procedures and techniques outlined in the flight manual, operational procedures and local directives. Erratic aircraft control. Large deviations in runway alignment. Touchdown point exceeded Q-criteria and did not or would not allow for stopping on available runway.

I				
Т			Grading Criteria	
E M	Area	Q	Q-	U
37	Emergency Traffic	Complied with all	Minor procedural	Did not comply
37	Pattern (Prior to configuration. Includes simulated SE, varied flap settings, as appropriate.)	flight manual and operational procedures. Maintained safe maneuvering airspeed or AOA. Flew approach compatible with the situation. Adjusted approach for type of emergency simulated.	errors. Erratic airspeed or AOA control. Errors did not detract from safe handling of the situation.	with applicable procedures. Erratic airspeed or AOA control compounded problems associated with the emergency. Flew an approach that was incompatible with the simulated emergency. Did not adjust approach for simulated
				emergency.
38	SE Approach and Landing	Used sound judgment. Configured at the appropriate position or altitude. Flew final based on recommended procedures, airspeed or AOA, and glidepath. Smooth, positive control of aircraft. Touchdown point and speed was according to applicable guidance and permitted safe stopping in available runway.	Safety not compromised. Configured at a position and altitude that allowed for a safe approach. Could have landed safely with the following deviations: Minor deviations from recommended procedures, airspeed or AOA, and altitudes. Unnecessary maneuvering due to minor errors in planning or judgment.	Judgment unsafe. Major deviations from recommended procedures, airspeed or AOA, and altitudes. Required excessive maneuvering. Could not have landed safely. Touchdown point and speed was not according to applicable guidance and would not allow for safe stopping on available runway.

l			Grading Criteria	
Т			Stading Critchia	
E M	Area	Q	Q-	U
39	NF Pattern and	Used sound	Safety not	Judgment unsafe.
	Landing	judgment.	compromised.	Major deviations
	(Overhead)	Configured at the	Configured at a	from
	(overnedd)	appropriate	position and	recommended
		position or altitude.	altitude that	procedures,
		Flew final based on	allowed for a safe	airspeed or AOA,
		recommended	approach. Could	and altitudes.
		procedures,	have landed safely	Required excessive
		airspeed, or AOA,	with the following	maneuvering.
		and glidepath.	deviations:	Could not have
		Smooth, positive	Minor deviations	landed safely.
		control of aircraft.	from	Touchdown point
		Touchdown point	recommended	and speed was not
		and speed was	procedures,	according to
		according to	airspeed, or AOA,	applicable
		applicable	and altitudes.	guidance and
		guidance.	Unnecessary	would not allow
			maneuvering due to minor errors in	for safe stopping on available
			planning or	runway.
			judgment.	runway.
40	NF Approach and	Used sound	Safety not	Judgment unsafe.
	Landing (Straight-	judgment.	compromised.	Major deviations
	in)	Configured at the	Configured at a	from
	,	appropriate	position and	recommended
		position or altitude.	altitude that	procedures,
		Flew final based on	allowed for a safe	airspeed or AOA,
		recommended	approach. Could	and altitudes.
		procedures,	have landed safely	Required excessive
		airspeed, or AOA,	with the following	maneuvering.
		and glide path.	deviations: Minor	Could not have
		Smooth, positive	deviations from	landed safely.
		control of aircraft.	recommended	Touchdown point
		Touchdown point and speed was	procedures, airspeed, or AOA,	and speed was not according to
		and speed was according to	and altitudes.	according to applicable
		applicable	Unnecessary	guidance and
		guidance.	maneuvering due	would not allow
		Suiduiice.	to minor errors in	for safe stopping
			planning or	on available
			judgment.	runway.
		I	J	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

I T			Grading Criteria	
E				
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
41	Go-Around (From a Normal or Emergency Approach)	Initiated in a timely manner and performed go-around in accordance with procedures and techniques outlined in the flight manual, operational procedures, and local directives.	Slow to self- initiate go-around or performed go- around with minor deviations to procedures and techniques outlined in the flight manual, operational procedures, and	Did not self-initiate go-around when appropriate or directed. Techniques unsafe or applied incorrect procedures.
42	Closed Traffic	Minimum of 240 knots for start of pull up. Minimum of 200 knots during pull up. Inside down-wind gear limiting airspeed to computed final turn airspeed. Rolled out at overhead pattern altitude ±100 feet. Complied with published directives.	local directives. Airspeed: Pattern and initial same as Q- basic aircraft control. Altitude: pattern and closed pullup ±200 feet.	Exceeded Q-criteria.
43	Breakout and Reentry	Complied with all flight manual and operational procedures. Maintained safe maneuvering airspeed or AOA and altitude.	Minor procedural errors. Erratic airspeed or AOA and altitude control. Errors did not detract from safe handling of the situation.	Did not comply with applicable procedures. Erratic airspeed or AOA and altitude control compromised safety.

		<u> </u>		
l T		Grading Criteria		
E			<u> </u>	
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
44	Instrument Climb	Aircraft control	Aircraft control	Aircraft control
	or Descent	during instrument	during instrument	erratic during
		climb or descent	climb or descent	instrument climb
		was positive and	not always smooth	or descent.
		smooth.	and positive, but	Exceeded Q-
		Performed	adequate. Minor	criteria.
		according to	procedure	Temporary loss of
		directives and	deviations.	aircraft control.
		appropriate to the		
		situation or		
		environment.		
45	Vertical S	Vertical velocity:	Vertical velocity:	Exceeded Q-
		<u>+</u> 400 feet,	<u>+</u> 500 feet,	criteria.
		airspeed: <u>+</u> 20	airspeed: <u>+</u> 30	
		knots, level off or	knots, level off or	
		change of	change of	
		direction: <u>+</u> 200	direction: ±300	
		feet.	feet.	
46	Steep Turns	Altitude: <u>+</u> 200 feet,	Altitude: <u>+</u> 300	Exceeded Q-
		airspeed: <u>+</u> 20	feet, airspeed: <u>+</u> 30	criteria.
		knots, rollout	knots, rollout	
		heading within 10	heading within 20	
		degrees.	degrees.	
47	Unusual Attitudes:	6		
	a. Recovery (Pilot)	Smooth, positive	Slow to analyze	Unable to
		recovery to level	attitude, or erratic	determine
		flight with correct	in recovery to level	attitude. Improper
		recovery	flight. Correct	recovery
		procedures in	recovery	procedures used.
		accordance with	procedures used.	
		AFMAN 11-217,		
		Flight Operations.		

I			Cup din a Cuit quia	
Т			Grading Criteria	
E				
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
	b. Recognition (WSO)	Demonstrated satisfactory knowledge of basic instrument procedures, inflight penetration and approach procedures. Quickly analyzed flight instruments, determined aircraft attitude, and was knowledgeable of required action to correct the aircraft to level flight. Effectively monitored energy	Demonstrated limited knowledge of instrument procedures. Slow to recognize aircraft attitudes and corrective actions required, but able to determine proper corrections.	Displayed faulty or insufficient knowledge of instrument procedures. Unable to properly interpret instruments or recognize aircraft attitude.
		levels to ensure parameters were not exceeded.		
48	Confidence Maneuvers (in accordance with Procedures Manual)	Aircraft control during maneuvers was positive and smooth. Maneuvers performed according to directives.	Aircraft control during maneuvers not always smooth and positive, but adequate. Minor procedure deviations.	Aircraft control erratic. Aircraft handling caused unsatisfactory accomplishment of maneuver. Exceeded Q-criteria.
49	Fix-to-Fix (Simulator)	Small infrequent heading changes, positioned aircraft within 3 miles of desired fix.	Frequent or large heading changes, reached fix within 5 miles.	Exceeded Q- criteria.

I				
Т			Grading Criteria	I
E	_		_	
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
50	Holding	Performed entry and holding as	Holding pattern limit exceeded by	Holding entry or pattern was not as
		cleared. Holding	not more than ±20	cleared. Exceeded
		pattern limit	seconds or ±3 NM.	criteria for Q- or
		exceeded by not	Met expected	holding pattern
		more than <u>+</u> 15	approach	limits.
		seconds or <u>+</u> 2 NM.	clearance <u>+</u> 3	
		Met expected	minutes (if	
		approach	assigned).	
		clearance <u>+</u> 2 minutes (if		
		assigned).		
51	Published	Performed the	Performed the	Performed the
	Approach	procedure as	procedure with	procedure with
	Procedure (Initial	published or	minor deviations.	major deviations.
	Approach Fix to	directed and	Complied with all	Erratic corrections.
	Final Approach Fix/Descent Point)	according to applicable flight	restrictions. Slow to make	
	Thy Descent Follity	manuals.	corrections.	
		Complied with all		
		restrictions. Made		
		smooth and timely		
		corrections.		
52	En Route Descent	Performed descent	Performed	Performed descent
عد ا	(Including	as directed,	descent as	with major
	Standard Terminal	complied with all	directed with	deviations.
	Arrivals)	restrictions.	minor deviations.	
53	Course or Arc	Established a valid	Slow to establish a	Did not establish a
	Interception	arc or radial	valid intercept.	valid intercept.
		intercept.	Performed course	Would have
		Performed course	or arc	deviated from
		or arc	interceptions in accordance with	clearance.
		interceptions in accordance with	clearance with	
		clearance.	minor deviations.	
54	Maintaining	See Table 1.1.	See Table 1.1.	See Table 1.1.
	Course or Arc			

I T			Grading Criteria	
Е				
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
55	Precision	Performed procedures as	Performed procedures with	Performed procedures with
	Approach (Instrument	•	minor deviations.	•
	`	published and	Slow to make	major deviations. Erratic corrections.
	landing system	according to flight manual. Made	corrections or	Exceeded Q- limits.
	(ILS) or precision	smooth and timely	initiate	-
	approach radar (PAR) (Note:	corrections to	procedures.	Did not comply with decision
	Localizer type	azimuth and glide	Position would	height or position
	directional aid	slope. Complied	have permitted a	at decision height
	(LDA) With Glide	with decision	safe landing. Slow	would not have
	Slope and	altitude and	to correct to	permitted a safe
	LVAV/VNAV will	position would	proper/briefed	landing.
	use ILS criteria)	have permitted a	AOA. Airspeed: -5	
	,	safe landing.	to +15 knots.	
		Maintained proper	Initiated missed	
		or briefed AOA.	approach (if	
		Airspeed: 0 to +10	applicable) at	
		knots.	decision altitude, -	
		ILS: Glideslope and	0 to +50 feet.	
		azimuth within one	ILS: Glideslope	
		dot.	within one dot low	
		PAR: Maintained	or two dots high.	
		glidepath with only	Azimuth within	
		minor deviations.	two dots.	
		Heading within 5	PAR: Heading	
		degrees of	within 10 degrees	
		controller	of controller	
		instruction.	instruction.	

T			Grading Criteria	
E				
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
56	Non-precision Approach (VOR, Localizer (LOC), LDA, TACAN, Approach surveillance radar (ASR), or Global positioning system (GPS)	Adhered to all published or directed procedures and restrictions. Used appropriate descent rate to arrive at minimum descent altitude (MDA) (+100 to -0 feet) at or before visual descent point or missed approach point (MAP). Position would have permitted a safe landing. Maintained proper or briefed AOA. Airspeed: 0 to +10 knots. VOR/TACAN: Course ±5 degrees at MAP. LOC/LDA/LNAV: Course Deviation Indicator less than one dot deflection. ASR: Heading ±5 degrees of controller instruction.	Performed approach with minor deviations. Arrived at MDA (+150 to -50 feet) at or before the MAP, but past the visual descent point. Position would have permitted a safe landing. Slow to correct to proper or briefed AOA. Airspeed - 5 to + 15 knots. VOR/TACAN: Course ±10 degrees at MAP. LOC/LDA/LNAV: Course Deviation Indicator within two dots deflection. ASR: Heading ±10 degrees of controller instruction.	Did not comply with published or directed procedures or restrictions. Exceeded Q- limits. Maintained steady-state flight below the MDA, even though the 50-foot below MDA limit was not exceeded. Could not land safely from the approach. (Note: The 50-foot below MDA tolerance applies only to momentary excursions.)

ı				
' T			Grading Criteria	
E			_	
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
57	Circling Approach	Performed circling approach according to procedures and techniques outlined in the flight manual and AFMAN 11-217. Aircraft control was positive and smooth. Proper runway alignment.	Performed circling approach with minor deviations to procedures and techniques outlined in the flight manual and AFMAN 11-217. Aircraft control was not consistently smooth, but safe. Runway alignment varied, but goaround not required.	Circling approach not performed according to procedures and techniques outlined in the flight manual and AFMAN 11-217. Erratic aircraft control. Large deviations in runway alignment required goaround.
58	Missed Approach	Executed missed approach as published directed. Completed all procedures according to flight manual.	Executed missed approach with minor deviations. Slow to comply with published procedures, controller's instructions, or flight manual procedures.	Executed missed approach with major deviations or did not comply with applicable directives.
59	Transition to Landing	Timely and appropriate transition based on altitude and distance that the runway environment was visually acquired. Smoothly transitioned to the landing phase.	Slow transition to the landing phase. Excessive power and pitch inputs resulted in a long or short landing.	Late transition to the landing phase. Excessive power and pitch inputs resulted in an excessively long or short landing. Unable to land out of the approach.

ı				
T			Grading Criteria	
E				
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
60	Position Change	Lead change was decisive and correctly completed according to directives.	Lead change was inefficient or resulted in confusion over flight leadership responsibilities.	Excessive time was taken to accomplish lead change. Procedure was not conducted according to directives.
61	Visual Signals	Were according to AFPAM 11-205, Aircrew Quick Reference to Aircraft Cockpit and Formation Flight Signals and the procedures manual. Clearly visible to wingman.	Were according to AFPAM 11-205 and the procedures manual, but not clearly visible to wingman.	Not according to AFPAM 11-205 and the procedures manual, or not recognizable to wingman.
62	Fingertip (Lead)	Smoothly led fingertip formation maneuvering up to 3 Gs and 90 degrees of bank. Complied with maneuvers manual descriptions.	Occasionally rough on controls. Not unsafe, but resulted in difficulty for wingman to maintain position. Did not always plan ahead and (or) hesitated in making decisions. Complied with maneuvers manual descriptions.	Aircraft control resulted in a wingman not able to maintain position. Exceeded maneuver limitations.

I T			Grading Criteria	
E				
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
63	Echelon (Lead)	Smoothly led echelon formation. Complied with maneuvers manual descriptions.	Aircraft control resulted in difficulty for wingman to maintain position. Complied with	Aircraft control resulted in a wingman not able to maintain position.
			maneuvers manual descriptions.	
64	Close Trail (Lead)	Smoothly led close trail formation. Complied with maneuvers manual descriptions.	Aircraft control resulted in difficulty for wingman to maintain position. Complied with maneuvers manual descriptions.	Aircraft control resulted in a wingman not able to maintain position. Exceeded maneuver limitations.
65	Extended Trail (Lead)	Smoothly led extended trail formation. Complied with maneuvers manual descriptions.	Aircraft control resulted in difficulty for wingman to maintain position. Complied with maneuvers manual descriptions.	Aircraft control resulted in a wingman not able to maintain position. Exceeded maneuver limitations.

I				
Т			Grading Criteria	
E				
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
66	Fluid Maneuvering	Smoothly	Limited flight	Exceeded Q-
	(Lead)	accomplished to	management. In-	criteria.
		Level 3 profile	flight decisions	
		according to the	delayed mission	
		maneuvers	accomplishment	
		manual.	or degraded	
		Monitored	training.	
		wingman's	Occasionally rough	
		position.	on controls. Not	
			unsafe, but	
			resulted in	
			difficulty for	
			wingman to	
			maintain position.	
			Did not always	
			plan ahead and	
			(or) hesitated in	
			making decisions.	
			Some minor	
			deviations	
			occurred.	
67	Tactical (Lead)	Efficiently led	Required extended	Unable to
		formation to	maneuvering to	accomplish tactical
		accomplish tactical	accomplish tactical	objectives or
		objectives.	objectives. Made	required wingman
		Optimized tactical	minor errors in	to maneuver
		advantage.	deconfliction	excessively to
		Complied with	responsibilities,	maintain position.
		deconfliction	but did not	Made major errors
		responsibilities.	compromise	in deconfliction
			safety.	responsibilities.

' T			Grading Criteria	
E				
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
68	Pitchout (Lead)	Correctly positioned	Aircraft control resulted in	Aircraft control resulted in a
		wingmen prior to maneuver. Smoothly controlled aircraft. Complied with maneuvers manual	difficulty for wingmen to establish spacing. Complied with maneuvers manual	wingman not able to establish spacing.
		descriptions.	descriptions.	
69	Rejoin (Turning or Straight) (Lead)	Complied with maneuvers manual descriptions. Directed an overshoot or breakout, if required, in a timely manner.	Complied with maneuvers manual descriptions. Slow to direct an overshoot or breakout, if required.	Erratic aircraft control significantly delayed the rejoin. Did not direct an overshoot or breakout, when required.
70	Formation Approach and Landing (Lead)	Smooth on controls and considered wingman. Complied with formation landing procedures. Flew approach as published or directed.	Occasionally rough on the controls. Not unsafe, but made it difficult for wingman to maintain position. Some procedural deviations. Slow to comply with published procedures.	Did not monitor wingman's position or configuration. Rough on the controls. No consideration for wingman. Placed wingman in unsafe situation. Major deviations in procedures. Did not fly approach as published or directed. Flight could not land from approach.

I T			Grading Criteria	
E				
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
71	Formation Takeoff (Wing)	Lined up with adequate wingtip clearance and nose-tail separation, when required. Smoothly maintained formation takeoff position through gear retraction. Applied power within the afterburner range.	Lined up with adequate wingtip clearance and nose-tail separation, when required. Maintained formation takeoff position through gear retraction with minor deviations. Applied power within the afterburner range.	Lined up with wingtip clearance less than 10 feet (50 feet for solo) or too wide for a safe takeoff, or less than nose-tail separation, when required. Erratic control resulted in unsafe position or early termination of afterburner.
72	Fingertip (Wing)	Maintained wingtip separation within + 7 feet, within ±4 feet vertically, and within ±4 feet longitudinally with smooth positive control inputs.	Occasionally exceeded Q criteria. Varied position considerably or occasionally over- controlled the aircraft. Some procedural deviations.	Consistently exceeded Q criteria. Did not maintain safe separation or made abrupt position corrections.
73	Echelon (Wing)	Maintained wingtip separation within +7 feet, within ±4 feet vertically, and within ±4 feet longitudinally with smooth positive control inputs.	Occasionally exceeded Q criteria. Varied position considerably or occasionally overcontrolled the aircraft.	Did not maintain safe separation or made only abrupt position corrections.

 			Grading Criteria	
T E			Grading Criteria	
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
74	Route (Wing)	Maintained	Varied position	Did not maintain
		appropriate	considerably or	safe separation or
		position according	occasionally over-	made only abrupt
		to other duties and	controlled the	position
		in accordance with	aircraft. Position	corrections.
		the procedures	did not	Erratic or poor
		manual with	accommodate all	position did not
		smooth positive	other duties.	allow for other
		control inputs.		duties.
75	Crossunder (Wing)	Expeditiously	Moved to the new	Did not maintain
		moved to the new	position with at	safe separation or
		position with at	least nose-tail	consider
		least nose-tail	separation, but	movement of
		separation.	slow to accomplish	other aircraft.
		Smoothly made	maneuver or make	
		allowances for	allowances for	
		other aircraft to	other aircraft to	
		change position.	change position.	
76	Close Trail (Wing)	Maintained	Varied position	Did not maintain
		position in	considerably or	safe separation or
		accordance with	occasionally over-	made only abrupt
		the procedures	controlled the	position
		manual with	aircraft.	corrections.
		smooth positive		
		control inputs.		
77	Extended Trail	Maintained	Varied position	Did not maintain
	(Wing)	position in	considerably or	safe separation or
		accordance with	occasionally over-	made only abrupt
		the procedures	controlled the	position
		manual with	aircraft. Was slow	corrections. Could
		smooth positive	to accomplish other duties and	not accomplish other duties and
		control inputs.		
		Expeditiously accomplished	responsibilities while	responsibilities while
		other duties and	maneuvering.	maneuvering.
		responsibilities	maneuvering.	maneuvering.
		while		
		maneuvering.		
		maneuvering.		

T			Grading Criteria	
Е				
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
78	Pitchout (Wing)	Smoothly controlled aircraft to establish briefed spacing in accordance with the procedures manual.	Established briefed spacing with minor procedural errors.	Was unable to establish briefed spacing or made major procedural errors.
79	Rejoin (Wing) (Includes Turning or Straight, and Rejoins to the Number 2, 3, or 4 Position)	Safely and efficiently controlled overtake and geometry. Maintained appropriate closure and required spacing from other formation members.	Safely controlled overtake and geometry with unnecessary stagnation. Maintained required spacing from other formation members.	Erratic aircraft control or major procedural errors excessively delayed rejoin or resulted in less than safe separation with other formation members.
80	Overshoot (Wing)	Safely and efficiently dissipated excessive airspeed and overtake while maintaining required spacing from other formation members. Completed overshoot in a timely manner.	Safely dissipated excessive airspeed and overtake while maintaining required spacing from other formation members. Minor procedural errors delayed completion of overshoot.	Did not maintain safe separation with other formation members. Flew higher than route echelon. Major procedural errors excessively delayed completion of overshoot.

			Grading Criteria	
T			Grading Criteria	
E M	Area	Q	Q-	U
81	Tactical (Wing)	Maintained position in accordance with the procedures manual. Expeditiously accomplished other duties and responsibilities while maneuvering.	Varied position considerably and was slow to initiate corrections to proper position. Was slow to accomplish other duties and responsibilities while maneuvering.	Major procedural errors resulted in excessive deviations from position. Could not accomplish other duties and responsibilities while maneuvering.
82	Tactical Rejoin (Wing) (Includes Turning or Straight, and Rejoins to the Number 2, 3, or 4 Position)	Smoothly and efficiently rejoined to correct position.	Slow to rejoin.	Excessive maneuvering or major procedural errors delayed rejoin.
83	Fluid Maneuvering (Wing)	Smoothly and efficiently solved problems of range, closure, aspect, angle-off, and turning room with a maneuvering lead aircraft. Corrected position in a timely manner while maintaining sight of the lead aircraft. Expeditiously accomplished other duties and responsibilities while maneuvering.	Was slow to solve problems of range, closure, aspect, angle-off, and turning room with a maneuvering lead aircraft. Over-controlled some corrections. Was slow to accomplish other duties and responsibilities while maneuvering.	Major procedural errors resulted in excessive deviations from position. Could not accomplish other duties and responsibilities while maneuvering.

T			Grading Criteria	
E				
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
84	Fighting Wing (Wing)	Maintained position in accordance with the procedures manual with smooth positive control inputs. Expeditiously accomplished other duties and responsibilities while maneuvering.	Varied position considerably and was slow to initiate corrections to proper position. Was slow to accomplish other duties and responsibilities while maneuvering.	Major procedural errors resulted in excessive deviations from position. Could not accomplish other duties and responsibilities while maneuvering.
85	Breakout (Wing)	Broke out in a timely manner and expeditiously established safe separation.	Slow to break out and established safe separation.	Did not recognize the requirement to break out or effectively establish safe separation.
86	Formation Approach and Landing (Wing)	Maintained position with only momentary deviations. Smooth and immediate corrections. Maintained safe separation and complied with procedures and lead's instructions.	Varied position considerably. Over-controlled.	Abrupt position corrections. Did not maintain safe separation. Unsafe wing position and (or) procedural deviations.

 			Grading Criteria	
T E			Grading Criteria	
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
87	Route Entry	Identified the	Was slow to	Exceeded Q-
		defined route start point, established aircraft or formation within the defined route or area, and smoothly adjusted airspeed for low-level operations. Started the route within published timing constraints or local procedures.	identify the route start point, or established aircraft or formation within the defined route or area. Had minor deviations in adjusting airspeed for low-level operations. Started the route within published timing constraints or local procedures.	criteria.
88	Altitude Control	Maintained safe or authorized minimum altitude to +500 feet and within defined route altitudes. Smoothly adjusted altitude when required.	Maintained safe or authorized minimum altitude to +1000 feet except and within defined route altitudes unless obstacles or safety dictated. Was slow to adjust altitude when required.	Exceeded Q-criteria.
89	Time Control	Arrived within <u>+</u> 1 minute of TOT.	Arrived within <u>+</u> 2 minutes of TOT.	Exceeded Q- criteria.
90	Course Control (RNAV or Visual)	Remained within the established route corridor or area. See Table 1.1.	Remained within the established route corridor or area. See Table 1.1.	Exceeded Q- criteria.

I				
Т			Grading Criteria	
E		_	_	
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
91	Route Exit	Efficiently coordinated the low-level exit and recovery with outside agencies. Identified the correct route exit point and smoothly adjusted airspeed for route exit.	Slow to coordinate an efficient and expeditious recovery. Slow to identify the route exit point or adjust airspeed for route exit.	Did not successfully coordinate with outside agencies for an efficient and expeditious recovery. Exceeded Q-criteria.
92	Tactical Plan	Well-developed plan included consideration of mission objectives, threat, and capabilities of flight members. Addressed contingencies in development of plan.	Minor omissions in the plan resulted in less-than-optimum achievement of objectives and detracted from mission effectiveness. Planned tactics resulted in unnecessary difficulty.	Major errors in the plan prevented accomplishment of stated objectives.
93	Tactical Execution	Applied tactics consistent with threat, current directives, and good judgment. Executed plan and achieved mission goals. Quickly adapted to changing environment.	Minor deviations from tactical plan that did not result in an ineffective mission. Slow to adapt to changing environment.	Unable to accomplish the mission due to major errors of commission or omission during execution of the plan.

ı				
Т			Grading Criteria	
Е				
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
94	Command and Control (C2) Integration	Effectively integrated AWACS/GCI information into tactical plan when necessary. Requested threat declarations when required. Communicated changes in the tactical situation, weather and threats to C2 agencies.	Slow to integrate AWACS/GCI information into tactical plan when necessary. Slow to request threat declarations. Incomplete communication of changes in the tactical situation, weather and threats to C2 agencies.	Failed to integrate AWACS/GCI information into tactical plan when necessary. Failed to request or did not abide by threat declarations. Inadequate communication of changes in the tactical situation, weather and threats to C2 agencies.
95	Composite Force (CF) Interface	Effectively planned for and used CF assets to enhance mission and achieve objectives.	Minor confusion between CF assets and fighters. Less than optimum use of CF assets which did not affect the fighter's offensive advantage.	Inadequate or incorrect use of CF assets resulted in loss of offensive potential.
96	Mutual Support	Maintained mutual support during entire engagement, thus sustaining an offensive posture and (or) negating all attacks. Adhered to all engaged and support responsibilities.	Mutual support occasionally broke down, resulting in temporary confusion or the loss of an offensive advantage. Demonstrated limited knowledge of engaged and support responsibilities.	Mutual support broke down, resulting in the flight being put in a defensive position from which all attacks were not negated. Demonstrated inadequate knowledge of engaged and support responsibilities.

ı				
T			Grading Criteria	
E				
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
97	Tactical			
	Navigation a. General	Navigated to desired destination and remained geographically oriented during the tactical portion of the mission along the desired route. Altitude and route of flight reflected consideration for enemy threats. Maintained terrain awareness. Complied with established altitude	Deviations from planned route of flight were recognized and corrected. Maintained terrain awareness. Altitude control contributed to exposure to threats for brief periods. Did not optimize terrain masking (if applicable).	Failed to locate desired destination. Deviations from planned route of flight exposed flight to threats. Violated airspace restrictions or altitude minimums. Poor airspeed or altitude control contributed to disorientation. Inadequate terrain awareness. Did not use terrain
		minimums. Adhered to airspace restrictions.		masking (if applicable).
	b. Medium Altitude	Demonstrated satisfactory capability to adjust for deviations in time and course; only minor corrections required.	Medium level course and airspeed control resulted in large corrections. Minor error in procedures or use of navigation equipment.	Failed to recognize checkpoints or adjust for deviations in course. Major errors in procedures or use of navigation equipment.

I T			Grading Criteria	
E				
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
	c. Low Altitude	Demonstrated satisfactory capability to adjust for deviations in time and course; only minor corrections required. Used terrain masking as circumstances allowed. See Table 1.1.	Low-level altitude and airspeed control resulted in large corrections. See Table 1.1.	Failed to recognize checkpoints or adjust for deviations in time and course. Exceeded low-level route boundaries. Did not use terrain masking if available and tactically required. Exceeded Q-parameters. Major errors in procedures or use of navigation equipment. Violated low level regulations or restricted airspace.
98	Ingress	Aware of all known or simulated threats and defenses. Employed effective use of terrain masking and (or) route and altitude selection.	Ignored some of the known or simulated threats and defenses. Improper use of terrain masking and (or) route and altitude selection resulted in unnecessary exposure.	Failed to honor known or simulated threats and defenses, significantly reducing survivability. Failed to employ effective terrain masking and (or) route or altitude threat deconfliction.

1			Crading Critoria	
T			Grading Criteria	
E M	Area	Q	Q-	U
99	Egress	Effectively used evasive maneuvers and terrain masking to complete an expeditious egress from the target area. Flight or element join-up was accomplished as soon as possible without undue exposure to enemy defenses.	Egress contributed to unnecessary exposure to threats and delayed flight joinup and departure from target area.	Egress caused excessive exposure to threats. Flight or element join-up was not accomplished or resulted in excessive exposure to threats.
100	Combat Separation	Adhered to briefed or directed separation procedures. Positive control of flight or element during separation. Maintained mutual support with adversary unable to achieve valid simulated missile/gunfiring parameters.	Minor deviations from briefed or directed separation procedures. Limited control of flight or element during separation. Allowed mutual support to break down intermittently.	Did not adhere to briefed or directed separation procedures to the degree that an emergency fuel condition would have developed if allowed to continue uncorrected. Could not effectively separate from the engagement or could not regain mutual support.
101	Training Rules/ROE	Adhered to and knowledgeable of all training rules or ROE.	Minor deviations. Made timely and positive corrections. Did not jeopardize safety of flight.	Significant deviations indicating a lack of knowledge of training rules or ROE.

I				
Т			Grading Criteria	
E M	Area	Q	Q-	U
102	Threat Reactions	Threat reactions were timely and correct.	Threat reactions were slow or inconsistent.	Numerous threat reactions were omitted or incorrect. Failed to perform maneuvers to counter threat.
103	In-flight Report	Gave accurate, precise in-flight reports in correct format.	Deviated from established procedures/forma t. Completed reports.	Failed to make inflight reports. Unfamiliar with inflight reporting procedures.
104	Weapons System Utilization	Correctly utilized the weapon system to deliver the desired ordnance (actual or simulated). Executed all required procedures to successfully employ the weapon.	Late to prepare the weapon system to deliver the desired ordnance. Minor procedural errors degraded weapons employment.	Did not correctly prepare the weapon system to deliver the desired ordnance. Improper procedures during the attack resulted in unsuccessful weapons delivery.
105	Offensive Maneuvering	Effectively used BFM and air combat maneuvers to attack and counter opposing aircraft. Good aircraft control. Effectively managed energy level during engagements.	Limited proficiency; did not effectively counter opposing aircraft. Occasionally mismanaged energy levels, jeopardizing offensive advantage.	Unsatisfactory knowledge or performance of maneuvers, aircraft handling, or energy management. Lost offensive advantage.

l T		Grading Criteria		
Е				
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
106	Defensive Maneuvering	Performed or directed correct initial move to counter attack of opposing aircraft. Used correct maneuvers to negate the threat.	Some hesitation or confusion during initial stages of counteroffensive or defensive situation. Minor errors in energy management or BFM delayed negating the attack of an opposing aircraft.	Unable to negate or direct maneuvers to negate attack of opposing aircraft.
107	Weapons Employment (Air- to-Air)	Demonstrated proper knowledge of missile or gun firing procedures and attack parameters. Simulated missile or gun firings were accomplished at each opportunity and within designated parameters.	Demonstrated limited knowledge of missile or attack parameters. Simulated employment of weapons was successful, but made minor errors that did not affect overall result. Slow to recognize appropriate parameters.	Demonstrated inadequate knowledge of missile, or gun firing procedures, or attack parameters. Attempts to simulate weapons employment were unsuccessful due to aircrew error. Did not meet Qcriteria.

l T		Grading Criteria		
E				
М	Area	Q	Q-	U
108	Target Acquisition (Air-to-Surface)	Target acquired on the first attack or, if missed due to difficult target identification features, a successful reattack was accomplished. For multiple target scenarios, all targets were acquired on the first attack or with a successful reattack is defined as being within parameters to effectively employ the planned weapons against the target.)	Late to acquire the target, degraded the initial attack or reattack. For multiple target scenarios, 50 percent or more of the targets were acquired on the first attack or with a successful reattack.	Target was not acquired. For multiple target scenarios, less than 50 percent of the targets were acquired on the first attack or with a successful reattack.

ı				
Т			Grading Criteria	
E			_	
M	Area	Q	Q-	U
109	Weapons Employment (Airto-Surface)	Demonstrated complete knowledge of weapons delivery procedures, attack parameters, and weapons computations for the events performed. Able to achieve valid release parameters on 50 percent of all events attempted.	Demonstrated minor errors in knowledge of weapons delivery procedures, attack parameters, or weapons computations for the events performed. Able to achieve valid release parameters on less than 50 percent of all events attempted.	Demonstrated inadequate knowledge of weapons delivery procedures, attack parameters, or weapons computations for the events flown. Failed to deliver ordnance on original attack or reattack due to aircrew error (switch error, navigation error, etc.). Unable to achieve valid release
110	Range Procedures	Used proper procedures for entering and exiting the range. Range operations followed established procedures.	Minor deviations from established procedures for range entry, exit, or operations.	parameters. Major deviations from established procedures for range entry, exit, or operations.
111	Air-to-air Training Exercise	Effectively conducted Air-to- Air Training Exercises in accordance with the procedures manual.	Limited proficiency; did not effectively conduct Air-to-Air Training Exercises in accordance with the procedures manual.	Unsatisfactory knowledge or performance of Air-to-Air Training Exercises in accordance with the procedures manual.

Table 3.2. EPE Criteria. (T-2).

able 3.2. Li L'effetta. (1-2).						
l T		Grading Criteria				
Е						
М	Area	Q	Q-	U		
201	Boldface (Critical)	Displayed correct, immediate response.	N/A – critical item	Incorrect or delayed response.		
202	Non-Boldface EPs	Recognized and analyzed malfunction in a timely manner. Displayed correct, immediate response to emergency situation. Effectively used checklist.	Slow to recognize and/or analyze malfunction. Response to certain required steps in emergency procedures was slow/confused. Used the checklist when appropriate, but slow to locate required data and implement guidance.	Unable to analyze problems or take corrective action. Did not use checklist and/or lacked acceptable familiarity with its arrangement or contents.		
203	Unusual attitude recoveries	Smooth, positive recovery to level flight with correct recovery procedures.	Slow to analyze attitude, or erratic in recovery to level flight. Correct recovery procedures used.	Unable to determine attitude. Improper recovery procedures were used.		

ı					
Т		Grading Criteria			
Е					
М	Area	Q	Q-	U	
204	Approach and use	Performed	Performed	Performed	
	of standby	approach in	approach with	procedures with	
	instruments	accordance with	minor deviations	major deviations	
		directives,	to directives,	to directives,	
		published	published	published	
		procedures and	procedures and	procedures and	
		techniques	techniques	techniques	
		outlined in the	outlined in the	outlined in the	
		flight manual and	flight manual and	flight manual and	
		AFMAN 11-217.	AFMAN 11-217.	AFMAN 11-217.	
		Maintained	Slow to correct to	Failed to attain	
		proper/briefed	proper/briefed	and/or maintain	
		AOA. Maintained	AOA. Did not	proper/briefed	
		desired glide path	always maintain	AOA. Displayed	
		with only minor	desired glide path	erratic glide slope	
		deviations.	control.	control.	
205	Approach at other	Made proper	Slow to make	Failed to make	
	than home field	divert decision and	divert decision	proper divert	
	(alternate or	correctly	and/or slow to	decision and/or	
	divert airfields)	performed initial	correctly perform	correctly perform	
		divert execution	initial divert	initial divert	
		actions.	execution actions.	execution actions.	
206	General	Demonstrated	Knowledge of the	Demonstrated	
	knowledge	thorough	National Airspace	unsatisfactory	
		knowledge of the	System, aircraft	knowledge of the	
		National Airspace	systems,	National Airspace	
		System aircraft	limitations, and	System, aircraft	
		systems,	performance	systems,	
		limitations and	characteristics	limitations or	
		performance	sufficient to	performance	
		characteristics.	perform the	characteristics.	
			mission safely.		
			Demonstrated		
			deficiencies either		
			in depth of		
			knowledge or		
			comprehension.		

MARK D. KELLY, Lt Gen, USAF Deputy Chief of Staff, Operations

Attachment 1

GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION

References

AFI 11-202, V2, Aircrew Standardization and Evaluation Program, 6 December 2018

AFI 11-290, Cockpit/Crew Resource Management Program, 15 October 2012

AFPAM 11-205, Aircrew Quick Reference to Aircraft Cockpit and Formation Flight Signals, 9 August 2018

AFMAN 11-217, Flight Operations, 10 June 2019

AETCTTP 11-1, Employment Fundamentals T-38C/Introduction to Fighter Fundamentals (IFF), 4 September 2009

AETCMAN 11-251, V1, T-38C Flying Fundamentals, 4 April 2017

AFI 33-360, Publications and Forms Management, 1 December 2015

AFI 33-322, Records Management and Information Governance Program, 23 March 2020

Adopted Forms

AF Form 8, Certificate of Aircrew Qualification

AF Form 8a, Certificate of Aircrew Qualification (Multiple Aircraft)

AF Form 847, Recommendation for Change of Publication

Abbreviations and Acronyms

ADAIR—Adversary Pilot

AFI—Air Force instruction

AFMAN—Air Force manual

AOA—angle of attack

ASR—approach surveillance radar

AWACS—airborne warning and control system

BFM—basic fighter maneuver

C2—command and control

CF—composite force

CTP—companion trainer program

CPT—cockpit procedures trainer

DME—distance Measuring Equipment

ENJJPT—Euro-NATO Joint Jet Pilot Training

EPE—emergency procedures evaluation

FE—flight examiner

GCI—ground controlled intercept

GPS—Global positioning system

IFF—introduction to fighter fundamentals

ILS—instrument Landing System

INIT—initial

INSTM—instrument

INSTR—instructor

LDA—localizer type directional aid

LOC—localizer

MAJCOM—major command

MAP—missed approach point

MDA—minimum descent altitude

MSN—mission

NF-no-flap

NM—nautical mile

OPR—office of primary responsibility

PAR—precision approach radar

PIT—pilot instructor training

QUAL—qualification

RCP—rear cockpit

RNAV—terminal area navigation

ROE—rules of engagement

RQ—requalification

SE—single engine

TACAN—tactical air navigation system

TOT—time on target

UPT—undergraduate pilot training

VOR—vhf omnidirectional radio range

WSO—Weapon System Officer

Terms

Airwork—basic proficiency maneuvers including aerobatics, confidence maneuvers, approaches to stalls, BFM, formation maneuvering (fingertip, tactical, trail), and aircraft handling characteristics.

Pilot instructor training—Instructor pilot training conducted under an AETC T-38 PIT or Euro-NATO Joint Jet Pilot Training (ENJJPT) pilot instructor training syllabus.

Undergraduate pilot training—Pilot training conducted under a USAF specialized undergraduate pilot training, ENJJPT, and USAF fixed-wing qualification training syllabus.