



FUDSTOP <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>

ITIO MORGAN CHILDREN, CAUSE NO. 233-765358-25 CL-12105

6 messages

Cooper Carter <coopercarter@majadmin.com>

Thu, Mar 27, 2025 at 6:20 PM

To: "Angie D. Wierzbicki" <ADWierzbicki@tarrantcountytx.gov>

Cc: Charlie Vids <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>

Good Evening,

I have received communication from opposing party who is pro se that he will be walking through an Emergency TRO. Our office has a hearing scheduled for tomorrow morning in Parker County and is unable to attend. However, I will be available by cell phone regarding this matter if the Judge would like to speak to me regarding the Emergency TRO. Please contact our office to patch me in for any calls that Judge would like to have.

Additionally, this case already is pending in the 322nd for a divorce proceeding regarding property and children matters. We will be consolidating the case and walking it through the 322nd for signature next week.

Thank you,

Cooper L. Carter

Attorney at Law

Marx, Altman & Johnson

2905 Lackland Road

Fort Worth, Texas 76116

Tel: (817) 926-6211

Fax: (817) 926-6188

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS CONFIDENTIAL AND PROTECTED FROM DISCLOSURE BY LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING IS PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE (COLLECT) AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA E-MAIL. THANK YOU.

FUDSTOP <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>
To: Cooper Carter <coopercarter@majadmin.com>

Thu, Mar 27, 2025 at 7:03 PM

Court staff,

Ms. Carter's recent correspondence is improper for several reasons, and appears to be an attempt to delay or interfere with proceedings in which she has otherwise failed to meaningfully participate in. The following reasons support this statement:

1. An objection to consolidation is already on file and remains unopposed. It cites controlling Texas precedent. Any suggestion that consolidation is agreed upon or inevitable is misleading. Instead, she should properly file with the court why the consolidation is improper or at the very least argue against Petitioner's position.

2. Ms. Carter has not fulfilled her obligation under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 237a to file a Notice of Remand. Until she does, she is prohibited from proceeding or filing anything in the 322nd District Court as they currently do not have jurisdiction over this matter until this obligation is fulfilled.

3. Her authority to represent the Respondent remains under challenge pursuant to a Rule 12 motion filed September 20, 2024. No hearing has been held, no written statement of authority has been filed, and no ruling has been made. Until resolved, Rule 12 bars her from participating in either proceeding.

4. Ms. Carter has not prosecuted the case in the 322nd District Court in over eight months. This inaction has prejudiced the Petitioner and delayed resolution of urgent matters affecting the children.

5. She has failed to file any objections, responsive pleadings, or legal arguments opposing the relief requested—including the Emergency TRO now pending.

6. Rather than reaching out to Petitioner to resolve any scheduling conflict, Ms. Carter improperly attempted to influence the court by email. This violates the spirit of cooperation required by the rules, particularly where her participation is procedurally barred.

7. Ms. Carter's conduct appears designed to delay relief and subvert the best interest of the children, despite her failure to oppose the requested relief in any meaningful way.

8. She has been provided with full notice of the Emergency TRO, the proposed order, supporting exhibits, and the time and location of presentment. She has no legal basis to subvert Petitioner's due process rights.

In summary, Ms. Carter has not provided anything of substance in either Court, has not prosecuted the case, has not argued on behalf of her client, or followed proper procedure.

Simply labeling the opposition as pro se and claiming that the consolidation will be filed without disclosing the above facts is dishonest and should not be permitted as it will only cause further unnecessary delays to the relief being sought without any substance being provided.

Again, all of these points have been argued in both courts, and it is her duty as counsel to handle these matters in accordance with Texas Law.

These matters should be handled between the parties - not attempt to influence court staff after hours.

The reason we are here in the first place is due to the above unanswered facts. Ms. Carter has had ample time to file an objection, response, or counter argument, but has chosen not to do so.

It would've been far more appropriate for Ms. Carter to have reached out to me directly to discuss scheduling conflicts.

Prior to the latest email, there was no indication Ms. Carter intended to participate at all despite being provided with all relevant materials.

The court should disregard this email correspondence in its entirety for the reasons stated herein as it is highly prejudicial to Petitioner and the children.

Pro se litigants are expected to follow the rules of procedure to the same extent licensed attorneys are.

This email chain should be disregarded in its entirety.

Have a good evening.

Respectfully,
Charles Dustin Myers
Petitioner, Pro Se
[Quoted text hidden]

FUDSTOP <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>

Thu, Mar 27, 2025 at 7:07 PM

To: Cooper Carter <coopercarter@majadmin.com>, "Angie D. Wierzbicki" <ADWierzbicki@tarrantcountytx.gov>

Court staff,

Ms. Carter's recent correspondence is improper for several reasons, and appears to be an attempt to delay or interfere with proceedings in which she has otherwise failed to meaningfully participate in. The following reasons support this statement:

1. An objection to consolidation is already on file and remains unopposed. It cites controlling Texas precedent. Any suggestion that consolidation is agreed upon or inevitable is misleading. Instead, she should properly file with the court why the consolidation is improper or at the very least argue against Petitioner's position.

2. Ms. Carter has not fulfilled her obligation under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 237a to file a Notice of Remand. Until she does, she is prohibited from proceeding or filing anything in the 322nd District Court as they currently do not have jurisdiction over this matter until this obligation is fulfilled.

3. Her authority to represent the Respondent remains under challenge pursuant to a Rule 12 motion filed September 20, 2024. No hearing has been held, no written statement of authority has been filed, and no ruling has been made. Until resolved, Rule 12 bars her from participating in either proceeding.

4. Ms. Carter has not prosecuted the case in the 322nd District Court in over eight months. This inaction has prejudiced the Petitioner and delayed resolution of urgent matters affecting the children.

5. She has failed to file any objections, responsive pleadings, or legal arguments opposing the relief requested—including the Emergency TRO now pending.

6. Rather than reaching out to Petitioner to resolve any scheduling conflict, Ms. Carter improperly attempted to influence the court by email. This violates the spirit of cooperation required by the rules, particularly where her participation is procedurally barred.

7. Ms. Carter's conduct appears designed to delay relief and subvert the best interest of the children, despite her failure to oppose the requested relief in any meaningful way.

8. She has been provided with full notice of the Emergency TRO, the proposed order, supporting exhibits, and the time and location of presentment. She has no legal basis to subvert Petitioner's due process rights.

In summary, Ms. Carter has not provided anything of substance in either Court, has not prosecuted the case, has not argued on behalf of her client, or followed proper procedure.

Simply labeling the opposition as pro se and claiming that the consolidation will be filed without disclosing the above facts is dishonest and should not be permitted as it will only cause further unnecessary delays to the relief being sought without any substance being provided.

Again, all of these points have been argued in both courts, and it is her duty as counsel to handle these matters in accordance with Texas Law.

These matters should be handled between the parties - not attempt to influence court staff after hours.

The reason we are here in the first place is due to the above unanswered facts. Ms. Carter has had ample time to file an objection, response, or counter argument, but has chosen not to do so.

It would've been far more appropriate for Ms. Carter to have reached out to me directly to discuss scheduling conflicts.

Prior to the latest email, there was no indication Ms. Carter intended to participate at all despite being provided with all relevant materials.

The court should disregard this email correspondence in its entirety for the reasons stated herein as it is highly prejudicial to Petitioner and the children.

Pro se litigants are expected to follow the rules of procedure to the same extent licensed attorneys are.

This email chain should be disregarded in its entirety.

Have a good evening.

Respectfully,
Charles Dustin Myers
Petitioner, Pro Se

[Quoted text hidden]

Angie D. Wierzbicki <ADWierzbicki@tarrantcountytx.gov>

Fri, Mar 28, 2025 at 9:15 AM

To: Cooper Carter <coopercarter@majadmin.com>

Cc: Charlie Vids <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>

Good morning,

Mr. Myers appeared before me to schedule the hearing for the TRO; my apologies I did not realize this was that same case. We can go ahead and set the TRO with us, but most likely the case will be transferred prior to the hearing date and the case needs to be transferred prior to that date.

Mr. Myers will be emailing with dates available for the hearing.

Thank you,

Angie D. Wierzbicki

Court Coordinator

233rd Judicial District Court

(817) 884-2686

Tarrant County Family Law Center

200 E. Weatherford St., 5th Floor

Ft. Worth, TX 76196



*****PLEASE NOTE: YOU MUST PROVIDE LOCAL COURT RULE DOCUMENTS IF YOU ARE SET FOR A HEARING IN EITHER COURT. FAILURE TO PROVIDE LOCAL COURT RULE DOCUMENTS COULD RESULT IN THE RESET OF YOUR CASE*****

YOU MUST INCLUDE EVERYONE ON YOUR EMAIL COMMUNICATION. IF YOU FAIL TO INCLUDE OPPOSING COUNSEL OR SELF REPRESENTED LITIGANTS, I WILL NOT RESPOND.

From: Cooper Carter <coopercarter@majadmin.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 27, 2025 6:20 PM
To: Angie D. Wierzbicki <ADWierzbicki@tarrantcountytx.gov>
Cc: 'Charlie Vids' <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>
Subject: ITIO MORGAN CHILDREN, CAUSE NO. 233-765358-25 CL-12105

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

[Quoted text hidden]

Angie D. Wierzbicki <ADWierzbicki@tarrantcountytx.gov>
To: Cooper Carter <coopercarter@majadmin.com>
Cc: Charlie Vids <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 28, 2025 at 9:19 AM

Additionally, since there is an objection to the consolidation, y'all will need to reach out to request how to proceed with the 322nd as I am usure of their procedures.

Thank you,

Angie D. Wierzbicki

Court Coordinator

233rd Judicial District Court

(817) 884-2686

Tarrant County Family Law Center

200 E. Weatherford St., 5th Floor

Ft. Worth, TX 76196



*****PLEASE NOTE: YOU MUST PROVIDE LOCAL COURT RULE DOCUMENTS IF YOU ARE SET FOR A HEARING IN EITHER COURT. FAILURE TO PROVIDE LOCAL COURT RULE DOCUMENTS COULD RESULT IN THE RESET OF YOUR CASE*****

YOU MUST INCLUDE EVERYONE ON YOUR EMAIL COMMUNICATION. IF YOU FAIL TO INCLUDE OPPOSING COUNSEL OR SELF REPRESENTED LITIGANTS, I WILL NOT RESPOND.

[Quoted text hidden]

FUDSTOP <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>

To: "Angie D. Wierzbicki" <ADWierzbicki@tarrantcountytexas.gov>

Cc: Cooper Carter <coopercarter@majadmin.com>

Fri, Mar 28, 2025 at 9:59 AM

Hello all,

Sorry for the delay. I had to hunt down wifi.

Available dates are:

04/10/25 at 930 AM

04/09/25 at 130 PM

04/08/25 at 930 AM

04/07/25 at 130 PM

Thank you.

Any of these dates work for me.

Respectfully,

Charles Myers
8175463693

[Quoted text hidden]



image001.png
120K