

Background Report for Case Consolidation

Case Details:

Divorce Case (Cause No. 322-744263-23) in the 322nd District Court of Tarrant County, filed on December 18, 2023.

Protective Order Case (Cause No. 322-744538-23) in the 322nd District Court of Tarrant County.

Reasons for Consolidation:

Interconnected Issues:

The divorce case and the protective order case involve overlapping issues concerning family violence allegations, which are central to the divorce proceedings and directly affect custody and visitation rights. The protective order case includes allegations of family violence, which are also a pivotal point in the divorce case in determining the waiver of the standard waiting period for the divorce.

Consistency in Rulings:

Consolidating the divorce and protective order cases would ensure consistency in the court's findings, particularly regarding any allegations of family violence and their impact on the dissolution of marriage, custody, and visitation rights.

Judicial Economy:

Hearing both cases together would promote judicial economy by avoiding duplication of legal proceedings, as both cases are likely to involve similar witnesses, evidence, and legal arguments.

Claims of False Statements:

There have been claims of false statements and perjury related to the protective order, which could have significant implications for the divorce case. For instance, the claim that the plaintiff intentionally lied about being unable to pay court fees and made false allegations of family violence to gain an advantage in the divorce proceedings suggests that a single judge should hear both matters for a clear understanding of the facts.

Evidence of Misrepresentation and False Statements:

Evidence provided suggests that there have been misrepresentations to the court, including the transfer of funds from the joint account and the plaintiff's interaction with third parties, which could affect both the protective order and the divorce outcome. The protective order – which was based on claims of family violence – are entirely baseless as I have no record of family violence, and no evidence from the Plaintiff has been provided to substantiate these claims.

Landlord-Tenant Relationship:

The eviction case, although not directly related to family law matters, stems from claims and actions that are deeply intertwined with the divorce and protective order cases, suggesting that the alleged family violence and the actions of the plaintiff have been used to justify an eviction that coincides with the timing of the divorce and protective order filings.

Conclusion:

Given the overlapping factual and legal issues in the divorce and protective order cases, consolidation would serve the interests of justice and efficiency. It would ensure that the court has a comprehensive understanding of the intertwined nature of the family's circumstances, which is vital for fair and equitable resolution of these matters. While the eviction case may not be directly consolidated due to jurisdictional differences, it should be considered in context with the other cases to provide a complete picture of the ongoing disputes and to keep the best interests of our children intact.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles Myers

Chuckdustin12@gmail.com

817-507-6562

X 

On this day of 01-03-2024



A CERTIFIED COPY
ATTEST: 04/15/2024
THOMAS A. WILDER
DISTRICT CLERK
TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS
BY: /s/ Catherine Saenz