



**322-744263-23**

**RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT**

**04.01.25**

322-744263-23

IN THE 322<sup>nd</sup> DISTRICT COURT OF TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS

FILED  
 TARRANT COUNTY  
 4/1/2025 12:00 AM  
 THOMAS A. WILDER  
 DISTRICT CLERK

**ITMOMO**

*And in the interest of M.E.M. & C.R.M., two  
 children)*

**MORGAN MICHELLE MYERS**

Petitioner,

**CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS,**

Respondent.

**RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT**

2025-03-31

**TO THE HONORABLE COURT:**

Respondent, CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS, respectfully submits this statement to the Court, acknowledging first the gravity and complexity inherent in adjudicating matters of Family Law. The Respondent's numerous pleadings, motions, notices, objections, and carefully prepared exhibits submitted to this Court were borne not out of defiance, but rather from profound respect for this judicial process and a dedicated attempt to bridge the pro-se divide thrust upon him.

Every zealous effort undertaken by the Respondent has been guided by a simple, undeniable reality: the well-being and best interests of two minor children. The Respondent urges the Court to momentarily step into the shoes of these children, who, at the end of each school day, exit their classrooms only to find neither parent awaiting their arrival to guide them safely home. Once home, they find no father present to assist them with homework, to engage them in play, or simply to inquire about their day and ensure their academic and emotional needs are met.

Instead, these children must rely on their great-grandparents or their aunt for daily care—arrangements that, while filled with love, impose upon their caregivers' responsibilities that disrupt the natural grandparental dynamic and strain family resources and roles unnecessarily. This very scenario was expressly outlined in the Respondent's initial pleading to the Court, highlighting a profound shift away from the stable, nurturing environment the children previously enjoyed. *EXHIBIT 1*

While the language of the law is objective, Family Law acknowledges and indeed calls upon the human dimension of every case. The emotional appeal here is not frivolous, but rather a

genuine representation of the stark contrast between the children's former stable environment and the instability they currently endure. Respondent's advocacy, although passionate, has been meticulously crafted to illustrate clearly that alternatives exist which restore this stability without risk of harm or endangerment. The driving force behind the current situation is not a legitimate threat of harm but rather fear of accountability by the Petitioner.

The Respondent's zealousness, potentially misinterpreted as contemptuous, is instead a testament to his unwavering commitment to the well-being of his children. Restoring their previous status quo is essential for their educational, emotional, and developmental stability. If necessary, the Respondent stands ready to demonstrate his accountability through daily status reports or any other means this Court deems appropriate, confident that the Court will observe a swift and meaningful recovery in both the children's and Respondent's lives, socially, emotionally, and financially.

To the Petitioner, this resolution poses no disproportionate detriment. The Respondent harbors no animosity toward her, has never inflicted harm upon her or their children, and has consistently prioritized their collective welfare.

Ultimately, CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS, the litigator, arose from the necessity faced by CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS, the father and individual unjustly characterized from the outset as a criminal, an abuser, and a threat. Fully aware of the uphill battle inherent in self-representation, he chose this path not merely for himself, but expressly for the sake of his children and the preservation of their right to familial stability and emotional well-being, which is at risk each day.

In closing, Respondent respectfully recalls his initial pleading to this Court, wherein he proposed a comprehensive parenting plan designed specifically to preempt precisely the instability and emotional distress that has now come to pass. Had this proactive and well-reasoned parenting plan been enacted from the outset, the turmoil now confronting this Court and this family could have been avoided, but it remains unopposed. Respondent renews his plea for the Court to revisit and adopt this original proposal, thereby safeguarding the well-being of the children, restoring familial harmony, and reaffirming the fundamental objectives and compassionate foundations of Family Law.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles Dustin Myers  
CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS  
[CHUCKDUSTIN12@GMAIL.COM](mailto:CHUCKDUSTIN12@GMAIL.COM)  
817-546-3693

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Rule 21a of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, this statement was served on all parties of record via the EFM, and the email of opposing counsel

/s/ Charles Dustin Myers

**Automated Certificate of eService**

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Envelope ID: 99075685

Filing Code Description: Notice

Filing Description: Respondent's Statement

Status as of 4/1/2025 3:29 PM CST

**Case Contacts**

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
CHARLES MYERS		chuckdustin12@gmail.com	3/31/2025 12:31:36 PM	SENT
Cooper L.Carter		coopercarter@majadmin.com	3/31/2025 12:31:36 PM	SENT
HOLLY HAYES		csd-filer-914@texasattorneygeneral.gov	3/31/2025 12:31:36 PM	SENT
MORGAN MICHELLEMYERS		MORGANMW02@GMAIL.COM	3/31/2025 12:31:36 PM	SENT