



FUDSTOP <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>

FW: RE ITMOMO MYERS, CAUSE NO. 322-744263-23 CL-12105

3 messages

Cooper Carter <coopercarter@majadmin.com>
To: "Lindsey K. Baker" <LKBaker@tarrantcountytx.gov>
Cc: FUDSTOP <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>

Fri, Apr 4, 2025 at 2:20 PM

Ms. Lindsey,

I'm available on the following dates for this hearing:

April 22nd at 9:00 a.m. or 9:30 a.m.

April 24th at 8:30 a.m.

April 28th at 8:30 a.m.

Thank you,

Cooper L. Carter

Attorney at Law

Marx, Altman & Johnson

2905 Lackland Road

Fort Worth, Texas 76116

Tel: (817) 926-6211

Fax: (817) 926-6188

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS CONFIDENTIAL AND PROTECTED FROM DISCLOSURE BY LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING IS PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE (COLLECT) AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA E-MAIL. THANK YOU.

From: Lindsey K. Baker [mailto:LKBaker@tarrantcountytx.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 4, 2025 1:23 PM
To: Cooper Carter
Cc: 'Charlie Vids'
Subject: RE: RE ITMOMO MYERS, CAUSE NO. 322-744263-23 CL-12105

The Motion to Consolidate will need to be formally set for a hearing before Judge Munford in the 322nd District Court. Below are available dates to set the Motion:

Below are available dates to set the hearing:

April 21st at 8:30 a.m. or 1:30 p.m.

April 22nd at 9:00 a.m. or 9:30 a.m.

April 23rd at 1:30 p.m.

April 24th at 8:30 a.m.

April 28th at 8:30 a.m. or 1:30 p.m.

Please discuss your availability and let me know the date and time you agreed to. If a date and time cannot be agreed upon by noon on April 7th, the Court own its own will set the date. The Court must receive a Notice of Court Proceeding prior to formally setting the hearing.

Thank you.

Lindsey Baker

322nd Court Coordinator

Tarrant County Family Law Center

[200 E. Weatherford](#), 4th floor

Fort Worth, Texas 76196

Phone: (817) 884-1597

From: Cooper Carter <coopercarter@majadmin.com>
Sent: Friday, April 4, 2025 12:38 PM
To: Lindsey K. Baker <LKBaker@tarrantcountytx.gov>
Cc: 'Charlie Vids' <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>
Subject: RE ITMOMO MYERS, CAUSE NO. 322-744263-23 CL-12105

EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!

Good Afternoon,

Our office initially filed a Motion for Consolidation regarding the above referenced. Opposing party, during the pendency of this case, has filed a SAPCR in the 233rd Judicial District Court.

What days would the Court be available to hearing this matter?

I've cc-ed opposing party to this e-mail for convenience.

Thank you,

Cooper L. Carter
Attorney at Law

Marx, Altman & Johnson
2905 Lackland Road
Fort Worth, Texas 76116
Tel: (817) 926-6211
Fax: (817) 926-6188

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS CONFIDENTIAL AND PROTECTED FROM DISCLOSURE BY LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING IS PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE (COLLECT) AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA E-MAIL. THANK YOU.

Lindsey K. Baker <LKBaker@tarrantcountytx.gov>
To: Cooper Carter <coopercarter@majadmin.com>
Cc: FUDSTOP <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>

Fri, Apr 4, 2025 at 2:23 PM

Thank you, Ms. Carter. I will wait until noon on Monday to see what date and time Mr. Myers is available.

If you received a Notice of Dismissal, you **MUST** file a Motion to Retain. The Motion **MUST** be **SET, HEARD** and concluded with a **SIGNED ORDER TO RETAIN**.

DO NOT EMAIL ME FOR A SETTING REGARDING THE DWOP.

YOU MUST APPEAR IN PERSON TO SET THE HEARING.

All Orders that require the Judge's signature must be presented in person and will not be processed through e-filing.

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

FUDSTOP <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>
To: Cooper Carter <coopercarter@majadmin.com>
Cc: "Lindsey K. Baker" <LKBaker@tarrantcountytx.gov>

Fri, Apr 4, 2025 at 2:59 PM

All,

While I respect the Court's administrative responsibilities, I must again emphasize that these responsibilities include ensuring that proceedings are conducted within the bounds of jurisdiction and procedural law as well as improving justice and expediting the processing of cases through the courts.

That isn't happening here.

As a pro se litigant, I take seriously my duty to aid in the efficient administration of justice as I am held to the same standards as licensed attorneys. That includes timely raising jurisdictional objections, preserving the record, and preventing the court from engaging in proceedings that are void or wasteful.

Setting a hearing on a motion that the court cannot lawfully decide—especially weeks in advance—undermines that purpose and risks wasting everyone's time and resources. I've acted in good faith to raise these concerns now, so that judicial time is not misallocated on a setting that cannot produce a valid result.

To be clear: **this Court lacks jurisdiction to consolidate a matter that is not pending before it.** Setting a hearing on such a motion—**absent any transfer of the SAPCR case from the 233rd District Court**—is procedurally unsound and

jurisdictionally improper. This concern is not theoretical; it is a **statutory and constitutional defect** that cannot be cured by scheduling preferences or delayed hearings.

These objections have been raised repeatedly in the pleadings. Opposing counsel continues to ignore them and proceeds as if the jurisdictional prerequisite for consolidation has been met—it **has not**. Therefore, reiterating these issues is done to preserve the record to protect my rights.

Even more troubling is the suggestion that this hearing be delayed until late April. The delay does nothing to resolve the threshold issue: **this Court cannot lawfully entertain a motion concerning a case over which it has no authority**. Setting such a hearing nearly a month out is not merely inefficient.

Let me be clear: these are **not legal arguments**, these are **statutory facts**, and they are being restated here solely to:

- Preserve procedural objections,
- Clarify the record, and
- Prevent further waste of judicial resources.

There is little justification for continued delay at this point—particularly considering that **Ms. Carter previously moved to block emergency relief in the 233rd District Court** without acknowledging these jurisdictional conflicts.

If opposing counsel wishes to delay this hearing until late April, that is her prerogative. However, I respectfully state **for the record** that:

I will not be providing availability for a hearing that is both procedurally and jurisdictionally improper.

Respectfully,
Charles Dustin Myers
Pro Se Respondent
[Quoted text hidden]