

NO. 233-765358-25

IN THE 233<sup>RD</sup> DISTRICT COURT OF TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS

**IN RE: M.E.M., ET AL.**

\*\*CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS, \*\*

Petitioner,

**MORGAN MICHELLE MYERS,**

Respondent.

Petitioner's Statement

2025-03-31

**TO THE HONORABLE COURT:**

CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS, Petitioner in the above filed case, files this

Request for Declaratory Judgement, and in support thereof shows the following:

**I. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

1. Petitioner, representing himself pro-se, removed cause number 322-744263-23 to the

Northern District of Texas on December 6, 2024. (No. 4:24-CV-01185-O)

2. The case was remanded on December 8, 2024, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *Exhibit 1*

3. Rule 237a of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure states:

“When *any cause* is removed to the Federal Court and is afterwards remanded to the state court, *the plaintiff shall file* a certified copy of the order of remand with the clerk of the state court and shall forthwith give written notice of such filing to the attorneys of record for all adverse parties.” (emphasis added)

4. This places the obligation on COOPER L. CARTER to file with the clerk of the state court a certified notice of remand, which she has failed to do as of March 31, 2025, nearly three months later.

5. COOPER L. CARTER's authority to represent MORGAN MICHELLE MYERS has been in question since September 20, 2024, in cause number 322-744263-23 and is similarly in question in the instant case.

6. COOPER L. CARTER has never filed a pleading on MORGAN MICHELLE MYERS' behalf in either this court or the 322<sup>nd</sup> district court since she was allegedly retained on January 22, 2024, in her individual capacity over ***fourteen months ago.***

7. RODERICK D. MARX has filed every pleading on behalf of COOPER L. CARTER in both this matter and the divorce matter.

8. RODERICK D. MARX has not made an appearance or otherwise been named as a party in either suit.

9. Neither RODERICK D. MARX nor COOPER L. CARTER have filed any response to any pleadings served to them by CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS.

10. Neither RODERICK D. MARX nor COOPER L. CARTER have filed any pleading since April 24, 2024, in the divorce matter, nearly ***twelve months ago.***

11. COOPER L. CARTER has left the 322<sup>nd</sup> District Court without any ability to proceed to final trial, thereby resulting in an inevitable dismissal for want of prosecution. *Exhibit 2*

12. COOPER L. CARTER's EFM is registered to her prior employer's email address, which has been pointed out several times by Petitioner. *Exhibit 3*

13. The 322<sup>nd</sup> District Court of Tarrant County does not have continuous exclusive jurisdiction of the minor children.

14. The Petitioner opened a separate SAPCR before this court in March of 2025.

15. Without any submissions from Respondent since April 24, 2024, COOPER L. CARTER suddenly submits pleadings to this court claiming bad faith and answers with a general denial.

16. COOPER L. CARTER has not provided a response to the EMERGENCY TRO, yet feels it is appropriate to influence the proceedings with false promises to the tribunal. *Exhibit 4*

17. On March 28, 2025, the Petitioner arrived at the 233<sup>rd</sup> District Court to present his emergency TRO as scheduled with the Court Coordinator on March 27, 2025. *Exhibit 5*

18. The Petitioner was then told to contact COOPER L. CARTER by the Court Coordinator to select dates for the TRO hearing prior to his presentation once he arrived at the court. *Exhibit 6*

19. The parties selected April 10, 2025, to have the hearing, and the Petitioner went before the Associate Judge to present his emergency TRO. *Exhibit 7*

20. Prior to being called up to present, the Associate Judge left the room and conversed with the court coordinator.

21. When she returned, Petitioner was called up to present the emergency TRO.

22. Before getting a chance to speak, the Associate Judge informed the Petitioner that COOPER L. CARTER had filed the consolidation motion in the wrong court and would be filing one with the 322<sup>nd</sup> District Court.

23. The Petitioner was denied an opportunity to present his emergency TRO and was told to instead file the pleading with the 322<sup>nd</sup> District Court.

24. The Petitioner then reminded the Associate Judge that the 322<sup>nd</sup> District Court does not have the ability to proceed on the merits lacking a certified notice of remand pursuant to Rule 237a.

25. The Associate Judge disagreed and refused to hear the emergency TRO.
26. At the close of business on March 28, 2025, nothing was ever filed with the 322<sup>nd</sup> District Court by COOPER L. CARTER.
27. Petitioner and the children in this suit were denied due process outright despite being correct in his legal position.
28. Petitioner's detriment to his position is the fact that he is self-represented.
29. Respondent's detriment to her position is the lack of prosecution or defense.

## **II. ARGUMENT**

30. When it comes to remand, the obligation falls on the *plaintiff* to file with the state court a certified copy of the order of remand. TEX. R. CIV. P. 237a; see also *Kashan v. McLane Co.*, NO. 03-11-00125-CV, 7 (Tex. App. Jun. 7, 2012) (holding that rule 237a's notice requirements cannot be satisfied by the district court, but must come from the *plaintiff*.)

31. This prevents any trial on the merits, and prevents any relief to the Petitioner and his children.

32. All other facts supported by evidence have already been provided to COOPER L. CARTER yet continues to not engage and instead call in favors from the bench.

## **III. CONCLUSION**

Petitioner traveled to this court with a prepared emergency supported by exhibits only to be turned away at the eleventh hour due to his self-represented status and in the face of no real opposition. The children were denied due process outright, and now have been left without a remedy. The proper course of action should have been to hear the TRO and put in place protections for the minor children pending any promises of consolidation.

The above statement of facts, unless promptly rebutted by COOPER L. CARTER, warrant immediate relief as duly requested in the emergency TRO through a declaratory judgement, and this court should proceed with the hearing date originally set for April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2025, and the emergency TRO should be GRANTED without further delay.

COOPER L. CARTER disrupted much needed relief for the minor children in this case with false promises delivered to the tribunal that directly undermined the Petitioner's due process rights. COOPER L. CARTER is fully aware that she cannot file anything in 322<sup>nd</sup> District Court because in doing so she would be acting *ultra vires*.

Petitioner reasserts his position that COOPER L. CARTER is acting without authority, has no ability to comply with 237a of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, and cannot explain how she is able to abandon a case for nearly a full calendar year, yet can suddenly show up to defend her alleged client before this court for the sole purpose to prevent Petitioner's emergency TRO from being heard, which was permitted despite being told he could present the motion. In what sense is this appropriate when children are involved? Does the attorney's license give her a free pass to thwart the rules and litigate in bad faith?

It may be a needle in the haystack, but this case defies the initial presumption that pro-se litigants are not as equipped or as capable as licensed attorneys, and it is no fault of COOPER L. CARTER. The Petitioner reminds the court that the true culprit responsible for this circumstance remains the puppet master hiding in the background – the Respondent – and yet Petitioner wishes no harm to her because this litigation is about the children. The children need their mother, but they also need their father.

Perhaps the zealous passion has been misunderstood for contempt by the tribunal, but Petitioner's motive runs parallel to that set forth in the Texas Family Code. However, despite the procedural quagmire, the anomalies, the pro-se status, the solution remains simple:

Grant the relief. Nobody has argued against it, Texas law demands it, and the best interests of the Children depend on it. There remains no logical or legal basis to give COOPER L. CARTER any further deference in the face of Petitioner's self-represented status. Petitioner welcomes any response from

COOPER L. CARTER to the contrary. If such response were to be filed, it would be the first in over fourteen months of litigation.

If approached from a logical standpoint, the situation can be interpreted as such:

- i. COOPER L. CARTER is shackled by Rule 12 and Rule 237a of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure from reaching final trial.
- ii. The 322<sup>nd</sup> District Court is shackled from proceeding due to Cooper L. Carter's failure to prosecute.
- iii. The Petitioner is shackled from his home, his children's daily lives, and his place of business under facially void orders that claim consent.
- iv. Most critically, the children are shackled in a situation that is not in their best interests and continue to suffer irreparable harm without any opportunity for relief and without any lawful basis.

Indeed, that leaves just one party un-chained from this situation:

**the Respondent.** Petitioner rests his case.

#### **IV. PRAYER**

WHEREFORE promises considered, the Petitioner, CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS, requests the following expedited relief:

1. Take judicial knowledge that COOPER L. CARTER disrupted an emergency in bad faith and failed to fulfill her promise.
2. Grant the emergency TRO without delay and keep the original hearing date set for April 10<sup>th</sup> as agreed by the parties on March 28<sup>th</sup>, 2025.
3. Give no further deference to attorney COOPER L. CARTER, who has not prosecuted nor defended her position, and require a written response.

4. Provide any further relief that the court deems appropriate given the extraordinary circumstances of this case.

Respectfully submitted,

*/s/ Charles Dustin Myers*  
CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS  
[CHUCKDUSTIN12@GMAIL.COM](mailto:CHUCKDUSTIN12@GMAIL.COM)  
817-546-3693  
PRO-SE

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Petitioner, CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS, confirms that on 03/31/2025, a copy of this PETITIONER'S STATEMENT was served on the following party of record through their account registered under the Electronic Filing Manager pursuant to Rule 21a of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure:

MORGAN MICHELLE MYERS, Respondent, at:

[MORGANMW02@GMAIL.COM](mailto:MORGANMW02@GMAIL.COM)

A copy of the above pleading was also served to:

[COOPERCARTER@MAJADMIN.COM](mailto:COOPERCARTER@MAJADMIN.COM)

Respectfully submitted,

*/s/ Charles Dustin Myers*  
CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS  
[CHUCKDUSTIN12@GMAIL.COM](mailto:CHUCKDUSTIN12@GMAIL.COM)  
817-546-3693

**EXHIBIT 1**

**ORDER OF  
REMAND**

**12.06.24**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
FORT WORTH DIVISION**

**MORGAN MICHELLE MYERS,**

§

**Plaintiff,**

§

**v.**

**Civil Action No. 4:24-CV-01185-O**

**CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS,**

§

**Defendant.**

§

**ORDER**

Before the Court is Defendant Charles Myers's Notice of Removal (ECF No. 1), filed December 4, 2024. Defendant removed this case to federal court, citing "deprivation of constitutionally protected rights under the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment." ECF No. 1-1. The removal statute allows a defendant to "remove a state court action to federal court only if the action could have originally been filed in federal court." 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); *Anderson v. Am. Airlines, Inc.*, 2 F.3d 590, 593 (5th Cir. 1993).

In his Notice of Removal, Defendant appears to argue that the divorce and child custody orders entered against him in state court are unconstitutional. ECF No. 1. Essentially, Defendant asks this Court to review a state court decision. ECF No. 1 at 5. But federal district courts do not have authority to review state court decisions. "A basic precept of our federal system is that federal courts do not exercise authority over the proceedings of a sovereign state's judiciary as it relates to that state's laws." *Turner v. GoAuto Ins. Co.*, 33 F.4th 214, 216 (5th Cir. 2022).

Nor can Defendant create a federal question when one does not appear on the face of a well-pleaded complaint. "Under the well-pleaded complaint rule, a federal court does not have federal question jurisdiction unless a federal question appears on the face of the plaintiff's well-

pledged complaint.” *Bernhard v. Whitney Nat'l Bank*, 523 F.3d 546, 551 (5th Cir.2008). Defendant admits there is no federal question on the face of the complaint, and an independent review of it confirms this admission. ECF No. 1 at 4.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that this case be **REMANDED** to the 322nd Judicial District Court of Tarrant County, Texas for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to return this case using the standard protocol.

**SO ORDERED** on this **6th day of December, 2024.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Reed O'Connor  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## **EXHIBIT 2**

# **MOTION FOR DWOP (322ND)**

**01.24.25**

NO. 322-744263-23 & NO. 322-744538-23

ITMOMO Morgan Michelle Myers v Charles Dustin Myers

---

# MOTION TO DISMISS

## FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION

---

In the 322<sup>nd</sup> District Court of Tarrant County, Texas

Honorable Judges:

James B. Munford, Jeff Kaitcer

200 E. Weatherford St. 4th Floor  
Fort Worth, TX  
Tel.: (817) 884-1427

Respectfully submitted by:

Charles Dustin Myers, Respondent

## **SUMMARY**

Respondent, CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS, respectfully submits this Motion to Dismiss for Want of Prosecution and in support thereof states as follows:

1. The Supreme Court of Texas, through its promulgated time standards, provides clear guidelines for the timely disposition of cases, including dissolution of marriage.
2. The proposed time standards are based on national studies, including those conducted by the ABA and the NCSC, which establish a 12-month maximum time frame for divorce cases as achievable and reasonable, with intermediate standards for temporary orders, responsive pleadings, and trials.
3. In this case, the time standards have been exceeded, with no substantial progress toward resolution or final disposition.
4. Rule 165a (2) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure permits the dismissal of cases that are not disposed of within the prescribed time standards, ensuring the proper administration of justice and preventing undue delays caused by party inaction.

## **FACTS SUPPORTING DISMISSAL**

5. Notwithstanding the above, the Petitioner's Original Petition for Divorce in this matter and all subsequent pleadings submitted to the court bearing her signature should be stricken from the record because they are fundamentally fraudulent, and given the circumstances of the case, the Petitioner cannot prevail at trial, and granting a divorce under the current circumstances would prove detrimental to the Respondent, and the children.
6. The Petitioner's submissions include an affidavit of inability to pay, an original divorce petition, and a protective order. These documents were leveraged to secure the current

temporary orders which claim to be agreed despite lacking the Respondent's signature and were prepared by an attorney not authorized to represent the Petitioner.

7. The Respondent has raised claims of fraud, perjury, deception, and child neglect against the Petitioner, and her counsel has failed to address, defend, or file any response regarding these claims.
8. The Respondent has submitted discovery and admissions which have not been responded to by the opposing party, and despite filing a motion to compel discovery, no action has been taken by the court.
9. The opposing counsel's authority has been under challenge pursuant to Rule 12 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure since September 20<sup>th</sup>, 2024, yet she was permitted to attend the recusal hearing and raise objections despite no indication of her position on the motion prior to the hearing.
10. The opposing counsel is unable to file anything on her client's behalf in this matter because her electronic filing manager account is registered under her former employer's email.
11. The opposing counsel has not filed any documents on her client's behalf in this matter but has rather had individuals unrelated to the case file documents for her on her behalf.
12. The opposing counsel is unable to file the required notice of remand with the clerk of the court, and the case is unable to proceed given these circumstances.

**FURTHER STATUTORY PROVISIONS WARRANTING DISMISSAL**

Respondent, CHARLES DUSTIN MYERS, asserts that the Petitioner has violated **Sec. 12.002 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code**. Specifically:

13. Petitioner knowingly made and presented fraudulent claims to the Court regarding Respondent's property, with the intent to deprive him of his interest in his home, a property essential for his ability to work and provide for the children.
14. Petitioner acted with the intent that these false claims be given the same legal effect as valid court orders, misleading the Court and causing Respondent to be wrongfully deprived of his home and livelihood.
15. The Respondent, as the person directly affected by this fraudulent conduct, reserves the right to bring a cause of action under **Sec. 12.003(a)(8)** as an equal owner to the community property impacted by the Petitioner's fraudulent claims.
16. Petitioner's actions have caused significant harm, including:
  1. **Financial injury** resulting from the loss of property and work opportunities.
  2. **Mental anguish and emotional distress** caused by being deprived of his home and stability.
17. Given the Petitioner is unable to account for the damages she has caused, the court should impose the appropriate sanctions for the following reasons:
  - i. For signing multiple fraudulent documents which she knew to be false, including the affidavit of inability to pay and original petition for divorce filed December 18, 2023, the Application for Protective Order filed December 22, 2023, and the current temporary orders prepared by her current unauthorized attorney, which should be stricken from the docket pursuant to Rule 12 given that they are not agreed.

- ii. For failing to take her court-ordered parenting class, which permits the court to issue an appropriate sanction pursuant to Tex. Fam. Code § 105.009(g).
- iii. For putting her own selfish agenda before her own children's future and emotional stability , disregarding them entirely
- iv. For any other actions or inaction taken by the Petitioner in this matter.

### **CONCLUSION AND PRAYER**

18. In conclusion, this case should be dismissed as a matter of law. It has yet to be adjudicated fairly in over a year, exceeding the Texas Supreme Court guidelines. It further was founded on fraud, including an affidavit of inability to pay, making the case dismissible pursuant to the Tex. Prac. Rem. Code Sec. 13.001. Finally, given the fundamentally flawed decisions made in this case in regard to the children – the current situation damages their well-being, and a re-assessment of the facts are needed for the court to properly adjudicate this matter.

Therefore, the respondent respectfully requests that this honorable court:

- i. Dismiss this case without prejudice and impose the appropriate sanctions on the Petitioner.
- ii. Adopt the emergency temporary orders filed by the Respondent in September of 2024 which reflect the reality of the current situation and preserves the status quo of the children in accordance with Texas law.
- iii. Provide clear, written instructions in the dismissal order permitting the Respondent to return to 6641 Anne Court, Watauga, TX giving the Petitioner

the choice to either co-habitat or live next-door while the Respondent repairs the significant damage caused by her actions.

- iv. Give the Respondent sole managing custody of the children and exclusive use of the residency while the case is pending.
- v. Require that the Petitioner provide the documents requested that will allow the court to establish child support payments for the children and require that the Petitioner make these payments to aid in the rebuilding process for the Children.
- vi. Require a response to be filed by the opposing party within the next ten days.
- vii. Provide any further relief that the court finds just and equitable given the unusual circumstances of this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles Dustin Myers  
6641 Anne Court, Watauga TX 76148  
817-546-3693  
[Chuckdustin12@gmail.com](mailto:Chuckdustin12@gmail.com)  
Pro-se

### **Certificate of Service**

I certify that a true copy of this MOTION TO DISMISS was served in accordance with Rule 21a of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure on the following on January 24th, 2025 to:

Morgan Michelle Myers by electronic filing manager at [morganmw02@gmail.com](mailto:morganmw02@gmail.com).

Cooper L. Carter by electronic filing manager at [coopercarter@majadmin.com](mailto:coopercarter@majadmin.com)

Holly Hayes by electronic filing manager at [csd-filer-914@texas.oag.com](mailto:csd-filer-914@texas.oag.com)

*/s/ Charles Dustin Myers*

Charles Dustin Myers

[Chuckdustin12@gmail.com](mailto:Chuckdustin12@gmail.com)

817-507-6562

## **Automated Certificate of eService**

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Envelope ID: 96596367

Filing Code Description: Motion (No Fee)

Filing Description: MOTION TO DISMISS

Status as of 1/27/2025 8:53 AM CST

### Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
CHARLES MYERS		chuckdustin12@gmail.com	1/24/2025 8:29:12 PM	SENT
MORGAN MICHELLEMYERS		morganmw02@gmail.com	1/24/2025 8:29:12 PM	SENT
Cooper L.Carter		cooper.carter@majadmin.com	1/24/2025 8:29:12 PM	SENT
HOLLY HAYES		csd-filer-914@texasattorneygeneral.gov	1/24/2025 8:29:12 PM	SENT

# **EXHIBIT 3**

**COOPER  
CARTER'S  
INVALID EFM**



## Confirm You Are This Attorney

**Name:** Cooper Carter

**Attorney Number:** 24121530

**Email:** cc\*\*\*er@canteyhanger.com

Click "Send Confirmation Email" to have an email sent to your State Bar of Texas preferred email address.

[Send Confirmation Email](#)

## **EXHIBIT 4**

# **COOPER CARTER'S INFLUENCE OVER THE TRO PROCEEDING**

**03.27.2025**



FUDSTOP &lt;chuckdustin12@gmail.com&gt;

**ITIO MORGAN CHILDREN, CAUSE NO. 233-765358-25 CL-12105**

6 messages

**Cooper Carter** <coopercarter@majadmin.com>  
To: "Angie D. Wierzbicki" <ADWierzbicki@tarrantcountytx.gov>  
Cc: Charlie Vids <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>

Thu, Mar 27, 2025 at 6:20 PM

Good Evening,

I have received communication from opposing party who is pro se that he will be walking through an Emergency TRO. Our office has a hearing scheduled for tomorrow morning in Parker County and is unable to attend. However, I will be available by cell phone regarding this matter if the Judge would like to speak to me regarding the Emergency TRO. Please contact our office to patch me in for any calls that Judge would like to have.

Additionally, this case already is pending in the 322<sup>nd</sup> for a divorce proceeding regarding property and children matters. We will be consolidating the case and walking it through the 322<sup>nd</sup> for signature next week.

Thank you,

Cooper L. Carter

Attorney at Law

Marx, Altman & Johnson  
2905 Lackland Road  
Fort Worth, Texas 76116  
Tel: (817) 926-6211  
Fax: (817) 926-6188

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS CONFIDENTIAL AND PROTECTED FROM DISCLOSURE BY LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING IS PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE (COLLECT) AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA E-MAIL. THANK YOU.

## **EXHIBIT 5**

**CORRESPONDENCE  
WITH 233RD STAFF  
REGARDING TRO  
PRESENTATION**

**03.26.25**



FUDSTOP &lt;chuckdustin12@gmail.com&gt;

---

**CAUSE# 233-765358-25 EX-PARTE TRO**

5 messages

**FUDSTOP** <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>  
To: FLCCoordinator@tarrantcountytx.gov

Wed, Mar 26, 2025 at 5:48 PM

Hello,

This is Charles Dustin Myers, Petitioner in the above captioned cause, and I received an automated reply deferring me to this email when originally reaching out to the Honorable Coordinator.

The original email's purpose was to inform the Honorable Coordinator that I had just received notification through the EFM that the emergency ex-parte TRO had been accepted by the court.

I am following up to inquire about the status of the request.

I thank you for your attention to this urgent matter, and I will wait for further directive from the court to proceed accordingly.

As mentioned in the motion, the Respondent in this matter and her counsel of record have been duly notified of the relief being sought and the motion itself, but have not responded to any attempts to communicate regarding this urgent matter.

Thank you and have a wonderful evening.

Respectfully,

Charles Dustin Myers  
[chuckdustin12@gmail.com](mailto:chuckdustin12@gmail.com)  
817-546-3693

---

**Tegan B. Allison** <TBAllison@tarrantcountytx.gov>  
To: FUDSTOP <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>

Thu, Mar 27, 2025 at 8:45 AM

This order needs to be presented in person. Likewise, you need to inform opposing counsel of the date and time you intend to present this order to the court.

Thank you,

*Tegan Allison*

Auxiliary Court Coordinator

Tarrant County Family Law Center

Phone: (817)884-1614

[200 E Weatherford](#)

[Fort Worth, TX 76196](#)

[TBAllison@tarrantcountytx.gov](mailto:TBAllison@tarrantcountytx.gov)



---

**From:** FUDSTOP <[chuckdustin12@gmail.com](mailto:chuckdustin12@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 26, 2025 5:49 PM  
**To:** Courts - FLC Coordinator <[FLCCoordinator@tarrantcountytx.gov](mailto:FLCCoordinator@tarrantcountytx.gov)>  
**Subject:** CAUSE# 233-765358-25 EX-PARTE TRO

You don't often get email from [chuckdustin12@gmail.com](mailto:chuckdustin12@gmail.com). Learn why this is important

**EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!**

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**FUDSTOP** <[chuckdustin12@gmail.com](mailto:chuckdustin12@gmail.com)>  
To: "Tegan B. Allison" <[TBAllison@tarrantcountytx.gov](mailto:TBAllison@tarrantcountytx.gov)>

Thu, Mar 27, 2025 at 8:59 AM

Ms. Allison,

Thank you for the update.

Is there a time available tomorrow to come and present the order? Preferably between 9am and 2pm?

I have informed the opposing party of the intent to present the order and will provide the time and date upon determination of the court's availability.

Thank you for your assistance.

Charles Dustin Myers  
[Chuckdustin12@gmail.com](mailto:Chuckdustin12@gmail.com)  
817-546-3693

[Quoted text hidden]



image001.jpg  
6K

---

**Tegan B. Allison** <[TBAllison@tarrantcountytx.gov](mailto:TBAllison@tarrantcountytx.gov)>  
To: FUDSTOP <[chuckdustin12@gmail.com](mailto:chuckdustin12@gmail.com)>

Thu, Mar 27, 2025 at 9:05 AM

You may present the order during that time. Please keep in mind that the court will be closed from 12pm-1:30pm for lunch and administrative tasks.

Thank you,

## Tegan Allison

Auxiliary Court Coordinator

Tarrant County Family Law Center

Phone: (817)884-1614

200 E Weatherford

Fort Worth, TX 76196

[TBAllison@tarrantcountytexas.gov](mailto:TBAllison@tarrantcountytexas.gov)



---

**From:** FUDSTOP <[chuckdustin12@gmail.com](mailto:chuckdustin12@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 27, 2025 9:00 AM  
**To:** Tegan B. Allison <[TBAllison@tarrantcountytexas.gov](mailto:TBAllison@tarrantcountytexas.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: CAUSE# 233-765358-25 EX-PARTE TRO

**EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!**

Ms. Allison,

Thank you for the update.

Is there a time available tomorrow to come and present the order? Preferably between 9am and 2pm?

I have informed the opposing party of the intent to present the order and will provide the time and date upon determination of the court's availability.

Thank you for your assistance.

Charles Dustin Myers

[Chuckdustin12@gmail.com](mailto:Chuckdustin12@gmail.com)

817-546-3693

On Thu, Mar 27, 2025, 8:45 AM Tegan B. Allison <[TBAllison@tarrantcountytx.gov](mailto:TBAllison@tarrantcountytx.gov)> wrote:

This order needs to be presented in person. Likewise, you need to inform opposing counsel of the date and time you intend to present this order to the court.

Thank you,

*Tegan Allison*

Auxiliary Court Coordinator

Tarrant County Family Law Center

Phone: (817)884-1614

200 E Weatherford

Fort Worth, TX 76196

[TBAllison@tarrantcountytx.gov](mailto:TBAllison@tarrantcountytx.gov)

[Quoted text hidden]

---

FUDSTOP <[chuckdustin12@gmail.com](mailto:chuckdustin12@gmail.com)>  
To: "Tegan B. Allison" <[TBAllison@tarrantcountytx.gov](mailto:TBAllison@tarrantcountytx.gov)>

Thu, Mar 27, 2025 at 9:19 AM

Ms. Allison,

Thank you very much.

I have informed opposing counsel that I intend to present the TRO tomorrow at 9:00 AM, the relief being sought, and if anything changes I will inform the court and likewise the opposing party.

Have a wonderful day.

Respectfully,

Charles Dustin Myers  
[Chuckdustin12@gmail.com](mailto:Chuckdustin12@gmail.com)  
817-546-3693

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**4 attachments**

**~WRD0000.jpg**

1K



**image001.jpg**

6K



**image001.jpg**

6K

**~WRD0000.jpg**

1K

# **EXHIBIT 6**

## **CORRESPONDENCE SETTING HEARING (TRO)**

**03.28.25**

Again, all of these points have been argued in both courts, and it is her duty as counsel to handle these matters in accordance with Texas Law.

These matters should be handled between the parties - not attempt to influence court staff after hours.

The reason we are here in the first place is due to the above unanswered facts. Ms. Carter has had ample time to file an objection, response, or counter argument, but has chosen not to do so.

It would've been far more appropriate for Ms. Carter to have reached out to me directly to discuss scheduling conflicts.

Prior to the latest email, there was no indication Ms. Carter intended to participate at all despite being provided with all relevant materials.

The court should disregard this email correspondence in its entirety for the reasons stated herein as it is highly prejudicial to Petitioner and the children.

Pro se litigants are expected to follow the rules of procedure to the same extent licensed attorneys are.

This email chain should be disregarded in its entirety.

Have a good evening.

Respectfully,  
Charles Dustin Myers  
Petitioner, Pro Se

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Angie D. Wierzbicki** <ADWierzbicki@tarrantcountytexas.gov>  
To: Cooper Carter <cooper.carter@majadmin.com>  
Cc: Charlie Vids <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 28, 2025 at 9:15 AM

Good morning,

Mr. Myers appeared before me to schedule the hearing for the TRO; my apologies I did not realize this was that same case. We can go ahead and set the TRO with us, but most likely the case will be transferred prior to the hearing date and the case needs to be transferred prior to that date.

Mr. Myers will be emailing with dates available for the hearing.

Thank you,

*Angie D. Wierzbicki*

Court Coordinator

233<sup>rd</sup> Judicial District Court

(817) 884-2686

Tarrant County Family Law Center

200 E. Weatherford St., 5<sup>th</sup> Floor

Ft. Worth, TX 76196



**\*\*\*PLEASE NOTE: YOU MUST PROVIDE LOCAL COURT RULE DOCUMENTS IF YOU ARE SET FOR A HEARING IN EITHER COURT. FAILURE TO PROVIDE LOCAL COURT RULE DOCUMENTS COULD RESULT IN THE RESET OF YOUR CASE\*\*\***

**YOU MUST INCLUDE EVERYONE ON YOUR EMAIL COMMUNICATION. IF YOU FAIL TO INCLUDE OPPOSING COUNSEL OR SELF REPRESENTED LITIGANTS, I WILL NOT RESPOND.**

---

**From:** Cooper Carter <[cooper.carter@majadmin.com](mailto:cooper.carter@majadmin.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 27, 2025 6:20 PM  
**To:** Angie D. Wierzbicki <[ADWierzbicki@tarrantcountytexas.gov](mailto:ADWierzbicki@tarrantcountytexas.gov)>  
**Cc:** 'Charlie Vids' <[chuckdustin12@gmail.com](mailto:chuckdustin12@gmail.com)>  
**Subject:** ITIO MORGAN CHILDREN, CAUSE NO. 233-765358-25 CL-12105

**EXTERNAL EMAIL ALERT! Think Before You Click!**

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Angie D. Wierzbicki** <[ADWierzbicki@tarrantcountytexas.gov](mailto:ADWierzbicki@tarrantcountytexas.gov)>  
To: Cooper Carter <[cooper.carter@majadmin.com](mailto:cooper.carter@majadmin.com)>  
Cc: Charlie Vids <[chuckdustin12@gmail.com](mailto:chuckdustin12@gmail.com)>

Fri, Mar 28, 2025 at 9:19 AM

Additionally, since there is an objection to the consolidation, y'all will need to reach out to request how to proceed with the 322<sup>nd</sup> as I am unsure of their procedures.

Thank you,

*Angie D. Wierzbicki*

**Court Coordinator****233<sup>rd</sup> Judicial District Court****(817) 884-2686**

Tarrant County Family Law Center

200 E. Weatherford St., 5<sup>th</sup> Floor

Ft. Worth, TX 76196



**\*\*\*PLEASE NOTE: YOU MUST PROVIDE LOCAL COURT RULE DOCUMENTS IF YOU ARE SET FOR A HEARING IN EITHER COURT. FAILURE TO PROVIDE LOCAL COURT RULE DOCUMENTS COULD RESULT IN THE RESET OF YOUR CASE\*\*\***

**YOU MUST INCLUDE EVERYONE ON YOUR EMAIL COMMUNICATION. IF YOU FAIL TO INCLUDE OPPOSING COUNSEL OR SELF REPRESENTED LITIGANTS, I WILL NOT RESPOND.**

[Quoted text hidden]

---

FUDSTOP <chuckdustin12@gmail.com>  
To: "Angie D. Wierzbicki" <ADWierzbicki@tarrantcountytexas.gov>  
Cc: Cooper Carter <coopercarter@majadmin.com>

Fri, Mar 28, 2025 at 9:59 AM

Hello all,

Sorry for the delay. I had to hunt down wifi.

Available dates are:

04/10/25 at 930 AM

04/09/25 at 130 PM

04/08/25 at 930 AM

04/07/25 at 130 PM

Thank you.

Any of these dates work for me.

Respectfully,

Charles Myers  
8175463693

[Quoted text hidden]



image001.png  
120K