

find any place where I stated that the way to determine which was the best school was by the appearance of its catalogue?

Regarding the second paragraph of Dr. Howe's communication, I will say that it is almost a repetition of what I have said in my reply to Drs. Law and Williams; therefore, when Dr. Howe sees this reply I think that he will see that we have no argument on this particular point.

I wish it distinctly understood that this controversy was begun, so far as I am concerned, solely upon the defensive and not the offensive; in fact, Dr. Hoskins headed my first article "Defence of the Ontario Veterinary College." I suppose he chose this for want of a better title for the article, and I think he did very nicely. I had given the article no heading, but I think that the word "defence" is the right word in the right place. Were I to write my first communication over again I do not know how I could express myself any clearer, and, although I am now "up against" two "heavy weights" and a third "not quite so heavy," two of whom are "hinting" (some of said "hints" being pretty strong) that I do not have a proper regard for the truth, I will say that mere assertions count for little.

Drs. Law and Howe assert that I do not tell the truth; on the other hand, I am able to *prove* to any fair-minded person (and I think that I have done so through the columns of the JOURNAL that every statement I have made in regard to colleges and certain graduates of these colleges is *true*.

Respectfully,

J. P. FOSTER.

REPORT OF AN AUTOPSY.

The following report was recently received:

PHILADELPHIA, August 7, 1901.

DR. (RICHARD ROE),

DEAR SIR: I made a close post mortem examination on the body of the horse which we removed from your hospital, and I found that the animal had inflammation in the Stomach and small Bowels and both Lungs had fallen apart. Liver was completely decomposed both Kidneys were very much effected.

Examined by

(JOHN DOE).