

#3.

$$P(-|C) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad P(\bar{C}|+) = \frac{2}{3}.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{P(+|C)}_{\text{Sensitivity}} = 1 - \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{3}. \quad \underbrace{P(-|\bar{C})}_{\text{Specificity}} = 1 - P(+|\bar{C})$$

Not determinable.

6.

	Homicide	X Homicide
U.S.	62.4	
CAN	6.0	per 100000
AUS	5.6	
UK	1.3	

relative risk
between US and

$$\text{CAN} : \frac{6.0}{62.4} = 0.0961$$

$$\text{AUS} : \frac{5.6}{62.4} = 0.0897$$

$$\text{UK} : \frac{1.3}{62.4} = 0.0208$$

~ RR or difference of Proportion 같다!
(\because 확률이 같은 사건의 수를 그 비율이 증가!)

#9.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{SMOKE } D : S & \text{SMOKE } X : \bar{S} \\ \text{Cancer } D : C & \text{Cancer } X : \bar{C} \end{array}$$

$$P(C | \bar{S}) = 0.00023.$$

$$P(C | S) = 0.01284.$$

$$D.O.P = 0.01284 - 0.00023 = \underline{\underline{0.0000375}}.$$

$$RR = \frac{0.01284}{0.00023} = 55.8.$$

More informative.

#12.

Cohort : Row-Sum fixed.

$$P(C | S) = 0.00140. \quad P(C | \bar{S}) = 0.00010.$$

$$P(H | S) = 0.00669 \quad P(H | \bar{S}) = 0.00413.$$

* Lung Cancer - Smoke

$$DOP = 0.00140 - 0.00010 = 0.00130.$$

$$RR = 0.00140 / 0.00010 = 14.$$

$$\text{Odds ratio} = \frac{0.00140 \times 0.99990}{0.99860 \times 0.00010} = 14.018.$$

NOT
informative.

$RR \approx$ Odds ratio since $DOP \approx 0$.

* Heart disease - Smoke.

$$DOP = 0.00669 - 0.00413 = 0.00256.$$

$$RR = \frac{0.00669}{0.00413} = 1.6198.$$

$$\text{Odds ratio} = \frac{0.00669}{0.00413} \times \frac{0.99331}{0.99587} = 1.6157.$$

By the same reason, $RR \approx$ Odds ratio.

[b] Heart disease is more related to Cigarette smoking
in terms of reduction in # of death
($\because DOP : H > C$)

#15.

AG marginal odds ratio

$$\Theta = (189 \times 12) / (1493 \times 155) = 1.825. \rightsquigarrow \text{여성이 차별받는 것}
아니다??$$

AG Conditional Odds ratio:

$$\Theta_A = \frac{512 \times 19}{313 \times 89} = 0.249.$$

$$\Theta_B = \frac{207 \times 17}{253 \times 8} = 1.246$$

\rightsquigarrow 별 차이 X
 \neq 차별 X.

$$\Theta_C = \frac{120 \times 391}{205 \times 202} = 1.133$$

$$\Theta_D = 0.9212.$$

$$\Theta_E = 1.2216.$$

$$\Theta_F = 0.8258.$$

○ A, B는 학령률↑

⊕ 남자 자동차수 ↑

↓

marginal odds ratio

남자가 여자 보다 84%, ↑

18.

각 나이별로 A 사망률 > B 사망률. But 전체나이를 보면 A 사망률 < B 사망률 (why??)

상대적률을 놓은 사망률의 나이대의 사망률이 B지역이 더 많기 때문이.

21.

	K ₁	K ₂	Total
Smith	18/40	25/160	43/200
Jones	40/100	15/100	55/200

#24

Sample Conditional Probability.

Black : $(0.14, 0.1, 0.31, 0.45)$.

White : $(0.06, 0.55, 0.29, 0.59)$ ↗

Stochastically ordered!

def Stochastic order \leq_s

A, B : Random Variable. $A \leq_s B$ if
 $\Pr(A > x) \leq \Pr(B > x)$

$$\Delta = \Pr(Y_1 > Y_2) - \Pr(Y_2 > Y_1)$$

$$\hat{\Delta} = \sum_{j>k} \sum_{j \neq k} \pi_{j11} \pi_{k12} - \sum_{j < k} \pi_{j11} \pi_{k12}$$

$$= 0.06 \times (0.1 + 0.31 + 0.45) \\ + 0.55 \times (0.31 + 0.45) + 0.29 \times 0.45$$

$$= 0.056 + 0.418 + 0.1305$$

$$= 0.6001$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr(Y_1 > Y_2) = \Pr(Y_2 > Y_1) + 0.6 \\ \rightsquigarrow Y_1 > Y_2 \text{ tendency } \uparrow$$

#21).

	D	\bar{D}	
E	90	910	1000
\bar{E}	10	590	600
	(100)	1500	

$$AR = \frac{\frac{100}{1600} - \frac{10}{600}}{\frac{100}{1600}}$$

$$= \frac{100 - 10}{600}$$

1600명이
3등 노숙 X 거주로
만족여부.

$$\frac{P(E) \cdot (RR-1)}{1 + P(E) \cdot (RR-1)} = \frac{P(E) \cdot \left(\frac{P(D|E)}{P(D|\bar{E})} - 1 \right)}{1 + P(E) \cdot \left(\frac{P(D|E)}{P(D|\bar{E})} - 1 \right)}$$

$$= \frac{P(E)P(D|E) - P(E)P(D|\bar{E})}{P(D|E) + P(E)P(D|E) - P(E)P(D|\bar{E})}$$

$$= \frac{P(E)P(D|E) + P(\bar{E})P(D|\bar{E}) - P(D|\bar{E})}{P(E)P(D|E) + P(\bar{E})P(D|\bar{E})}$$

$$= \frac{P(D) - P(D|\bar{E})}{P(D)} .$$

■

$$= AR$$

#30.

$$RR = \frac{\pi_1}{\pi_2} \quad \theta = \frac{\pi_1 / (1 - \pi_1)}{\pi_2 / (1 - \pi_2)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |(1 - \pi_2)\pi_1 - (1 - \pi_1)\pi_2| \geq |(\pi_1 - \pi_2)| |1 - \pi_1|.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |\pi_1 - \pi_2| \geq |\pi_1 - \pi_2| |1 - \pi_1| \quad \text{OK.}$$

$$\therefore |\theta - 1| \geq |RR - 1|$$

↪ Independence test.

#33.

T



$$\Theta = \Theta_{X,Y}(1) = \Theta_{X,Y}(2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{p(X=1, Y=1 | Z=1) p(X=2, Y=2 | Z=1)}{p(X=1, Y=2 | Z=1) p(X=2, Y=1 | Z=1)} = \frac{p(X=1, Y=1 | Z=2) p(X=2, Y=2 | Z=2)}{p(X=1, Y=2 | Z=2) p(X=2, Y=1 | Z=2)}$$
$$= \frac{p(1, 1, 2) p(2, 2, 2)}{p(1, 2, 2) p(2, 1, 2)}$$

$$\Theta_C \cdot \pi_1 + (\neg \pi_1) = \Theta_{(1)} \gamma_Z \pi_1 + (\neg \pi_1)$$

$$= \frac{p(Y=1, Z=1 | X=1) p(Y=2, Z=2 | X=1)}{p(Y=1, Z=2 | X=1) p(Y=2, Z=1 | X=1)} - \frac{p(X=1, Y=2, Z=1)}{p(X=1, Y=2)} + p(Z=2 | X=1, Y=2)$$

$\underbrace{p(Y=1, Z=1)}_{p(Y=1)} \underbrace{p(Z=2 | X=1, Y=2)}_{p(Z=2 | X=1)}$

$$= p(Z=2 | X=1, Y=2) \left(\frac{p(Y=1, Z=1 | X=1)}{p(Y=1, Z=2 | X=1)} + 1 \right)$$

$$= p(Z=2 | X=1, Y=2) \frac{p(Y=1 | X=1)}{p(Y=1, Z=2 | X=1)} = \frac{p(X=1, Y=1)}{p(X=1, Y=2)} \frac{p(1, 2, 2)}{p(1, 1, 2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Theta_C \cdot \overline{\Pi}_2 + (1 - \overline{\Pi}_2) \\
 &= \Theta_C \gamma_2 \overline{\Pi}_2 + (1 - \overline{\Pi}_2) \\
 &= \frac{p(y=1, z=1 | x=2)}{p(y=1, z=2 | x=2)} \cdot \frac{p(x=2, y=2, z=1)}{p(x=2, y=2)} + p(z=2 | x=2, y=2) \\
 &= p(z=2 | x=2, y=2) \left(\frac{p(y=1, z=1 | x=2)}{p(y=1, z=2 | x=2)} + 1 \right) = p(z=2 | x=2, y=2) \frac{p(y=1 | x=2)}{p(y=1, z=2 | x=2)} \\
 &= \frac{p(x=2, y=1)}{p(x=2, y=2)} \frac{p(2..2)}{p(2..1..2)} \\
 \Rightarrow \Theta \frac{\Theta_C \overline{\Pi}_1 + (1 - \overline{\Pi}_1)}{\Theta_C \overline{\Pi}_2 + (1 - \overline{\Pi}_2)} &= \Theta \cdot \frac{p(x=1, y=1) p(x=2, y=2)}{p(x=1, y=2) p(x=2, y=1)} \frac{p(2..1..2) p(1..2..2)}{p(2..2..2) p(1..1..2)} \\
 &= \Theta_{XY} \frac{p(1..1..2) p(2..2..2)}{p(1..2..2) p(2..1..2)} \frac{p(2..1..2) p(1..2..2)}{p(2..2..2) p(1..1..2)} \\
 &= \Theta_{XY}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

Collapsibility of Odds Ratio:

When $\Theta_{XY}(k)$ is identical at every level k of Z , that value equals if either Z and X are conditionally independent or if Z and Y are conditionally independent.

By symmetry, it suffices to show for the first case. ($Z \perp\!\!\!\perp X \mid Y$)

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1 &= P(Z=1 \mid X=1, Y=2) \\&= P(Z=1 \mid Y=2) \\&= P(Z=1 \mid X=2, Y=2) = \pi_2. \\ \therefore \frac{\theta_{XY}}{\theta} &= 1. \Rightarrow \theta_{XY(1)} = \theta_{XY(2)} = \theta = \theta_{XY}.\end{aligned}$$

④ θ_{XY}/θ far from 1.0. ?

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\theta_{XY}}{\theta} &= \frac{\theta_c \pi_1 + (1-\pi_1)}{\theta_c \pi_2 + (1-\pi_2)} \\&= \frac{(\theta_c-1) \pi_1 + 1}{(\theta_c-1) \pi_2 + 1}.\end{aligned}$$

① $\theta_c > 1$, $\pi_1 > \pi_2$. ② $\theta_c < 1$, $\pi_1 < \pi_2$.

\geq is positively associated
with X and Y .

Z is negatively associated
with X and Y .

36.

Variables are independent iff

$$\pi_{j11} = \pi_{j12} = \dots = \pi_{j1I} \quad \forall j=1, \dots, J-1.$$

(Pf)

\Rightarrow obvious

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftarrow : \pi_{+j} &= \sum_{i=1}^I \pi_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^I \pi_{j1i} \pi_{i+} \\ &= \pi_{j1i} \sum_{i=1}^I \pi_{i+} \\ &= \pi_{j1i} \quad \forall j=1, \dots, J-1. \end{aligned}$$

39.

$$\begin{aligned} V(Y) &= \sum_{j=1}^J \pi_{+j} (\pi_{+j}) = \sum \Pr(\text{1st observation } \in \bar{j} \text{ but } \\ &\quad \text{2nd observation } \notin \bar{j}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^J \pi_{+j} - \pi_{+j}^2 = 1 - \sum \pi_{+j}^2. \end{aligned}$$

a. If $\pi_{+j}=1$ for some j , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^J \pi_{+j} (\pi_{+j}) \geq \pi_{+j} (\pi_{+j}) = 1 \cdot 0 = 0.$$

$$1 - \sum_{j=1}^J \pi_{+j}^2 \leq 1 - \pi_{+j}^2 = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

$$\therefore V(Y) = 0.$$

$$\text{maximize } V(Y) = 1 - \sum \pi_{+j}^2$$

$$\text{subject to } \sum \pi_{+j} = 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{by Lagrange multiplier}, \quad -2\pi_{+j} + \lambda &= 0 \quad \forall j \\ \Rightarrow \pi_{+j} &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \quad \forall j \Rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{2} = \frac{1}{J}. \end{aligned}$$