

Activity 3: Heuristic Analysis Report

Heuristic Algorithm Pseudocode

The custom heuristic code is defined at *wid170047_score* in file *game_agent.py*. The explanation of the pseudocode is as follow:

1. If the current player loses the game in this state, return negative infinity. Else if the current player wins the game in this state, return positive infinity.
2. During the early game stages, move towards center of map where more free spaces is available. States where the position of current player nearer to the boundary map will have lower value.

$$H(t) = (p - o) - k(d)$$

where p is the number of player moves, o is the number of opponent moves, k is a tuneable variable and d is the distance from the center

3. During the mid game stages, the player tries to be more aggressive by attempting to reduce opponents possible moves, heuristic function in this case is taken from *weighted_chances_heuristics*.
4. Finally, during the late game stages, the player tries to be more defensive by maximizing self possible moves, heuristic function in this case is taken from *weighted_chances_heuristics*.

Tournament Results

This script evaluates the performance of the custom heuristic function by comparing the strength of an agent using iterative deepening (ID) search with alpha-beta pruning against the strength rating of agents using other heuristic functions. The `ID_Improved` agent provides a baseline by measuring the performance of a basic agent using Iterative Deepening and the "improved" heuristic (from lecture) on your hardware. The `Student` agent then measures the performance of Iterative Deepening and the custom heuristic against the same opponents.

Evaluating: ID_Improved

Playing Matches:

Match 1: ID_Improved vs Random	Result: 1724 to 276
Match 2: ID_Improved vs MM_Null	Result: 1395 to 605
Match 3: ID_Improved vs MM_Open	Result: 1012 to 988
Match 4: ID_Improved vs MM_Improved	Result: 952 to 1048

Match 5: ID_Improved vs AB_Null Result: 1297 to 703
Match 6: ID_Improved vs AB_Open Result: 1150 to 850
Match 7: ID_Improved vs AB_Improved Result: 1142 to 858

Results:

ID_Improved 61.94%

Evaluating: Student1

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student1 vs Random Result: 1736 to 264
Match 2: Student1 vs MM_Null Result: 1458 to 542
Match 3: Student1 vs MM_Open Result: 1136 to 864
Match 4: Student1 vs MM_Improved Result: 1036 to 964
Match 5: Student1 vs AB_Null Result: 1411 to 589
Match 6: Student1 vs AB_Open Result: 1230 to 770
Match 7: Student1 vs AB_Improved Result: 1218 to 782

Results:

Student1 65.89%

Evaluating: Student2

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student2 vs Random Result: 1745 to 255
Match 2: Student2 vs MM_Null Result: 1433 to 567
Match 3: Student2 vs MM_Open Result: 1106 to 894
Match 4: Student2 vs MM_Improved Result: 1041 to 959
Match 5: Student2 vs AB_Null Result: 1388 to 612
Match 6: Student2 vs AB_Open Result: 1235 to 765
Match 7: Student2 vs AB_Improved Result: 1209 to 791

Results:

Student2 65.41%

Evaluating: Student3

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student3 vs Random Result: 1724 to 276
Match 2: Student3 vs MM_Null Result: 1412 to 588
Match 3: Student3 vs MM_Open Result: 1092 to 908
Match 4: Student3 vs MM_Improved Result: 1018 to 982
Match 5: Student3 vs AB_Null Result: 1336 to 664
Match 6: Student3 vs AB_Open Result: 1210 to 790
Match 7: Student3 vs AB_Improved Result: 1197 to 803

Results:

Student3 64.21%

Evaluating: Student4

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student4 vs Random Result: 1726 to 274
Match 2: Student4 vs MM_Null Result: 1392 to 608
Match 3: Student4 vs MM_Open Result: 1098 to 902
Match 4: Student4 vs MM_Improved Result: 986 to 1014
Match 5: Student4 vs AB_Null Result: 1317 to 683
Match 6: Student4 vs AB_Open Result: 1178 to 822
Match 7: Student4 vs AB_Improved Result: 1195 to 805

Results:

Student4 63.51%

Evaluating: Student5

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student5 vs Random Result: 1719 to 281
Match 2: Student5 vs MM_Null Result: 1439 to 561
Match 3: Student5 vs MM_Open Result: 1088 to 912

Match 4: Student5 vs MM_Improved Result: 998 to 1002
Match 5: Student5 vs AB_Null Result: 1354 to 646
Match 6: Student5 vs AB_Open Result: 1222 to 778
Match 7: Student5 vs AB_Improved Result: 1207 to 793

Results:

Student5 64.48%

Evaluating: Student6

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student6 vs Random Result: 1741 to 259
Match 2: Student6 vs MM_Null Result: 1520 to 480
Match 3: Student6 vs MM_Open Result: 1112 to 888
Match 4: Student6 vs MM_Improved Result: 1059 to 941
Match 5: Student6 vs AB_Null Result: 1418 to 582
Match 6: Student6 vs AB_Open Result: 1245 to 755
Match 7: Student6 vs AB_Improved Result: 1209 to 791

Results:

Student6 66.46%

Evaluating: Student7

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student7 vs Random Result: 1714 to 286
Match 2: Student7 vs MM_Null Result: 1435 to 565
Match 3: Student7 vs MM_Open Result: 1138 to 862
Match 4: Student7 vs MM_Improved Result: 1084 to 916
Match 5: Student7 vs AB_Null Result: 1369 to 631
Match 6: Student7 vs AB_Open Result: 1199 to 801
Match 7: Student7 vs AB_Improved Result: 1224 to 776

Results:

Student7 65.45%

Evaluating: WID170047

Playing Matches:

Match 1: WID170047 vs Random	Result: 1751 to 249
Match 2: WID170047 vs MM_Null	Result: 1482 to 518
Match 3: WID170047 vs MM_Open	Result: 1115 to 885
Match 4: WID170047 vs MM_Improved	Result: 1066 to 934
Match 5: WID170047 vs AB_Null	Result: 1403 to 597
Match 6: WID170047 vs AB_Open	Result: 1230 to 770
Match 7: WID170047 vs AB_Improved	Result: 1266 to 734

Results:

WID170047 66.52%

References

Aguilar Jimenez, J.C. (2018, January 9). *COMP6231: Search Heuristics for Isolation*.
http://ajulio.com/assets/documents/Adversarial_Game.pdf

Becker, K. (2020, April 3). *Analysis of Game Playing Heuristics for Isolation*. RPubs.
<https://www.rpubs.com/primaryobjects/isolation>