

ADMM Fused Lasso Signal Approximator

Vladimir Jojic

October 17, 2012

1 The FLSA problem and ADMM blueprint

We will first write down the optimization problem

$$\text{minimize} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 + \mu \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}\|_1 \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{D} \in \mathbf{R}^{d \times n}$.

Objective description We briefly give interpretation for the three different parts of the objective. The first term is a squared ℓ_2 loss that can also be seen as a linear regression problem $\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{I}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$ with feature matrix being an identity matrix of size $n \times n$. The second term promotes sparsity in \mathbf{x} by virtue of being an ℓ_1 norm. The third term is again an ℓ_1 norm but of a linearly transformed vector \mathbf{x} . One common choice for matrix \mathbf{D} is a matrix with each row containing only two non-zero entries, +1 and -1. Hence, multiplication by each row computes differences between different entries in \mathbf{x} . Sparsity in these values encourages entries in \mathbf{x} corresponding to minuend and subtrahend to be the same. Taken together these parts of the objective encourage discovery of a sparse, block constant representation of vector y . Choice of matrix \mathbf{D} determines what the blocks will look like.

Dual decomposition In order to avoid issues with multiple non-smooth penalties operating on the same set of variables, we will reformulate the optimization problem by introducing auxiliary variables

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}_1\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{z}_2\|_1 + \mu \|\mathbf{z}_3\|_1 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \mathbf{z}_1 = \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{z}_2 = \mathbf{x} \\ & \mathbf{z}_3 = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

This problem is equivalent to (1).

Augmented Lagrangian For problem (2) we obtain following augmented Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \text{AL}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{z}_3, \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}_1\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{z}_2\|_1 + \mu \|\mathbf{z}_3\|_1 + \\ &\quad \langle \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_1 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{z}_2 - \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{z}_3 - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_3 \rangle + \\ &\quad \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_2 - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_3 - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

The ADMM algorithm iterates following updates

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^k &= \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \text{AL}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_1^{k-1}, \mathbf{z}_2^{k-1}, \mathbf{z}_3^{k-1}, \mathbf{u}_1^{k-1}, \mathbf{u}_2^{k-1}, \mathbf{u}_3^{k-1}) \\ \mathbf{z}_1^k &= \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \text{AL}(\mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2^{k-1}, \mathbf{z}_3^{k-1}, \mathbf{u}_1^{k-1}, \mathbf{u}_2^{k-1}, \mathbf{u}_3^{k-1}) \\ \mathbf{z}_2^k &= \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \text{AL}(\mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{z}_1^k, \mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{z}_3^{k-1}, \mathbf{u}_1^{k-1}, \mathbf{u}_2^{k-1}, \mathbf{u}_3^{k-1}) \\ \mathbf{z}_3^k &= \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \text{AL}(\mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{z}_1^k, \mathbf{z}_2^k, \mathbf{z}_3, \mathbf{u}_1^{k-1}, \mathbf{u}_2^{k-1}, \mathbf{u}_3^{k-1}) \\ \mathbf{u}_1^k &= \mathbf{u}_1^{k-1} + \rho(\mathbf{z}_1^k - \mathbf{x}^k) \\ \mathbf{u}_2^k &= \mathbf{u}_2^{k-1} + \rho(\mathbf{z}_2^k - \mathbf{x}^k) \\ \mathbf{u}_3^k &= \mathbf{u}_3^{k-1} + \rho(\mathbf{z}_3^k - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}^k), \end{aligned}$$

where $k-1$ and k denote previous and current iteration, respectively.

2 Three observation relevant to simplifying ADMM subproblems

Completing the square Suppose we have to solve a problem

$$\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \underbrace{\frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle + f(\mathbf{x})}_{\text{A}}.$$

We claim that the optimal \mathbf{x} for the above problem is equal to

$$\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \underbrace{\frac{\rho}{2} \left\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{u} \right\|_2^2 + f(\mathbf{x})}_{\text{B}}$$

because difference between the objectives A and B is $-\frac{1}{2\rho} \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ a constant with respect to \mathbf{x} .

Stacking regression problems Suppose we have to solve a problem

$$\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_2^2 + f(\mathbf{x}).$$

We claim that this problem is equivalent to solving

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} - \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2^2 + f(\mathbf{x}).$$

Further if $f(\mathbf{x}) = \text{const}$ then this problem can be solved in a single line of Matlab code

```
[sqrt(rho)*B;C]\[sqrt(rho)*y;z]
```

Flipping signs under norms If you are looking at a normed expression, the whole expression under the norm can be negated without affecting the function value

$$\|x - y\| = \|y - x\|$$

this is a direct consequence of the definition of norms (positive homogeneity property).

3 Updates for FLSA ADMM algorithm

Deriving update for \mathbf{x} Eliminating terms that are constants with respect to \mathbf{x} we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^k = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} \quad & \langle \mathbf{z}_1^{k-1} - \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_1^{k-1} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{z}_2^{k-1} - \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_2^{k-1} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{z}_3^{k-1} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_3^{k-1} \rangle + \\ & \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_1^{k-1} - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_2^{k-1} - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_3^{k-1} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we complete the squares separately

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^k &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| \mathbf{z}_1^{k-1} - \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{u}_1^{k-1} \right\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| \mathbf{z}_2^{k-1} - \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{u}_2^{k-1} \right\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| \mathbf{z}_3^{k-1} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{u}_3^{k-1} \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1^{k-1} + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{u}_1^{k-1} \\ \mathbf{z}_2^{k-1} + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{u}_2^{k-1} \\ \mathbf{z}_3^{k-1} + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{u}_3^{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

So we can use following Matlab code

```
x = [eye(n);eye(n);D]\[z1+1/rho*u1;z2+1/rho*u2;z2+1/rho*u3]
```

Deriving update for \mathbf{z}_1

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{z}_1^k &= \underset{\mathbf{z}_1}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}_1\|_2^2 + \langle \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{u}_1^{k-1} \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{x}^k\|_2^2 \\
&= \underset{\mathbf{z}_1}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{x}^k + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{u}_1^{k-1} \right\|_2^2 \\
&= \underset{\mathbf{z}_1}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1 - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{x}^k - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \mathbf{u}_1^{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

So we can use following Matlab code

```
z1 = [eye(n);sqrt(rho)*eye(n)]\[y;sqrt(rho)*x - 1/sqrt(rho)*u1]
```

Deriving update for \mathbf{z}_2

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{z}_2^k &= \underset{\mathbf{z}_2}{\operatorname{argmin}} \lambda \|\mathbf{z}_2\|_1 + \langle \mathbf{z}_2 - \mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{u}_2^{k-1} \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_2 - \mathbf{x}^k\|_2^2 \\
&= \underset{\mathbf{z}_2}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \|\mathbf{z}_2\|_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{z}_2 - \mathbf{x}^k + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{u}_2^{k-1} \right\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

and we note that this is simply Lasso regression and further that it separates across entries \mathbf{z}_2 meaning that it can be solved in closed-form in a single update

$$\mathbf{z}_2 = S \left(\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{u}_2, \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \right)$$

So we can use following Matlab code

```
z2 = shrinkThreshold(x - 1/rho*u2,lambda/rho)
```

assuming we have function

```
function x = shrinkThreshold(x,lambda)
x = sign(x).*max(abs(x) - lambda,0);
```

Deriving update for \mathbf{z}_3

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{z}_3^k &= \underset{\mathbf{z}_3}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mu \|\mathbf{z}_3\|_1 + \langle \mathbf{z}_3 - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{u}_3^{k-1} \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{z}_3 - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}^k\|_2^2 \\
&= \underset{\mathbf{z}_3}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{\mu}{\rho} \|\mathbf{z}_3\|_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{z}_3 - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}^k + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{u}_3^{k-1} \right\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{z}_3 = S \left(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{u}_3, \frac{\mu}{\rho} \right)$$

So we can use following Matlab code

```
z3 = shrinkThreshold(Dx - 1/rho*u3,mu/rho)
```

Updating dual variables $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3$ These updates are straightforward

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}_1^k &= \mathbf{u}_1^{k-1} + \rho(\mathbf{z}_1^k - \mathbf{x}^k) \\ \mathbf{u}_2^k &= \mathbf{u}_2^{k-1} + \rho(\mathbf{z}_2^k - \mathbf{x}^k) \\ \mathbf{u}_3^k &= \mathbf{u}_3^{k-1} + \rho(\mathbf{z}_3^k - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}^k)\end{aligned}$$