

Collecting Digital Evidence and Presentation in Court^{*,**}

Hayson Tse^{a,1}

^aHKU School of Professional and Continuing Education

Abstract

This list reproduces the papers, journal articles, legislations and judicial decisions discussed during the 10 lectures.

Keywords:

1. Prologue

1.1. Help

- Blue means I am a link; please click me.

1.2. Contact info

- Personal email
 - hayson.tse

1.3. Copyright

[This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Licence.](#)

1.4. Classroom Conduct

- [HKU SPACE Handbook](#)
 - Unauthorised reservation of seats is not permitted.
 - Eating or drinking is not allowed.
 - All mobile phones and pagers are to be turned off.
 - Smoking is prohibited at all HKU SPACE learning centres and the University campus.
 - No video / audio recording is allowed, except with the permission of the Programme Director / Manager, and is subject to any conditions stipulated when such permission is granted.
 - Personal belongings should not be left unattended.

1.5. Important dates

- EXAMINATION: 6 June 2019

1.6. Disclaimer

- All materials come from the public domain. No government or trade secrets.
- Newspaper clippings may or may not contain the complete sets of allegations in relation to a case.
- A person who has been reported by newspaper clippings as being arrested or charged is presumed innocent until he is convicted or even until his appeal against conviction is dismissed.

2. Lecture 1

2.1. Articles

1. Bernard Downey. Confessions to police officers. (1971) HKLJ 131 - 141.
2. Casey, E., M. Ferraro, et al. Investigation delayed is justice denied. Journal of Forensic Sciences 54(6): 1353 - 1364
3. John J. Barbara. Handbook of Digital and Multimedia Forensic Evidence. Springer, page 129, line 14.
4. [Kim Rossmo. Failures in Criminal Investigation \(Part 1\)](#)
5. [Kim Rossmo. Failures in Criminal Investigation \(Part 2\)](#)
6. [LC Paper No. CB\(2\)1610/10-11\(03\)](#)
7. [LegCo Paper No. CB\(2\)754/05-06\(04\)](#) (Chinese version)
8. [LegCo Paper No. CB\(2\)754/05-06\(04\)](#) (English version)
9. Richard A. Posner. An Economic Approach to the Law of Evidence. 51 Stanford Law Review 1477 (1999)
10. [Oliver A J Norman. The innocence of Mrs Sally Clark. BMJ 2001; 323.](#)

*List of reference materials (Week 10 revision on 16 May 2019).

**Module 5 of Postgraduate Diploma in IT Forensics.

Email address: hayson.tse@teacher.hkustspace.hku.hk (Hayson Tse)

¹PhD (HK), HKUSPACE Adjunct Lecturer.

11. [The Law Reform Commission of HK Report on Confession Statements and their Admissibility in Criminal Proceedings\(October 1985\)](#)
 12. [The Law Reform Commission of HK Report on the Procedure Governing the Admissibility of Confessions Statements in Criminal Proceedings \(July 2000\)](#)
 13. [UK College of Policing explaining PEACE Model and witness interview](#)
- 2.2. *Books*
1. Li Tianming. *The Methodology Thinking of Logico-linguistic Analysis*. Goose Lake Publication, 1981.
- 2.3. *Evidence and transcripts in trials*
1. *HKSAR v Manalili Magno Cruz*
 2. *R v. Alfred Arthur Rouse*
 3. *R v. Clark*
- 2.4. *Judgements*
1. *HKSAR v. Aleksei Starostin & 2 others* DCCC 602/2016]
 2. *HKSAR v. Chan Hin Wan and 5 others*[2018] HKDC 86
 3. *HKSAR v. Cho Wing Nin and others* CACC 178/2012
 4. *HKSAR v. Choy Kwai-chun* DCCC 354/2011
 5. *HKSAR v. Chu Ting-ting* HCMA33/2016
 6. *HKSAR v. Chun Yu-cheung & 5 others* DCCC 236/2017
 7. *HKSAR v. Hussain Shakeel Rasheed* [2018] HKDC 196
 8. *HKSAR v. Kau Sai Chau Public Golf Course Limited* HCMA 641/2009
 9. *HKSAR v. Lau Chun Leung* HCMA 266/2004
 10. *HKSAR v. Lau Wai Tim* CACC 152/2003
 11. *HKSAR v. Leung Chi Keung* DCCC 97/2015
 12. *HKSAR v. Leung Chi Kueng* CACC 373/2015
 13. *R v. Li Wai Leung* [1969] HKLR 642
 14. *HKSAR v. Sze Hang, Tony* DCCC 204/2015
 15. *R v. Leung Lai-por* [1978] HKLR 202
 16. *Meadow v. General Medical Council* [2006] EWHC 146 (Admin)
 17. *R v. Clark* [2003] EWCA Crim 1020 (second appeal)
 18. *R v. Clark* [2000] EWCA Crim 54
 19. *Sim Kon Fah v. JPPB and Co. (A firm) & 13 others* HCA 49/2011
- 2.5. *Legislations*
1. Article 14 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)
 2. Article 50 Criminal Procedures Law of the PRC (14 March 2012 amended)
 3. Article 53 Criminal Procedures Law of the PRC (14 March 2012 amended)
 4. Judges' Rule (1912 and 1918)
 5. Practice Note (Judge's Rules) [1964] 1 WLR 152 (w.e.f. 27 January 1964)
 6. Code C of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984
 7. Rules and Directions for the Questioning of Suspects and the Taking of Statements, published in Special Supplement No. 5 to the Hong Kong Government Gazette, 2 October 1992
- 2.6. *News and videos*
1. [A road junction](#), Chinese video
 2. [A student said he was framed-up](#), Chinese video
 3. [Confession was inadmissible in TMCC 2071/2015](#)
 4. [Family blast police over handling of autistic man's arrest, detention](#)
 5. [Four innocent people. Four life sentences. Four incredible and traumatic stories.](#)
 6. [Freed after 23 Years in prison](#), English video
 7. [Freed after 29 years in prison](#), English video
 8. [Independent Police Complaints Council](#)
 9. [Inexperienced vs. experienced](#), Chinese video
 10. [Newton's third law](#), Chinese video
 11. [Three Russians acquitted](#)
 12. [Top 25 movies about trials](#)
 13. [Who is right?](#), Chinese article
 14. [Yet ANOTHER rape case disgrace: Man, 26, has his conviction quashed after spending two years in jail after bombshell Facebook messages missed by police prove his innocence](#)
- 2.7. *Tools*
1. Hayson Tse. *Timeline for a Hypothetical Case*. 1 March 2018.
3. **Lecture 2**
- 3.1. *Articles*
1. Fitzpatrick. *Soil: Forensic Analysis*, Wiley Encyclopaedia of Forensic Science. Pages 2377 - 2388
 2. [Goodwin, Jean. Wigmore's Chart Method. Informal Logic 20 \(2001\): 223-43](#)
 3. Morrison, et. al. *Introduction to Environmental forensic*, Encyclopaedia of Life Support System
- 3.2. *Books*
1. Inge Sebyan Black & Charles L. Yeschke. *The Art of Investigative Interviewing*, 3rd Edition. Elsevier, 2014. Chapters 1 and 2.
 2. Phipson on Evidence 18th edition (December 2013)
 3. Terence, Schum and Twining. *Analysis of Evidence*. Cambridge University Press, Second edition, Chapters 1, 2 and 4
- 3.3. *Judgements*
1. [Daubert v. Merrell](#) 509 U.S. 579 (1993)
 2. [Edko Films Limited v. Elite DVD Shop Limited](#) HCMA 613/2004
- 3.4. *Tools*
1. Hubei University of Police. *Computer Forensic Examination and Analysis Model and Flow Diagram*.
 2. [SANS Investigation Forensic Toolkit \(SIFT\) Workstation and REMnux](#)
 3. Wigmorean Charts Method

4. Lecture 3

4.1. Articles

1. Canning KE, Hiltz MA, Muirhead YE. False Allegation of Child Abduction. *Journal of Forensic Science*. 2011 May 56(3):794–802.
2. Fred Cohen. *Computer Virus: an Origin Story*
3. Fred Cohen. *Computer Viruses*. PhD Dissertation. January 1986.
4. Mark Pollitt. *A History of Digital Forensics*. (2010) *Advances in Digital Forensics VI*, pp 3–15

4.2. Books

1. Fred Cohen. *Digital Forensic Evidence Examination, 5th Edition*
2. Black & Yeschke. *The Art of Investigative Interviewing*, 3rd edition. Elsevier. Chapter 11.
3. Stephen Toulmin. *The Uses of Argument*. Cambridge University Press, Updated edition, Chapter III
4. Terence, Schum and Twining. *Analysis of Evidence*. Cambridge University Press, Second edition, Chapters 2, 5, 6, 8, 10 and 11

4.3. Corpora

1. *A Hacking case from CFReDS*
2. *Computer Forensic Reference Data Sets (CFReDS)*
3. *Digital Corpora*
4. *Honeynet Project Challenge*

4.4. Evidence and transcripts in trials

1. *HKSAR v. Lee Kar Wo* (ESCC 1280/2002)

4.5. Legislations

1. *Section 124 of the Road Traffic Ordinance (Chapter 374)*
2. *Section 16C of the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Chapter 28)*
3. *Section 19 of the Business Registration Ordinance (Chapter 310)*
4. *Section 22A of the Evidence Ordinance (Chapter 8)*
5. *Section 22B of the Evidence Ordinance (Chapter 8)*
6. *Section 26A Land Registration Ordinance (Chapter 128)*
7. *Section 46 of the Companies Ordinance (Chapter 622)*
8. *Section 46 of the Evidence Ordinance (Chapter 8)*
9. *Section 47 of the Evidence Ordinance (Chapter 8)*

4.6. News and videos

1. *Anatomy of Investigation (25 minutes)*
2. *BTK Killer (44 minutes)*
3. *First Internet Serial Killer (46 minutes)*

4.7. Tools

1. *Freemind*
2. *Freeplane*
3. *ihmc CmapTools 6.03*
4. *University of Dundee, School of Computing, Araucaria version 3.1*
5. *US Department of Justice Digital Forensic Analysis Methodology (Static)*
6. *Analysis of Competing Hypotheses*
7. *Chronology by documentary and real exhibits*
8. *Chronology by witnesses*
9. *Master chronology*
10. *Narratives*
11. *Outlines*
12. *Probability table*

5. Lecture 4

5.1. Articles

1. *Ministry of Justice, Achieving Best Evidence in Criminal Proceedings, Guidance on Interviewing Victims & Witnesses, and Guidance on Using Special Measures (March 2011)*
2. *Report of Law Reform Committee on Opinion Evidence, Singapore Academy of Law*
3. *Summary of R v. Barry Michael* prepared by Dr Robert N. Moles
4. *The Epistemological Trend in the Evolution of the Law of Expert Testimony: A Scrutiny at once Broader, Narrower, and Deeper*. *Georgia Law Review*, 2013, Volume 47, Issue 3, page 863 - 887.
5. *UK Home Office, Witness Statement (29 October 2013)*
6. *UK Humberside Police, Practice Direction for Witness Statement Taking (15 December 2005)*
7. *Annabelle James, Fresh Evidence, Probative Value of Firearms Discharge*, *Journal of Criminal Law* 2008, 72(3), pages 199 - 201.
8. *Dror, Charlton and Person, Contextual Information Renders Experts Vulnerable to making Erroneous Identifications*, *Forensic Science International* 156 (2006) 74 - 78
9. *J.J. Doyle Q.C. Admissibility of Opinion Evidence*
10. *Liu and Shang, Online Tactics for Criminal Investigations*, *Criminal Investigation and Legal System* 48(2), page 2 - 4
11. *Nancy S. Farrell. Congressional Action to Amend Federal Rule of Evidence 702: A Mischievous Attempt to Codify Daubert v. Merrile Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc..* *Journal of Contemporary Health Law & Policy*, Volume 13, Issue 2, page 523 - 551
12. *Wolchover and Heaton-Armstrong, Recording Witness Statements*, 1992 *Crim LR*

5.2. Evidence and transcripts in trials

1. *R v. Barry Michael George*

5.3. Judgements

1. *Adams and 2 others v. Secretary of State for Justice* [2011] UKSC 18
2. *Al & others v. Secretary of State for Justice* [2014] 1 W.L.R. 3202, [2014] EWCA Civ 194
3. *Ali and others v. Secretary of State for Justice* [2013] 1 W.L.R. 3536, [2013] EWCA 72 (Admin)
4. *Allen v. The United Kingdom* (Application no. 25424/09)
5. *Barry George v. R* [2007] EWCA Crim 2722
6. *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals* 509 U.S. 579 (28 June 1993)
7. *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals* 509 U.S. 579 (28 June 1993)
8. *Davie v. Edinburgh Magistrates* [1953] SC 34 at 40
9. *Frye v. United States* 293 F. 1013 (D.C., Cir 1923) (3 December 1923)
10. *Frye v. United States* 293 F. 1013 (D.C., Cir 1923) (3 December 1923)
11. *HKSAR v. Cheung Yui Kong* [2007] 4 HKLRD 413, CACC 362/2005
12. *HKSAR v. Leung Ching Chung* CACC 347/2016
13. *HKSAR v. Leung Ching Chung* DCCC 466/2016
14. *HKSAR v. Li Kai Hei* DCCC 782/2016
15. *HKSAR v. Mo Sze Lung Thomson and another* CACC 152/2001
16. *HKSAR v. Mo Sze Lung Thomson and another* CACC 152/2001
17. *HKSAR v. Nancy Kissel* CACC 414/2005
18. *HKSAR v. Tang Yuet Ming and Tang Yuet Luen* HCCC 237/2003
19. *HKSAR v. Wong Koon Ho Titus* HCMA 366/2013 (Chinese)
20. *HKSAR v. Wong Koon Ho Titus* HCMA 366/2013 (English)
21. *HKSAR v. Wong Miu Lin* DCCC 11/2013
22. *HKSAR v. Yip Kim-po and five others* CACC 353/2010
23. *Kennedy v. Cordia (Services) LLP* [2016] 1 WLR 597 (SC)
24. *Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael*, 119 S.Ct. 1167, 526 U.S. 137 (23 March 1999)
25. *Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael*, 119 S.Ct. 1167, 526 U.S. 137 (23 March 1999)
26. *R v. Abadom (Steven)* [1983] 1 WLR 126 CA.
27. *R v. Barry Michael George* [2002] EWCA Crim 1923
28. *R v. Chan Kam Tak* [1988] 2 HKLR 11, (CACC 204 of 1987)
29. *R v. Turner* [1975] QB 834
30. *The Queen v. Bonython* [1984] 38 SASR 45
31. *Wang Din Shin v. Nina Kung*, HCAP 8A/1999
32. *Wang Din Shin v. Nina Kung*, HCAP 8A/1999 (Chinese translation)

33. 香港特別行政區訴劉國權 DCCC 695/2013

34. 香港特別行政區訴劉國權 CACC 18/2014

35. 香港特別行政區訴張兆齡 DCCC 520/2015

36. 香港特別行政區訴梁錦添 DCCC 643/2015

37. 香港特別行政區訴譚家傑 DCCC 980/2014

5.4. Legislations

1. Federal Rules of Evidence Rule 702 (1 December 2000)
2. Federal Rules of Evidence Rule 702 (2 January 1975)
3. Section 20 of the Gambling Ordinance (Chapter 148)
4. Section 65DA of the Criminal Procedure Ordinance (Chapter 221)

5.5. News and videos

1. 'I had death threats before Jill Dando's murder but police did nothing', reveals former BBC colleague Alice Beer
2. BBC set up a bursary award
3. Crown Prosecution Service news regarding Barry George
4. Jill Dando's murderer revealed: Crimewatch kill was ordered by Mr Big
5. News reported by Nick Dorman & Mark Williams-Thomas for the Mirror on 30 March 2015
6. Police mistakes led to acquittal of sisters accused of money laundering
7. Scotland Yard to review Dando evidence again

5.6. Websites

1. Jill Dando Institute of Security and Crime Science
2. UK Health and Safety Executive Enforcement guide
3. UK Health and Safety Executive Operation procedures

6. Lecture 5

6.1. Articles

1. Association of Chief Police Officers. Good Practice and Advice Guide for Managers of e-Crime Investigation. Version 0.1.4
2. Association of Chief Police Officers. Good Practice Guide for Computer-Based Electronic Evidence. Version 4.0
3. British Government's 7 principles of investigative interviewing since 1991
4. Guidance to an arrested person on arrest and detention
5. Guide to General Civil Proceedings in High Court & District Court
6. Investigative Interviewing in England, Part I: Models for Interviewing Witnesses & Suspects
7. Investigative Interviewing in England, Part II: Some Tactical & Legal Considerations
8. Police power to stop and search

9. RAND Europe. Handbook of Legal Procedures of Computer and Network Misuse in EU Countries. 2006
 10. Rights of Victims and Witnesses of Crime
 11. Sample of a witness statement for civil proceedings
 12. Brian Carrier. [Getting Physical with the Digital Investigation Process](#): available
 13. Brian Carrier. An Event-Based Digital Forensic Investigation Framework](<http://bit.ly/2mWqeai>)
 14. Carrier and Spafford. [Getting Physical with the Digital Investigation Process](#). International Journal of Digital Evidence, Fall 2003, Volume 2, Issue 1.
 15. Professor John Balwin: Videotaping police interviews with suspects - An evaluation (1992) Robert McPeake (Ed). Advocacy. The City Law School, City University London. Page 369
- 6.2. *Books*
1. Bevel & Gardner. Bloodstain Pattern Analysis, with an Introduction to Crime Scene Reconstruction. Third Edition. Chapter 14
 2. Charles L. Yeschke, The art of investigative interviewing : a human approach to testimonial evidence, Chapter 11: Questions and Questioning
 3. Gardner & Bevel. Practical Crime Scene Analysis and Reconstruction. CRC Press. Chapter 8.
 4. Shipley and Bowker. Investigating Internet Crimes: An Introduction to Solving Crimes in Cyberspace. 2014. Elsevier. Chapters 10, 11
- 6.3. *Evidence and transcripts in trials*
1. HKSAR v. Mo Yuk Ping and 5 others
- 6.4. *Judgements*
1. [HKSAR v. MO Yuk-ping and 5 others](#) FACC No. 2 of 2007
 2. [Cinepoly Records Company Limited and 9 others v. Hong Kong Broadband Network Limited, Hutchison Global Communications Limited, i-Cable Webserve Limited and PCCW IMS Limited](#) HCMP 943/2006.
- 6.5. *News and videos*
1. [58 minutes video by Magnetforensics: Geolocation Artifacts and Timeline Analysis in Digital Forensics](#)
- 6.6. *Tools*
1. [Freemind download](#)
 2. [SAND DFIR Cheat sheets](#)
 3. [SIFT Reference Guide \(v.1.1\) Creating Timelines](#)
 4. [US DOJ Analysis Methodology](#)
 5. [USAF. Analysis of Forensic Super Timelines](#)
- 6.7. *Websites*
1. [Police General Orders available to the public](#)
7. **Lecture 6**
- 7.1. *Articles*
1. [Confirmation of digital evidence with physical evidence, witness statements and confessions](#)
 2. [Crown Prosecution Service Disclosure Manual](#) 26 February 2018
 3. [Exclusive: An Interview with Sandra Osborne Part II \(UnCut\)](#) in 2011
 4. [Exclusive: An Interview with Sandra Osborne: Part I](#) in 2011
 5. [Explanation of the Commission of persons with special knowledge to participate in investigation of criminal case in Mainland](#)
 6. [HK Law Reform Commission: Report on the Procedure Governing the Admissibility of Confession Statements](#)
 7. [Some digital forensic files in Casey Anthony's trial](#)
 8. Department of Justice, HKSAR. The Code of Practice for Expert Witnesses Engaged by the Prosecuting Authority
 9. Geoffrey Stephenson. Should collaborative testimony be permitted in courts of law? *Crim. L.R.* 1990, May, 302-314.
 10. Goodison, Davis and Jackson. [Digital Evidence and the US Criminal Justice System](#)
 11. Police log of observations - admissibility (Case Comment). *Crim. L.R.* 1987, Oct, 693-696;
- 7.2. *Books*
1. Jim Fisher. Forensics Under Fire: Are Bad Science and Dueling Experts Corrupting Criminal Justice? Rutgers University Press, 2008.
 2. The Official EnCE: EnCase Certified Examiner Study Guide
 3. W. Jerry Chisum and Brent Turvey. Crime Reconstruction. Academic Press, 2011.
- 7.3. *Evidence & transcripts in trials*
1. [California v. David Alan Westerfield](#)
 2. [Florida v. Casey Anthony](#)
 3. [U.S. v Shane Ragland](#)
- 7.4. *Expert reports examples*
1. Bridget A. Lawler, FBI Special Agent, dated 4 September 2002
 2. Dara K. Sewell, FBI Supervisory Special Agent, dated 30 December 2002
 3. Forensic Reporting with EnCase, dated 22 September 2012
 4. Gary Millin, President of MediaSentry Inc., dated 2 June 2004
 5. Peter Davies, Computer Forensic Examiner, dated 24 April 2006

6. Robert D. Young, President of Ability Systems Corporation, dated 21 February 2004
 7. Sandra G. Cawn, Detective, Orange County Sheriff's Office, dated 19 August 2008
 8. Sandra G. Cawn, Detective, Orange County Sheriff's Office, (undated)
 9. Yuri Melich, Detective, Orange County Sheriff's Office, dated 5 November 2008
- 7.5. *Judgements*
1. [Au-Yeung Ping Keung v. R](#) CACC 528/1976
 2. [Au-Yeung Ping Keung v. R](#) CACC 966/1975
 3. [Loveday v. Renton](#) [1990] 1 Med LR 117
 4. [Scott v. Bloomsbury Health Authority](#) [1990] 1 Med LR 214
 5. [Shane Ragland v. Commonwealth of Kentucky](#) 191 S.W. 3d 569 (2006)
- 7.6. *Legislations*
1. [Commission of persons with special knowledge to participate in investigation of criminal case in Mainland](#)
 2. Section 189 of the Evidence Act 1995 (New South Wales)
- 7.7. *News and videos*
1. "He calls the media a "monster," the police "careless," and the prosecution "scheming, ruthless."" ([news here](#))
 2. "Casey Anthony: Judgment Day" (CBS) (43 minutes)
 3. "Crime and Punishment: Caylee the Untold Story" (2 hours)
 4. "The Defence of Casey Anthony" (NBC) (43 minutes)
 5. [A news report regarding insufficiency of expert evidence in KTCC 4438/2016](#)
 6. [Another news report regarding insufficiency of expert evidence in KTCC 4438/2016](#)
 7. [Anthony spoke 10 years later](#)
 8. [Casey Anthony Juror Speaks Out: 'Needed Something More Solid' for Conviction](#)
 9. [Casey Anthony Juror: 'Sick to Our Stomachs' Over Not Guilty Verdict](#)
 10. [Statement by the Director of Public Prosecutions](#)
 11. [Third news report regarding insufficiency of expert evidence in KTCC 4438/2016](#)
 12. [Trial judge's theory of how the baby died 9 years later](#)
- 7.8. *Tools*
1. [Top 20 Free Digital Forensic Investigation Tools for SysAdmins](#)
8. **Lecture 7**
- 8.1. *Articles*
1. [Casey Anthony CSI: A Triumph of High-Tech Forensics?](#)
- 8.2. *Books*
1. Eoghan Casey. *Digital Evidence and Computer Crime, Forensic Science, Computers and the Internet*, 3rd edition. Chapter 16.
 2. Eric Morris, **Techniques in Litigation*(South Africa)
- 8.3. *Expert reports examples*
1. Dr Neville Anthony Armstrong dated 1 October 2012
 2. Dr Neville Anthony Armstrong dated 16 January 2013
 3. Dr Neville Anthony Armstrong dated 25 January 2013
 4. Dr Neville Anthony Armstrong dated 3 March 2013
- 8.4. *Evidence and transcripts in trials*
1. [US v. Casey Anthony](#)
 2. [Wang Din Shin v. Nina Kung](#)
- 8.5. *Judgements*
1. [Brian Alfred Hall v. HKSAR](#) (2009) 12 HKCFAR 562
 2. [Competition Commission v. Nutanix Hong Kong Limited and 4 others](#) [2018] HKCT 1
 3. [HKSAR v. A](#) [2016] 5 HKLRD 406; CACC 400 of 2013 (Chinese)
 4. [HKSAR v. A](#) CACC 400 of 2013 (English)
 5. [HKSAR v. Ip Tsz Yau](#) DCCC 70 of 2015 (Reasons for Verdict)
 6. [HKSAR v. Ip Tsz Yau](#) DCCC 70/2016 (Reasons for Verdict in Chinese)
 7. [HKSAR v. Ip Tsz Yau](#) [2017] 1 HKLRD 1261
 8. [HKSAR v. Lee Ming Tee \(No. 2\)](#) (2003) 6 HKCFAR 336 (Chinese)
 9. [HKSAR v. Lee Ming Tee \(No. 2\)](#) (2003) 6 HKCFAR 336 (English)
 10. [HKSAR v. Wun Shu Fai](#) [2017] 2 HKLRD 896; CACC 48/2015
 11. [Nina Kung v. Wang Din Shin](#) (2005) 8 HKCFAR 387
 12. [The Queen v. Ch'ng Poh](#) CACC 333/1994
 13. [The Queen v. Kai Tai Construction Engineering Company Limited](#) HCMA 1123/1995
 14. [Wang Din Shin v. Nina Kung](#) HCAP 8A/1999 (Chinese version)
 15. [Wang Din Shin v. Nina Kung](#) HCAP 8A/1999 (English version)
 16. [Wang Din Shin v. Nina Kung](#) CACV 460/2002
 17. [香港特別行政區對李明治對證券及期貨事務監察委員會](#) FACC 1/2003 (Chinese)
- 8.6. *Legislations*
1. [App D Code of conduct for expert witnesses of the Rules of the High Court \(Chapter 4A\)](#)
 2. [O.38 of the Rules of the High Court \(Chapter 4A\)](#)
 3. [Rules of the High Court \(Chapter 4A\)](#)

8.7. News and videos

1. [Asia's richest woman passed away: 3 April 2007](#)
2. [HK billionaire widow clears name: 2 December 2005](#)
3. [HK richest lady on forgery charge: 28 January 2005](#)
4. [HK's Richest Woman Arrested on 12 December 2002](#)
5. [答非所問: 收到](#)

8.8. Websites

1. [HK Police web: How to be a witness in court](#)

9. Lecture 8

9.1. Articles

1. Amann and James. Designing robustness and resilience in digital investigation laboratories. *Digital Investigation* 12 (2015) pp. 5111 - 5120.
2. Angus M. Marshall. Standards, regulation and quality in digital investigations: The state we are in. *Digital Investigation*. Volume 8, Issue 2, November 2011, pages 141 - 144.
3. Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO) [Good Practice Guide for Computer-Based Electronic Evidence](#)
4. Ballou and Gilliland. Emerging paper standards in computer forensics. *Digital Investigation* 8 (2011) pp. 96 - 97.
5. Beckett and Slay. Scientific underpinnings and background to standards and accreditation in digital forensics. *Digital Investigation* 8 (2011) pp. 114 - 121.
6. [FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, Analysis of Digital Financial Data](#)
7. [FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, Digital Evidence \(ensuring suitability for presentation in court\)](#)
8. [FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, Digital Forensic Examination: A Case Study \(fingerprints shown in a digital image\)](#)
9. [FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, Executing Search Warrants in the Cloud](#)
10. [FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, Functions of an investigation plan.](#)
11. [FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, Searches Incident to Arrest in the Smartphone Age](#)
12. [FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, Searching Cell Phones Seized Incident to Arrest](#)
13. [FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, Virtual Currency: Investigative Challenges and Opportunities](#)
14. [Guidelines & Recommendations for Training in Digital & Multimedia Evidence](#)
15. [HK Innovation and Technology Commission Schedule for Implementation of ISO/IEC 17025:2017 in Accreditation of Testing and Calibration Laboratories](#)
16. Linzi Wilson-Wilde. The International Development of Forensic Science Standards — A Review. *Forensic Science International* (In Press, Accepted Manuscript; available online 16 April 2018).
17. Lyle, et al. [Ten years of computer forensic tool testing](#). *Digital Evidence and Electronic Signature Law Review*, Volume 8.
18. Maria Angela Biasiotti. [A proposed electronic evidence exchange across the European Union](#). *Digital Evidence and Electronic Signature Law Review*, 14 (2017).
19. Peter Sommer. Certification, registration and assessment of digital forensic experts: The UK experience. *Digital Investigation* 8 (2011) pp. 98 - 105.
20. [Sedona Principles for Electronic Document Production, October 2017](#)
21. [UK ISO 17025 Digital Forensics Survey](#)
22. UK College of Policing. [Digital Investigation and Intelligence](#) (April 2015)
23. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. [Staff Skill Requirements and Equipment Recommendations for Forensic Science Laboratories](#). United Nations, New York, 2011.
24. US Department of Homeland Security. [U.S. Secret Service 'Best Practices for Seizing Electronic Evidence Pocket Guide'](#)

9.2. Books

1. Andrew Jones, David Lilburn Watson. *Digital Forensics Processing and Procedures: Meeting the Requirements of ISO 17020, ISO 17025, ISO 27001 and Best Practice Requirements*. Syngress; 1 edition (October 1, 2013), Chapter 3
2. Black and Yeschke. *The Art of Investigative Interviewing*. Chapters 6 and 12.

9.3. Evidence and transcripts in trials

1. [HKSAR v. Kwok Po Lun](#)

9.4. Judgements

1. [HKSAR v. Kwok Po-lun](#) DCCC 64/2012
2. [HKSAR v. Kwok Po Lun](#) [2015] 3 HKLRD 84

9.5. Standards

1. GB/T 29360-2012 電子物證數據恢復檢驗規程 Technical specification for data recovery of electronic forensic
2. GB/T 29361-2012 電子物證文件一致性檢驗規程 Technical specification for file identification of electronic forensic
3. GB/T 29362-2012 電子物證數據搜索檢驗規程 Technical specification for data search of electronic forensic
4. GB/T 31500-2015 信息安全技術存儲介質數據恢復服務要求 Information security technology — Requirement of data recovery service for storage media
5. GA/T 754-2008 電子數據存儲介質複製工具要求及檢測方法
6. GA/T 755-2008 電子數據存儲介質寫保護設備檢測方法

7. GA/T 756-2008 數字化設備證據數據發現提取固定方法
8. GA/T 757-2008 程序功能檢驗方法
9. GA/T 825-2009 電子物證數據搜索檢驗技術規範 (已作廢)
10. GA/T 826-2009 電子物證數據恢復檢驗技術規範 (已作廢)
11. GA/T 827-2009 電子物證文件一致性檢驗技術規範 (已作廢)
12. GA/T 828-2009 電子物證軟件功能檢驗技術規範
13. GA/T 829-2009 電子物證軟件一致性檢驗技術規範
14. GA/T 976-2012 電子數據法庭科學鑑定通用方法
15. GA/T 977-2012 取證與鑑定文書電子簽名
16. GA/T 978-2012 網絡遊戲私服檢驗技術方法
17. GA/T 1069-2013 法庭科學電子物證手機檢驗技術規範
18. GA/T 1070-2013 法庭科學計算機開關機時間檢驗技術規範
19. GA/T 1071-2013 法庭科學電子物證 Windows 操作系統日誌檢驗技術規範
20. GA/T 1170-2014 移動終端取證檢驗方法
21. GA/T 1171-2014 芯片相似性比對檢驗方法
22. GA/T 1172-2014 電子郵件檢驗技術方法
23. GA/T 1173-2014 即時通訊記錄檢驗技術方法
24. GA/T 1174-2014 電子證據數據現場獲取通用方法
25. GA/T 1175-2014 軟件相似性檢驗技術方法
26. GA/T 1176-2014 網頁瀏覽器歷史數據檢驗技術方法
27. GA/T 1772-2014 電子郵件檢驗技術方法
28. GA/T 1773-2014 即時通訊記錄檢驗技術方法
29. GA/T 1774-2014 電子證據數據現場獲取通用方法
30. GA/T 1474-2018 法庭科學計算機系統用戶操作行為檢驗技術規範
31. GA/T 1475-2018 法庭科學電子物證監控錄像機檢驗技術規範
32. GA/T 1476-2018 法庭科學遠程主機數據獲取技術規範
33. GA/T 1477-2018 法庭科學計算機系統接入外部設備使用痕跡檢驗技術規範
34. GA/T 1478-2018 法庭科學網站數據獲取技術規範
35. GA/T 1479-2018 法庭科學電子物證偽基站電子數據檢驗技術規範
36. GA/T 1480-2018 法庭科學計算機操作系統仿真檢驗技術規範
37. SF/Z JD0400001-2014 電子數據司法鑑定通用實施規範
38. SF/Z JD0401001-2014 電子數據複製設備鑑定實施規範
39. SF/Z JD0403001-2014 軟件相似性檢驗實施規範
40. SF/Z JD04020001-2014 電子郵件鑑定實施規範
41. SF/Z JD0400002-2015 電子數據證據現場獲取通用規範
42. SF/Z JD0402003-2015 即時通訊記錄檢驗操作規範
43. SF/Z JD0403003-2015 計算機系統用戶操作行為檢驗規範
44. SF/Z JD0403002-2015 破壞性程序檢驗操作規範
45. SF/Z JD0401002-2015 手機電子數據提取操作規範
46. SF/Z JD0402002-2015 數據庫數據真實性鑑定規範
47. SF/Z JD0300002-2018 數字聲像資料提取與固定技術規範
48. SF/Z JD0302003-2018 數字圖像修復技術規範
49. SF/Z JD0303001-2018 照相設備鑑定技術規範
50. SF/Z JD0304002-2018 錄像設備鑑定技術規範
51. SF/Z JD0402004-2018 電子文檔真實性鑑定技術規範
52. SF/Z JD0403004-2018 軟件功能鑑定技術規範
53. SF/Z JD0404001-2018 偽基站檢驗操作規範
54. 《司法鑑定執業分類規定 (試行)》 2000 年 11 月 29 日
55. 《司法鑑定機構登記管理辦法》 2000 年 8 月 14 日 (已廢止)
56. 《司法鑑定人登記管理辦法》 2000 年 8 月 14 日 (已廢止)
57. 《司法鑑定機構登記管理辦法》 2005 年 9 月 30 日
58. 《司法鑑定人登記管理辦法》 2005 年 9 月 30 日
59. 《司法鑑定程序通則》 2007 年 10 月 1 日 (2015 年 12 月 24 日修訂, 2016 年 5 月 1 日起施行)
60. 《司法鑑定許可證和司法鑑定人執業證管理辦法》 的通知 2010 年 4 月 12 日
61. 關於換發新版《司法鑑定許可證》和《司法鑑定人執業證》 的通知
62. RB/T 214-2017 檢驗檢測機構資質認定能力評價檢驗檢測機構通用要求
63. RB/T 219-2017 檢驗檢測機構資質認定能力評價司法鑑定機構要求
64. CNAS-CL08: 2018 司法鑑定/法庭科學機構能力認可準則
65. CNAS-CL08-A001: 2018 司法鑑定/法庭科學機構能力認可準則在電子數據鑑定領域的應用說明
66. ISO/IEC 17025:2017 General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories
67. ISO/IEC 17043:2010 Conformity assessment - General requirements for proficiency testing
68. ISO/IEC 27037:2016 Guidelines for identification, collection, acquisition and preservation of digital evidence
69. ISO/IEC 27041:2016 Guidance on assuring suitability and adequacy of incident investigative method
70. ISO/IEC 27042:2016 Guidelines for the analysis and interpretation of digital evidence (30 June 2015)
71. ISO/IEC 27043:2016 Incident investigation principles and processes (31 March 2015)
72. ISO/IEC 27050-1:2016 Electronic discovery - Part 1: Overview and concepts
73. ISO/IEC 27050-2:2018 Electronic discovery - Part 2: Guidance for governance and management of electronic discovery
74. ISO/IEC 27050-3:2017 Electronic discovery - Part 3: Code of practice for electronic discovery

9.6. Websites

1. [National Institute of Justice Electronic Crime Program](#)
2. [U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology. Computer Forensics Tool Testing Program](#)

10. Lecture 9

10.1. Articles

1. [LC Paper No. CB\(1\)899/13-14\(08\)](#)
2. Royal Statistical Society. [Guide 1 – ‘Fundamentals of probability and statistical evidence in criminal proceedings’](#), November 2010
3. Royal Statistical Society. [Guide 2 – ‘Assessing the probative value of DNA evidence’](#), March 2012
4. Royal Statistical Society. [Guide 3 – ‘The logic of forensic proof: inferential reasoning in criminal evidence and forensic science’](#), May 2014
5. Royal Statistical Society. [Guide 4 – ‘Case assessment and interpretation of expert evidence’](#), January 2015

10.2. Books

1. Frederick B. Cohen. [Digital Forensic Evidence Examination](#)
2. Stavroulakis and Stamp (Ed.). [Handbook of Information and Communication Security](#). Chapter 36: Fundamentals of Digital Forensic Evidence.
3. Watson and Jones. [Digital Forensics Processing and Procedures: Meeting the Requirements of ISO 17020, ISO 17025, ISO 27001 and Best Practice Requirements](#), Chapters 10 and 11.

11. Lecture 10

11.1. Articles

1. [Launch of Cybersecurity Fortification Initiative by HKMA at Cyber Security Summit 2016](#) (dated 18 May 2016)
2. Michael Connolly. Fact management and decision-making skills: adapting the Wigmorean chart for business law students. *The Law Teacher*, 43: 2, 114 - 152

11.2. Legislations

1. [Order 41](#) of the Rules of the High Court
2. [Practice Direction 10.1](#)
3. [Schedule](#) to The Education (Listed Bodies) (England) Order 2013
4. [Schedule](#) to The Education (Recognised Bodies) (England) (Order) 2013

11.3. Standards

1. [HK Monetary Authority Cybersecurity Fortification Initiative](#) dated 21 December 2016
2. [HK Monetary Authority Enhanced Competency Framework on Cybersecurity](#) dated 19 December 2016

12. Epilogue

"When you think you know, that's when you stop seeing." –Ajahn Brahm.