

259. 3Sum Smaller [\(/problems/3sum-smaller/\)](/problems/3sum-smaller/)

[r/ \(/ratings/107/13/?return=/articles/3sum-smaller/\)](/ratings/107/13/?return=/articles/3sum-smaller/) [\(/ratings/107/13/?return=/articles/3sum-smaller/\)](/ratings/107/13/?return=/articles/3sum-smaller/) [\(/ratings/107/13/?return=/articles/3sum-smaller/\)](/ratings/107/13/?return=/articles/3sum-smaller/)

Average Rating: 4.71 (14 votes)

March 5, 2016 | 5.3K views

Given an array of n integers $nums$ and a $target$, find the number of index triplets i, j, k with $0 \leq i < j < k < n$ that satisfy the condition $nums[i] + nums[j] + nums[k] < target$.

For example, given $nums = [-2, 0, 1, 3]$, and $target = 2$.

Return 2. Because there are two triplets which sums are less than 2:

```
[-2, 0, 1]
[-2, 0, 3]
```

Follow up:

Could you solve it in $O(n^2)$ runtime?

Solution

Approach #1 (Brute Force) [Time Limit Exceeded]

The brute force approach is to find every possible triplets (i, j, k) subjected to $i < j < k$ and test for the condition.

Complexity analysis

- Time complexity : $O(n^3)$. The total number of such triplets is $\binom{n}{3}$, which is $\frac{n!}{(n-3)! \times 3!} = \frac{n \times (n-1) \times (n-2)}{6}$. Therefore, the time complexity of the brute force approach is $O(n^3)$.
- Space complexity : $O(1)$.

Approach #2 (Binary Search) [Accepted]

Before we solve this problem, it is helpful to first solve this simpler *twoSum* version.

Given a $nums$ array, find the number of index pairs i, j with $0 \leq i < j < n$ that satisfy the condition $nums[i] + nums[j] < target$

If we sort the array first, then we could apply binary search to find the largest index j such that $nums[i] + nums[j] < target$ for each i . Once we found that largest index j , we know there must be $j - i$ pairs that satisfy the above condition with i 's value fixed.

Finally, we can now apply the *twoSum* solution to *threeSum* directly by wrapping an outer for-loop around it.

```
public int threeSumSmaller(int[] nums, int target) {
    Arrays.sort(nums);
    int sum = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < nums.length - 2; i++) {
        sum += twoSumSmaller(nums, i + 1, target - nums[i]);
    }
    return sum;
}

private int twoSumSmaller(int[] nums, int startIndex, int target) {
    int sum = 0;
    for (int i = startIndex; i < nums.length - 1; i++) {
        int j = binarySearch(nums, i, target - nums[i]);
        sum += j - i;
    }
    return sum;
}

private int binarySearch(int[] nums, int startIndex, int target) {
    int left = startIndex;
    int right = nums.length - 1;
    while (left < right) {
        int mid = (left + right + 1) / 2;
        if (nums[mid] < target) {
            left = mid;
        } else {
            right = mid - 1;
        }
    }
    return left;
}
```

Note that in the above binary search we choose the upper middle element ($\frac{left+right+1}{2}$) instead of the lower middle element ($\frac{left+right}{2}$). The reason is due to the terminating condition when there are two elements left. If we chose the lower middle element and the condition $nums[mid] < target$ evaluates to true, then the loop will never terminate. Choosing the upper middle element will guarantee termination.

Complexity analysis

- Time complexity : $O(n^2 \log n)$. The *binarySearch* function takes $O(\log n)$ time, therefore the *twoSumSmaller* takes $O(n \log n)$ time. The *threeSumSmaller* wraps with another for-loop, and therefore is $O(n^2 \log n)$ time.
- Space complexity : $O(1)$.

Approach #3 (Two Pointers) [Accepted]

Let us try sorting the array first. For example, $nums = [3, 5, 2, 8, 1]$ becomes $[1, 2, 3, 5, 8]$.

Let us look at an example $nums = [1, 2, 3, 5, 8]$, and $target = 7$.

```
[1, 2, 3, 5, 8]
  ↑       ↑
left    right
```

Let us initialize two indices, *left* and *right* pointing to the first and last element respectively.

When we look at the sum of first and last element, it is $1 + 8 = 9$, which is $\geq target$. That tells us no index pair will ever contain the index *right*. So the next logical step is to move the right pointer one step to its left.

```
[1, 2, 3, 5, 8]
  ↑       ↑
left    right
```

Now the pair sum is $1 + 5 = 6$, which is $< target$. How many pairs with one of the $index = left$ that satisfy the condition? You can tell by the difference between $right$ and $left$ which is 3, namely $(1, 2)$, $(1, 3)$, and $(1, 5)$. Therefore, we move $left$ one step to its right.

```
public int threeSumSmaller(int[] nums, int target) {
    Arrays.sort(nums);
    int sum = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < nums.length - 2; i++) {
        sum += twoSumSmaller(nums, i + 1, target - nums[i]);
    }
    return sum;
}

private int twoSumSmaller(int[] nums, int startIndex, int target) {
    int sum = 0;
    int left = startIndex;
    int right = nums.length - 1;
    while (left < right) {
        if (nums[left] + nums[right] < target) {
            sum += right - left;
            left++;
        } else {
            right--;
        }
    }
    return sum;
}
```

Complexity analysis

- Time complexity : $O(n^2)$. The *twoSumSmaller* function takes $O(n)$ time because both *left* and *right* traverse at most n steps. Therefore, the overall time complexity is $O(n^2)$.
- Space complexity : $O(1)$.

Rate this article:

(/ratings/107/13/?return=/articles/3sum-smaller/) (/ratings/107/13/?return=/articles/3sum-smaller)

Previous (/articles/wiggle-sort/)

Next (/articles/binary-tree-longest-consecutive-sequence/)

Join the conversation

Login to Reply



RF commented 3 months ago

(<https://discuss.leetcode.com/user/rf>)

```
def threeSumSmaller(self, nums, target):
    :type nums: List[int]
    :type target: int
    :rtype: int
    """
    result = 0
    nums.sort()
    for i in range(len(nums) - 2):
        l, r = i + 1, len(nums) - 1
        while l < r:
            s = nums[i] + nums[l] + nums[r]
            if s < target:
                result += r - l
                l += 1
            else:
                r -= 1
    return result
```



sha256pki commented 6 months ago

(<https://discuss.leetcode.com/user/sha256pki>)

Can I know why "right - left" is added to sum? I wonder how does it count distinct pairs of numbers between "left" and "right" that add upto less than target?



sha256pki commented 8 months ago

(<https://discuss.leetcode.com/user/sha256pki>)

Sorting array, rearranges the array, so not sure how is it solving original question of finding i, j, k in unsorted array.



Chen_Xiang commented 8 months ago

(https://discuss.leetcode.com/user/chen_xiang)

@anku (<https://discuss.leetcode.com/uid/49110>) There is no need to consider the duplicates of numbers , only index i, j, k are different is enough.



Chen_Xiang commented 8 months ago

(https://discuss.leetcode.com/user/chen_xiang)

I have a question for the twoSumSmaller:

```
private int twoSumSmaller(int[] nums, int startIndex, int target) {  
    int sum = 0;  
    for (int i = startIndex; i < nums.length - 1; i++) {  
        int j = binarySearch(nums, i, target - nums[i]);  
        sum += j - i;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```

Why we use binary search for target - nums[i] from index i, rather than i+1? I think we need to find the right most position after i, then it is `int j = binarySearch(nums, i+1, target - nums[i]);` , but the answer is wrong. Thx!



anku commented last year

(<https://discuss.leetcode.com/user/anku>)

How does the 2 pointer method take care of duplicates?



piyush121 commented last year

(<https://discuss.leetcode.com/user/piyush121>)

Couldn't have been better.

[View original thread \(https://discuss.leetcode.com/topic/30\)](https://discuss.leetcode.com/topic/30)

Copyright © 2018 LeetCode

[Contact Us \(/support/\)](/support/) | [Frequently Asked Questions \(/faq/\)](/faq/) | [Terms of Service \(/terms/\)](/terms/) | [Privacy Policy \(/privacy/\)](/privacy/)

 [United States \(/region/\)](/region/)